

First Edition, in Eight Volumes, published 1907-1909.

published 1914



HARMSWORTH HISTORY OF THE WORLD

WRITTEN BY THE FOREMOST HISTORIANS
OF OUR TIME AND ILLUSTRATED WITH
UPWARDS OF 8,000 PICTURES

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

A. D. INNES, M.A. • ARTHUR MEE
J. A. HAMMERION

NEW AND REVISED EDITION

VOLUME XIII.
EUROPE SINCE 1871
THE BRITISH EMPIRE

LONDON

EDUCATIONAL BOOKS CO. LTD

CONTENTS OF VOLUME XIII.

"PEACE WITH HONOUR" FRONTISPIECE

SIXTH GRAND DIVISION EUROPE

SIXTH DIVISION (*Continued*) THE RE-MAKING OF EUROPE EUROPE SINCE 1871

	PAGE
The Close of the Victorian Era	5163
Reaction triumphant in Russia	5193
The German and Austrian Empires	5213
France Under the Third Republic	5223
Minor States of Western Europe	5232

THE SOCIAL QUESTION

Britain's Industrial Revolution	5237
The Rise and Fall of Chartism	5245
The Triumph of Trade Unions	5249
The March of Social Reform	5255
Social Problems in France	5260
Social Democracy in Germany	5268
Great Dates from the French Revolution to Our Own Time	5279

SEVENTH DIVISION EUROPEAN POWERS TO-DAY

Glimpse of Europe's Capital Cities	5281
Russia	5295
The Balkan Wars of 1912-13	5317
Austria-Hungary	5329
Germany	5339
Holland and Belgium	5357
The Grand Duchy of Luxemburg	5362
Switzerland	5365
Italy	5369
The Republic of San Marino	5375
France	5377
The Principality of Monaco	5397
The Republic of Andorra	5398
Spain	5399
Portugal	5404
The Scandinavian States	5411
United Kingdom	5417
Types of British Battleships	5425

THE BRITISH EMPIRE

The Empire in the Making	5441
British Trade and the Flag	5465
Slave Trade as a Factor in Colonial Expansion	5473
Colonies Grown from Convict Settlements	5479
Wars of the Empire	5483
British Conquests in the East	5497
Britain's Contests in Africa	5509
Fighting Forces of the British Empire	5525
Outposts of Empire	5537
Composition of the Empire	5545
Great Britain's Inner Empire	5557
Parliaments of the Outer Empire	5573
The Shews of Empire	5581
British Expansion in Europe	5599
British Expansion in America	5610
Britain's Great Indian Empire	5615
British Expansion in Africa	5623
Man's Triumph Over Nature	5631
Civilisation and Christianity	5639
The Future of the Empire	5644

EUROPE SINCE 1871

THE CLOSE OF THE VICTORIAN ERA

THE TRIUMPH OF DEMOCRACY IN THE UNITED KINGDOM

By Arthur D. Innes, M.A.

THE Reform Bill, passed in 1867, was avowedly a leap in the dark. The vote for parliamentary representatives had been bestowed on classes which had hitherto had no voice in the government of the country. Practically the whole of the urban labouring population was now entitled to vote, though the agricultural labourers, the peasantry of the three kingdoms, were still excluded. The working man had got his vote on the hypothesis that he would use it intelligently and responsibly. There was ground, on the one side, for expecting that a class numerically outweighing the rest would demand legislation in its own interests; and, on the other, for trusting to the conservative instincts of the race to prevent such demands from being excessive.


It was evident to both the political parties that to meet the requirements of this new and preponderant element in the electorate must be a primary object with every government. It was likely that any change in the character of the representatives themselves, in the social rank to which they would belong, would be only gradual; the actual business of government would be in the hands of the same type of legislators and administrators as before; but they would have to satisfy the wants of new masters, and the new masters would have to be educated to a wise exercise of their newly-acquired powers.

Broadly speaking, then, at the moment when the new electorate placed Gladstone in power instead of Disraeli the attitudes

of the two parties were as follows: The Liberals believed that their hands were strengthened for drastic legislation directed against what they regarded as the unjustifiable privileges of the orders of society which had hitherto held the preponderance, some of which appeared to the Conservatives in the light of necessary mainstays for the support of any orderly social fabric.

On the other hand, their foreign policy was based on the conviction that peace should be secured, and the horrors of war avoided, by carrying concession to the utmost limits compatible with national honour, and by a confidence in the equal readiness of foreign Powers to be guided by abstract conceptions of disinterested justice. The Conservatives, on the other hand, looked to the provision of methods for the amelioration of the condition of the working classes without disturbing vested interests; and in foreign politics, having a complete distrust of our neighbours' readiness to subordinate their own interests to principles of abstract justice, they dwelt on the maxim that the best security against war is to be found in readiness for battle.

Ireland presented to Gladstone the most immediate and pressing problem. Catholic emancipation had not healed the distresses of that country, and the Fenian movement was only a more violent demonstration than usual of the intense discontent from which she was suffering. Gladstone believed the political disaffection to be the product of genuine grievances, which were attributed to the British supremacy, and



KING EDWARD IN EARLY MANHOOD

corporation into which the dis-established Church was formed. Irish land presented a no less thorny problem. In Ireland, the peasant lived on, and by, his holding; there was no demand for his labour. The alternative to living on his holding at whatever rental the landlord or his agent might demand, was emigration. Most of the peasantry were tenants at will, who could be simply evicted at six months' notice, and eviction meant the complete loss of any expenditure the tenant had incurred in improving his holding, although this state of things was locally modified by prevalent customs. The demand of the peasantry was formulated in the "Three Fs," fair rent, fixity of tenure, free sale.

The object of the Land Bill now introduced by the Government was to provide compensation for improvements in cases of arbitrary eviction, to give sundry local customs the force of law, and to assist tenants, by money loans, to

if those grievances were removed, the disaffection would die out. These sources of trouble were to be found in the agrarian and the religious systems existing. Roman Catholicism was no longer attended by serious disabilities; but in a country where more than three-fourths of the population were Roman Catholics the religious endowments were appropriated to the established Anglican Church, while the Church to which the masses adhered was entirely dependent on voluntary support. The disestablishment of the Anglican Church in Ireland was the first important measure presented to the new Parliament in 1869. To deprive the Church of her property, to sever the connection of Church and State, to attack the supremacy of Protestantism—such, in the eyes of opponents, were the objects of the Bill, which was passed, however, part of the proposal being an arrangement under which the equivalent of some two-thirds of the Church property was returned to the new ecclesiastical



QUEEN ALEXANDRA AT THE TIME OF HER MARRIAGE

From the painting by R. Lauchert

THE CLOSE OF THE VICTORIAN ERA

become freeholders by purchase when the landlord was willing to sell. This Bill also was passed ; but it shared with the Act of Disestablishment the fate of being regarded as a concession, not to justice, but to violence. The activity of the secret societies was not curtailed, and even while it was under consideration it was considered necessary to pass a "Peace Preservation Act," giving considerable powers of summary jurisdiction to magistrates and otherwise restricting normal liberties in "proclaimed" districts. As an attempt at conciliation, the measures were a complete failure, and the Home Rule movement came into being—a movement distinct from Fenianism, which demanded separation, and not identical with O'Connell's old demand for the repeal of the Union, but having as its avowed object the creation of an Irish Parliament for the conduct of Irish government. In 1870 was passed the Education Act, empowering local authorities to establish schools for primary education maintained chiefly out of the rates, with the proviso that the religious instruction given in such schools should be the simple Bible teaching supposed to be common to all Christian churches and sects. Hitherto, elementary schools had been supported almost entirely by the contributions of members of different religious denominations, the bulk of them, of course, Anglican, which merely received slight assistance from government grants. In such schools it was required that parents might, under a "conscience clause," withdraw their children from religious instruction. It would be hard to name any more fruitful source of controversies, to

a large extent unreasonable but none the less violent, than this Education Act, associated with the name of W. E. Forster ; but these did not arise in an acute form till some years later, when the Voluntary schools began to find their own maintenance, unsupplemented by public funds, increasingly impossible.

The Nonconformist bodies protested against paying rates for the support of such schools as were allowed to maintain a "Church Atmosphere," which Anglicans and Romanists made a cardinal point of maintaining. "Undenominational" instruction being regarded as anti-Anglican,

while payment for denominational instruction out of public moneys is no less objectionable from the other point of view, all efforts at a compromise between the two sides have hitherto failed ; and the advocates of exclusively secular instruction as the only road to educational peace seem likely to multiply. Apart, however, from the religious question, there is a general consensus of opinion that, although elementary education by the State has not yet


been turned to the best account, much good has already been done, and the machinery has been prepared for future developments. But the parents in the class for whose special benefit the system was devised have never displayed any warm appreciation of its merits, since the children are unable effectively to earn wages until their school-time is over.

Another attack on class-privilege is to be noted in the abolition of promotion by purchase in the army—a measure which was enforced by Royal prerogative in view of the probability that the House of



A ROYAL FAMILY GROUP

King Edward and Queen Alexandra in 1864, then the Prince and Princess of Wales, with their first-born child, Prince Albert Victor.



THE NATION'S REJOICING AT THE RECOVERY OF THE PRINCE OF WALES: THE PROCESSION TO ST. PAUL'S PASSING LUDGATE CIRCUS
Stricken down with a severe attack of typhoid fever in November, 1871, King Edward, then Prince of Wales, lay for several days at the point of death, and as the end seemed near, Queen Victoria and the other members of the Royal Family were twice summoned to Sandringham, where his Royal Highness was being nursed by the Princess of Wales. Happily, however, the royal patient was restored to health, and, to mark the nation's gratitude at his recovery, a thanksgiving service was held at St. Paul's Cathedral in February, 1872.

THE CLOSE OF THE VICTORIAN ERA

Lords would prevent its enactment by process of Parliament. That a Liberal Government should appeal to prerogative to override Parliament was sufficiently paradoxical to look like a constitutional innovation. In electoral law one change of importance was made by the introduction of the ballot, which has only in part had the desired and desirable effect of sheltering those electors who do not wish it to be known how their vote has been cast.

None of the legislation recorded was of a character to excite the enthusiasm of the new electors; and the Ministers'

Conference. The result was that the Powers acquiesced in the modifications of the treaty required by Russia. Great Britain, being alone strongly interested in the maintenance of the clauses, was unable to impress her view on the other signatories; and the country felt that its prestige had been lowered in the eyes of Europe.

Somewhat similar was the effect of the Alabama claim. The Alabama, as previously related, was a vessel built in the Mersey which escaped the vigilance of the authorities, put to sea, was handed over to the Confederates, and did immense



KING EDWARD VII. AND QUEEN ALEXANDRA RIDING IN WINDSOR PARK

From the picture by Barraud, painted in the early years of their married life

conduct of foreign affairs was still less pleasing. In two separate affairs, British diplomacy had disastrous results. The Russian Government took the opportunity of the outbreak of war between France and Germany to issue a declaration repudiating certain clauses in the Treaty of Paris, which had followed the Crimean War, on the ground that altered circumstances had made them no longer binding. The claim necessitated the assembling of a conference of the Powers which had signed the treaty, held in London and known as the Black Sea

damage to the Federal shipping in the American Civil War. Very heavy claims for compensation were put in by the United States Government, while the British refused to admit that any breach of neutrality had been committed. At last, in 1871, a treaty was made by which the dispute was submitted to an international court of arbitration. In the treaty, the British Government conceded practically every one of the American demands as to the conditions of the inquiry, though denying that several of the conditions were properly applicable;

and the court's decision was regarded as extravagantly favourable to the Americans.

This first great attempt to introduce the principle of arbitration in the settlement of international difficulties gave an unfortunate impression that such tribunals would be guided, not by the principles of justice, but by interest, and where Britain was concerned, by prejudice against her. The impression was intensified when a dispute as to delimitation of frontiers in the north-west of America was referred to the arbitration of the German Emperor, and was promptly decided in favour of the Americans. Thus, by

the Acts; the war raged round the doctrine of freedom of contract, which must, in the eyes of one party, be held sacred and inviolable, whereas in the eyes of the other party the "Freedom" was a fiction, the tenant or employee having practically no power to resist pressure on the part of the landlord or employer.

It was not, however, in the field of domestic legislation that the 1874 Ministry was notable. The brilliant chief of the ruling party found room for a more dazzling display of his abilities in the conduct of foreign affairs. The world was suddenly startled by the exceedingly ingenious stroke which brought the



QUEEN VICTORIA RECEIVING THE SHAH OF PERSIA AT WINDSOR, ON JUNE 20TH, 1873

the end of 1872 the Ministry had lost favour with the nation, and a dissolution at the beginning of 1874 gave Disraeli a decisive majority.


The conservative legislation proceeded on the lines of providing the working classes with opportunities for improving their condition. The fundamental difference between the attitude of Conservatism and that of advanced Liberalism became apparent in the questions of contract between landlord and tenant, or between employers and employees. The legislation systematically recognised the right of the two parties to contract themselves out of the obligations imposed by

recently constructed Suez Canal practically under British control. The canal had been constructed by Lesseps, and the natural presumption was that French influence would predominate, while the great actual preponderance lay with the Khedive of Egypt. But the Khedive was in want of cash; and on the strength of information received, Disraeli purchased his shares in the Canal Company on behalf of the British Government, which thus became very much the largest shareholder in the concern. The secrecy and the unexpectedness of the transaction gave it a peculiarly startling character, and at once aroused the excited suspicions



CABINET COUNCIL IN DOWNING STREET DISCUSSING THE EASTERN QUESTION

In 1876 a crisis of an alarming character occupied the attention of the British Government. Misrule in Turkey had brought the European provinces of the Porte into insurrection, and while one party in Britain was desirous of maintaining the rule of the Turk there was another party equally resolved to terminate the oppression at all costs.



THE CONFERENCE OF THE GREAT POWERS AT CONSTANTINOPLE IN 1876

The Eastern crisis increased in intensity when, in June, 1876, Servia and Montenegro declared war against Turkey. An armistice having been agreed upon, through the insistence of Russia, Lord Beaconsfield organised a conference of the Great Powers at Constantinople, Lord Salisbury attending it as the representative of the British Government. The conference proved abortive, the threatened Russo-Turkish war being only temporarily averted.

COUNCIL AND CONFERENCE IN LONDON AND CONSTANTINOPLE

of the political school which views with alarm any abnormal extra-parliamentary exercise of administrative power. About the same time, the Eastern question was again assuming prominence. If Russia, on the one part, succeeded, as we have seen, in securing in her own favour modifications of the post-Crimean Treaty of Paris, Turkey had succeeded in effectually evading the fulfilment of her own pledges under that instrument. The government of the Christian provinces continued to be eminently unsatisfactory, amounting practically to a military rule over a people in a state perpetually



CHARLES STEWART PARNELL

The "uncrowned King of Ireland." Parnell exercised wonderful influence both in Parliament and throughout the country, but his appearance as co-respondent in a divorce case was the death-blow to his political career.

bordering on insurrection. Insurrection broke out in Bosnia and Herzegovina, and was repressed with circumstances of savage brutality, even when full allowance is made for inevitable exaggerations and highly coloured pictures of the cruelties practised. The European governments remonstrated, and the European populations became excited. Turkey continued to promise, and continued not to perform. The stories of the "Bulgarian atrocities" aroused a passion of indignant resentment, especially in Britain and in Russia. The governments still confined themselves to diplomatic



THE "MOONLIGHTING" OUTRAGES IN IRELAND: A VISIT FROM "CAPTAIN MOONLIGHT" About 1880 secret societies carried out in Ireland a series of outrages, chiefly at night. The notices sent to those who were to be visited were signed "Captain Moonlight," and thus the members of these societies came to be known as "Moonlighters."



THE EVICTION OF AN IRISH HOUSEHOLDER FOR REFUSING TO PAY HIS RENT

During the disturbed period in Ireland scenes such as that depicted above were of frequent occurrence. Rents could not be collected, and in consequence the tenants who refused to pay were forcibly evicted by officers of the police.

pressure, and Turkey still relied on their distrust of each other to secure her from anything more serious. But Russia took upon herself the obligations of Europe, and in 1877 declared war upon Turkey in the character of defender of the Christian populations.

It was precisely in this character that Russia had always intervened: British Ministers as invariably believed the philanthropic profession to be nothing but a cloak, an excuse which was to be used to advance Russian interests to the detriment of the British Empire. Suspensions of Russia prevailed over indignation against Turkey; the conviction was not unusual that Russia had deliberately fostered the disturbances, that an excuse might be provided for her own aggression. Russia flung herself against Turkey, and the magnificent defence of Plevna by Osman Pasha excited the keen admiration of a people always ready to sympathise with a

stubborn fight against heavy odds. Lord Beaconsfield—Disraeli had taken the title at the end of 1876—felt that the nation would be behind him in opposing Russia. The fleet was sent to the Dardanelles; it seemed as if a war with Russia could hardly be avoided. Blatant bellicosity got its now familiar title of Jingoism from a popular song of the day.

In the midst of the clamour the public was startled by suddenly finding the Russians and Turks embracing. The two powers had concluded the Treaty of San Stefano. But the treaty was by no means to the liking of the British, as unduly strengthening the Russian position, though not so much so as was at first feared. Lord Beaconsfield claimed that the treaty must be submitted to a conference of the Powers, who were pledged to maintain the Treaty of Paris as modified by the Black Sea Treaty. It was still far from certain that the war-clouds would disperse, and native

troops were summoned from India to Malta for contingencies—a proceeding which, in the eyes of many, was a violation of constitutional principles. How far this practical demonstration of British readiness for war influenced Russia may be a matter for question; but she assented to the British demand, and a congress of the Powers was summoned at Berlin.

Whether the objects and the methods of Beaconsfield's diplomacy were wise or unwise, the methods were successful and the objects were attained. Secret preliminary agreements were made separately with Russia and with Turkey; and the outcome of the congress was that the Balkan States were declared independent principalities, the concessions to Russia under the Treaty of San Stefano were curtailed, and the new treaty was supplemented by an Anglo-Turkish treaty, under which Great Britain guaranteed the integrity of the Turkish dominion in Asia, in consideration of which she was to occupy the Island of Cyprus. Lord Beaconsfield returned to England, the bearer, in his own famous phrase, of "Peace with Honour," in July, 1878.

In other parts of the empire, however, Lord Beaconsfield's policy brought the Ministry more doubtful credit. The proclamation of a new title for the Queen as Empress of India at the opening of 1877 was not uncommonly regarded in Britain as a piece of cheap display; though, on the other hand, the British mind does not find it easy to appreciate the value of even cheap display in influencing Oriental populations. But the new policy adopted towards Afghanistan by Lord Beaconsfield and his Viceroy, Lord Lytton, was fraught with danger. Ever since the restoration of Dost Mohammed in 1843, the principle of non-intervention had been maintained. But in Asia, as in Europe, Russian aggression was looked upon with increasing alarm; Russian efforts to obtain influence at the

Court of Kabul were regarded with well-founded jealousy, and there was a strong feeling in military circles that strategical requirements demanded the substitution of a "scientific frontier" for the existing one. The proposals of the British Government appeared to the Amir, Shere Ali, to be merely a cloak for annexation. A Russian mission was received at Kabul, and a British mission was stopped. Three British columns entered Afghanistan in November, 1878. Shere Ali fled, and died; the British established his son Yakub Khan as Amir. Sir Louis Cavagnari went to Kabul as British Resident, and was very soon murdered, in September, 1879. The account of the war which followed, in which Sir Donald Stewart and Sir Frederic Roberts achieved their laurels, has been given in the history of India. A change of government in Britain in 1880 brought

The British Forces in Afghanistan



THE POLICE SEARCHING AN IRISH HOUSE FOR ARMS

a reversal of policy, and Abdurrhman was established as an independent ruler. In South Africa the Zulu War could at best bring little prestige; it brought disaster in the affair of Isandhlwana, though



THE ASSASSINATION OF LORD FREDERIC CAVENDISH AND MR. BURKE IN PHOENIX PARK
 The outrages which marked the disaffection of the Irish against the government in the early eighties culminated in a dastardly outrage in Phoenix Park, Dublin, on the morning of May 6th, 1882, when Lord Frederic Cavendish, Chief Secretary for Ireland, and Mr. Thomas Burke, permanent Irish Under Secretary, were assassinated by a small band of "Irish Invincibles." Twenty men were brought to trial in connection with the crime, and five of them were hanged.


the credit of British courage was indisputably confirmed by the heroic defence of Rorke's Drift. And the annexation of the Transvaal Republic was immediately afterwards to bear bitter fruit.

The social legislation had done little to satisfy the labour-class electors. The diplomatic triumph of the Berlin Congress was dimmed by the troubles in Afghanistan and South Africa. There was an uneasy sense in the country that Lord Beaconsfield was too fond of surprises and sensations, of keeping the nation in the dark, of playing with fire. The Parliament had run six years of its life when it dissolved in 1880, and the Liberals returned to power. Gladstone had retired from the leadership, but there was now no possible question that Gladstone was the leader whom the electorate demanded, and he entered upon his second administration.

The legislative efforts of the last Liberal Government had been concentrated mainly on the Irish Church Disestablishment and the Irish Land Act. Ireland was again to absorb Gladstone's attention, ultimately to the practical exclusion of other matters; while the conduct of foreign affairs was

still destined to be a source of popular dissatisfaction. During the Conservative term of office the Irish Home-Rulers, though as yet the limitations of the county franchise kept their numbers low, had come to be distinctively known as the Irish members. Under the leadership of Charles Stewart Parnell, they were already consolidating into a compact and disciplined force with a large capacity for the systematic obstruction of public business. Under the new administration they rapidly became one of the most effectively organised forces on record.

The state of affairs in Ireland had not improved; agitation and organised resistance to authority had increased. The first announcement that the Government did not intend to renew the Peace Preservation Act on its lapse was regarded with grave apprehension; while the Irish members complained that there was no promise of immediately proceeding to a new Land Bill. Certain proposals brought forward by one of the Irish members were, however, embodied at an early date in the Bill for Compensation for Disturbance; but the destruction of the Bill by the Lords, coupled with the lapse of the



THE IRISH LAND LEAGUE: RECREATION TIME IN KILMAINHAM PRISON

A new Land Act passed by the Government in face of strenuous opposition did nothing to settle the disturbed condition of the country, and the agitation and outrages continuing, Parnell and other leaders were lodged in Kilmainham Gaol.

Peace Preservation Act, was the signal for the outbreak of a series of agrarian outrages; and the practice of "boycotting"—a name taken from that of one of its victims—was established and carried out on an extensive scale. Rents could not be collected, and there was an immense number of evictions in consequence. The organisation known as the Land League, with which most of the Irish members were associated, was held responsible; and, in spite of some doubt whether anything that could be brought home to them was in actual violation of the law, some of its leaders were arrested. Since there was no sort of chance that an Irish jury would convict them, the effect for the Government was somewhat ignominious.

These troubles decided the Government that coercive measures must precede the remedial. The Irish members demanded precedence for land reform, and gave warning that a measure of coercion would be met by refusal to pay rent. Nevertheless, the Coercion Bills were introduced to the accompaniment of a prolonged debate, an all-night sitting being followed by one of forty-one hours, which the Speaker brought to a close only by a summary use of his powers on his own responsibility.

This was the cause of drastic measures of procedure, intended to prevent the effective tactical use of obstruction; but no method has yet been devised which can prevent a deliberate waste of the time of the House.

The Coercion Bills were passed after most stormy scenes, and then the new Land Act was introduced, of which the essential feature was the establishment of Land Courts to fix fair rents instead of leaving the amount as one of bargaining between landlord and tenant. The Act was passed, in spite of strenuous opposition

The Terrible Tragedy in Phoenix Park

in the House of Lords and the open withdrawal of some supporters of the Government. The Parnellites refused to aid the Government; the agitation and the outrages continued; Parnell himself and other leaders were lodged in Kilmainham; and a manifesto was issued against any payment of rents till they should be set free. This had hardly been done when the tragedy of the Phoenix Park murders occurred, a crime emanating from extremist sources in America, and for the time extremely injurious to the Irish parliamentary party, whom a large section of the public persistently believed to be responsible. By a strange irony it fell to the Gladstone

THE CLOSE OF THE VICTORIAN ERA

Ministry to initiate the British occupation of Egypt. The great financial interests there of British and French had given those two countries a large control. The virtual rebellion of Arabi Pasha, the bombardment of Alexandria by the British fleet, while the French fleet refused co-operation, the overthrow of Arabi by Sir Garnet Wolseley at Tel-el-kebir, and the establishment of a British control intended to be only temporary, have been narrated elsewhere. From these events the Government did not suffer; but the same cannot be said of the later developments. The

Gordon's Death in Khartoum

rise of Mahdism, the mission of General Gordon, the noble but embarrassing course of action which he adopted, and the disastrous delays, owing to which the Government expedition, despatched to his rescue, arrived at Khartoum to find that the place had been captured and the hero slain two days before, January 26th, 1885—these things dealt a disastrous blow which grievously weakened the Government's prestige.

At an earlier stage, too, it had suffered severely by the events connected with the revolt of the Transvaal Boers, the rout of British troops by a handful of farmers at Majuba Hill, and the reinstatement, in 1881, of the Boer Republic as an

act of justice which, by most Boers and probably by a majority of British, was attributed to pusillanimity. That this was a misjudgment of motive, however unwise the experiment in magnanimity may have been, is sufficiently attested by the position of trusted leadership subsequently held in the Unionist party by chiefs, who at this time shared the responsibilities of the Gladstone Cabinet. The details appear in the African Division. The Penjdeh incident on the Afghan frontier, and its close by another reference to arbitration, by no means satisfactory to the British, belongs to the Indian record, but has to be noted here as the last of the series of events abroad which helped to fix on the Government the stigma of a peace-at-any-price Ministry.

Nevertheless, in spite of the dissatisfaction over foreign affairs, the Cabinet retained the support of the country by its domestic policy. Ireland having taken up its share of legislative time, the completion of the democratic reform initiated by the Conservative "leap in the dark" of 1867 was taken in hand, and a Bill was introduced in 1884 for the enfranchisement of the agricultural as well as the urban labouring classes. The Government's majority in the House of Commons was



THE NILE CAMPAIGN IN 1885: LORD CHARLES BERESFORD'S DASH TO KHARTOUM

The above picture illustrates an incident in the Nile campaign of 1885, when General Gordon was shut up in Khartoum, bravely defending it against the savage hordes of the Mahdi. Making a dash for the Nile, Sir Charles Wilson there found steamers and reinforcements from Gordon, but he was too late to save the gallant soldier. Wilson and his men being in grave danger from the enemy, an expedition under the command of Lord Charles Beresford was despatched to their assistance and sailing up the Nile on the steamer *Safia* accomplished its object by rescuing the party.

From the painting by Dickenson



QUEEN VICTORIA'S JUBILEE: THE ROYAL PROCESSION ON JUBILEE DAY PASSING HYDE PARK CORNER


From a photograph by Messrs. Valentine & Sons

THE CLOSE OF THE VICTORIAN ERA

decisive. But franchise extension necessitated also redistribution of constituencies; and the House of Lords demanded that the Government's Redistribution Bill should take precedence of the Bill extending the franchise, the Conservatives claiming that their opposition was not directed against the principle of the Bill before them.

A serious crisis seemed imminent, and there were many angry demands for the abolition of the hereditary Chamber, or, at least, for its reconstruction on lines which would make it

Cabinet so uneasy that the opportunity was taken to resign when they were defeated on a snap vote on the Budget. Lord Salisbury, who had succeeded Lord Beaconsfield in the leadership of the Conservatives, accepted office in June. Before the dissolution of Parliament in August, a measure was passed, known as the Ashbourne Act, under which £5,000,000 were advanced by the State to facilitate the purchase of their holdings by Irish tenants; and various circumstances produced a strong impression



THE JUBILEE SERVICE IN WESTMINSTER ABBEY ON JUNE 21ST, 1887


From the painting by T. S. C. Crowther

no longer a recognised stronghold of one political party. Nevertheless, the leaders on both sides were not anxious to force a great constitutional struggle, and a practical compromise was arrived at. The Franchise Bill was again introduced and passed in the Commons, but before it was dealt with by the Lords the chiefs of the two parties agreed upon the Redistribution Bill. Honour was satisfied on both sides, and both Bills became law.

The death of General Gordon and the Penjdeh affair made the position of the

of some sort of rapprochement between the Conservatives and the Irish leader.

The result of the General Election at the close of the year was embarrassing. The extended franchise had doubled Parnell's following in the House. Added to the Conservative ranks, they exactly cancelled the total Liberal majority. In effect, they could make government by either party impossible. But the effect on the Liberal leader's mind was what caused most surprise; it brought home to him that the great majority of Irishmen supported



MR. GLADSTONE INTRODUCING THE HOME RULE BILL ON FEBRUARY 13TH, 1893
Mr. Gladstone's solution for the ills which afflicted Ireland was a measure of self-government for that country, and in the above picture he is seen introducing his Home Rule Bill to the House of Commons after the constituencies had sent him back to power. The Bill passed the Lower House, after long discussion, but was thrown out by the House of Lords.
From the painting by R. Ponsonby Staples, by permission of Messrs. Henry Graves & Co.

THE CLOSE OF THE VICTORIAN ERA

Parnell's demands—a conclusion which had not followed in the days when less than half the members from Ireland were Home Rulers. The claim of a minority had suddenly assumed the character of a national demand supported by four-fifths of the national representatives. How could England, the champion of oppressed nationalities, refuse a hearing to such a demand? From this time to the end of his life the establishment of Irish Home Rule became Gladstone's absorbing passion.

There were many members of the Liberal party who had already all but yielded to the conviction that the only solution of the Irish problem lay in Home Rule; there were some who had been actively urging at least a large delegation of powers of local self-government. But of these the most energetic had drawn the line short of the concession of a separate Irish legislature, and the Irish representatives would be content with nothing short of that. The Liberal ranks were split into these two main divisions; and those who would concede

a legislature were again divided. Given an Irish Parliament, should Ireland be represented at Westminster too? If so, she would be able still to hold the balance, to control legislation in the sister kingdoms while herself free from their control. If not, she would cease to have a voice in Imperial affairs, and to realise her partnership in Imperial interests. In any case, too, a legislature elected practically by the peasantry could not be trusted to deal fairly with the question of land, any more than would a legislature elected practically by landlords.

A number of "dissentient Liberals" broke wholly with their leader, though before his intentions were realised he had been able to defeat the Salisbury Ministry, and to assume the responsibilities of office. When he introduced two Bills—one of which was to settle the land question by the State buying out the landlords and selling back the land to the peasants; while the other was to establish a Parliament in Dublin, and abolish the representation at Westminster—the combined forces of the Oppo-


sition proved too strong, and the Home Rule Bill was defeated in the House of Commons on the second reading. Parliament was dissolved. The Conservatives did not, under the circumstances, contest seats held by dissentient Liberals, and the elections returned Lord Salisbury to power with a majority virtually dependent on the consistent support of the body now known as Liberal Unionists. That combination did not cease to rule until twenty years had passed; for, although there was an interval from 1892 to 1895, during

which there was again a Liberal Ministry, the Liberals, apart from Irish Home Rule members, were even then in a minority, and the House of Lords held itself warranted in refusing to recognise the composite majority which Ministers could command as representing the national will.

Whatever constitutional objections might be urged to this doctrine—virtually based on the theory that the Irish party did not count—the Lords found their practical justification when a dissolution decisively ejected the Liberals. From




QUEEN VICTORIA IN 1893
a photograph by Messrs. Hughes & Mullins, Ryde



THE CELEBRATION OF QUEEN VICTORIA'S DIAMOND JUBILEE: HER MAJESTY'S ARRIVAL AT ST. PAUL'S CATHEDRAL

From a photograph by Messrs. Eyre & Spottiswoode



THE ROYAL PROCESSION PASSING ALONG PALL MALL



THE COLONIAL PREMIERS AND TROOPS PASSING OVER LONDON BRIDGE

SCENES IN QUEEN VICTORIA'S DIAMOND JUBILEE PROCESSION

Valentine



QUEEN VICTORIA IN THE YEAR OF HER DIAMOND JUBILEE, 1897

Photo: W. & D. Downey



EDWARD VII., KING OF GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND, 1901-1910

Photo: W. S. Stuart



THE FUNERAL OF QUEEN VICTORIA · THE COFFIN BEING CARRIED INTO ST. GEORGE'S CHAPEL, WINDSOR

From the photograph by Messrs. Russell & Sons

THE CLOSE OF THE VICTORIAN ERA

1886 to 1892 the Conservatives held office, supported and very materially influenced by the Liberal Unionists. From 1895 to the end of 1904 Conservatives and Liberal Unionists, combined as the Unionist party, held office.

Lord Salisbury's first administration was marked by three measures in which the influence of his Liberal Unionist supporters was prominent. An Irish Coercion

Act was accompanied by a Land Act authorising a revision of the rents fixed by the land court, and the provision of relief for tenants whose payments were in arrears. In 1888 a great measure was introduced giving extensive powers of local government to locally-elected bodies—county councils, district councils, and borough councils, but this was not extended to Ireland. And in 1891 it was decided that the cost of education, which was made compulsory, ought to be borne by the State. Thenceforth all parents could obtain elementary education for their children without making any direct contribution to the cost.

The period is also noteworthy in other parts of the globe for the delimitation of the spheres of influence of the various European Powers in Africa, and for the final annexation of Burma. At home, the Irish question was placed on an altered footing by the "Parnell Commission," a state inquiry which acquitted the Irish leaders of the complicity in crime with which they had been charged. The dissolution

in 1892 so reduced the Unionist forces that Gladstone, with the support of the Irish, was able to eject them from office.

The new Government introduced a new Home Rule Bill, this time retaining the Irish representatives at Westminster; and on its rejection by the Lords continued to "fill up the cup," but could carry no effective legislation except in the field of finance, where constitutional practice forbade the inter-

vention of the hereditary Chamber. Consequently the one legacy to the nation of this Ministry—led first by Gladstone, and later on, after the aged statesman's retirement, by Lord Rosebery—was the system known as the "Death Duties," which provided a substantial source of revenue from graduated charges on the value of property changing hands owing to the death of the owner. The basic principles of the measure are, that all property acquired without effort on the part of the owner owes something extra to the community, and that great wealth owes not only

more, but a larger percentage than moderate wealth, and moderate wealth than poverty.

The Government majority was small at the best. A chance defeat brought about its resignation; Lord Salisbury took office, and immediately dissolved. The Unionists were returned to power with a majority of 150 over the combined Opposition; and the Liberal wing of the party now definitely amalgamated with




KING EDWARD VII. WHEN PRINCE OF WALES

From the painting by A. Stuart Wortley, by permission of Messrs. Henry Tate & Co.




THE LAST CABINET OF QUEEN VICTORIA AND THE FIRST OF KING EDWARD VII.: AN HISTORIC GROUP OF MINISTERS

In the above picture are seen the members of the Cabinet in office when Queen Victoria died, in 1901. Lord Salisbury the Prime Minister, is seated to the left of the picture with his elbow resting on the table, and his colleagues, reading from left to right, are as follow: Mr. A. J. Balfour, First Lord of the Treasury; Mr. Joseph Chamberlain, Secretary for the Colonies; Sir Michael Hicks-Beach, Chancellor of the Exchequer; Mr. C. T. Ritchie, Secretary for the Home Department; the Earl of Selborne, First Lord of the Admiralty; Lord Balfour of Burleigh, Secretary for Scotland; Lord Ashbourne, Lord Chancellor of Ireland; the Marquess of Londonderry, Postmaster-General; Mr. Walter Long, President of the Local Government Board; Mr. A. Akers-Douglas, First Commissioner of Works; Lord James of Hereford, Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster; Mr. R. W. Hanbury, President of the Board of Agriculture; Mr. G. W. Balfour, President of the Board of Trade; Lord George Hamilton, Secretary for India; Mr. W. St. J. F. Brodrick, Secretary for War; the Marquess of Lansdowne, Secretary for Foreign Affairs; Earl Cadogan, Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland; the Earl of Halsbury, Lord Chancellor; and the Duke of Devonshire, Lord President of the Council.



Lord Salisbury



William Ewart Gladstone




Arthur James Balfour



Lord Rosebery



Joseph Chamberlain



Sir Henry Campbell-Bannerman



Herbert Henry Asquith

EMINENT BRITISH STATESMEN OF RECENT AND PRESENT TIMES

Photos by London Stereoscopic Co., Valentine, Jerrard, Halfones, Mills and Haines



EDWARD VII. OPENING HIS FIRST PARLIAMENT: THE KING AND QUEEN IN THE HOUSE OF LORDS ON FEBRUARY 14TH, 1901
From the painting by a Hogge



THE CORONATION OF KING EDWARD VII. AT WESTMINSTER ABBEY ON AUGUST 9TH, 1902

The coronation of Edward VII., who succeeded to the throne of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland at the death of Queen Victoria, on January 22nd, 1901, was arranged for June 26th, 1902, but two days before that date the startling announcement was made that the King was seriously ill, and that the ceremony must be postponed. His Majesty's condition was extremely critical, but after undergoing an operation, which, happily, proved successful, he speedily recovered, and was crowned in Westminster Abbey on August 9th.



KING EDWARD VII. AND QUEEN ALEXANDRA
Photo by W. S. Stuart

THE CLOSE OF THE VICTORIAN ERA

the Conservatives. The latter title almost disappeared from popular parlance, in which the official name of Unionist was gradually displaced for the old name of Tory, while the official name of Liberal yielded to that of Radical.

From the popular point of view, the succession of Irish Land Acts, whether just or unjust to the landlords, had considerably mitigated the agrarian grievances, and the consciousness that there was at any rate a large body of English and Scottish opinion favourable to

Home Rule tended to discourage such violence as would be likely to alienate such sympathy. Unionist governments, however, have continued in the direction of concession to the tenant class; and an experiment was made in the Irish Local Government Act of 1898, in the hope that the delegation of large powers of local government to locally elected bodies would weaken the demand for a separate legislature. The effects of the Free Education Act were felt in the great difficulties now encountered by the voluntary

schools in maintaining efficiency. Subscriptions dwindled; when the subscribers found themselves in any case required to provide money for the education of other people's children, they were not disposed to keep up their voluntary contributions as well; and the process was commenced, which has already been adverted to, of applying public funds for the relief of denominational schools.

Lord Salisbury's energies, however, were attracted to foreign affairs rather than to domestic legislation. His position and

reputation enabled him to adopt a more conciliatory and less aggressive attitude than would have been easy for a party which did not represent the Beaconsfield tradition; and, on the other hand, he had the strong support of that section of Liberals who looked on Lord Rosebery as their chief when he refused to intervene forcibly—as many of the Opposition desired—in the Armenian troubles of Turkey.

The principle that the independent action of separate Powers should be

checked and replaced by the concerted pressure of Europe became the guiding rule; while it suffered from the undoubted drawback that the concerted action of Europe is exceedingly difficult to set in motion. The possibilities of such a concert cannot be ignored, and serve as a check on individualist aggressiveness. These principles found expression also in connection with the Turco-Greek War, and at a later stage, when the Boxer insurrection brought about concerted European intervention in China, and considerable



HIS MAJESTY KING EDWARD VII.

From a photograph by W. S. Stuart

diplomatic skill was required to limit the general scramble for Chinese territory. Lovers of the principle of arbitration found considerable satisfaction in the adoption of that method for settling a boundary dispute with Venezuela in 1896, since the result demonstrated that anti-British decisions in such courts need no longer be regarded as a foregone conclusion.

British relations with European Powers were seriously endangered for a moment when, on the conclusion of the reconquest of the Egyptian Sudan by Lord Kitchener,

a company of Frenchmen was found to have made its way to Fashoda. It was not without difficulty that the French were persuaded to recognise the decisive character of British claims in that region. In colonial affairs, the Salisbury regime was signalised by the movement towards

The Death of Queen Victoria Federation, which took shape in the establishment of the Commonwealth of Australia; and still more memorably by the war with the Boer Republics in South Africa, which, beginning in 1899, was only terminated in 1902 with their definite incorporation in the British Empire.

Before that time, at the beginning of 1901, the great queen, whose reign was the longest in our annals—it had extended almost to sixty-four years—had passed away, and Edward VII. ascended the throne. She had become by degrees the ideal type of the constitutional monarch, save for a somewhat excessive withdrawal, not from political activity, but from publicity since the death of the Prince Consort. Her successor displayed a singularly acute perception of the very important part such a ruler may play internationally: at least, whilst the politics of European states are largely controlled by crowned heads. The title which has been applied to him of Edward the Peacemaker is perhaps the proudest that any monarch could earn. The dissolution of Parliament had brought only a formal break in the Salisbury administration, the Ministerial majority being unimpaired.

Chamberlain's Tariff Reform Proposals It was not very long, however, before its chief retired, his place being taken by Arthur Balfour. His primacy in the party was shared by Joseph Chamberlain, who very shortly startled England by declaring in favour of Tariff Reform—a theory of preferential or protective tariffs which was popularly supposed to be dead and buried, but now

became the object of the enthusiastic advocacy of a large number of persons who had hitherto not shown any signs of questioning the economic creed of Cobden.

While the Liberals were unanimous in upholding the doctrines of Free Trade, the Unionists were divided almost as markedly as the Liberals had been over Home Rule. Mr. Balfour achieved the feat of persuading each section of the party that his views coincided precisely with theirs. It became obvious, however, that the majority of the party were becoming converted definitely to the most extreme view that Mr. Chamberlain had advocated; and the General Election in 1905 gave an overwhelming Free Trade majority. Led by Sir Henry Campbell-Bannerman till his death, and afterwards by Mr. Asquith, the Liberal Government endeavoured to deal with a series of exceedingly thorny questions. Mr. Asquith appealed to the country in

The Parliament Act January, 1910, and was returned to power with a composite majority of 100—Liberals, Nationalists, and Labour—over the Unionists. The rejection of Liberal measures by the House of Lords brought about another General Election in December, 1910, when Mr. Asquith again returned to power with an undiminished majority. In May of that year King Edward VII. died, and his son ascended the throne as George V. In 1911 the Parliament Act was passed, providing that any Bill twice passed by the Commons, though rejected in two consecutive Sessions by the House of Lords, becomes law automatically in the third year. Mr. Lloyd George's National Insurance Act of 1911 provoked heated discussion before and after its passage into law. On the retirement of Mr. Balfour from the leadership of the Unionist party, in November, 1911, Mr. Bonar Law was chosen by the party as his successor.

ARTHUR D. INNES





REACTION TRIUMPHANT IN RUSSIA AND CHANGES IN TURKEY

THE expansion of Russia in Asia has already been dealt with, and before entering—as we shall do in the following pages—on the account of the Eastern Question, which is the chief concern of Russia in Europe, we must give a brief sketch of her recent domestic history.

The Tsar Alexander II., who succeeded Nicholas while the Crimean War was still in progress, was a man with liberal inclinations, but he was to a great extent the victim of a system from which a very much stronger man with the same desires would have found it next to impossible to free himself. In spite of the great measure of emancipation for the serfs, Russia remained under the iron heel of an oligarchy in spite of the theoretical semi-divine authority of the Tsar himself. The merciless repression of all freedom begot the deadliest of all foes of order—Nihilism; and Nihilism, and the terror thereof, intensified the repression of every movement, however orderly, towards liberty. In spite of the fact that

Alexander II. a Victim of Nihilism

Alexander was contemplating something at least in the direction of summoning a popular Assembly, he fell a victim to Nihilist plots in 1881.

The murdered Tsar was the first ruler of Russia since 1598 who had been able to mount the throne of his fathers in peace. His father, who had felt in his own case the want of a good education, procured the best teachers for his son, and it was fortunate for Russia that the celebrated poet Shukovsky directed the training of Alexander. Alexander saw clearly the defects of his predecessor, but also understood that a thorough reform was only possible after the abolition of serfdom, and he therefore resolutely set himself to carry this out. He was spurred on by the example of the neighbouring empire of Austria, where the emancipation of the serfs had been carried out in 1781; the better class of Russians had long felt it

to be a disgrace to their country that slavery still flourished there. It was necessary to go cautiously to work, and above all to win the nobility for the cause. The Tsar therefore acted in a wise and noble manner when he expressed the wish that the nobles should take the work of emancipating the serfs into their own hands.

The Tsar's Great Work for the Serfs

There were, however, only a few who pledged themselves to the Tsar's idea. Among them were the conscientious Rostovzof Levschin, who prepared an historical account of serfdom in Russia, and the indefatigable Sergej St. Lanskoj and Tshevskin. The Grand Duke Constantine entered on the plan with great enthusiasm: the Grand Duchess Helene Pavlovna emancipated in 1859 the serfs of the estates comprised in her appanage.

All were unanimous on the question of emancipation, only there was a division of opinion, as previously under Catherine II., on the point whether the land should be given to the peasants as freehold. A secret committee was appointed by the emperor. Since this did not make any progress with its labours, a higher board, known as the Chief Commission, met, composed of more trustworthy members.

But even yet the opposition was too strong. Its leader, Prince Alexej Orlov, asserted that he would rather cut off his hand than sign the charter of emancipation. Finally, a Supreme Commission was appointed; this, being vigorously supported

Millions of Serfs Emancipated

by the whole Press, finally completed the work. The imperial rescript of March 3rd, 1861, proclaimed the emancipation of the serfs on private estates and of the domestic slaves. By this edict more than twenty-three millions received their liberty. The peasants were required merely to pay a reasonable sum for their holdings, which now became their property. The rejoicings of the people were boundless. Wherever the Tsar appeared, he was greeted and

cheered as the liberator. In the year 1864 he emancipated also the peasants in Poland and Transcaucasia, and in 1866 the peasants on the imperial demesnes, and restricted the infliction of corporal punishment.


Now for the first time further reforms could be carried out. The judicial system was separated from the executive and reorganised; trial by jury was introduced, and the taxation regulated. The economic condition and the productive power of the empire increased rapidly. The Tsar, as has recently been discovered, even thought seriously of granting a constitutional government: his untimely assassination prevented him from carrying out his scheme. He gave the governments a sort of autonomy, and established in every district an independently elected district

iet, and a provincial diet - Zemstvo—above that in every government. Universal conscription was now introduced. It was now possible to take serious steps towards spreading culture among the people. It is true that out of a Budget of £47,139,954 in 1867, only £770,879 had been applied to educational purposes. But the figures gradually rose, and thousands of schools were founded. On the whole, even in the department of public education, a more liberal spirit prevailed. In the year 1863, a liberal statute was passed for the universities. Russia had seldom had a more philanthropic monarch. And yet the life of this Tsar, whose motto was "Justice, light, and freedom," was frequently attempted. Just as the rustic population of the



ALEXANDER II., TSAR OF RUSSIA

A man of liberal inclinations, he resolutely set himself to carry out reforms, but the Nihilists were determined upon his destruction, and he was assassinated on March 13th, 1881.



NIHILIST CONSPIRACIES IN RUSSIA: CONDEMNED MEN AND WOMEN ON THE SCAFFOLD

REACTION IN RUSSIA AND CHANGE IN TURKEY

Russian provinces furnishes the best imaginable material for new religious sects, so the half-educated world of Russia is a fertile soil for every sort of "great ideas." The students especially, who were scrupulously prevented from receiving a sound, intellectual discipline, were often led astray by senseless oppression and still more senseless reforms. The Tsar, while in the imperial summer garden, was shot at by a student, Demetrius, on April 16th, 1866. Alexander did not allow this to divert him



POLICE SURPRISING A MEETING OF RUSSIAN NIHILISTS

from the path of reform. On June 6th, 1867, a Pole, Anton Beresovsky, aimed at him, although he had bestowed benefits on the Poles. The folly of such inexperienced youths was outdone by the brutality of the police, which provoked the greatest indignation. Nihilist societies with widespread branches were founded at home and abroad. Secret newspapers were published, terrorism was preached, new assassinations were attempted, until finally the Tsar

was blown to pieces by a bomb thrown under his carriage on March 13th, 1881. The murder was a great blow for the free-thinking party, for the supporters of despotism and brute force were right when they asserted that the people did not yet know the proper use of liberty. The representatives of this reactionary movement, Ivan Aksakov the Slavophil and Michail Katkof, acquired more influence, especially since they had been able to impress on the educated sections of the people the idea that absolutism, orthodoxy, and many barbarous customs of the people, which it was proposed to eradicate, belonged to the essence of Russian, and, in fact, of Slavonic, life. When, therefore, Alexander's son, Alexander III., had mounted the throne, they became all-powerful, more especially their associate Constantine Pobiedonostev, who was made Procurator-General of the Holy Synod in 1880. The ship of state was once more steered into the vortex of reaction.

Alexander III. was known, like his father, to have had a leaning towards Liberal ideas; but the manner of his father's death destroyed all prospect of his acting upon them, and severity towards everything which was suspected of association with a revolutionary propaganda was increased instead of being relaxed. The maintenance of order by an extraordinarily elaborate system of espionage and by police methods, which have had no parallel in Western Europe except during periods of religious persecution, inevitably has exceedingly ugly concomitants, and among these was cruel popular persecution of the Jews, which was encouraged instead of being checked by the Government.

Alexander III. died in 1894, and was succeeded by the present Tsar, Nicholas II. His reign has been marked by the terrible disasters of the Japanese war, which went

fat towards destroying the bogey of an immense and irresistible Russian power from which Western imaginations had long been suffering. On the other hand, there has been a moment when the friends of freedom were beginning to believe that by at last summoning the Duma the Tsar was intending to open the gates for a serious reform of the government. The next steps, however, pointed to a triumph of reaction; nevertheless, a hope may be admitted that in spite of the clang of bolts and bars the opening of

Syria, from the Persian frontier, from Servia, and from Bulgaria; it was obliged in consequence to agree with the other Powers to Russia's demands on March 13th, 1871, and also to lay down certain points for the regulation of the Danube traffic.

In 1873 the Russian War Minister, Miljutin, reorganised the army on the model of the German military system, introducing general conscription and considerably increasing both the number of regiments and of soldiers available in time of war. Thereupon the Eastern



THE ASSASSINATION OF ALEXANDER II., TSAR OF RUSSIA, IN 1881

In consequence of the Russian Government's severe repression of the revolutionary movements, the Nihilists determined to have revenge upon the Tsar and his officers, and on March 13th, 1881, a bomb was thrown at the emperor's carriage near his palace in St. Petersburg, Alexander II. being so severely injured that he died a few hours afterwards.

the gates is appreciably nearer at hand. Reference has already been made to the conference in London which, taking place during the Franco-Prussian War, reopened the Black Sea question, and thereby led up to a revival of the Eastern Question in general. At that conference Russia secured the abolition of the clauses of the Peace of Paris of 1856 prohibiting her from keeping warships in the Black Sea. The Porte had been forced to send a considerable body of troops to Yemen in Arabia, and was in receipt of disturbing news from

Question was again brought upon the stage by the Pan-Slavonic party. Thanks to their agitation, a revolt broke out in Herzegovina in 1875, which the Porte did not immediately suppress. When a consular commission of the Powers and Austrian intervention led to no result, the Porte took decided action, and would have restored order in Montenegro, in Herzegovina, and in Servia by superior force, had not Ignatieff opposed the use of menaces. Unfortunately for the Porte, the French and German consuls were



ALEXANDER III., TSAR OF RUSSIA



THE TSARINA OF RUSSIA



THE TSAR IN OLD RUSSIAN COSTUME



THE TSARINA IN OLD NATIVE DRESS

ALEXANDER III., TSAR OF ALL THE RUSSIAS, AND HIS CONSORT

murdered on May 6th, 1876, in the course of a riot at Salonika, and the incident cost Turkey a heavy price. Hardly had a memorandum of Gortchakoff secured a two months' armistice among the revolted parties, when the Bulgarians revolted in Drenova, Panagjurishte, Koprivshitz, Gabrovo, and Srednagora, and were crushed by the fanatical population with dreadful cruelty—the "Bulgarian atrocities" execrated by Gladstone and the English Press.

On May 10th, 1876, the Softas, the theological students, took up arms in the capital and haughtily requested the Sultan, who was regarded as blindly devoted to Russia, to dismiss the Grand Vizir Mahmud Nedim Pasha, to send away Ignatieff, and to begin war against Montenegro. In vain did Abd ul-Aziz attempt to calm the storm by summoning Mehemet Rüşdi; the measure of his wrongdoing was full. On May 29th the new Grand Vizir and the Minister of War, Hussein Avni and Midhat Pasha, declared the Sultan deposed, and placed Murad V., the eldest son of Abd ul-Mejid, on the throne. Abd ul-Aziz was conveyed to his palace at Chiragan and there murdered, as transpired from an inquiry held in 1882; a few days after Hussein Pasha with other Ministers were assassinated in the house of Midhat. Even before the tour of the Sultan Abd ul-Aziz to Europe in the spring of 1867, a conspiracy had been discovered, directed principally against the then Grand Vizir, Ali Pasha.

The chiefs of the movement called themselves Young Turks, in an opposite sense to that which is conveyed by the terms "Young Germany," or "la Giovine Italia." The objects of this conspiracy were the restoration of the old Turkish regime and of the Turkish Empire, with the complete suppression of all non-Mohammedans; the surest means to this end was proclaimed to be the arming of the Mohammedan people and the murder of the liberal-

minded Ali, while the final object was war against Western Europe. After the demonstration of the Softas in 1876, the fall of Mahmud Nedim Pasha, the deposition of the Sultan, and the miserable failure of the diplomacy of the Great Powers, Chauvinism again raised its head. As early as October, 1875, the Turkish imperial newspaper, "Bassiret," had issued an inspiring and revolutionary appeal for a crusade of the Mohammedans against the infidels. Special mention was made of Algiers, East India, Java, Sumatra, and the Caucasus. In 1876 the "Sabah"—morning—threatened a

eral levy of 6,000,000 Mohammedans, who were to occupy England and Russia, France and Austria, and to devastate these countries, while Germany was to be spared so long as she remained neutral.

The chief persons who shared in the deposition of the Sultan Abd ul-Aziz and the enthronement of the Sultan Murad V. were Midhat, Hussein Avni Muterjim, Mehemet Rüşdi, and Zia Bey; of these the first and the last were Young Turks, while the other two were Old Turks, assuming this distinction to be possible of maintenance. Apart from these, the members of the Young Turkish party set their hopes particularly on Prince Murad, as they expected him to issue some form of constitution. As a matter of fact, when Murad had

become sultan, he proclaimed his intention of granting a constitution on July 15th, 1876; but even then his mind was beginning to be overclouded, and fate willed otherwise. Midhat Pasha was the life and soul of the constitutional movement. In the winter of 1876 he drew up a memorial which he submitted to the Powers. He explained that the main cause of the decline of the Turkish Empire was to be found not in religious or racial disputes, but in a despotic government and the extravagant whims of the Sultan Abd ul-Aziz. Midhat Pasha availed himself by preference of the services of two famous



NICHOLAS II., TSAR OF RUSSIA

Born on May 18th, 1868, he succeeded his father, Alexander III., in 1894, and has since that time witnessed the overthrow of his military forces by Japan and the constitutional revolutionary movement within his own land.



THE MARRIAGE OF THE TSAR OF RUSSIA IN THE CHAPEL OF THE WINTER PALACE, ST. PETERSBURG, ON NOVEMBER 26TH, 1894. On November 26th, 1894, Nicholas II., Tsar of Russia, was married to Princess Victoria Alice of Hesse, which event is illustrated above by an artist present on the occasion. The ceremony was performed under the direction of the Metropolitan Archbishop, with his assistant priests. Two jewelled golden crowns, adorned with medallion figures of Christ and the Virgin Mary, were held over the heads of the bride and bridegroom by several of the Russian Grand Dukes, successively relieving each other, while standing before the emperor and his bride the high-priest joined their hands beneath his stole. That part of the ceremony over, the crowns were lowered, and the holy portraits thereon kissed by the married couple.



THE TSAR NICHOLAS II. OF RUSSIA AND THE TSARINA ALEXANDRA FEODOROVNA
ell & Sons

authors, Kemal and Zia Bey. These men were also leaders of the "Young Turkish party." Their aims, however, were not only political, but primarily literary. It is in this department that their most distinguished services were performed. They abandoned the conventionality of classical poetry and the courtly style of prose writing, and found their model either in the inexhaustible treasures of the Ottoman ballad poetry and popular language, or, as regards the "moderns," in French literature. The wealth of poetry and of moral force, and especially of the pure undefiled Ottoman language existing in the stories, satires, humorous tales, narratives, chap-books, chivalrous and political romances, ballads, puppet plays, riddles, and proverbs of the Turkish nation was only waiting the discoverer. In this respect the efforts of the Young Turks exercised a healthy influence upon Ottoman civilisation, even though their first efforts for reformation or revolution far exceeded the limits of what was permissible or possible.

Ali Suavi Effendi was a compound of Peter of Amiens and Mazzini; but he was entirely faithful to the Koran. Zia Bey had, in the year 1859, under the title of

Andalus Tarikhi, published a history of the Arab dominion in the Iberian peninsula, which was based on the somewhat superficial work of Louis Viardot, and amounted to a glorification of Moslem civilisation, characterised by a hostile attitude to Europe and Christianity. Kemal Bey, a faithful scholar of his great master and model, Shinassi Effendi, the creator of modern Ottoman literature and language, was the most important of all the Turkish poets of the modern period. He published a newspaper under the title of "Ibret"—pattern—in which he actually defended the Commune of Paris. His most important dramatic work was "Silistria" or "Vatan," the Fatherland. Though the details of the heroic defence of the Danube

**Banishment
of the Scholarly
Kemal Bey**

forts in 1854 may not be historically true, yet he secured a striking success through the exalted tone of his love for the "fatherland," a conception formerly unknown to Mohammedanism, and by the popular style of the work. Its success led to the author's banishment, after the production of this piece in Constantinople in 1873. In conjunction with Mehemet Bey, the nephew of the Grand Vizir, Mahmud Nedim Pasha, he founded the

REACTION IN RUSSIA AND CHANGE IN TURKEY

Turkish newspaper, "Mukhbir," that is, the "Reporter." The paper was suppressed when the persecution against the Young Turks was begun; the conspirators made their escape safely to Paris. There they came in contact with Fazil Mustafa, the brother of the Khedive Ismail, who had been banished on account of his claims

to the Egyptian succession. **Persecution of the Young Turks** The "Mukhbir" continued to appear in Paris and London, and thousands of copies were smuggled into Turkey; some numbers also appeared in French. To the European public at large, however, this party assumed a mask of toleration, and concealed their fanatical zeal for Mohammedanism under an appearance of free thought. Under Mahmud Pasha they were amnestied and recalled. Zia and Riza Bey, who had formerly been ambassadors in Teheran and St. Petersburg, were then the foremost in enlightening the Grand Vizir upon the complicated Bulgarian question and the problem of the Catholic Armenians. At this period there was also a Turkish

theatre at Stamboul, with a repertoire of forty to fifty pieces, partly original and partly translations of Molière by Ahmed Vesik, or of Schiller by Ahmed Midhat Effendi, the editor of the official Turkish newspaper; Vesik also published some maps in Turkish for the use of schools, and took part in the composition of a great dictionary. Münif Effendi translated part of Voltaire's "Entretiens et Dialogues Philosophiques," and followed the example of Fuad in proposing the extension and regulation of the narrow, crooked streets of Stamboul. Public libraries were founded; Abd ul-Aziz began a zoological garden, and in the medical school of the Seraglio of Galata a museum of natural objects was opened to the public.

The foundation of the "University" of Constantinople can only be described as a failure. Strangely enough, some decades later, in the movement for the emancipation of women, which found expression in 1895 in the newspaper of Tahir Effendi, "Khanimlara Makhsus Gazeta," female collaborators like Fatima Alija, Nigiar Chamin,



EXPELLING THE JEWS FROM RUSSIA: A SCENE AT THE BALTIC RAILWAY STATION
Wanderers on the face of the earth, the Jews have found their way into all parts of the world, but in few lands has their presence been welcomed, while in many countries they have been the victims of cruel treatment. Russia has been particularly unkind to the ancient people, as indicated in the above picture, persecuting them with much harshness.



THE LAST VISIT OF THE SULTAN ABD UL-AZIZ TO THE MOSQUE AT BAGDSCHA

Turkey's summary methods of high politics are well illustrated in the case of Abd ul-Aziz, who, after being deposed, was taken to his palace at Chiragan and there put to death by the new Grand Vizir and the Minister of War.

Hamijeti Zehra, Fahr-en-Nisa, Makhbula Lemian, Emine Wahide, and Renesie, notwithstanding their thorough knowledge of Oriental and European languages and morals, spoke out strongly on the side of the Young Turks on behalf of the strengthening and retention of Mohammedan customs and of the avoidance of European civilisation in methods of education. At the same time Vambéry forecasts from this woman's movement an approximation to Western manners and the beginning of a beneficial reform of the state and of society.

Upon the whole, it is by no means easy to gain a clear idea of the theories and ideals of the modern Young Turkish party. Their first official leader was the Cherkess general, Hussein Pasha. He was joined by numerous

adherents, who called themselves Fedayiji, conspirators or martyrs. Even at that time, 1860, this free federation of Ottomans was aiming at the following points: a reform of Turkey by the Turks without distinction of faith and not by Europe, the abolition of despotic government, a responsible Ministry composed of honourable statesmen, and a Chamber composed of members of all the races and religions within the Ottoman Empire. Khair ed-din Pasha and Khalil Sherif Pasha pursued the same objects under Abd ul-Aziz, and were supported by Zia Bey and Kemal Bey in writing and speech, and by Ali and Fuad in the



MURAD V., SULTAN OF TURKEY

When on May 29th, 1876, the Sultan Abd ul-


Abd ul-Mejid, was placed on the throne. His reign, however, was brief, as he was deposed, owing to insanity, in August of the same year.

Photo: W. and D. Downey

government. They developed great plans, and actually succeeded in obtaining approval for some of them from the tyrannical



Safvet Pasha



General Ignatieff




Kerim Pasha

NOTABLE LEADERS IN THE TURKISH AND RUSSIAN MOVEMENTS

When the Grand Vizir, Mehemet Rüşdi Pasha, was deposed in 1878, the office was given to Safvet Pasha; General Ignatieff was prominent in the Russo-Turkish war of 1878, and was principally responsible for the treaty of peace between Russia and Turkey signed at San Stefano; while Abd ul-Kerim Pasha was an able Turkish general.

Sultan, who went so far as to summon an Armenian Christian, Agathon Effendi, to the Ministry. The programme of Midhat in 1876 was, generally speaking, based upon principles borrowed from the West; the supremacy of law, universal equality, the strengthening of the Divan against the Seraglio, freedom of the Press, independence of the judicature, reorganisation of the administrative power with respect for the Mohammedan legal code, but also in accord with Western experience, order in the palace, a change in the Eastern principle of succession, European education for the princes, marriage of the princes with European princesses, and the consequent abolition of slavery, of polygamy, of concubines, and eunuch government.

In conjunction with Fazil and Server Pasha, Midhat defended his creations, the Constitution, the Parliament, and the Senate, in his "Itihad." He demanded a complete severance of the caliphate from the sultanate, and an abolition of theocratic government. This proposal deeply offended the strong ecclesiastical party of the Ulemas. Under the following sultan, Midhat was overthrown; and the inheritors of his ideas, the Reform Turks, or Liberals, as they preferred to be called, continued until recently the struggle to secure the liberation of the Sultan Abd ul-Hamid II. and his people from the hands of the Court Camarilla. It may be noted that in May, 1904, public attention was occupied with the rumour of the imprisonment of certain



THE FIRST STATE PASSAGE OF THE SULTAN MURAD V. TO DOLMA-BAKCHEH

Young Turks of high position. This party included Ahmed Riza, the editor of the "Meschweret," Murad Bey, a kind of political chameleon, editor of the "Misan," Theodor Kassope, the brilliant journalist of the "Haial," Ismail Kemal Bey, Vasilaki Bey, Mehemet Ubeidullah, Said Bey, Zia Bey, and Ferdi Bey, and even the Sultan's brother-in-law, Mahmud Damad, who died on January 18th, 1903, at Brussels. In sad tones does the Turkish ballad recount the deposition of the "beloved ruler Abd ul-Aziz." A gloomy fate, however, still bore heavily upon the Ottoman throne; on August 31st, 1876, Murad V., the hope of the Young Turkish party, was deposed owing to insanity, and placed in confinement until his death, on August 29th, 1904.

He was succeeded by his brother, Abd ul-Hamid II., born September 21st, 1842, the thirty-fourth sovereign of the Ottoman House and the twenty-eighth since the conquest of Constantinople. A reform of education and of the constitution, the improvement of trade and economic life by a vast extension of the railway system, were the objects which this highly gifted monarch set before himself of his own free and vigorous will, for the purpose of raising "this nation of gentlemen," as Bismarck called the Ottomans, to the height of civilisation. In vain did the Sirdar Abd ul-Kerim drive back the Serbs at Alexinatz on September 1st, 1876, into the valley of the Morava. On November 1st the Bashibazouks had made their way beyond Junis

and Stolatz as far as the neighbourhood of Belgrade; the telegram of the Tsar Alexander II., despatched from Livadia on October 31st, commanded a cessation of hostilities. In vain did the diplomatic and peaceful Sultan resolve upon the extremity of compliance in the peace concluded on February 28th, 1877.

When the Powers demanded an independent administration for Bulgaria, Midhat Pasha, who had been Grand Vizir since December 22nd, 1876, answered this

move by producing a constitution which the Sultan imposed upon his empire on December 23rd. This Representative Assembly of 200 Moslems and 60 Christians declined the proposals of the conference of the Powers. Ignatieff then went round the courts of Europe and secured their agreement to the "London Protocol," which recommended the Sublime Porte to recognise the autonomy of the two provinces of Bulgaria and Eastern Roumelia under Christian governors. However, Midhat was overthrown on February 5th, 1877, by a palace



Midhat Pasha



Hussein Avni Pasha



Halil Sherif Pasha



Mehemet Rushdi Pasha

MINISTERS OF THE SULTAN OF TURKEY

While holding a Cabinet Council with their colleagues at Constantinople in 1876, the four pashas whose portraits are given above were attacked by Hassan Bey, a military man who had been imprisoned for his laxity in obeying orders, and two of them, Hussein Avni Pasha and Mehemet Rushdi, died from the wounds inflicted.

revolution, and Edhem Pasha, his successor, induced the Sultan curtly to decline the Russian proposals on April 9th.

On April 23rd the Tsar Alexander II. informed his troops at Kishineff that war had been declared. On the night of the 24th the Cossacks crossed the Pruth, and the whole army advanced into Roumania, not, as before, to secure the "liberation of the Christians," but that of their "Slavonic brothers." On April 16th Roumania had concluded with Russia a

REACTION IN RUSSIA AND CHANGE IN TURKEY

convention admitting the passage of troops, which was regarded by the Porte as a *casus belli* in the case of that state also. Thereupon the Chamber at Bucharest proclaimed their independence. The Turks were in position with 180,000 men along the Danube, while 80,000 troops were ready in Asia. Russia was certain of the benevolent neutrality of Germany, and in January, 1877, she had concluded the agreement of Reichstadt with Austria, which secured Bosnia and Herzegovina to Austro-Hungary in the event of her non-interference. On May 3rd the Turks declared the shores of the Black Sea to be in a state of blockade. On May 6th the Sultan assumed the title "Defender of the Faith," and proclaimed the Holy War.

At the outset the Turkish warship *Seifi* was attacked by Russian torpedo boats below Matchin, on the Danube, and sunk; on May 11th a Russian battery at Braila shelled the Turkish monitor *Lutfi Jalil*, and blew up the ship with its crew. On May 17th the Russo-Caucasian army stormed Ardakhan and invested Kars. However, the victory of Mukhtar Pasha over Loris Melikoff forced the Russians to retire to their own country in the middle of July. A Turkish fleet, supported by the revolt of the Cherkesses in the Caucasus, bombarded the Russian forts on the Abkhasian coast and captured Sukhum Kaleh; but this position was unavoidably evacuated in August, for the Russians had then recaptured Kars and made a victorious advance to Erzeroum.

Mukhtar Pasha undertook the defence of Constantinople. The Russians, indeed, had not been able to cross the Danube at Sistova and Zimnitza until June 29th, owing to the floods; but on July 7th they reached Tirnovo, and General Gurko crossed the Balkans on July 13th at the Shipka Pass.

General Schilder-Schuldner was beaten back at Plevna by Osman Nuri Pasha, and the Russian line of retreat was threatened. Had the Turkish commanders

been united and able to make a decisive attack upon the Russians, the latter would scarcely have reached the left bank of the Danube. Meanwhile the Russians brought up their reinforcements and the Roumanian army, in order to capture the "Lion of Plevna," who is still celebrated in the Turkish ballad; he died April 5th, 1900. On September 11th, the birthday of the Russian Tsar, after vast preparations the great attack was begun upon the defences of Osman Pasha, and the Russians suffered their greatest defeat during the whole

campaign; 16,000 dead and wounded Russians covered the battlefield, the sole result being the capture of the redoubt of Grivitza. Finally, on December 10th, the wounded Osman, whose supply of ammunition had failed, was obliged to surrender to a force three times as large as his own, with 40,000 men, 2,000 officers, and 77 guns.

The fall of Plevna encouraged the Serbs at Nisch on January 11th, 1878, and the Montenegrins made conquests on the coast



Kemal Bey



Ibrahim Effendi



Abd ul-Zia Bey



Prince Mustafa Pasha

LEADERS OF THE "YOUNG TURKISH PARTY"

The Young Turkish Party of 1867 had little in common with the movement of recent years. Aiming at restoring the ancient regime, it originated in literary idealism rather than political aspirations.

of the Adriatic on January 19th, 1878; the Greeks crossed the frontier of Thessaly on February 2nd. In Bulgaria, after endless marching, Gurko had subdued the Etropol district at the end of December, 1877, and had effected a junction with the army of Lom in Philippopolis. On January 29th, 1878, the Russians reached the Sea of Marmora at Rodosto, after the capture of the Shipka army, the destruction of the division of Suleiman, and the occupation of Adrianople. On January 31st an armistice was concluded, and then the British fleet entered the Sea of Marmora. The Russians now advanced to the neighbourhood of Constantinople, and on March 3rd dictated the Peace of San Stefano, in which they demanded complete independence for Roumania, Serbia, Montenegro, and Bulgaria, the cession of Armenia to Russia and of the Dobrudsha to Roumania, and would also have cut European Turkey in half by the establishment of the states of Roumelia and Macedonia. Thereupon Disraeli threatened war, concentrated Indian troops at Malta, and joined Austria in a demand for a congress. Abd ul-Hamid had dissolved the Chambers on February 14th, and had never recalled them; on May 20th he had suppressed with bloodshed the conspiracy begun by Ali Suavi in favour of Murad, and on May 25th had appointed Mehemet Rüşdi Pasha as Grand Vizir. He concluded a secret treaty with Britain on June 4th, the British undertaking the protection of

Turkey in Asia, and occupying Cyprus by way of return. The Grand Vizir, however, was replaced by Safvet Pasha on June 4th. The demands proposed in the Peace of San Stefano were considerably reduced in the Berlin Congress, June 13th to July 13th, 1878; in particular, Eastern Roumelia was left under Turkish supremacy. Austria, however, was entrusted with the occupation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, and was given the right to maintain a body of supervisory troops in the Sanjak of Novitazar, under the supremacy of the Sultan. Roumania's only reward for the valuable service which she had rendered to Russia was the acquisition of the barren Dobrudsha in return for Bessarabia, which was ceded to Russia. Greece secured the right to a better delimitation



THE SULTAN ABDUL HAMID II.
Brother of Murad V., he succeeded to the throne of Turkey in 1876, and in the following year gave the country a Parliament, which was soon after withdrawn, to be restored in 1901.

of her northern frontier, but it was not until 1880 that she secured possession of Thessaly and of the district of Arta in Epirus. The war indemnity paid by the Porte to

Russia amounted to £16,080,000. In 1882, Bosnia, which had first to be conquered step by step by the Austrian troops, received a measure of civil government, under which the prosperity of this fertile district considerably increased. The Berlin Treaty was signed by representatives of all the Powers, though all were fully aware that



Gurko




Skobelev

TWO DISTINGUISHED RUSSIAN GENERALS

Count Gurko, a Russian general, distinguished himself in the Russo-Turkish war of 1877, capturing the fortresses of Sophia, Philippopolis and Adrianople, when the armistice of 1878 followed; while Michael Dmitrievitch Skobelev was a leader in the expeditions to Khiva and Khokand and also in the Russo-Turkish war of 1877-78.

it contained merely the germs of fresh entanglements. Prince Bismarck stigmatised the treaty as a "dishonourable fiction," while the Pan-Slavonic Party blamed the "infidelity of their German



THE SULTAN OPENING THE FIRST TURKISH PARLIAMEN'



THE HOUSE IN SESSION AT CONSTANTINOPLE

Turkey's first Parliament, in 1877, as shown in the first of these two pictures, was opened by the Sultan, Abdul Hamid II., in the Grand Throne Room of the Imperial Palace of Dolma-Bakcheh. A sitting of the Parliament is illustrated in the second picture. In the side galleries were special boxes for the Sultan and other illustrious visitors.

TURKEY'S FIRST AND SHORT-LIVED PARLIAMENT OF 1877



THE SURRENDER OF OSMAN PASHA AT PLEVNA: BRINGING THE TURKISH CAPTIVE INTO THE RUSSIAN HEADQUARTERS

Reproduced from the collection of the Russian State Library

REACTION IN RUSSIA AND CHANGE IN TURKEY

friend" for the unfavourable results of the Berlin Congress. Russia did not feel her military power sufficiently great to begin a war with Austria and England, after she had once lost her opportunity of occupying Constantinople. For the blunders of Russian policy, Prince Gortchakoff undoubtedly divided the responsibility with some of his younger adherents, but his freedom from blame is by no means proved.

When the German Chancellor concluded the alliance with Austria on October 7th, 1879, and shortly afterwards the Triple

of his empire by a series of innovations. In 1880 he forced the Albanian League to give in its submission and to cede Dulcignoto Montenegro. The statesmen, Midhat, Mahmud Damad, and Nuri Pasha, who had hitherto gone unpunished, were condemned to death on June 9th, 1881, and banished to Arabia. With the help of German officials, the Sultan secured in 1881 a union with the orthodox and a financial reform of high benefit to the empire. The revenue was increased by the introduction of the tobacco régime in 1883. The state was, however, chiefly



SIGNING THE TREATY OF PEACE BETWEEN RUSSIA AND TURKEY AT SAN STEFANO

Alliance in 1883, the far-sighted Sultan at once recognised that the welfare of his state was conditional solely upon the support of these most powerful influences for European peace. In 1879 the deposition of Ismail had indeed failed to restore the old supremacy of the Porte; the Nile Valley fell into the hands of Great Britain in 1882, and the conquest of the Sudan immediately followed; on May 12th, 1881, and June 8th, 1883, France also declared her protectorate of Tunis.

However, the Sultan loyally observed the conditions of the Berlin Congress, and attempted to increase the prosperity

strengthened by the Sultan's invitation to German officers to remodel the organisation of the army in 1880, and to elaborate a military law, which came into force in 1887. From that date, all men capable of bearing arms were forthwith assigned to a certain arm of the service, and on attaining their majority were placed under control and incorporated in troops of the line for training. In the officers' schools, which were conducted in Constantinople by the Freiherr von der Goltz from 1883 to 1895, the number of pupils rose from 4,000 to 14,000. In 1880 the old museum of antiquities was built in the

Serai gardens—Chinili Kiosk—while the new museum was constructed in 1891. In 1891 the School of Art was founded close at hand by Hamid Bey, where, notwithstanding the prohibition of the Koran against the representation of the human countenance, more than 130 young Turks were regularly instructed in painting,

Roumania sculpture, and architectural design. The Sultan displayed even greater wisdom in holding aloof from the disturbances between the Balkan States, though Russian dissatisfaction with her Slavonic protectorates gave him every excuse for armed interference, and though his action on this occasion was stigmatised as "weakness" by the Young Turkish party. Roumania was proclaimed a kingdom on March 26th, 1881, as also was Servia on March 6th, 1882.

In Servia, the reckless financial policy of a rapid succession of Ministers, the agitation fomented by the Radicals, the domestic quarrels in the royal family, the divorce in 1888, and the abdication of King Milan in favour of his son Alexander I. in 1889, the latter's coup d'état in 1893, and his marriage with Draga Maschin in 1900, were events which gave the unhappy country neither peace nor justice. King Alexander I. was murdered in the royal palace at Belgrade in June, 1903, and the regicides then placed King Peter on the throne.

On April 29th, 1879, the Bulgarian Sobranje had chosen Prince Alexander of Battenberg as ruler of the country. On May 9th, 1881, he overthrew the Radical Government and the influence of the agitators for a larger Bulgaria in Eastern Roumelia and Macedonia by means of a coup d'état. However, on September 19th, 1883, he restored the constitution of Trnovo and undertook the government of Eastern Roumelia, much against the will of Russia, on September 20th, 1885. There-

The Peace of Bucharest upon the jealous Servians declared war upon the Bulgarians on November 13th. After one temporary success at the Dragoman Pass, King Milan was defeated by Prince Alexander on November 18th and 19th, at Slivnitsa and Pirot, driven back upon Tzaribrod, and was spared in the Peace of Bucharest, March 3rd, 1886, only at the request of Austria.

The rise of Bulgaria and its union with Eastern Roumelia on October 5th, 1886,

aroused the jealousy and the anger of the Tsar and of the Pan Slavists. On the night of August 21st Prince Alexander was surprised in his bed and forced to abdicate; upon his return he was unable to make his peace with the Tsar, and was definitely banished from the country on December 7th, 1886; he died on November 17th, 1893.

After the short regency of Stambuloff and the disturbance caused by the appearance of the Russian general, Baron Kaulbars, the Sobranje chose Prince Ferdinand of Saxe-Coburg as their ruler in September, 1886; and in August, 1887, he took the oath to the Bulgarian constitution. Notwithstanding the aloofness of the Sultan, the anger of the Tsar, and the outrages of the Pan Slavists in the country, this prince maintained his position, married Princess Louise of Parma in 1893, and from 1896 brought up his son Boris in the faith of the orthodox Church. After the murder of Stambuloff, the prince secured a reconciliation with the Tsar, his recognition by the Sultan, and was able, even in Macedonia, to bring about the investiture of

Bulgarian Independence Asserted Bulgarian bishops. In 1908 Bulgaria formally declared itself an independent kingdom, and Prince Ferdinand was proclaimed Tsar. His sovereignty was recognised by the European Powers in the following year.

In 1889 a decision of the courts transferred the Turkish railways from the hands of Baron Hirsch to the possession of the Porte. German influence also secured the construction of the Anatolian railway, which had been pushed as far as Angora and Konia in 1896, and which, when continued to the Persian Gulf, will greatly strengthen the strategical and economic power of Turkey and increase her influence upon international trade. After the failure of the unceasing efforts of the German Commercial Company for Eastern Trade, founded 1881, the company, founded at Hamburg in 1889, of the Deutsche Levante Linie was able to issue combined tariffs for maritime and railway traffic, and thus successfully to resume commerce with the East.

Before, however, this decaying empire had been surrounded by the iron girdle of the railroad beyond Bagdad it was shaken to its depths by two disastrous events—the Armenian revolt and the war in Thessaly. Paragraph 61 of the Treaty of

REACTION IN RUSSIA AND CHANGE IN TURKEY

Berlin had demanded protection from the rapacious officials, the Kurds, and Cherkesses, and reforms in the administration to help the oppressed people of the Armenians, who had shown excellent capacity for trade and manual labour. Thanks to the indolence and corruption of the authorities, these reforms were introduced with extreme slowness. In 1894 disturbances broke out in Sassun, and the cruelty with which they were suppressed immediately gave the signal for revolt in Trebizond, Gümishhane, Samsun, Agja Gune, and the Armenian vilayets;

put pressure on the Porte. On September 30th, 1895, certain Armenians gathered before the Sublime Porte, demanding reforms; on August 26th, 1896, these Armenian conspirators surprised the Ottoman Bank, and after their liberation a massacre, apparently led by the soldiers and police, was begun upon the Armenians in the capital. When the Powers protested against this bloodshed, the massacres were stopped and reforms were promised; but the Armenian question remained one of the pieces upon the political chessboard, while attention was



PRINCE ALEXANDER OF BULGARIA SIGNING HIS ABDICATION

Turkish soldiers and Kurds were massacred with the connivance of the authorities. The Armenians, entrenched in the mountains of Cilicia at Zeitun, sustained a formal siege for a long period, and from London, Athens, Paris, Geneva, and Tiflis Armenian agents carried the seeds of revolt into the distressed highlands of Upper Armenia and of the Taurus. These very towns in Western Europe served as refuges not only for the Armenian agents who were favoured by England, but also for their deadly enemies, the Young Turks, of whom France made occasional use to

soon diverted to North America, Eastern Asia, and South Africa. The Greek campaign proved more disastrous to the Christians than to the once forbearing Sultan. Two visits from the German Emperor increased and strengthened the reputation of Abd ul-Hamid II., and made German influence supreme with the Porte.

In Crete it had proved impossible to appease the animosity between the Christians and Mohammedans, notwithstanding their common descent; and the breach of the convention of Halepa of 1878, and the imposition of a constitution which limited

their freedom in 1889, led to a bloody revolt; this movement was increased from 1886 by the hopes of the incorporation of the island with the mother country, notwithstanding the blockade of the Greek harbours by the Powers. On a fresh outburst of hostilities in 1896-1897, the Greek Colonel Vassos, with 2,000 men, occupied Platania in

Turkey and Greece at War

Crete on February 15th, 1897, and took possession of the island in the name of King George. The Governor, George Berovitch Pasha, left Crete. The Powers protested against this violation of international law, bombarded the rebels from their ships, and blockaded the island.

When Greece declined to withdraw her troops upon an ultimatum from the Powers, the Porte declared war on April 17th, 1897. The Turkish army advanced into Thessaly under Edhem Pasha, and defeated the Greek army, which was badly disciplined and organised, under the Crown Prince of Greece, Constantine, at Turnavos, Larissa, Pher-sala, Domokos, and in Epirus. On May 19th an armistice was arranged by the intervention of the Powers, and a peace was concluded at Constantinople on September 17th, 1897, under the terms of which Greece lost certain frontier districts on the north of Thessaly, and undertook to pay a war indemnity of four million pounds Turkish, or £3,750,000.

The heaviest punishment inflicted upon Greece was the control of the finances imposed at the proposal of Germany, as the Germans had been the chief sufferers from the financial crisis. Greece withdrew her troops from Crete, and the island received complete independence under the suzerainty of the Sultan; Prince George of Greece was appointed as Governor. In 1893 Greece at length completed the canal through the Isthmus of Corinth. She has not yet pushed forward her railway

Greece on the Road to Prosperity

system to a junction with the more developed system of the Balkan States, but is now advancing towards a more prosperous development. This short campaign had proved that the efforts of German instructors to improve the organisation, the training, mobilisation, leadership, and discipline of the Turkish troops had borne good fruit. Thus Turkey reached the close of the century. Vambéry, Adolf Wahrmund, and Von der Goltz have

prophesied a new life and power for the Ottoman State under certain conditions. From the intellectual renaissance in the best men of the nation, they anticipate a revival of the powers dormant in the country and a gradual replacing of Asiatic by European ideas, a reconciliation between Mohammedanism and Christianity, and the development of a *modus vivendi* for these two great religions.

In view of the inexhaustible, and in many cases highly gifted, population of Asia, the protection of the empire, limited to its own frontiers, is guaranteed only by the organisation of the empire and the construction of railways and telegraphs. The weak spot in Turkey is the Bosphorus, which is unfortified on the land side, though the Dardanelles are strongly fortified. The source of all Turkish evils is found in the incapacity of the executive; the extensive spy system, which destroys all confidence; the lack of check upon the state expenditure; the permanent condition of insolvency, only concealed by forced loans and reductions of the salaries of officials; the

Turkey's Bloodless Revolution

miserable condition of the population; the dishonest taxation which is the natural consequence; and especially the autocracy of the Sultan, Abd-ul Hamid II., who, with great short-sightedness, reduced the position of Grand Vizir to a shadow. Abd-ul Hamid was deposed by the newly elected National Assembly in 1909, and his brother, Mehmed V., was proclaimed Sultan. But the evils remained unredressed. The centre of gravity in the Turkish Empire need not necessarily be looked for in the military force at Constantinople; much rather should it be found in a body of reliable Crown advisers and capable officials. Prophecy, however, would seem to be more thoroughly impossible with regard to the Ottoman dominions than elsewhere.

The astonishing revolution of 1908, inaugurated apparently with the full approval of Abd-ul Hamid II., was not destined to give the Ottoman Empire a new lease of life by placing new ideals within the reach of the Turkish people. While the conquest and annexation of Tripoli by Italy, and the success of the Balkan States in their war against Turkey in 1912, have still further reduced the area under Ottoman rule.

VLADIMIR MILKOWICZ



THE GERMAN & AUSTRIAN EMPIRES THEIR SOCIAL & LEGISLATIVE DEVELOPMENT

IN the years 1871-1902 three emperors ruled at the head of the German Empire. First, the veteran founder of the empire, William I., from 1871 to 1888; then his son, Frederic III., best known as Crown Prince Frederic William, a victim of incurable cancer, who reigned only ninety-nine days, from March 9th to June 15th, 1888; and, lastly, his eldest son, William II., born January 27th, 1859.

The differences between the characters of these three rulers are strongly marked. William I. was a man of simple character, a thorough soldier, taking no great interest in the arts and sciences, but keenly devoted to the practical business of life, full of manly amiability and loyal conscientiousness. The words he uttered on his death-bed, "I have no time to be tired," characterise his whole nature. He had the highest conception of his royal rights and duties; he read everything which he had to sign,

The Brief Reign of Frederic III.

and emphatically asserted his own views: but he was accessible to the counsel of experienced statesmen. He adhered with the greatest tenacity to the old Prussian traditions. Frederic III. was by nature and through the influence of his English consort, Victoria, the eldest daughter of Queen Victoria and Prince Albert, devoted to the liberal ideas of the time, a warm friend of all artistic and scientific effort, and a soldier so far as and no farther than his political position required.

In his brief reign he allowed himself to be directed by Bismarck, from whom his father had repeatedly declared that he never wished to be separated. Differences of opinion which had earlier, especially in 1863-1866, existed between the monarch and the statesman sank so much into the background in the ninety-nine days that Bismarck asserted he had never, in his long ministerial career, known less friction between Crown and Ministry than had existed under the Emperor Frederic.

Affairs assumed quite a different shape under William II., who, coming to the throne as a young man of twenty-nine years, brought with him a thoroughly independent, indeed, despotic, nature, and in the consciousness of ample abilities and honest purpose felt competent to be his

Dismissal of Prince Bismarck

own chancellor. Thus, after only a year and a half a sharp quarrel broke out between the young monarch and the grey-haired statesman, who had so long conducted affairs with prudence and courage. From differences of opinion as to the legitimate position of the Prime Minister towards the Crown and his colleagues, and as to the social and political questions which William II. thought he was able to solve at one stroke, the feud blazed up so fiercely that the emperor on March 20th, 1890, abruptly dismissed Bismarck. Since then, Count Caprivi, Prince Hohenlohe, and, finally, Prince Bülow, have successively filled the office of Imperial Chancellor; but the importance of the office has been much diminished by the personal activity of the emperor.

Although just criticism has often been brought to bear on particular measures taken by the Government, and on its frequently slack and unsteady attitude since 1890, and although serious discontent was produced, especially under Caprivi, by its Anglophile tendencies, its indulgence towards the Poles, and its brusque treatment of Bismarck, whom the emperor took back into favour in

The Proud Claim of William II.

January, 1894, yet it cannot be disguised that during this whole period the development of the German nation, in spite of disagreeable episodes of every sort, has been materially advanced. The phrase of William II., "I am leading you towards splendid prospects," was a proud but not by any means an untrue utterance. The institutions of the empire in the very first years of its existence were

completed by unceasing and generally successful legislative work. Wide local diversities could not but act as a check on the conception of real unity; and a just and very important step towards the unification was the adoption in 1872 of a universal

Germany's gold standard and a universal decimal system of coinage, **Military** weights, and measures. This **Strengthen** was followed up by the unification of civil procedure in the field of law, in 1876—a change already anticipated in criminal law by the North German Confederation—and the adoption of a uniform civil code for the empire, which came into force in the year 1900. The fixed determination of the whole nation to maintain

such a military force as should secure it from attack—prompted by the knowledge, for many years after the great war, that if ever France had an opportunity of attempting to recover her lost provinces she would certainly seize it—has hitherto triumphed, though sometimes with extreme difficulty, over all attempts at reduction. Beyond this, however, William II. has declined to recognise the limitation of Germany to its European territory; alive to the immense amount of wealth and power which Great Britain has acquired by her maritime supremacy, he has resolved to give Germany a first-class navy, the growth of which is watched with some suspicion by the Power to which naval supremacy is even more vital than military supremacy to Germany. Doubts, however, may be felt as to how long the accompanying strain of taxation will be endured.

The first decade of the new empire was largely occupied by a struggle between Church and State—the Roman Church and the Prussian State—which has been responsible for a new political term, "Kulturkampf," signifying the war between the State as representing civilisation, and the Church as representing its opposite. The struggle, however, was not confined to Prussia; the whole nation was

concerned in it, and its sympathies were enlisted on one side or the other. In the first German Reichstag an almost exclusively Catholic party was formed, the Centre, which stood under the extremely clever leadership of the Hanoverian ex-Minister of State Ludwig Windthorst, 1812–1891, and immediately proved itself the refuge of Ultramontane, Guelf, and Particularist efforts.

It aimed, but unsuccessfully, at a German interference in Italy, in order to win back for the Pope his temporal power, and demanded that the articles of the Prussian constitution, which secured to the Churches complete freedom from State control, should be introduced into

the Imperial constitution; but it was unable to carry its wishes either with Bismarck or in the Reichstag. It adopted, in consequence, an unfriendly attitude towards the Government. The Prussian Government further complained that the Catholic clergy in Posen and West Prussia, by an abuse of their influential position, especially in the matter of elementary schools which were under their direction, supported the national Polish movements and prejudiced the German Catholics in favour of Poland. As a result of all this agitation, Falk, the Minister of Public Worship and Instruction, carried a Bill in 1872, which strictly defined the inspection of



THE EMPEROR FREDERIC III.

His occupancy of the German Imperial throne lasted for only three months. Succeeding his father, William I., in March, 1888, his death occurred at Potsdam, from an affection of the throat, on June 15th of the same year.

Photo: Reichard & Lindner

schools as a state concern, and threw open to laymen the office of inspector, particularly in country districts. Falk then, in 1873, brought before the Landtag of the monarchy the four Bills, which, in spite of violent opposition on the part of the Centre

and the Extreme Right, obtained a large majority and were called the "May Laws," since they received the sanction of the Crown in May, 1873. The first of these laws confined within closer limits the right of the Churches to inflict penalties on laymen in the case of contumacy; the second restricted their disciplinary power over their clergy, and



AS COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF OF THE FLEET DURING HIS VISIT TO ENGLAND IN 1909



IN UNIFORM OF IMPERIAL CUIRASSIERS A RECENT PHOTOGRAPH TAKEN IN BERLIN

HIS MAJESTY WILLIAM II., GERMAN EMPEROR

Photos by Volgt, Russell & Sons, and Neue Photo-Gesellschaft

abolished all foreign—and therefore all papal—jurisdiction over Prussian clergy. The third enacted that the clergy should no longer be educated for their profession in ecclesiastical but in State institutions, and prohibited their attendance at foreign seminaries, especially those in Rome; it also provided that the bishops, before making any appointment to a benefice, should give notice to the State authorities, and, if a well-founded protest was made by the State, should make another nomination. The fourth law regulated withdrawals from the Churches. Finally, in 1875 a fifth law abolished all existing religious orders in Prussia which did not devote themselves to the care of the sick, and thus in particular put an end to their activity in school matters.

Since the Pope, and the bishops following the example set them by the Pope, pronounced these laws incompatible with the principles of the Catholic Church, and in accordance with the saying: "We must obey God rather than men," refused submission to these laws, a struggle of many years' duration broke out between the State and the Church; the vast majority of the Catholic population showed unbroken loyalty and obedience to their spiritual leaders. The struggle was waged on both sides with much bitterness, and since Catholic priests frequently used the pulpit in order to fire the believers to resist the State laws, the Prussian Government held itself bound to proceed against such agitation by penal measures. But since criminal jurisdiction

was one of the rights of the empire, it was inevitable that the latter should find itself entangled in the quarrel.

At the instance of Johann Lutz, the Bavarian Minister, who was engaged in a keen contest with the Bavarian Ultramontanes, the so-called "pulpit paragraph," which attached penalties to the misuse of the pulpit for inciting opposition against the Government, was inserted in the Criminal

Code in November, 1871. The empire on two other occasions lent the Prussian Government its aid, first on July 4th, 1872, when it prohibited the Jesuit order and its branches from owning establishments in the dominions of the empire and from developing any activity as an order, and again on February 6th, 1875, when it introduced civil marriage in a universally binding form, not merely the so-called civil marriage of necessity. By these imperial laws it was rendered impossible for the Catholic clergy and that warlike militia of the infallible Pope, the Order of Jesuits, to agitate against the May laws; and the influence of the Church on civil life was checked, since a marriage might be contracted and a household founded

without the benediction of the Church. Bismarck during the heat of the dispute had already declared that the Government built their hopes of peace mainly on the prospect that a peace-loving Pope would once again, as had happened in past history, succeed the belligerent Pope Pius IX. This event occurred on February 20th, 1878, when, after the death of Pius, on February 7th, Cardinal Joachim



"DROPPING THE PILOT"

The great debt which Germany owes to Bismarck has been told in a preceding chapter; the above, reproduced by permission from the famous "Punch" cartoon by Sir John Tenniel, illustrates the dismissal of the "Iron Chancellor" by the youthful and impetuous emperor, William II., on March 20th, 1890.

Pecci was elected Pope, and took the title of Leo XIII. He prided himself on calming by peaceful concessions the disturbances under which the reputation alike of State and Church had suffered greatly—Bismarck was, on July 13th, 1874, the object of a murderous attack by Kullmann, a fanatical Catholic.

The Nuncio at Munich, Masella, visited Bismarck at Kissingen, in July, 1878. After nine years of excessively difficult negotiations a truce was concluded in 1887, to which the most trenchant May laws were sacrificed; for instance, the law concerning the ecclesiastical court and the preliminary training of the clergy in State institutions. But the State had by no means made an unconditional surrender to the Church; on the contrary, all the three imperial laws remained in force, and in Prussia the law as to State control of the schools, the exclusion of the orders from the schools, and the obligation of the bishops to signify beforehand to the Oberpräsident—lord-lieutenant—of the respective provinces the names of the clergy whom they proposed to appoint to vacant benefices. Bismarck had not “gone to Canossa.”

The Socialist movement was rapidly swollen by the stimulus which was given to trade and industries immediately after the war of 1870, since hundreds of new factories sprang up, and thousands upon thousands of men abandoned agriculture and streamed into the factories. The reaction which set in after the second half of the year 1873 left a mass of these workmen without bread, planted bitterness and revolutionary thoughts in their hearts, and thus increased the number of those who were discontented with the existing order of things. In the year 1875 the two parties hitherto existing within the Social Democracy, the followers of Bebel and Liebknecht, and those of Lassalle, amalgamated at Gotha into the “Socialist Labour Party,” and, thanks to universal suffrage, won in the elections to the

Reichstag of 1877 more than twenty seats. Two attempts on the life of the aged emperor in 1878, one by a professed Nihilist, the other by Dr. Nobeling, who escaped inquiry by committing suicide, were, as a matter of course, associated with “Social Democracy,” which at once became the object of penal legislation; with the normal result of making the organisation a secret one, but also with the effect of checking breaches of the law. The emperor and his great chancellor, however, were both aware that restrictive legislation must fail of its object unless

it is accompanied by measures for curing the disease of which disorder is the symptom. Since 1883 a series of laws have protected labour and provided safeguards; notably the insurance law of 1889 and the bank law of 1884, steps which have been opposed by the school of economists which regards them as incompatible with the pure doctrines of Individualism is supposed to have been developed at Manchester. These measures, however, have not gone far enough to satisfy the Social Democrats, who since the expiry of the restrictive law in 1890, have multiplied enormously, and in so doing have shed a good many of their early extravagances.

Colonial development, in turn, has attracted some degree of German enthusiasm, never shared by Bismarck, who saw in the

acquisition of colonies mainly sources of friction with other Powers, which offered in themselves little prospect of adequate economic development. Nevertheless, he somewhat reluctantly recognised the necessity for the Imperial Government to give the colonising spirit fair play under its aegis; with the result that considerable portions of Africa are now appended to the German Empire—as related elsewhere.

The Prussian State received through the mighty events of 1866 and 1870, which altered its whole framework and put new and important duties before it, a definite stimulus towards internal reforms.



THE GERMAN EMPRESS

A princess of Schleswig-Holstein, she was married to the Emperor, William II., in 1881, and of the marriage there has been a family of six sons and one daughter.

Neue Photo-C

The absolutism and the bureaucratic principles of the age of Frederic the Great had obtained recognition in the constitution of 1850; the landed nobility were still a privileged body. It was necessary that these anomalies should be removed and that self-government should be introduced. For example, in rural districts the lord of

New Scheme of Local Government.

the manor had still the right to nominate the Schultheiss—village mayor; the Landrat of the district was appointed by the king on the nomination of the chief landowner, the other inhabitants of the district being neglected; and the nobility predominated in the provincial Landtags.

The king, in his speech from the throne on the opening of the Landtag on November 27th, 1871, had pledged his word that his Government would introduce a new scheme of local government. Count Eulenburg, the Minister of the Interior, set to work to elaborate it, and although the House of Peers, under the influence of the private interests of the aristocracy, rejected the Bill at first and Bismarck had grave doubts on the point, he carried it in December, 1872, with the help of the king, who created twenty-five new peers. The king signed the Bill on December 13th. It applied at first only to the five eastern provinces—Prussia, Pomerania, Brandenburg, Saxony, and Silesia.

Anxiety as to the sentiment of the Poles forbade the grant of full self-government to the districts in Posen. According to the new law, the country communities elected their own head for the future; and only in some special cases was the landowner or his nominee still allowed to fill up this post. Country and town communities which contained under 25,000 inhabitants were for the time being constituted as a district, whose affairs were administered by a Kreistag—district council—of at least twenty-five members chosen by delegates, and therefore indirectly, from all the residents in the district. In the

Electoral Privileges of the Towns

Kreistags half the votes at most were to belong to the towns, the rest to the rural population.

At the head stands a Landrat whom the king appoints at the nomination of the entire Kreistag; a committee of six members is assigned to the Landrat to assist him. Towns with more than 25,000 inhabitants form special "urban districts." Since the new scheme of local government worked very satisfactorily, it was extended in 1885-

1889 to the remaining six provinces; in Posen, for the reasons mentioned, narrower limits were imposed on self-government.

In the year 1875 the provincial Landtags were reformed. In future they were to consist of representatives of the Kreistags and of the municipal colleges—the magistrates and municipal officers—which met for the purpose of election in a common session; they were to assemble at least once in every two years at the royal summons and pass resolutions affecting all provincial matters, especially the construction of roads, land improvements, public institutions, public libraries, the care of monuments, and the application of the sums of money assigned to the provinces by the State in virtue of the law of dotation.

A provincial committee of seven to thirteen persons, with a provincial director as the head of all the provincial officials, was to be elected for the administration of the affairs of the province. The feature of all this legislation was that it preserved to the greatest possible degree the principle of communal self-government; there is now

no country in the world which, so far as laws enable it, can show so many guarantees as Prussia for the sovereignty of the law and for the effectiveness of self-government; the duty of the people now is to cultivate those characteristics which give to such laws force and vitality.

In Bavaria, under King Lewis II., born in 1845, Lutz was at the head of affairs. He was a keen antagonist of the Ultramontanes, who also met with the pronounced disfavour of the king. The latter withdrew more and more from public life, and relapsed into a dreamy existence, devoted to music and architecture, while his enormous expenditure on royal castles totally disordered the civil list. He was obliged in the end to be placed under supervision: in order to escape from it he drowned himself and his attendant physician Bernhard von Gudden, in the lake of Starnberg on June 13th, 1886.

Since his brother Otto, born in 1848, had also long been mentally afflicted, his uncle, Prince Leopold, assumed the sovereignty as Prince Regent. He left the Liberal Ministry in office; but the Ultramontanes acquired more and more influence, and after 1899 they had even a small majority in the Second Chamber. At the urgent pressure of the Roman Catholic bishops, the State refused to recognise the Old

GERMAN AND AUSTRIAN SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

Catholics as belonging to the Catholic Church, and only granted them the rights of a private religious body in March, 1891. Otto was succeeded by Ludwig III, who was proclaimed king on November 5th, 1913.

In Saxony, King John died on October 29th, 1873; he was succeeded by his son Albert, who had won fame in the wars of 1866 and 1870-1871, and was a capable ruler with German sympathies. In order to anticipate the imperial railway scheme, the Saxon Government bought up gradually all the private lines in Saxony by the middle of the 'seventies; in 1894 and 1901 the class-tax and income-tax law of the year 1873 were reformed in accordance with the spirit of the times. Owing to an increase in the number of the Social Democrats, who carried in 1891-1892 eleven, and in

followed in his turn, in October, 1904, by Frederic Augustus III. In Würtemberg, under the rule of King Charles I., 1864-1891, the "German party," which combined in itself the National Liberals and the Free Conservatives, was preponderant in the Landtag, and Baron von Mittnacht, the Minister-President in agreement with this party, conducted the affairs of state in a spirit of loyalty to the empire. In the year 1891 Charles I. was succeeded by his cousin, William II., who had served in the French war and gave proof of conscientiousness, good intentions, and sound sympathy with the national cause.

In Baden Grand Duke Frederic I., born in 1826, the son-in-law of Emperor William I., a thoroughly loyal prince of national and liberal sympathies, reigned



Count Caprivi

Prince Hohenlohe

Prince von Bülow

Bieler

THREE IMPERIAL CHANCELLORS OF GERMANY

After the dismissal in 1890 of the great Imperial Chancellor, Prince Bismarck, the office was successively filled by the three statesmen whose portraits are given above—Count Caprivi, Prince Hohenlohe, and Prince von Bülow.

1895 actually fourteen, out of the eighty-one electoral districts for the Landtag election, the Government and the Estates, which since 1880 were under the control of the Conservatives, resolved in 1896, notwithstanding the well-grounded protests of educated sympathisers with the social cause, to replace the universal suffrage introduced in 1868 by a suffrage graduated in three classes, which would render the third class of owners and voters quite helpless against the two upper classes. In the year 1897 the Social Democrats lost six seats at once in consequence; and from 1901 till 1914 no Social Democrat sat in the Landtag. On the death of King Albert at Sibyllenort on June 19th, 1902, his brother George, born in 1832, succeeded, and was

from 1852 to 1907, when he was succeeded by Frederic II. The intense antagonism between the State and the Catholic Church led in 1876, under the Ministry of Julius Jolly, February, 1868-October, 1876, to the introduction of elementary schools of mixed denominations. Since 1881 the tension has gradually been relaxed; but the Centre pursued unremittingly their object of reducing the ruling National Liberal party in the Landtag to a minority, by the help of the Democrats; they lowered the majority of their rivals in 1891 to one vote, and completely attained their object in 1893.

On June 27th, 1901, there occurred a change in the Ministry in favour of Conservatism. when Arthur Brauer became

Premier in place of the veteran Liberal, Wilhelm Nökk, and Alexander Dusch, Minister of Public Worship; the latter showed an inclination to fulfil the wish, of the Episcopal Curia in Freiburg and of the Centre, for the toleration of monasteries,

Disaffection in Alsace and Lorraine

since he hoped in this way to get the upper hand of the more conciliatory party in the Centre. In Alsace-Lorraine, by the imperial law of June 9th, 1871, the executive power was conferred upon the emperor. The country thus became an imperial province—Reichsland—in so far that the executive power in the State, which in the other German countries is held quite apart from the executive power in the empire, coincides here with it. The Imperial Chancellor was Minister for the Reichsland; the administration of the country was conducted from 1871 to 1879, by the able and wise Eduard von Möller, who was nominated High President. In virtue of Paragraph 10 of the law of December 30th, 1871, he possessed the right of taking every measure which seemed necessary to him in case of danger to the public safety, and in the most extreme cases even to raise troops for the defence of the country. The disaffection of the inhabitants of Alsace-Lorraine, among whom in particular the "Notables"—namely, the

manufacturers, large landowners, doctors, and notaries—were quite un-German, rendered this "Dictatorship paragraph" essential for a long time. On January 1st, 1874, the Imperial constitution came into force for Alsace-Lorraine; the fifteen representatives elected to the Reichstag belonged almost all to the "Protesters," who condemned the severance of the provinces from France as an act of violence.

But gradually the so-called Autonomists gained ground; these accepted the incorporation into Germany as an irrevocable fact, but wished to win the greatest amount of self-government and provincial independence for the country. Bismarck thought it wise to support the

movement and by this indirect method to make the inhabitants of Alsace-Lorraine good Germans. He granted to the country in October, 1874, a popular representation—at first deliberative only, but since 1877 with powers to legislate; this was the Landesausschuss, which contains fifty-eight members—thirty-four elected by the three district councils of Upper and Lower Alsace and Lorraine, twenty by the country districts, four by the towns of Colmar, Metz, Mülhausen, and Strassburg. Universal and equal suffrage was not employed for the Landesausschuss, since that would have served to make the anti-German clerical party supreme; but the restricted suffrage gave the Notables the authority.



KING ALBERT OF SAXONY

The son of King John, he succeeded to the throne of Saxony on the death of his father in the year 1873, assuming the crown with an excellent reputation won on the battlefield.

Photo: London Stereoscopic Co.

On July 4th, 1879, the Empire granted to the imperial province the self-government which it desired. An imperial Governor-General—Statthalter—was to administer the country for the future in place of the High President; under him were placed for the conduct of affairs a Secretary of State and four Under-Secretaries of State, all to be nominated by the emperor. The Imperial Chancellor thus ceased to be Minister for the imperial province; Alsace-Lorraine was allowed to send three deliberative representatives into the Bundesrat, which thus was increased to sixty-one members.

The post of governor was filled from 1879 to 1885 by the ex-Field-Marshal Manteuffel, who displayed a deplorable weakness towards the Notables. He was succeeded by Prince Hohenlohe, hitherto ambassador at Paris, whose refined and dignified manner somewhat improved the situation. When he became

Imperial Chancellor in 1894, the governorship was conferred on the uncle of the empress, Prince Hermann von Hohenlohe-Langenburg. The results of the first thirty years of the incorporation of the Reichsland into the empire are not unsatisfactory, if fairly estimated. The inhabitants of Alsace-Lorraine have gradually adapted themselves more or less to the new position

A New Imperial Chancellor

GERMAN AND AUSTRIAN SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

of affairs. The protesting party, as such, has disappeared, and if the country has not yet become German in the fullest sense, it is, at any rate, no longer French. The reasons for the slow development are clear. Threads which have been snapped for nearly two centuries can only slowly be joined together again, and the year 1870, which for Germans is a great and glorious remembrance, signifies for Alsace-Lorraine a year of defeat and oppression, and the blessings it brought with it are only slowly being realised by the people. In June, 1902, such progress, however, had been made that, from confidence in the increasing good will of the population towards the empire, the "Dictatorship paragraph" was repealed, and the inhabitants of Alsace-Lorraine now from being Germans of the "second class" became Germans of the "first class." In the Grand Duchy of Hesse-Darmstadt the Grand Duke Lewis III. died in June, 1877. Under his nephew, Lewis IV., 1877-1892, who was married to Alice, daughter of Queen Victoria of Great Britain, the long-standing dispute with the Catholic Church was settled in 1887-1888. His son, Ernest Lewis, born 1868, concluded in 1896, the railway convention with Prussia.

In Brunswick the reigning line became extinct on October 18th, 1884, by the death of Duke William, and since the next heir, Duke Ernest Augustus of Cumberland, son of the exiled King George V. of Hanover, who died in 1878, had not made any treaty with Prussia, Prince Albert of Prussia, born in 1837, a nephew of Emperor William I., was appointed regent by the Bundesrat. In November, 1913, Ernest Augustus (born 1887) was proclaimed Duke of Brunswick. In Mecklenburg-Schwerin, Frederic Francis IV. became Grand Duke in April, 1897. In Saxe-Coburg and Gotha, Charles Edward succeeded to the dukedom in 1897. In Lippe-Detmold, Prince Waldemar, at his death on March 20th, 1895, left a will, according to which Prince Adolf of Schaumburg, brother-in-law of the emperor, was to govern as regent for his feeble-minded brother, Prince Alexander. But Count Ernest of Lippe-Biesterfeld protested

against this, and by the decision of a court of arbitration, in which King Albert of Saxony presided over six members of the Imperial Court, Count Ernest was appointed to the regency in July, 1897. In Oldenburg, Grand Duke Peter died on June 13th, 1900, and was succeeded by Frederic Augustus; and in Saxe-Weimar-Eisenach, Grand Duke Charles Alexander, one of the last eye-witnesses of the great age of Weimar, who had seen Goethe and breathed of his inspiration, died on January 5th, 1901. Although in Austria the German Liberal bourgeois Ministry of Herbst-Giskra resigned at the beginning of 1870, partly on account of internal dissensions, yet the Constitutional party there, resting on the German Liberals, remained at the helm until 1879. Prince Adolph Auersperg was at the head of the Liberal Cabinet from 1871 to 1879. The Czechs, who did not recognise the Constitution of 1861, absented themselves from the Reichsrat and made no concealment of their leanings towards Russia as the chief Slav power. By this means the position of the Constitutional party was gradually shaken; and when, at the beginning of October, 1878, it opposed the occupation of Bosnia and Herzegovina by Austria, it completely lost ground with the Emperor Francis Joseph, who



COUNT TAAFFE

An Austrian statesman, he was summoned by the Emperor Francis Joseph to form a Ministry in 1879, and offended the Germans by wishing to grant equal rights to all.

recognised that this occupation was of vital interest to the monarchy, which had to secure a more advantageous position for itself on the Balkan Peninsula against the intrusion of Russian influence.

The emperor summoned, on August 12th, 1879, the Ministry of Count Taaffe, which aimed at the so-called reconciliation of the nationalities by the grant of equal rights to all. The Czechs, amongst whom the Conservative Old Czechs were gradually crowded out by the more radical Young Czechs, now entered the Reichsrat and usurped the power in the Landtag Chamber at Prague, in consequence of which, among other things, they carried the proposed division of the ancient German university at Prague into German and Czech sections. The Germans, on their side, did not appear for some time

in the Landtag. The more radical views of the "German Popular party" and of the "Pan-German" party, which only pursued German national interests, under the clever leaders Von Schönerer, Iro, and Wolf, gained more and more the ascendancy with them, and overshadowed the Liberal Constitutional party, which placed the interests of Austria above the cause of nationality. The two former parties were at the same time strongly anti-Semitic, while the Liberal Conservative party had a large Jewish element. Taaffe fell on November 11th, 1893, since he wished to introduce universal and equal suffrage, an innovation which would have greatly weakened the parliamentary representation of the Poles, Conservatives and Liberals.

After an attempt to govern with the Coalition Ministry of Count Alfred Windisch-Graetz until June 16th, 1895, Count Badeni, a Pole, seized the reins of government on September 29th, 1895. He conceded in 1896 the election of seventy-two representatives by universal suffrage, in addition to the 353 representatives elected under a restricted franchise, but in general conducted an administration on principles partly Slav, partly clerical, and partly feudal, and by his language ordinances of April 5th, 1897, in consequence of which all officials in Bohemia and Moravia, from 1901 onwards, were to possess a mastery of the Czech as well as of the German language, precipitated the whole Austrian monarchy into wild confusion.

In order to prevent the Czechising of the official classes, and finally of the Germans generally, which was threatened by the language ordinance, the Germans in the Reichsrat set about the most reckless obstruction of all parliamentary business, and secured on November 28th, 1897, the dismissal of Badeni and the repeal of the ordinances. But the storm was not calmed by this. The Czechs demanded the restoration of the ordinances, which would have only meant the establishment of equal rights for all; but the Germans demanded legal recognition of the dignity of the German language as the language of the State. The Reichsrat was completely crippled for four full years by this impassable breach between the parties, since at one time the Germans, at another the Czechs, "obstructed," while by their interminable

speeches and motions they hindered the progress of legislation. The German Constitutional party sank more and more into the background; Vienna was wrested from it by the Catholic "Social Christian" party under its leader Karl Lueger, whom the emperor actually confirmed in office as burgomaster, in April, 1897, and the Pan-German section was enlarged in the Reichsrat elections of 1900 from five to twenty-one representatives. While the Catholic clergy made overtures to the Slavs, a movement, advancing with the watchword, "Freedom from Rome!" began among the Catholic German population of Bohemia and the Alpine districts; and this movement led to the founding of numerous Protestant or Old Catholic communities in hitherto purely Catholic districts.

Since the barrenness of the Reichsrat was felt to be irksome by the electorates, whose economic interests remained unsatisfied, the Minister Ernst von Koerber, after January 19th, 1900, succeeded in 1901, by an appeal to material interests, in breaking down the spell of obstruction and making the newly elected Reichsrat tag once more capable of work. More than £20,166,666 were granted then for railroads and canals, and in May, 1902, a Budget Bill was carried for the first time for five years. The relations of Hungary to Cisleithania depended after 1867 on the terms of a treaty concluded for ten years, which was renewed in 1877 and 1887. But the third renewal met with great difficulties, since Cisleithania demanded an increase in the share of thirty per cent. which Hungary has to pay of the common expenditure.

The celebration of the millennium of the Hungarian nation took a most brilliant form. The Germans, Roumanians, and Serbs in Hungary had indeed cause to complain of the forcible suppression of their nationality. Thus, in 1898, in virtue of a State law Magyar names were substituted for all the non-Magyar place names, and at the elections the Ministry of Desiderius Banffy, which was formed on January 14th, 1895, employed every means of intimidating and deceiving public opinion. The inevitable change of Cabinet on February 26th, 1899, which brought into power the Ministry of Koloman von Szell, led to some improvement in this respect; the elections of 1901 were carried out for the first time without acts of violence

**Obstructions
in the
Reichsrat**

The Czechs demanded the restoration of the ordinances, which would have only meant the establishment of equal rights for all; but the Germans demanded legal recognition of the dignity of the German language as the language of the State. The Reichsrat was completely crippled for four full years by this impassable breach between the parties, since at one time the Germans, at another the Czechs, "obstructed," while by their interminable



FRANCE UNDER THE THIRD REPUBLIC

SPAIN'S LOST COLONIES AND ITALY'S ECONOMIC PROGRESS

THE great majority of the French National Assembly, elected on February 8th, 1871, were in favour of monarchy, and, since Paris was republican, the Assembly fixed on Versailles as the seat of government. The threatened restoration of the monarchy, as well as the conscious pride with which Paris as the "heart of France" was opposed to the provinces, produced that terrible revolution which is called, from the municipal committee elected by the proletarian masses, the rising of the Commune. On March 28th, the "Communist Republic" was proclaimed, which at once procured the required supplies of money by compulsory loans from the wealthy and by the confiscation of the property of the religious orders.

The Parisians had been allowed to keep their arms on the conclusion of the truce in January, 1871, at the express request of the infatuated Faure; with these arms they resisted for nearly two months the attacks of the army led by Marshal MacMahon against the rebellious city.

Another Revolution in Paris

The troops eventually forced their way into the city after a series of murderous engagements; but in the moment of defeat the Communards sought to revenge themselves on their conquerors by levelling the Vendôme column, burning the Tuileries, the Hôtel de Ville, and other public buildings, and shooting the clergy fallen into their hands, and foremost among them Georges Darboy, Archbishop of Paris. As a punishment for this, twenty-six ringleaders were executed by order of court-martial on the Plain of Satory, and some 10,000 who had been taken with arms in their hands were sentenced to transportation or imprisonment.

These terrible events at first only strengthened the inclination towards monarchy. Thiers, however, being convinced that in the end a Conservative republic

was the form of constitution most advantageous to his country, opposed any restoration of the monarchy; but although by a prompt payment of the £200,000,000 he contrived that France should be

Claimants evacuated by the Germans in 1873, he was compelled to retire from the post of President of the Executive in May, 1873, before the evacuation was complete.

to the Throne Marshal MacMahon became his successor. Since there were three parties in the ranks of the Royalists it was very difficult to set up the monarchy, which, after all, only one of these dynasties could hold.

The Orleanists, it is true, gave way to their childless cousin Henry V. of Bourbon, who, as Count of Chambord, lived at Frohsdorf, near Vienna, and MacMahon was prepared to restore the Bourbon Monarchy; but when, in 1873, the count demanded the disuse of the national tricolour and the reintroduction of the white standard with the lilies of his house, in order that there might be a clear sign of the return of the nation to the pre-revolutionary standpoint, the courage even of the moderate Royalists failed at such a step. The republic received in 1875 its legal basis by the grant of a seven years' tenure of office to its president.

When MacMahon in 1877 made a renewed attempt to pave the way for a restoration of the monarchy, he failed, through the energy of Gambetta and the resistant power of republicanism. The elections produced a strong Republican majority, and on January 30th, 1879,

Presidents MacMahon, despairing of the victory of his cause, gave way to the Republican Jules Grévy. He was followed by François Sadi Carnot, J. P. P. Casimir-Périer, Félix Faure, Emile Loubet, Armand Fallières, and Raymond Poincaré, who was elected in January, 1913. Grévy was

of the French Republic



A GROUP OF REVOLUTIONARIES BEING ESCORTED TO PRISON



FIGHTING IN THE RUE DE RIVOLI

The troubles of France did not end with the long series of defeats inflicted upon its armies by the Prussian troops. Following upon the national humiliation and the downfall of the Emperor, Napoleon III., there was established in Paris on March 28th, 1871, the "Communist Republic." To suppress the revolution thus inaugurated, Marshal MacMahon attacked the rebellious city, but for two months the Parisians, armed with the weapons which they had been allowed to keep on the conclusion of the truce in the January preceding, contrived to resist the army.

THE END OF THE COMMUNE: SCENES IN THE STREETS OF PARIS


FRANCE, SPAIN, AND ITALY

• forced, through the defalcations of his stepson, Daniel Wilson, to resign on December 1st, 1887; Carnot fell on June 24th, 1894, at Lyons, under the dagger of the Italian anarchist, Santo Caserio; Casimir-Périer retired as soon as January 15th, 1895, from disgust at his office, which conferred more external glitter than real power; and Faure died on February 16th, 1899, soon after an attack of apoplexy.

The Monarchists were no longer able to obtain a commanding position, especially since Pope Leo XIII. in 1892 had ordered the Catholics to support the existing constitution. The party which

but after the resumption of his trial, was condemned, on September 9th, 1899, to ten years' imprisonment in a fortress, only, on September 19th, to be pardoned by President Loubet. But again the Republic weathered the storm. One consequence of the Dreyfus agitation has been to increase the anti-clerical tendencies of the executive.

In June, 1899, the Social Democrat, Alexandre Millerand, actually entered the Cabinet as Minister of Commerce. In March, 1901, a law against associations was passed by the Ministry of Waldeck-Rousseau, which placed under State control the religious orders, especially those



THE BURNING OF THE TUILERIES BY THE COMMUNARDS OF PARIS

After a series of murderous engagements, the army under Marshal MacMahon forced its way into Paris and defeated the Communards. The latter, however, were determined to revenge themselves upon their conquerors, and this they did by levelling the Vendôme column, burning the Tuileries, the Hôtel de Ville, and other public buildings, and shooting the clergy who fell into their hands. In the punishments which followed twenty-six ringleaders were executed, and about 10,000 who had been taken with arms in their hands were sentenced to transportation or imprisonment.

was obedient to the Pope styled itself "les ralliés." Even the venality of Republican statesmen who allowed themselves to be paid for their support in Parliament by the company for the construction of the Panama Canal, which went bankrupt in December, 1888, was unable to overthrow the Republican government.

A crisis even more alarming was produced by the lawsuit of the Jewish captain, Alfred Dreyfus, who, on December 22nd, 1894, was found guilty of betraying military secrets, ignominiously degraded and transported to Devil's Island, near Cayenne,

inveighing against the "atheistic" Republic, punished the disobedient ones with dissolution, and deprived the orders of the instruction of the young.

A drama which is interesting from a different point of view developed round the figure of General Boulanger. He was Minister of War from January, 1886, to June, 1887, and obtained an immense popularity. He almost provoked a war with Germany in the spring of 1887, and after April, 1888, undertook to remodel the constitution with a view to the restoration of the


Empire. Wherever he appeared on his black charger the crowds greeted him with loud cheers. But at last M. Constans, the Minister, boldly laid hands on him, and arraigned him before the High Court as a conspirator against the constitution. Boulanger, from fear of condemnation, and not being bold enough to stir up a revolution, fled, on April 8th, to Brussels, where he died by his own hand on September 30th, 1891.

In the sphere of foreign policy the Third Republic was very successful in so far that on May 12th, 1881, by use of the temporarily good understanding with Germany established by the Ministry of Jules Ferry, Sidi Ali, the Bey of Tunis, who died on June 11th, 1902, was forced to accept the French protectorate, and thus the position of France on the Mediterranean was much strengthened.

Tonkin, in Further India, was acquired after a checkered campaign against China, between 1883 and 1885; on October 2nd, 1893, Siam was driven back behind the Mekong; and on August 6th, 1896, Madagascar was incorporated into the French colonial possessions. France also won considerable territory on the continent of Africa. In 1892 she occupied the negro kingdom of Dahomeh, while concurrently the whole Western Sudan from Timbuctoo to the Congo became French. On Lake Chad France is the predominant Power, and treaties with Germany and Britain secured its possessions. Disturbances in Morocco gave an opportunity for French interference, resulting in the establishment of a French Protectorate over that country in 1912. Her only severe check in Africa has been that experienced from Britain in connection with the Fashoda episode.

But the originally most ardent wish of the French, to revenge themselves on

Germany and to win back Alsace-Lorraine, has not been gratified. The efficiency of the German army and the increasing numerical superiority of the German population—in 1910 there were 64,925,993 Germans to 39,601,509 French—excluded all possibility of a French victory in a duel between the two nations. Even the Dual Alliance with Russia, which was projected in 1891 under Alexander III. and concluded under Nicholas II., has freed, indeed, France from her isolation, but—according to the noteworthy confession of "Le Siècle" of September 19th, 1901—has made a reconquest of the lost provinces impossible, for the reason that Russia also must wish to stand on good terms with her neighbour Germany. A dispute with the Sultan, Abdul Hamid II., who did not satisfy the demands of some French officials, led to the despatch of a French fleet under




THE DEGRADATION OF CAPTAIN DREYFUS

Another crisis of an alarming character overtook France in 1894, when the Jewish captain, Alfred Dreyfus, was found guilty of betraying military secrets and sentenced to confinement on Devil's Island. Five years later, in September, 1899, the trial was reopened. Dreyfus was then sentenced to ten years' imprisonment in a fortress, but the punishment was not carried out, the prisoner, whose innocence had been established, receiving a pardon from President Loubet.




Grévy



Carnot



Casimir-Périer



Faure



Loubet



Fallières

SIX PRESIDENTS OF THE FRENCH REPUBLIC

Since 1870 the Presidential chair of the French Republic has been occupied by the statesmen whose portraits are given above. In that year Jules Grévy was elected to the office, resigning in 1887, when he was succeeded by François Sadi Carnot, who was assassinated in 1894 at Lyons. Disgusted with the office, Casimir-Périer retired in January, 1895; Faure died in 1899; Loubet retired in 1906, and Armand Fallières in 1913.

Photos by Pierre-Petit and Nadar

Admiral Caillard in November, 1901. to Mytilene. The Sultan gave in. granted to French schools and hospitals in Turkey the immunity from taxation which was demanded for them, and thus saved the island from the fate of the island of Cyprus, which has remained in British occupation since 1878.

The failure of the Hohenzollern candidature for the Spanish Crown had placed Ferdinand Amadeus of Savoy on the throne in December, 1870; but on February 11th, 1873, the new monarch resigned his unbearable post. The only remaining alternative was to proclaim a republic. Spanish republicanism has

characteristics peculiarly its own. Its special feature, federalism, is one that is due to the Iberian soil, which brought it forth. Even to the present time the idea of a republic has drawn its strength from the hope of transforming into a republic those separate provinces of Spain which only the loosest of bonds could unite into one kingdom. A federal republic was now to be founded; though, for the moment, the founders had to content themselves, whether they would or no, with giving a republican form to the administrative and executive powers already in existence. The new republic was in a critical position. The



GENERAL BOULANGER

Minister of War, General Boulanger was for some time a great public favourite; but, charged with conspiring against the constitution, he feared condemnation, and died by his own hand in 1891.

forces of reaction had been aroused by the triumph of the Radicals, and were gathering round the man who had inherited the Carlist claims, Don Carlos the Younger, who summoned the Basque provinces to his support. Once again battalions

of these mountaineers, distinguished by that classic headgear, the round cap of the Basques, flocked to the standard of the reactionary party. But once again it became manifest that their strength was in defensive tactics.

An attack upon the capital was even more out of the question than during the First Carlist War. The Socialist agitators in the south, excited by the example of the Parisian Commune, thought that their time had also come, and seized several towns, in particular the arsenal of Cartagena, from which they were not easily dislodged.

The army at the disposal of the republic had been utterly demoralised by the continual pronunciamientos, and had to be reorganised in part. Fortunately, neither Carlism nor communism, thanks to incompetent leadership, was able to attract many recruits; and the feeling that, at any rate, the highest positions in the state must be placed beyond the reach of ambitious intriguers grew stronger every day. Isabella had been driven out, and no one was inclined to give her another chance; but great hopes were held of the queen's son, the young Alfonso.

The republic was set aside without difficulty on December 29-30th, 1874; and on January 14th, 1875, Alfonso was proclaimed king. Many might have considered this to be merely another act in the political farce; but such pessimists

were wrong. The early death of Alfonso XII., on November 25th, 1885, did not shake in any way the position of the monarchy. The Queen-widow, Maria Christina, acted as regent, at first for her daughter Mercedes, and then for her son

Alfonso XIII., who was born on May 17th, 1886, and met with no opposition worthy of mention.

The period of peace, which could not be broken even by the irrepressible revolt of the remnants of the Spanish colonial empire, is a standing testimony to the fact that the economic condi-

tions of the country were slowly but undeniably improving, and that it was beginning more and more to develop and to make use of its natural wealth. It may be that foreigners had given the impulse and were appropriating a portion of the profit; but, none the less, the

advantage to the country itself was unmistakable. At this time, it is true, the social problem was a menacing danger, and its most deadly fruit, anarchism, was brought to fullest maturity in Spain; but this was partly due to the general lack of education, and was, moreover, a heritage from the sad course of Spain's earlier development. That there is an improvement is undeniable. The events of the year 1898—the war with the United States of America and the loss of all her more important colonies—demonstrated how small was the power of resistance that Spain could

offer to a determined opponent, in spite of all her recent progress; and how inferior she was to those wealthy Powers which have acquired a great reserve of strength by establishing themselves upon a sound economic basis, and by taking a



FERDINAND AMADEUS AND ALFONSO XII.

The throne of Spain in the troublous days that followed the abdication of Isabella II. did not offer a very tempting prize, but Ferdinand Amadeus, the second son of King Victor Emmanuel of Italy, accepted it in 1870, abdicating in February, 1873. When the Carlist movement collapsed in the closing days of 1874, Alfonso XII. was elected king.



DON CARLOS

The brother of Ferdinand VII., he was anxious to succeed to the throne of Spain, and under pressure from the Reactionary party he raised the standard of a revolt.

FRANCE, SPAIN, AND ITALY

due share in the progressive movements of modern times. Calamity had long been in the air. When the American colonies were lost at the beginning of the nineteenth century, the islands of Cuba and Porto Rico were retained, partly perhaps on

American Support for the Cubans

account of a revolt of the negro slaves in Cuba in the year 1812, which was vigorously opposed by all the white inhabitants of the island. Until the middle of the century it was only the negro population which showed any tendency to revolt. However, later on, the creole element in Cuba found that its natural course of development was impeded by the Spanish Government, and became unruly. It was supported, sometimes secretly, sometimes openly, by the United States. Every conspiracy and filibustering expedition—the first began in 1849—found ready support in North America. The American Government had even declared with praiseworthy frankness that it proposed to seize Cuba at the first favourable opportunity, but Spain was saved by the outbreak of the Civil War in the United States.

The victory of the North in this war brought about a temporary coolness between Americans and Cubans. The great

revolt of 1868–78, when creoles and negroes fought together against Spain, was not supported by any attack from America. But the rich island gradually became an object of interest to American speculators, and Spain could not make up its mind to the generous concessions which would have satisfied the self-assertive creoles. The abolition of slavery in 1880 led to an economic crisis, but did not inspire the liberated slaves with any friendly feelings for Spain. So at last, in the year 1895–96, a revolt began, systematically supported by the United States; Spain gradually spent her strength in the remarkable efforts she made to meet the danger.

At the same time, 1896, a revolt broke out in the Philippines, where Spanish mismanagement, without the stimulus of any foreign influence, had driven the most enlightened and preponderant class among the natives, the Tagals, to open resistance. Notwithstanding the many tokens that foreboded ruin, the characteristic Spanish indifference to consequences was as apparent as ever. The fleet, which was the only means of salvation, continued in such utter neglect that a large number of the best ships could not be used at all.

Spain Blind and Incompetent



LOBBY OF THE CORTES IN MADRID DURING THE BRIEF DAYS OF THE SPANISH REPUBLIC

A chance occurrence, or, as the Americans wrongly alleged, an act of treachery, the blowing up of the United States battleship *Maine* in Havana Harbour, led to the outbreak of hostilities on April 21st, 1898. With curiously clear foresight the United States had sent a considerable fleet, under Commodore Dewey, towards the Philippines. He destroyed the little Spanish squadron of Montojo at Cavite on May 1st, and, with the help of the revolted natives, obliged Manila to surrender. In Cuba the Spaniards, under Martinez Campos, Weyler, and finally, Marshal Blanco, had tried to avert calamity by the employment both of mildness and of severity. Their power in the island collapsed no less ingloriously when their little fleet, under Cervera, which had been equipped with great difficulty, had been destroyed off Santiago on July 3rd. Of Spain's immense empire, only two little colonies on the west coast of Africa now remain. The remainder of her possessions in the Pacific Ocean, the Caroline, Pellé, and Marianne islands, were sold to Germany for £850,000 on June 19th, 1899. The loss of her colonies, which was formally declared in the Peace of Paris, December 10th, 1898, is, in truth, a fortunate event for Spain. It never understood how to make proper use of its possessions. What it has lost is the happy hunting-ground of office-seekers and political parasites, passing their time discussing public affairs in the cafés of Madrid, and waiting for a revolution to further their designs. Possibly the number of these political parasites will decrease. Possibly there will be a general return to honest endeavour. The fact that the government of a woman and of a child (long since grown to full manhood) was never seriously threatened, in spite of all disasters abroad, is the best testimony to the excellent spirit prevailing in Spain for many years. With her eyes fixed upon her own resources, Spain may now—and all signs seem to indicate

that she will—give an attention, too long deferred, to the training of the national mind and the development of national industry commensurate with the great natural wealth of the country and the high qualities and potency of the people.

In the Kingdom of Italy the predominant party was from 1861 to 1876 the *Consorteria*, or Moderate Conservative, which had been founded by Cavour. Its failures, however, and all kinds of personal jealousies enabled the Left to gain the supremacy, which was only temporarily taken from it by the renewed strength of the Right under the Marquis di Rudini. The Left abolished the duty on flour, which made the working-man's bread dear,

and conferred the suffrage on all who could read and write and paid a small tax. But it could not check satisfactorily the miserable destitution of the poorer classes, especially of the labourers in the north, in the Basilicata, and in Sicily, and of the miners in the Sicilian sulphur-mines. Sicily also suffered under the reign of terror which the secret society of the Mafia established in many parts. Owing to the dearth of food, the social revolution in Milan, Ancona, the Romagna, and Southern Italy repeatedly produced open insurrection against the authority of the state. From May 6th to 12th, 1898, Milan was com-



HUMBERT OF ITALY
The son of Victor Emmanuel II., he succeeded to the throne of Italy in 1878, and on July 29th, 1900, was assassinated at Monza by an anarchist who had been sent from America.

pletely in the hands of the revolution, and order was only restored after sanguinary conflicts in which fifty-three persons were killed and hundreds wounded. The efforts of Italia irredenta, which wished to unite with the monarchy the whole "unredeemed" Italian population outside Italy, in Trieste, Dalmatia, Tirol, Ticino, and Nice, had been, especially since 1878, detrimental to a good understanding with neighbouring states; they hindered the alliance of Italy with Austria, and so also with Germany, and gave France an opportunity to carry off, on the pretext of the depredations of the Tunisian border tribes of the Krumir, the province of Tunis, under the

FRANCE, SPAIN, AND ITALY

very eyes of the Italians, who had been trying to acquire it themselves. King Humbert I., the worthy son of Victor Emmanuel II., 1878 to 1900, being thus taught the dangers of the policy of the "free hand," concluded in March, 1887, at the advice of his Minister, Count Robilant, the Triple Alliance with Austria and Germany, which, being subsequently consolidated by the policy of Francesco Crispi, has proved hitherto a main support of the peace of Europe. It secured Italy's position in the Mediterranean, and thus effectively checked French designs on Tripoli. The attempt to place Abyssinia under Italian suzerainty gained for Italy the possession of Assab in 1881, and that of Massowah in 1885. But on March 1st, 1896, the great King Menelik with 90,000 men defeated and nearly annihilated the Italian army, 15,000 men strong, under Baratieri at Abba Garima, east of Adowah, carried 3,000 Italian soldiers as prisoners into the heart of his country, and extorted, on October 26th, 1896, a peace which secured the independence of Abyssinia and confined the Italian colony on the Red

out damage to their reputations, caused repeatedly, as in 1894, for example, considerable excitement. King Humbert was assassinated on July 29th, 1900, at Monza,



KING VICTOR EMMANUEL III. Brogi

Born in 1869, he came to the throne as successor to his father, Humbert I., in the year 1900. On October 24th, 1896, he was married to Princess Helena of Montenegro.

by Gaetano Bresci, an anarchist sent from America; he was succeeded by his son Victor Emmanuel III., born in 1869, who by his marriage to Princess Helena of Montenegro on October 24th, 1896, formed an alliance on the other side of the Adriatic. The economic position of Italy has considerably improved, and a commercial treaty has been made with France. The Triple Alliance was renewed in 1912.

The Papacy was for years hostile to the national state of Italy, which had deprived it of all secular possessions, and before the twentieth century it forbade all true sons of the Church to show any sort of recognition of the "usurping" Kingdom of Italy by taking part in political elections. Even the Guarantee Act of May, 1871, which secures to the Pope his independence, the possession of the Vatican, and a yearly indemnity of £118,750, has not yet been acknowledged by the Curia, since it emanates from the Italian Government, and the right of the Government to the Papal States is denied by the Pope.



QUEEN HELENA OF ITALY Brogi

Sea within narrower limits; it now only extends from Massowah to the rivers Marab and Belesa. Bank scandals, from which even Ministers did not emerge with-



MINOR STATES OF WESTERN EUROPE THE CLEAVAGE OF NORWAY AND SWEDEN

THE Swiss Confederation has gone through a progressive development, so far as material interests are concerned, since about 1860. It obtained a rich market for its industries by commercial treaties with its neighbours, and the great lines of mountain railways, into the Engadine, over the St. Gothard, through the heart of which a tunnel nine and one-third miles long was driven in 1882, and into the Bernese Oberland, promoted the influx of strangers, from which Switzerland derives great profits.

The constitution of the Confederation, like those of many cantons, has gradually become more democratic in the course of years. After the cantons of Zürich, Basle, Berne, and others had introduced since 1869 the Referendum, or the voting of the entire people on legislative proposals, the Federal constitution was modified on May 29th, 1874, according to the views of the Liberals and the Centre. Legislation on the subjects of contracts, bills, and trade, as well as the jurisdiction over the army and the Church, were assigned to the Confederation; it also received powers in economic matters. A supreme Federal Court and a system of registration of births, deaths, and marriages by government officials was introduced. The Referendum is allowed in all cases when either 30,000 voters or eight out of the twenty-two cantons demand that the nation itself shall say the last word on a measure approved by the Federal and National Councils. On July 5th, 1891, the popular rights

Increasing the Popular Privileges

were increased by the grant to the people of the initiative in the legislation on condition that 50,000 votes require it. This concession to democratic principles has, it must be confessed, produced the result that many useful laws which had been decided upon by the legislative bodies have been lost at the very last, especially when an increased

expenditure might be expected from them. The French cantons of Western Switzerland and the Catholic cantons of Old Switzerland often came together in the attempt to hinder all progressive centralisation. The Confederation received, however, on October 25th, 1885, the monopoly of manufacturing and selling alcohol, and in 1887 the supervision of the forests and the right to legislate on the food supply; in 1898 the nationalisation of the railways and uniformity of procedure in civil and criminal cases were granted by the people.

The Confederation quarrelled with the papal throne in 1873, because Bishop E. Lachat of Basle had on his own responsibility published the Vatican decrees. The bishopric of Basle was in consequence, abolished by the Confederation on January 29th; Kasper Mermillod, who put himself forward as Bishop of Geneva, was banished from the country on February 17th, and the papal chargé d'affaires, G. B. Agnozzi, was given his passports towards the end of November. The Old Catholic

Church and State Come to Terms

movement found great support in Switzerland, and received on June 7th, 1876, a bishop of its own, "Christian Catholic," in the person of Edward Herzog, and a special theological faculty in Berne, which was, however, only thinly attended. But in the course of time a fresh agreement was effected between Church and State; the bishopric of Basle was revived in 1884-1885, though the nunciature remained in abeyance.

The social movement of the time led in 1887 to the legal restriction of the maximum working day to eleven hours, in 1881 to the adoption of a law of employers' liability, and in 1890 to the establishment of workmen's insurances against accidents and illness. On the other hand, the social democratic proposal to introduce into the constitution the "Right to Labour" was rejected by the people by 300,000 to 73,000 votes. While the Radical Democratic party was prominent, the Social Democracy generally, although it rested on

MINOR STATES OF WESTERN EUROPE

the Radical Grütli-Verein, which had formally joined it in 1901, and constituted a special group in the National Council, has attained to no great influence. Since also the Conservative Liberals were able to exercise very limited power, the minority have lately directed their efforts to carry the system of proportionate voting in the Confederation as well as in the cantons, and thus to secure themselves at least a proportionate share in the popular representation and in legislation.

The kingdom of Belgium had been released by the war of 1870-1871 from the continual danger which had threatened it from the side of France. The two great parties of Liberals and Clericals were alternately in office, as had been the case for the past decades. But both parties saw themselves compelled, on political grounds, to abandon gradually the exclusive recognition of the French language in official matters and private intercourse, and to make concessions to the Flemings, who composed more than half the population of the kingdom. Accordingly, under the Clerical Cabinet of Baron J. J. d'Anethan, the use of the Flemish language was permitted in the law courts; under the Liberal Ministry of Frère-Orban, in 1878, its employment as the medium of instruction in the national schools

Religious Instruction in Belgium

was conceded; while under the renewed Clerical government of 1886 a royal Flemish academy for language and literature was founded. In 1892 officers were required to learn the two national languages. Frère-Orban, supported by a majority of eighteen votes, carried, on July 1st, 1879, the law which introduced undenominational national schools into Belgium. The religious instruction was now given outside the school hours, but classrooms were placed at the disposal of the clergy for the purpose. Owing to the ambiguous attitude of the Curia, which ostensibly exhorted the faithful to follow the law, but in secret stirred up opposition,

d'Anethan, then Ambassador at the Vatican, was recalled, and the Nuncio Serafino Vannutelli was given his passports. In 1881 the number of state gymnasia was increased, and fifty undenominational girls' schools were founded. But since the new

The Cost of Education schools laid considerable burdens on parishes, as much as £880,000 yearly, discontent gradually was felt with the Liberal Ministry, which also opposed the introduction of universal suffrage; and the Clericals by the elections of 1884 won a majority of twenty votes.

The Clerical Cabinet of Jules Malou now passed a law, in virtue of which parishes were empowered to recognise the "free"

schools—that is to say, the schools erected by the Church—as national schools in the meaning of the law of 1879; in this way the latter was practically annulled, for the parishes, from motives of economy, made such ample use of this permission, in 1,465 cases, that out of 1,933 national schools 877 were closed within a year, and were replaced by Church schools. Diplomatic intercourse with the Curia was resumed in 1885 by a Belgian ambassador to the Vatican, Baron E. de Pitteurs-Hiégaerts, and by the reappointment of a nuncio in Brussels, Domen-Ferrata. The Clerical party maintained

their majority at the next elections; in fact, they grew to be more than two-thirds of the members of the Chamber.

The rise of the Social Democrats, whose influence had begun to spread far and wide through the industrial regions of Belgium, combined, with a fall of wages, to produce a disastrous revolution in Liège, Brussels, and Charleroi in March, 1886, on the occasion of a festival in honour of the Paris Commune. A new and formidable antagonist faced the Clericals in place of the Liberals, who were divided into a Moderate and a Radical section. The Government attempted to pave the way for Social Reform by the creation of courts of arbitration between workmen and manufacturers,



THE KING OF THE BELGIANS
Leopold II., King of the Belgians, founded in West Africa, with the assistance of Sir Henry Stanley, the Congo State, which was formally recognised by the Great Powers in 1885. King Leopold died in December, 1909.

Numa Blanc

by the introduction of state supervision over workshops, and the prohibition of the payment of wages in kind ; but the Clericals could not bring themselves to adopt really comprehensive measures of strict social justice, among which the universal liability to military service would be reckoned.

At the elections of 1892 they lost the two-thirds majority, and conceded in 1893 universal suffrage, with the proviso that electors who possessed means, were married, and academically educated, should possess a plural vote. The number of electors was increased by this law from 130,000 to 1,200,000. Since the first clause in particular helped the Clerical party in the country, it maintained its majority; the Liberals and Social Democrats vainly endeavoured to strike the clause conceding plurality of votes out of the constitution. A general strike organised for this purpose on April 14th, 1902, had to be abandoned on the 20th; and the new elections on May 25th resulted in a small gain for the Clericals. King Leopold II. did good service in opening up Africa, where he founded, with the help of Sir Henry Stanley, the Congo State. This state was recognised by the Great Powers at the Berlin Congo Conference in 1885, and Leopold, in virtue of a Belgian law which allowed him to bear this double title, assumed the style of Sovereign of the Congo State. The subsequent de-

velopments have been dealt with in the African portion of this work. In the Netherlands also the institution of unde-

nominal national schools in 1857 gave rise to excited party disputes. After that date the Catholics were completely separated from the Liberals, and among the Protestants a Christian - Conservative party, the "Anti-revolutionary," was formed, which gradually won many supporters; its leader was the energetic and talented Abra-

ham Kuyper, born in 1837, a pastor of the reformed religion. In March, 1888, and again in 1901, the united Catholics

and Anti-revolutionaries obtained the majority. Kuyper, as Prime Minister of the Conservative Cabinet constructed on July 27th, 1901, was now able to announce their decision to procure for Christianity once more its proper influence on national life, and thus first and foremost to restore the denominational national schools. The social movement in Holland can point to comparatively little results. In 1889 a measure was passed to prohibit the excessive labour of women and children, and in 1892 a graduated scale of taxation on property and incomes was introduced. In 1896 universal suffrage was accepted, with the limitations that

the electors must be twenty-five years of age and must pay some amount, however small, of direct taxation. A strike of



WILLIAM III. OF HOLLAND AND QUEEN EMMA
Popular with his people, King William III. of Holland was twice married, his second bride being Princess Emma of Waldeck-Pyrmont. In 1888 it was settled by constitutional law that their daughter, Wilhelmina, born in 1880, should succeed to the throne on her father's death, which event occurred in November, 1890.



QUEEN WILHELMINA
Attaining her majority on August 31st, 1898, she came to the throne, and on February 7th, 1901, married Duke Henry of Mecklenburg, who received the title of Prince Consort.

MINOR STATES OF WESTERN EUROPE

railway employees in February, 1903, necessitated remedial legislation. In the Dutch Indies the Colonial Government in 1873-1879 and 1896 had to conduct difficult campaigns against the Sultan of Achin in Sumatra, and in 1894-1895 on the island of Lombok, where the native dynasty had been deposed.

The male line of the House of Orange since June 21st, 1884, when the Crown Prince Alexander died childless, was only represented by the king, William III. It was therefore settled in 1888 by a

throne. The anticipated event occurred on November 23rd, 1890. While in Luxembourg, where females cannot reign, the former Duke Adolf of Nassau, as head of the Walram line, and in this respect heir of the Ottonian line of the House of Nassau, became Grand Duke, the clever and popular queen-mother, Emma, took over the regency for Wilhelmina until August 31st, 1898. On that day the young queen, who then attained her majority, entered herself on her high office, and promised to rule with that same spirit of

devotion to duty which endeared her ancestors to the Dutch nation. On February 7th, 1901, she gave her hand to Duke Henry of Mecklenburg, who received the title of Prince of the Netherlands. On April 30th 1909, Princess Juliana was born. During the political struggle the relations of Norway and Sweden had become worse. The Norwegians had quite a different conception of the union from the Swedes, and they demanded that the two countries should be placed on an entirely equal footing. A fruitless attempt was made to come to an agreement concerning the revision of the Rigsakt of 1815. Finally, the Norwegians demanded their own consular service. This led to long and wearisome negotiations



THE ACCESSION OF KING HAAKON TO THE THRONE OF NORWAY
After the dissolution of the union between Norway and Sweden, the Storting elected to the throne of the former country Prince Charles, the second son of Frederic VII., King of Denmark, and on November 27th, 1905, he took the oath in presence of the Storting, swearing that he would govern the kingdom of Norway in accordance with its constitution and laws.

constitutional law that, on the death of William, his daughter Wilhelmina, born 1880, by the king's second marriage with Emma of Waldeck, should inherit the

between the Norwegian and the Swedish Governments. These negotiations remained ineffective because it was evident that the Swedes, instead of admitting the equality

of Norway, wished to maintain their own predominance. This roused universal indignation in Norway. On May 23rd, 1905, the Storting unanimously passed a law establishing a national consular service. Upon the king's refusal to sanction the law, the Ministry of Peter Michelsen tendered their resignations. The king did not accept these, because, according to his own declaration, no Ministry could exist at that time in Norway which represented his opinions. But

on June 7th, the Ministry laid its power in the hands of the Storting, which declared the personal union with Sweden dissolved, and authorised the Ministry to exercise until further notice the power appertaining to the king. Negotiations with Sweden were then entered upon. At Karlstad, on September 23rd, a treaty was concluded which settled the points of controversy raised by the dissolution of the union. King Oscar II. recognised Norway as an entirely separate state from October 27th. He renounced the Norwegian crown, and declined the request of the Storting that a younger prince of his house should

occupy the Norwegian throne. On November 18th the Storting elected as king Prince Charles, the second son of Frederic VIII., King of Denmark. Prince Charles entered Christiania on November 25th, 1905, as Haakon VII., and was duly crowned on June 22nd, 1906, as King of Norway. In this way the separation of the two countries which had been united for ninety years was conclusively confirmed.

In spite of political struggles important reforms had been introduced—the estab-

lishment of the jury, new regulations in the army, in the schools, and in the elections; the material development of the country likewise did not suffer. Means of communication were greatly improved. By the erection of various agricultural, industrial, and technical schools opportunity was afforded to the people, who were actively interested in industrial pursuits, to acquire greater knowledge. By an improved utilisation of the country's



THE CORONATION OF KING HAAKON AT TRØNDHJEM CATHEDRAL

natural resources the various branches of industry received a great impetus, especially commerce and navigation. At the present time Norway possesses the largest mercantile fleet in the world in proportion to the number of inhabitants. Next to agriculture and cattle-breeding the people depend mainly for their livelihood on fishing and forestry. The population is almost three times as large as in 1841, and successful efforts are made to encourage culture and progress. G. EGELHAAF



THE SOCIAL QUESTION

BRITAIN'S INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION AND THE RISE OF THE FACTORY SYSTEM

MODERN society is characterised, technically, by the predominance of great industries and the unsuspected advantage derived from the forces of Nature; economically, by freedom of trade and right of settlement; politically, by liberty of speech and of combination, and by popular representation. On this basis, for the first time, the great mass of the productive but dependent population was enabled to take a part in the important movements which make the world's history. These classes previously, leaving out of account isolated risings, had either formed only the passive foundation for all contests for political or social power, or had only been able to struggle for modest improvements in their material welfare.

Limits of Workmen's Unions

It is clear that the immediate preliminary condition for an independent advance of the bulk of the people into the field of public and social life is only satisfied when they are allowed to form suitable and permanent organisations with the object of attaining their ends.

The working classes, therefore, possessed as a whole, to within the last century, no effective influence, because this condition was not fulfilled. So far as organisations generally were permitted in past ages, as was the case with the members of the guilds in the towns, their sphere of influence was restricted to social and religious requirements, relief funds, information as to work, and the improvement of some conditions of labour contracts; and guilds and authorities ensured by close superintendence and merciless severity that these narrow limits were never overstepped by the journeymen's unions.

Notwithstanding, therefore, that before this time occasionally—we may remind our readers of Rome under the Empire—a collection of masses of working men had been formed in large towns and centres of production; notwithstanding that, even earlier, wide sections of the people had been oppressed and laid under contribution, while at the same time luxury and splendour were publicly paraded, powerful and lasting agitations by the working classes were at that time impossible.

Power of the Ruling Classes

There could be nothing more than isolated violent outbreaks, which were fated inevitably to fail, owing to the political immaturity of the rioters and the firmness of the ruling powers; for example, the Greek and Roman slave risings, or the rebellions of the peasants in Western Europe during the fourteenth to the sixteenth centuries. The ruling classes knew how to prevent any immediate repetition of these attempts by the oppressed to shatter their chains, since after every victory they applied the principle "væ victis," and exacted, with all the cruelty of the times, terrible penalties as a deterrent warning. The people thus felt their helplessness. Overawed and indifferent to all politics, the peasant

The New Era of Industry

went back to his plough and the artisan to the workshop. If state and society thus seemed in early times safely entrenched behind rampart and moat against the demands of the lower class, the modern state and its liberty offered to the people the possibility of seeing the fall of the hitherto impregnable fortress. This hope and prospect could

not fail to contribute towards rousing the people from their indifference, so that, sooner or later, in all civilised nations the agitation of the lower classes was as general as the former lethargy.

Nothing, however, has been of such wide-reaching importance for the distinctive features of this movement, for its demands and its aims, as the modern industrial development, of which the marked characteristic is the method of capitalistic production. This takes place when a considerable number of workmen is employed by the same individual capital at the same time in the production of the same goods.

Historically, capitalistic production dates its beginning from the "domestic system," which began to develop itself at the beginning of the new era by the side of the handicraft of the guilds. The small exclusive economic spheres of the city states were then transformed into large uniformly administered territories, and, owing to the new colonial districts, international trade received a great stimulus. Requirements thus arose which could not be met within the old guild organisation. Thus a new form of organisation of industrial work was formed in the "domestic system." Its distinctive feature is that a contractor, called a "factor," provides a number of workmen with commissions, which they then execute in their own houses. According to this system, technically the handicraft production still predominates.

But the "domestic system," if not in the manner of production, at least in the manner of sale, denotes an advance beyond handicraft. The master handicraftsman sells his goods directly to the person who requires them; but in the "domestic system" there is always one intermediate dealer between the producer and the consumer—that is, the merchant. And

The Merchant's Place in Commerce while the individual handicraftsman only sells a small quantity of goods, usually in an adjacent market, the merchant places large masses of goods on one or more adjacent or distant markets. With regard to selling, therefore, the domestic system represents a wholesale trade which appears excellently adapted for the supply of distant markets. And for the very reason that it combined the traditional methods of produc-

tion on a small scale with a more complete method of sale in large quantities, it must have been recognised from the first as the form of industrial enterprise which, while causing the least alteration in long standing conditions, could satisfy the necessity felt in the new era for exchange of commodities between different places or nations. Persons who had some capital, and were far-sighted enough to recognise the tendency of the new want and the extent of the remunerative demand, took the lead, engaged handicraftsmen, day labourers in the towns not belonging to any guild, or hitherto unemployed members of the country population, and started the new organisation.

The "domestic system" was common in England even before the close of the fifteenth century as the method employed in the cloth industry, supplying the great markets and the export trade. Afterwards it continually spread to other trades, until it became, right up to the eighteenth century, the ordinary form of the most important industries intended to put wholesale quantities of goods on

Effects of the Domestic System the markets. In no other country did it attain such importance, but still it prevailed to a certain degree during the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries in France and in the German-speaking countries. Since such large spheres were formed where the domestic system prevailed, the new industrial method was felt to be a considerable improvement, and its chief promoters were greeted as national benefactors. A German economist of the period wrote: "There are instances where, owing to them, splendid towns have arisen, and thousands of men have earned an honest living; they make the country populous and productive, and are profitable members of the commonwealth, whose object is to increase and to support the 'societas civilis.'" Frederic the Great termed his Silesian weaving districts the Prussian Peru.

It has been already noticed that the method of working under the domestic system remained the same as existed before in the handicrafts, but the change in the method of the disposal of the products is connected with widely reaching social consequences. The master workman under the domestic system often, it is true, works with assistants, frequently is also owner of the tools, and even of a part of

BRITAIN'S INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION

the raw material, quite like the master handicraftsman. But he no longer disposes of the goods to different customers: he delivers them, in return for payment of a previously settled wage, either to the capitalistic merchant, or to intermediate agents, "middlemen," who distribute the raw materials, superintend the work, collect the products, and pay out the wages.

Thus he is still master in his house, but he usually sells the products of his labour in accordance with the commissions received, and thus stands towards the merchant in the same relation as the workman to the employer. The result follows from this that the master workman in the domestic system can no longer hold the independent position towards the capitalistic merchant that the master handicraftsman has towards his customers. They must, therefore, in the course of time sink more and more into the position of ordinary workmen, while the merchants sweep in the substantial profits which are possible in all industries intended for a large and regular market. "On the one side, persons who know the

The Social Question's Dark Side

world; who, through their knowledge of markets and their solvency, relieve the small producers of the anxiety of selling; who, by their journeys, their giving credit, and their connections, transact sales, and can bear occasional losses better than the producers; who grasp technical improvements more quickly, since they stand higher in education and are of a quicker intelligence. On the other side, small master workmen, peasants, inhabitants of small towns and of the mountains, women and children who are glad to get work, who, in addition to their industrial work are busied with agriculture and cattle breeding; who are day labourers, with limited ideas, possessing no great technical qualifications, no large capital, no division of labour, but slow to adopt anything new, and clinging tenaciously to their old customs. The master workman in the domestic system thus is nearly always placed at a disadvantage as compared with the merchant, who knows his business and, being a capitalist, can wait his time."

The result of this is a dark side to the social question, which formerly, indeed, when merely the extent of the sales and the interests of the capitalistic producer were considered, could not have been sufficiently realised. Firstly, the lower

wages of these producers under the domestic system; secondly, the "sweating" of these isolated, and therefore unprotected, workers by the merchant employer through reduction of wages in particular, through usurious payment for goods and deceitful calculations of the raw materials furnished; lastly—in the

Distress of the Home Workers case of more unfavourable conditions, namely, loss of the old markets and similar difficulties—the greatest distress existing among these very "home workers," because, wishing to turn to some account not merely their powers of work, but their tools, which usually represent their only possessions, they are compelled to accept work at any wage, even though it only affords the barest livelihood. In this way matters have gone so far that certain districts where the domestic system prevails have become the first scenes of modern pauperism on a large scale.

Attempts were made to meet the requirements of the wholesale market by yet another form of work besides the domestic system—namely, the manufactory, which, indeed, has developed more slowly than the former. It consists in the employment by one contractor of a large number of workmen for purposes of production in one building. According to this definition, it does not depend, as the domestic system, on wholesale selling, but on wholesale production. The consequences are far-reaching. In the first place, where many workmen are busied in the manufacture of one product, an extensive division of the work within the workshop itself can often be effected. The article is no longer the production of one independent craftsman who does various things, but the production of a number of craftsmen working together, each one of whom is continuously discharging one and the same part of the work. The watch which under the guild system was the individual work

Labour Under New Conditions of a Nuremberg craftsman becomes in the age of manufactures the production of a number of different workmen.

There are now employed on it, makers of the rough material, the watch-spring, dial, main-spring, hands, case, screws, etc., a gilder, and a "repasseur," who puts the whole watch together and turns it out in a going condition. The execution is still a "handwork," and therefore dependent on the strength, dexterity, expedition, and

accuracy of the individual workman in the handling of his tool. But since the same workman is always closely employed on the same separate part, the manufactory creates great skill in the particular workman. If already from this reason more goods are turned out by manufacture with a less expenditure of labour than

Results of the Factory System in independent handwork, the specialisation of tools now customary must tend in the same direction; for since the working tools are now suited to the exclusively peculiar employments of the individual workman, they thus attain a greater perfection than before, and must at the same time increase the productive power of the work.

Since, again, the result of one man's work is the starting point for the work of another, the uninterrupted progress of the collective work presupposes that in a given working time a given result will be obtained, and that everything is systematically organised. By this inter-dependence every single man is bound to devote only the necessary time to his operation, by which means continuity, uniformity, regularity, order, and intensity in the work are created on a scale quite different from that in independent handwork.

Again, the workmen, through the division of the collective work into simple and complex, lower and higher employments, can be assigned tasks according to their natural or acquired capabilities. Thus, a hierarchy of workers is formed, to which a scale of wages corresponds. Production is, however, naturally assisted by the fact that the capitalist "can procure for himself the exact degree of strength and skill corresponding to every operation." Further, all production requires a number of simple occupations, of which every man who walks is capable; these, again, at a time when all operations

A Field for Cheap Labour are resolved into their simplest parts, develop themselves into exclusive occupations of special workmen. The manufactory thus creates a class of unskilled workmen whom the handwork system rigidly excluded. In this way the cheap labour of women and children can be employed.

Manufactories were started in considerable numbers in England after the last third of the sixteenth century, and for 200 years continually gained in im-

portance. Since the old town corporations and the guild system hindered manufactories, they were by preference founded in ports with an export trade, or in places in rural districts where they were not under the control of the laws of the corporate towns. Government favoured them in pursuance of the mercantile doctrine, where possible, by protective tariffs and bounties on exports, and by prohibiting the production of certain industrial commodities in the colonies. The same policy towards the manufactories was adopted by the other states of Europe.

Still, we must not over-estimate the importance of manufactories at that time. Even in the eighteenth century they only partially dominated the national production among the leading civilised nations, and still rested, if we may use the expression, as an economic work of art on the broad basis of town handwork and the smaller domestic and rural industries. Even in England, where the manufactory system gained most ground, it never became so far master of the situation as to succeed in abolishing the old apprentice

Coming of the Machine laws with their seven years of apprenticeship. But the manufactory system, having arrived at a certain stage of technical development, discovered methods by which it was itself surpassed. It had attained its completion in those industries which were intended to produce the tools, and especially the complicated mechanical apparatus already adopted. The stage had already been reached of setting up machines and continually perfecting them; from this moment dates the slowly and surely developing change of the greater part of manufactories into wholesale industries worked with machinery. This is the change which has impressed a distinctive stamp on the industrial production, and thus on the social life of the nineteenth century.

The machine, with which a new era in the economic-technical development of the modern civilised world is commenced, is in the first place technically distinguished from the implement of production in earlier times, the tool. It represents a far more complete form of working implement, permits the employment of mechanical motive powers, wind, water, steam, and electricity, to a conspicuous extent, and thus enormously increases the power of production. While Adam Smith,


BRITAIN'S INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION

in his day, relates with admiration that in a manufactory ten men daily turn out 48,000 needles—i.e., 4,800 apiece—Karl Marx records without surprise that a machine for needle-making daily turns out 145,000 needles, and that therefore one woman, whose regular duty it is to attend to four such machines, daily produces by machinery 600,000 needles, as much as 125 of Adam Smith's men. The difference, however, between a machine and a tool, looked at from the

fact, of any human organ which moves itself during the work in the same direction as that in which the tool is moved.

The workman can, therefore, regard the tool as a supplementary organ of himself, and himself as the master of the tool. In this sense, therefore, a spinning-wheel and a hand-loom are tools, for the workman remains master of these working implements, which, besides, only serve to strengthen the movement of the human organs. But so soon as an implement

effects more than such an addition of strength, as soon as the man's powers move in a direction which is entirely divergent from the movement exclusively produced by the mechanism, it becomes a machine. A locomotive, therefore, is a machine, for the handles are moved by the stoker and engine-driver in a different direction entirely from the locomotive which draws the load over the lines. Hence the differences between tool and machine, and, in connection with this, between manufactory and factory, or mill, have been summed up as follows: In a manufactory and handwork the



THE AGE OF MACHINERY: ARKWRIGHT'S SPINNING JENNY

The introduction of machinery marked a great advance in the industrial development of the country, though the innovation was by no means welcomed by the workers. About the year 1735, a spinning machine—the "Jenny"—was invented, which at first set six, and soon afterwards twenty-five, spindles simultaneously in movement, and could be used in the homes of the workmen. But later machines required to be housed in factory buildings, and thus there sprang up a new system of labour that spread with remarkable rapidity.

technical standpoint, is only quantitative, while from the social point of view it is qualitative. From this aspect the position of the workman who uses the implement is the criterion; and it is seen that the position of the workman occupied with the machine is distinguished, both by the nature of the employment as well as by its place in wholesale business generally, from the position of the workman using tools. A hammer, a file, and such-like are simple tools. They increase the strength of the human arm or foot; in

workman avails himself of the tool; in the factory he attends to the machine. In the former the movement of the working implement is due to him; in the latter he has to follow its movement. In word, out of the livelong habit of guiding a special tool comes the livelong habit of "tending" a special machine. "During the manufacture period the exercise of hand labour, though distributed, remains the basis. The workmen thus form the members of a living mechanism. In the 'factory' there exists a dead mechanism

independent of them, and they are incorporated into it as living appendages." In this sense a factory is defined by Andrew Ure, the first philosopher of the factory system, as a great automaton, composed of various partly mechanical, partly self-conscious organs, which work harmoniously and uninterruptedly in order to produce one and the same object. The peculiar form of combined production in this form of industry leads to the result that the factory fully develops many tendencies which are only suggested in the manufactory.

The separation of all the mental parts of the process of production from the handwork, the resolution of all processes into their component parts—that is, into the simplest movements—and the principle of carrying out the separate operations by distinct workmen suited for the purpose, from the doctor of chemistry down to the newly engaged rustic and the child are all perfected for the first time under this system. And this again combines to make a barrack-like discipline, and, corresponding to this, a universal, uniform intensity of work, necessary if the factory system, with its various workers and all its complex operations, is to perform its functions properly. Men must now abandon their irregular habits of work, and imitate the uniform regularity of machinery.

Ure had good reason to speak of the "myriads of vassals" who are collected round the steam king in the great workshops. But it was this very peculiarity, together with the enormous increase in production, that contributed to the success of machinery and factories; for, while the work was done with a hitherto unsuspected uniformity, continuity, regularity, and speed, all the expectations of an industrial production of goods for the supply of international markets were fulfilled. The important inventions of machines, which

Rise of the Cotton Industry

ushered in the new age of factories, had been made in the second half of the eighteenth century in the young cotton industry. This industrial revolution had been preceded by the "ribbon mill," which served for the weaving of ribbons and trimmings. This had been worked at Danzig as early as the sixteenth century, but had been suppressed by the council on account of the damage done to competing handicraftsmen. In the seventeenth

century it was set up at Leyden, and after various prohibitions by the council, was finally allowed by the Dutch Government. In the German Empire its use was nevertheless still forbidden, at first by municipal and then by imperial edicts, which were in force until the middle of the eighteenth century; while in England the ribbon mill had long been introduced, although it had given rise to disturbances among injured handworkers and discharged journeymen.


After the last third of the eighteenth century the inventions of the spinning and weaving machines, the forerunner of which had been the ribbon mill, followed in rapid succession. About the year 1765, a spinning-machine, the so-called "jenny," was invented, which at first set six, and soon afterwards twenty-five spindles simultaneously in movement, but could still be used in the house of a master. On the other hand, the "water frame," which was constructed by Arkwright directly afterwards, and was a machine driven by water or steam, and distinctly more effective, necessitated a special factory building. The first factory was erected by Arkwright

himself at Nottingham in 1768. The new method of **First Spinning Mill** work was immediately adopted throughout the United Kingdom. Within twenty years England and Scotland saw not less than 142 great spinning mills founded, in which 92,000 workmen set into motion more than 2,000,000 spindles, and produced goods of more than £7,000,000 in value.

The details of the machinery were now quickly perfected. After 1790, when Watt invented his steam engine, the factories were no longer dependent on water power, and thus could be erected in any place, and not merely on the banks of rivers. From this period dates the concentration of factories in the towns. In 1803, the "dressing-frame" was invented, by which means a child was enabled to attend to two looms at once, and could weave about three times as much as an industrious hand-weaver.

Other industries, the woollen industry, the cotton industry, the iron industry, the smelting and mining industries, equally shared in the development of the details of machinery, and completed the transition to the factory industry.

The introduction of the factory system had the most far-reaching results on industrial and social life. In very important branches of industrial activity,



MULE SPINNING MACHINES AT WORK IN ONE OF THE EARLIEST MILLS



A VIEW OF STOCKPORT, SHOWING ITS NUMEROUS FACTORIES, IN 1831



WOMEN ATTENDING TO THE CARDING, DRAWING AND ROVING MACHINES

BRITAIN'S INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT: FACTORIES IN THE YEAR 1834

especially in cotton spinning and weaving, the factory showed itself far superior to the former domestic and handwork systems. Handwork was in these departments soon put aside, or at least condemned to insignificance; but the "domestic" industry showed distinctly more vitality, owing to its peculiar organisation. If the employ-

Ruin of the English Hand-Weavers ment of machinery in the factory reduced the cost of production for the article, the same final result was produced by the merchant-employer in the domestic industry through reduction of wages and the "sweating" of the home worker.

In this way abuses became inherent in the domestic industry, which afterwards weighed like a curse on this system of work. They became possible because the home workers submitted to the lowering of their conditions of life, for they had no way of escape. Thus Karl Marx, without any great exaggeration, could exclaim: "The history of the world shows no more terrible spectacle than the gradual ruin, which lingered on for decades, but was finally sealed in 1838, of the English hand-weavers, many of whom, with their families, eked out an existence on 2½d. a day. This was the effect of the factory system on the workers of competing trades."

It was equally disastrous originally to the workers in the factory. "In so far as machinery dispenses with the necessity of muscular strength, it becomes a means of employing workers without muscular strength or of immature physical development but greater suppleness of limb. Women's and children's labour was therefore the first word of the capitalistic employment of machinery." It was therefore most remunerative to exact from these cheap workers, who were the least capable of resisting, quite distinctly longer hours of labour. On this point an official report in England establishes the fact that "before the law was passed for the protection of

Apprentices from the Workhouses youthful workers, in 1833, children and young persons had to work the whole night or the whole day, or both ad libitum."

John Fielden, a Liberal philanthropist from the middle class, wrote: "In Derbyshire, Nottinghamshire, and especially in Lancashire, the recently discovered machinery was set up in factories close by streams capable of turning the water-wheel. Thousands of hands were suddenly required in these places, far from the towns.

The custom crept in of obtaining apprentices from the different parish workhouses of London, Birmingham, and elsewhere. The manufacturer had to clothe his apprentices, feed them, and lodge them in an 'apprentices' house' near the factory. Overseers were appointed to superintend their work; but since their wages stood in proportion to the amount of results that could be extracted from the children, self-interest bade these slave-drivers make the children drudge unmercifully.

The consequence was that the children were hounded to death by overwork. The gains of the manufacturers were gigantic, but that only whetted their ghoulish voracity. They began the practice of night work—i.e., after the one batch of hands was utterly worn out by the day work, they had another batch ready for the night work; the day batch went off to the beds which the night batch had just left, and vice versa. It was a popular tradition in Lancashire that the beds were never cold." But even the hours of labour for the men, who were unorganised, and did not yet feel themselves,

The Difficult Problem of Unemployment as later, to be a unity, were only too often enormously extended. Sober writers of this period have been able to describe the English factory hand as crushed to a lower level than that of West Indian slaves. But not even this modest existence was permanently secured to the worker. There have been, of course, at all times in the history of every civilised country cases of men, willing and able to work, being out of employment; but only since the modern economicotechnical development, and since the introduction of the corresponding legislature, has this evil, temporarily at least, assumed unsuspected dimensions. It is connected with the frequency of the occurrence of unfavourable turns of the market and of commercial crises.

These consist mainly in the impossibility of either selling the goods produced wholesale at any price approximate to the old prices, or of profitably continuing the business generally on the old extensive scale. The vendors, manufacturers, and merchants suffer heavy losses, and perhaps become bankrupt. In any case the production must be restricted, and thousands of workmen, from no fault of their own, lose their situations.



THE RISE AND FALL OF CHARTISM AND THE FAILURE OF OWEN'S SOCIALISM

THE labour class revolted against the evils of the factory system at first in a quite barbarous fashion, by riotously attacking the manufacturers and by destroying the factories, and especially the machines, which were frequently regarded as the source of all disaster. It was only gradually that this involuntary opposition of the proletariat to the manufacturing capitalist took the form of a strike. But before the workers arrived at a full knowledge of the power of this weapon, if properly used, and acted accordingly, a movement arose which, starting from a philanthropic point of view, undertook to cure the social ills by radical proposals of reform.

Robert Owen, 1771-1858, a self-made man, who had risen while still young to be co-proprietor of a great cotton mill in New Lanark, Scotland, first made the attempt there on a limited scale after 1801

Owen's Famous Factory to remedy by a thoughtful solicitude for the workers the evils which have been described.

He removed the children under ten years of age from the factory, limited the daily hours of labour for the adults to ten hours, constructed healthy dwellings as well as pleasure grounds for the workmen, arranged for the co-operative supply of provisions and other commodities, provided gratuitous attendance for the sick, and finally paid full wages to the operatives of his factory when, on account of the failure of cotton, they were obliged to remain idle.

But although Owen's factory, which, in spite of the great outlay for the welfare of the workers, had also material success, was famed throughout all Europe, and became the goal of philanthropists, statesmen, and kings on their tours, yet the example set by it was only occasionally followed by other factory owners. Owen was led by this fact to the conclusion that the deep-rooted evils could only be ended by universally binding legislation.

Thus he was the first to raise the demand for factory laws in 1813, and soon initiated a vigorous agitation with that object. After 1817 he devoted himself with peculiar energy to the problem of remedying the want of employment, which at that time,

The State's Duty to the Unemployed just when the first commercial crisis was appearing on English soil, occupied all thoughtful minds. His proposal, which was based on earlier ones of John Bellers, required the State to provide quarters for all persons capable of work but fallen out of employment, in special rural establishments, where they might be engaged in systematic productive work, either agricultural or industrial. By following out these thoughts he came to the conception of his socialistic system, but from that time his interest in the direct amelioration of the lot of the operative by "small means" began to wane.

The fundamental principle of the system of Owen, which was supported by copious arguments in two books, "A New View of Society," 1813, and "A Book of the New Moral World," 1836-1844, assumes that the character of every man is mainly determined by appropriate education and a corresponding form of environment; indeed, Owen thinks that "children can be educated to adopt any habits and ideas that may be wished, so long as they are not absolutely contrary to human nature." Nothing, unfortunately, he finds, is done to restrain the people from the inconsiderate pursuit of their desires; the consequence is the perverted condition of the world at present, shown

Miseries of Industrial Workers by the misery of the industrial proletariat. The reason why no steps have been taken in

this matter is found in the defective insight of our rulers; they did not even know the appropriate means to perfect men's characters. But now, so Owen declares, the means are obvious to everyone since the attempt has been

successfully made in New Lanark to raise the employees by moral education to a much higher level of morality.

It is merely necessary to guide men towards a correct comprehension of that personal happiness at which they all aim: that is to say, everyone should adopt that line of conduct which must promote the happiness of the community. Formerly men did not know this supreme law which governs the world; but now it is revealed, and can easily be made clear to all, that the personal happiness of the individual can only be increased in proportion as he exerts himself to promote the happiness of his neighbours. As soon as these fundamental propositions are part and parcel of every man, the separate means are not far to seek which can procure the greatest sum of happiness for the individual as well as for all mankind.


This proposition shows quite clearly that Owen must be regarded as a genuine scion of the philosophy of the eighteenth century, who shares its rationalistic and utilitarian ideas as well as its incorrigible and ambitious optimism. He believes with all sincerity that these bald propositions might renew the religion and morality of the world. "Here," he announces, "we have a firm foundation, on which a pure, unstained religion instinct with life may be constructed, and this the only one which can grant to mankind peace and happiness without any counteracting evil." Owen was, however, far too well acquainted with practical life and its needs to content himself, like the theorists of the eighteenth century, with ethical and educational suggestions. On the contrary, he completely realised that even the moral man, if he has not the opportunity offered him of earning his living by labour, must succumb to temptation. He was therefore led to establish, by the side of his educational system, a system of state-organised labour. This culminated in the

general application of the scheme, which we have already mentioned, for the aid of the unemployed. The whole work of production was to be carried out in communities of two, three, or four thousand souls, where the adults, by eight hours' common work daily, were to obtain most of the products, industrial and agricultural, required for their own use, and were to acquire the rest by exchanging their surplus products for the surplus products of the other communities.

The leading thought in this is distinctly "that each one of these communities shall be self-supporting, and shall be held responsible for its deficiencies." No special fundamental propositions for the distribution of goods--certainly the most difficult question in any communistic organisation of society--were advanced by Owen. How could any dispute arise when all were filled with deep morality, and where in consequence of the immense increase in production, there were goods in abundance for everyone? It was possible, therefore, to determine the indi-


vidual needs, and then to allot to each person his share in the goods of this life. In order to start his plans, Owen himself self-sacrificing to the highest degree, turned to the upper classes, where he expected to find equally great philanthropy. It was not until this appeal to the humanity of the

nobility and gentry met with no response that he began to agitate among the workers, but without fostering class hatred or generally abandoning strictly legal methods. At the same time he did not cease to apply once more to the ruling classes, and even to crowned heads, for sanction and support to his efforts, true to his principle that "rich and poor, monarchs and subjects, had at bottom but one interest." This agitation, which at times had been conducted with great spirit—Owen, between 1826 and 1837,



ONE OF OWEN'S LABOUR BANK NOTES

Among the many schemes started by Owen for the betterment of the conditions of the working people was the Labour Exchange Bank, which issued "labour notes," paper money possessing purchasing value in the stores of the bank. The enterprise, however, was a complete failure, the undertaking going into liquidation.



NEW LANARK AS IT WAS IN OWEN'S TIME. SHOWING HIS MODEL FACTORY

had issued 500 addresses, made 1,000 public speeches, and written 2,000 newspaper articles—met with the most vigorous opposition from the clergy, who, bitterly incensed at Owen's attacks on the Church, organised a counter movement. Even the regular popular party of the time, the Radicals, emphatically opposed Owen; for their goal was at first purely political—namely, the extension of the franchise. Owen had, however, declared the dispute for this political privilege to be unimportant, since all true popular interests could only be advanced by educational and economic reforms.

The total failure of Owen's communistic agitation was decided by the lamentable collapse of his communistic settlements, on the founding of which he was determined, since the English worker could not be convinced by doctrinaire arguments, but only by practical trial. So little was

Failure of Communistic Settlements

ever produced in these settlements that the rations of the colonists had to be reduced to the barest limits. Thus discontent was developed, which finally led to the abandonment of the settlements, naturally not without considerable financial loss to Owen.

He did not fare better with the Labour Exchange Bank started in 1832. This was intended to apply practically the ideal

principle of all exchange, the equality between the products and the profits of labour; a scheme which, if successful, would have led to the establishment of a socialistic community in the middle of capitalistic political economy. Every member of the bank could display goods in his shop, for which he at once received "labour notes," paper money issued by the bank. The amount of the labour notes paid was decided by the value of the raw material and the extent of labour required for the production of the goods in question on the average, not by the depositor himself only.

Owen's plans were therefore exposed to the ridicule whose shafts always inflict deadly wounds. The downfall of the communistic school in Britain was thus sealed. The factory population now fell under the influence of the politically revolutionary "Chartism." Owen could not support its illegal excesses and struggles for political privileges; and later, after Chartism, came the reign of trades unions and co-operative societies. While Owen's propaganda, in spite of exertions for many decades, only affected a small part of the working class—precisely its most moral and self-sacrificing members—towards the end of the "thirties" a powerful Labour party was suddenly formed in England. It happened as follows. During the violent popular movement which had carried the

reform of the franchise in 1832, the working classes had been brought forward as auxiliaries by the Liberal citizens. Although the reform, in the nature of things, could only enfranchise the middle class, yet it was assumed that the interests of the working classes were to be subsequently better considered by the legislature than heretofore. Since a Bill

**Labour
Unions
Founded**

of the Radicals to extend the circle of the franchise was rejected by a crushing majority and the reform was declared by Lord John Russell, the leader of the Liberals, to be definitely concluded, the workmen formed unions of their own. These were intended to bring about, by a fresh popular agitation, a renewed reform of the franchise, which should this time really consider the interests of the people.

At the head of these unions stood the "London Workmen's Association," founded in 1836, which proposed the following programme, originally drawn up by the Liberals: Universal suffrage, vote by ballot, equal electoral districts, annual elections of Parliament, abolition of property qualifications for Parliamentary candidates, and salaries for the members. This programme was proclaimed as the "People's Charter," because it was to serve the interests of the lower classes, just as, centuries before, the Magna Charta had served the interest of the aristocracy and middle classes; and, therefore, the supporters of this programme were termed "Chartists." Their intention was to alter social legislation in favour of the masses by help of their political demands, which were intended to be realised at once. It was therefore expressly stated in the first appeal which the London Workmen's Association in 1838 addressed to workmen of the whole kingdom: "If we are fighting for an equality of political rights, this is not done in order to shake off an unjust tax or to effect a transference of wealth,

**What the
"People's Charter"
Demanded**

power, and influence in favour of any one party. We do so in order to be able to cut off the source of our social misery, and by successful methods of prevention to avoid the infliction of penalties under unrighteous laws."

In all manufacturing towns, which had long been roused to violent excitement by systematic agitations against the Poor Law and the deplorable condition of the work-

men, the Chartist programme was received as a joyful message, and wherever factory chimneys smoked Chartist unions were sure to be found.

But this rapid success was only attained because the agitators had held out false hopes of immediate victory to themselves and their followers from among the working and middle classes. They calculated that, as in the reform movement of 1832, the ruling powers would once more yield to a vigorous popular movement. This was the fundamental error which was to prove disastrous to the party. When, indeed, in February, 1839, at a meeting of the "National Convention," the question of their subsequent course was raised, the inevitable result of that delusive agitation was that the party of "moral right," led by the Owenite, William Lovett, 1800-1877, with its programme of peaceful propaganda and a monster petition to Parliament, only represented the minority. The majority was composed of the party of "physical force," who took their battle-cry from Feargus O'Connor, 1796-1855, and thought themselves powerful enough to break down the

**Failure of
Revolutionary
Socialism**

strong fabric of the old system. It was resolved, in the event of the charter being refused by Parliament, to proclaim a "holy month," to strike work simultaneously in every industry. A petition for the introduction of the charter, supposed to contain more than a million signatures, was rejected, and riots immediately broke out.

For some time after that the doctrine was quiescent. But in July, 1840, the party was reorganised, on the basis of the principle that the charter was to be introduced by legal means. When, however, in the year 1842, a new monster petition was absolutely rejected by the Lower House, the "party of physical force" again came to the surface.

Chartism lingered on, until finally in 1848, after the February Revolution in Paris, it roused itself for a last trial of strength, but its effort was again a failure. Revolutionary Socialism in England had had its day. Nevertheless, this movement had not passed away without leaving a trace, for "it had produced one great result: it had roused the English working classes to the most outlying corners of the land from their traditional ideas of subjection, and made them realise their separate interests as a class."



THE TRIUMPH OF TRADES UNIONS AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF CO-OPERATION

THE movements which we have hitherto considered had met with no practical results. A better fate was reserved for one which, originating with the working classes themselves, endeavoured to attend to their interests on the basis of self-help, the movement of Labour Associations.

Trades Unions Regarded as Conspiracies Trades unions are workmen's self-defence associations for the purpose of improving the conditions of labour as well as for the protection of their professional interests generally. They were started in England, partly in connection with older journeymen's unions, in considerable numbers as early as the eighteenth century, when the first waves of the victoriously advancing capitalistic production burst on the working classes. But they were immediately resisted by legislature and heavy judicial sentences. English law extended the idea of conspiracy, which properly ought to be applied only to combinations for the commission of crimes or for the production of false evidence against third persons, to all combinations of workmen who wished to obtain higher wages.

A long list of special enactments forbidding coalitions in various trades had been issued throughout the whole eighteenth century. Finally, at the close of the century a strict general Act was passed which made all agreements between workmen, with the object of raising wages or lessening the hours or quantity of labour, punishable with imprisonment, and inflicted similar penalties on all who deterred a workman from accepting definite posts or caused him to leave them. The complete one-sidedness of these enactments is clearly seen in the fact that combinations of the employers, in order to influence wages, were only punishable by fines. The consequence of this was that at the beginning of the nineteenth century

secret trades unions had been formed everywhere, which, since all their demonstrations were treated with equal severity, employed the most reckless and reprehensible means for the attainment of their objects. Workmen who refused all complicity with their comrades, especially in strikes, the so-called "blacklegs," were actually attacked and sometimes fell victims to murderous onslaughts. The authorities naturally lost no time in proceeding to the severest counter measures. Labour coalitions could not, however, be suppressed, a sure proof that these represented in the age of capitalistic production a purely instinctive movement.

The prohibition of coalitions of workmen must have seemed to every impartial observer the more unjust, since coalitions of employers for the purpose of lowering wages were, thanks to the class justice of the English magistrates, always unpunished. A parliamentary report of 1824 states: "A number of cases have been communicated to us, in which employers of labour have been charged with combining together in order to lower the wages or to lengthen the hours of labour; but a case could never be adduced in which any employer had been punished for this misdemeanour."

Owing to the effect produced by a parliamentary inquiry proving the injustice and futility of the laws in question, a Bill of the Radical, Joseph Hume, was carried, which expunged from the statute book the prohibition on coalition, and threatened with imprisonment only cases of violence, menaces, or intimidation used for the purpose of forcing workmen to join a coalition, or of compelling employers to grant concessions to the workmen, in 1824. These privileges were indeed considerably restricted in the very next year, when the combinations suddenly spread over the whole country, and seemed to threaten seriously all the

proprietary interests of the citizen class ; for it was now ordained that conspiracies should include "all meetings about the labour conditions of absent persons, as well as those about the persons whom a master is to employ or not to employ, and about the machines which he is to use ; and further, all agreements not to work with a definite person, or to induce other persons to suspend or refuse to accept work."

Notwithstanding that these provisions threatened with penalties many proceedings which proved to be inseparable from an effective employment of labour associations, and actually gave cause to a number of convictions, they have not been able to check the victorious career of the trades unions. It was after 1825 that the labour associations assumed the form typical of their policy and their importance in the history of the world. Up to about 1830 they were strictly local combinations of workers in similar trades. But since in this way, owing to the weakness of the union, they could not adequately meet their duties—namely, to give relief in the case of strikes, want of employ-

Trades Unions as "Unlawful" Combinations ment, sickness, or incapacity—they saw themselves compelled spontaneously to start national unions in the separate branches. Since the trades unions, safeguarding the interests of the labour class with tenacious energy, frequently caused prolonged strikes, public opinion, influenced by the daily Press, which served the middle class, was long unfavourable to them.

The courts thus treated trades unions as "unlawful" combinations, and therefore, according to the old English law, refused them legal protection. Thus, for example, thefts of the property of trades unions were not liable to prosecution. Thus, again, after excesses had been committed by members of trades unions during riots, various steps were taken to suppress the organisations. The last attempt of this kind occurred in 1866. But a Royal Commission then appointed to investigate the nature of trades unions served to destroy many popular prejudices.

The official recognition of the trades unions dates from that time. It was announced by special laws of 1871 and 1876, the latter passed under the Conservative Cabinet of Disraeli, which sought the support of the Labour party, that trades unions could not be regarded as unlawful unions. So far as no direct com-

pulsion was used, liberty to strike was permitted to the fullest extent, since, for example, the posting of "pickets" in the vicinity of factories or dwelling-houses was expressly allowed. Besides this, the privileges of a "legal entity" were granted to those trades unions which had their regulations enrolled. "They may sue and

A New Era for the Trades Unions be sued, hold personal and real property, and take summary proceedings against their officials for dishonesty."

For this reason the Congress of the Trades Unions at Glasgow expressed to the Conservative party their "fullest acknowledgments of the greatest benefit that had ever been granted to the sons of toil."

From that time the formerly persecuted unions, which comprised in the year 1914 more than 2,000,000 members, were considered in England "respectable," and had a certain share in the government ; secretaries of trades unions were promoted to be factory inspectors, justices of the peace, or even members of the Ministry. But a more important point is that the public opinion of the country sees in trades unions a necessary institution, and often in disputes with employers takes the side of the workmen's combination.

The Government, when preparing labour laws, always applies for the advice of the trades unions. In the contracts of the Government and of many communities the observance of the terms of labour required by the trades unions is a preliminary stipulation. And, in places, a sort of constitutional management has been developed since the manager of the factory usually consults with the union about any circumstances which can at all affect the interests of the workmen.

If we make it clear to ourselves what trades unionism has done, we cannot refuse to acknowledge it as a splendid proof of the practical sense and great political capacity of the British working classes. It is a special charac-

Labour's Debt to Owen teristic of British common-sense that the Utopian ideas prevalent only largely contributed

to strengthen the power of the current of reform. The leaders of the trades unions movement were thorough-going followers of Owen, but they derived from the teaching of the great optimist merely the distant ideal of the future, while they devoted all their energies in the present to immediate practical improvements of the lot

THE TRIUMPH OF TRADES UNIONS

of the workman. Trades unions, in pursuing this policy, recognised for decades no alternative in the event of the refusal of their demands except a strike. When, however, the workmen had become wiser and their unions had collected large sums, the next step was that they looked for means which led to this goal without the employment of this two-edged sword. The employers also would naturally welcome, from the standpoint of their interests, any possibility of avoiding open war. "As soon as both parties merely consult their interests,

established by A. J. Mundella, at Nottingham, the centre of the manufacturing industries. This board consisted of ten representatives of the workmen and employers respectively. But every proposal as to the interpretation of the old, or the introduction of new, labour conditions had to be first brought before the so-called committee of inquiry, composed of two representatives of the workmen and the employers respectively. If this committee failed to come to an agreement, but not otherwise, the case was brought before the general meeting. The decision



SETTLING THE GREAT COAL STRIKE: THE CONFERENCE AT THE FOREIGN OFFICE

In 1893 the industries of the country were seriously interrupted by the prolonged dispute between the colliers and the mine-owners, the struggle lasting for about four months, and involving much suffering and financial loss. Lord Rosebery, at that time Secretary for Foreign Affairs in the Gladstone administration, was successful in arranging at the Foreign Office, on November 17th, a conference, over which he presided, between representatives of the Federal Coal-Owners and the Miners' Federation of Great Britain, terms being then agreed upon which ended the labour war.

they will ask themselves whether the object of the struggle—namely, to measure their strength—cannot be equally well attained by human judgment, just as the pressure of steam is ascertained by the application of some mechanism, instead of being learnt from the bursting of the boiler." From these considerations the system of "arbitration boards" grew up in Britain; these were intended to settle the disputes between labour and capital in a peaceful way. The type of many boards of this kind is the "board of arbitration" of 1860

adopted there had an absolute binding force on the disputing parties for a definite time, since the contract for work must contain the declaration of all parties thereto, that in the points at issue they will submit, without protest, to the decisions of the arbitration boards. The favourable experiences of this system, and of the system of Rupert Kettle, as county court judge, which was first tested in the building industry at Wolverhampton, led to the imitation of these systems in a number of industrial towns, and they were soon sanctioned by the

Legislature through the granting of appeals to the courts against the decisions of the chambers of arbitration by the Arbitration Act of August 6th, 1872. These systems have been finally perfected even in places where strong trades unions oppose equally close combinations of employers. Thus, in the coal industry of the counties of

Settling Disputes by Arbitration Durham and Northumberland, a permanent committee of six representatives of each party, with a neutral president, settled all separate disputes resulting from the application of the labour contract, which held uniformly good for the entire district. On the other hand, disputes as to the constitution of the labour contracts themselves—that is to say, as to the general principles of hours of labour and pay—are, so far as possible, settled by the full meeting of the employers in combination with the delegates of the trades unions. If no agreement results, the matter is referred to arbitration.

Each party is here represented by two arbitrators, who, for their part, choose the umpire, who delivers the final decision. A regular trial takes place before him, as before a court; evidence is tendered, witnesses are cross-examined, and speeches are made on both sides by the aforesaid arbitrators, who in reality are counsel. "The complete technical knowledge of the parties engaged, as well as the strength of the organisations backing them up, produces the result that these proceedings are carried out with the same acuteness, and are as smoothly transacted, as dealings between the largest business houses."

The award is unconditionally carried out by the two interested groups. The existence of the trades unions presupposes this, since otherwise no one would accept the responsibility beforehand of ensuring that many thousand workmen would really submit to the award. This is, of course, valid only for a definite number

Duties of the Arbitrator. of months; after that there must be a renewal of the old agreements, or a fresh examination of them. If the arbitrator gave his decision merely in accordance with his sympathies, this would have no lasting validity, but would only conceal in itself the germ for later conflicts. For this very reason "the arbitrator, like any third person called in to settle prices between two independent parties, has merely to ascertain that which, if

he did not intervene, would be established' as the natural limit of the price. Since he is called in to avoid conflict, he has to accomplish the same result as a conflict—namely, the reasonable settlement of the mutual conditions of power. Only when he has done that is he sure that his verdict will be lasting." A case in the year 1877 shows how little any awards which attempt to settle matters by moral considerations are able to arrange a dispute permanently.

Sir Farrer Herschell, as arbitrator, rejected the request of the colliery owners of Northumberland for a reduction in the wages of the miners. The owners submitted for the three months during which the award was to have validity, but immediately afterwards they renewed their demand, with the declaration that this time they must put the award out of the question, and, when the miners afterwards went on strike, they proved victorious. Parliament and Government have exerted themselves to support this development as much as possible. Thus the Act for Conciliation and Arbitration of the year 1895 was passed, which gives to the

Board of Trade's new Powers Board of Trade the right of interfering in labour disputes. The most important proviso is that the Board of Trade may itself order the parties to nominate delegates in order to settle the dispute by mutual negotiations; on some occasions, under the presidency of a competent person designated by the Board. The Board may also, on its own responsibility, send persons to investigate the matters in dispute, and to furnish a report on the subject; finally, it may urge the establishment of a chamber of arbitration in districts and industries which are still without one.

The chambers of arbitration have since then become more numerous, and have frequently displayed a profitable activity; but their actual results must not be overestimated. There is hardly any institution in the social-political field which all political and social parties so combine to recommend as these very chambers of arbitration. Nevertheless, in forty years they have not been universally adopted; in fact, very often they have been prohibited even in the limited field where their introduction was a success. This experience has clearly demonstrated that the arbitration boards are, contrary to expectation, unable to produce social peace.

THE TRIUMPH OF TRADES UNIONS

The transition from communism to social reform, seen in the trades union movement, is more conspicuously prominent in the movement towards co-operation, which was the immediate result of Owen's teaching and agitation, after the clouds of illusion had lifted. Owen had encouraged the workmen to found communities in order to provide themselves with the necessities of life by co-operative production. After many unsuccessful attempts the fact was established that co-operative stores represented the only form of community of which the labourer was at the time capable. And when this was once known, such societies and their shops sprang up like mushrooms from the soil.

Thus a movement originated in 1826 which, in the words of its historian, Mrs. Sidney Webb, "represents the first real attempt of the British labouring classes to embody in a practical form the ideas of Owen." The spirit which animated these true pioneers of social reform is aptly described by the motto with which the regulations of the society at Warrington were introduced, running as follows: "They

Rochdale Pioneers of Co-operation helped one another, each his own brother, and each said to his brother: 'Be of good cheer!'" But the young plant which blossomed so quickly and so luxuriantly—in 1832 nearly 500 co-operative stores were already in existence—faded again rapidly, and only a few years later there was hardly a trace of the whole movement, while the labour world was intensely excited by the Chartist propaganda. Its overthrow coincides with the new impetus given to the co-operative movement, which has since lasted almost uninterruptedly to the present day.

The men who then took the lead were the "Rochdale Equitable Pioneers," as twenty-eight poor flannel weavers called themselves, who, on the day after Christmas, 1844, opened the "Old Weaver's Shop" in a back street of Rochdale, with a capital of £28 in all. The statutes announced as their object "the erection of a shop for the sale of provisions, articles of clothing, etc.; the building, purchase, and fitting up of a number of houses in which the members can live who wish to help each other in the improvement of their domestic and social position; the production of such wares as the society shall determine to make, in order to provide work for unemployed, or, especially, badly paid members; the pur-

chase or renting of plots of ground for the same purpose; lastly, the establishment by this society so soon as possible of a self-supporting colony in the country, with a co-operative system of production and distribution, or the furtherance of other attempts to found similar societies." It is clearly seen here how illusions can largely

Methods of the Co-operators contribute to success, for they gave to those poor weavers, and the many thousands who followed their example, the proud consciousness that they were the disciples of a lofty ideal and the pioneers of mankind, and inspired them with that feeling of exuberant strength which made them capable of bold action and persistent effort. This social prospect could not, however, again dim the view of practical life, as was shown from the typical constitution, so often imitated, which the Rochdale Pioneers drew up for themselves.

According to it their shop made the ordinary retail prices the basis of the sales, and then divided the profits obtained from the business among the members in proportion to the extent of the purchases effected. The purchaser received a receipt, usually a tin counter, for the amount of his purchases. At the end of every quarter the counters were given back, in order that the profits might be distributed accordingly. They usually amounted in English co-operative stores to between 5 per cent. and 15 per cent. in the three months. Anyone could be a member on payment of one shilling entrance fee. Members, therefore, practically were only customers. Of course, under this arrangement every member had an interest in the extension of the body of members, because the turnover then increased, and with it the business expenses were lessened, and so the dividend became larger. After 1872 the practice began of supplying the requirements of the wholesale societies from their own factories.

Disraeli's Service to Co-operation Co-operative societies, as opposed to trades unions, were soon favoured by the legislature. Here, too, it was Disraeli who most prominently came to their aid, and procured for them, by a series of statutes, from 1852 to 1876, the rights of corporations, after formal registration, together with all other desirable privileges, and limited the liability of members to their subscribed shares in the business.



ENGAGING DOCK LABOURERS AT THE WEST INDIA DOCKS



A FAMILIAR SCENE IN TIMES OF DEPRESSION: "WE'VE GOT NO WORK TO DO!"

From the drawing by Fred. Barnard

TWO PICTORIAL STUDIES IN THE INDUSTRIAL PROBLEM

In the first of these drawings the artist has depicted the eager competition for employment which is daily to be witnessed in times of trade depression at the Docks, where casual labour finds its most likely market, while in the second the unemployed vocalists, who complain that they have "got no work to do," have evidently abandoned the search for it.



THE MARCH OF SOCIAL REFORM AND LABOUR'S RECOGNITION BY THE STATE

THE factory system, with its various branches, had brought with it an unprecedented increase in the labour exacted from the workers, especially from the women and children. Owen, at the beginning of his social reforms, had already abolished those evils in New Lanark, where he was master. But since he saw that such an example was only exceptionally imitated by other owners of factories, he came to the conclusion that the deep-seated distress could only be ended by legislation binding on all alike.

Thus Owen was the first who raised the cry for factory laws, and soon afterwards commenced a violent agitation for this object from 1813 to 1817. The programme which he now developed contained, first, the prohibition of the industrial labour of children under ten years, as well as of all children who could not show a certain minimum of learning; and, secondly, the maximum working day of six hours for children from ten to twelve years, and of ten and a half hours for all adult factory workers. Owen in this way, although he afterwards devoted his attention almost exclusively to his Utopian schemes, introduced the idea of the protection of workers into the modern social movement.

If merely the interests of the ruling class were of weight, as the materialistic theory of history asserts, the protection of the worker would never have been introduced, so long, at least, as the labouring classes possessed no influence in Parliament. As a matter of fact, this measure was proposed and passed, thanks to moral, religious, and philanthropic reasons, aided by the far-sighted deliberations of wise statesmen. The first comprehensive factory law was enacted in 1819 at the instance of Robert Peel, the father of the famous statesman, himself a manufacturer. This prohibited the employment of children under nine years in cotton mills, and limited the working day of

young persons up to sixteen years of age to twelve hours. But the law had no effective results, since the local police authorities were far too subservient to the wholesale manufacturers. A new Factory Act was passed in 1833, which appointed special officials to superintend the protection of the workmen—namely, factory inspectors—an institution which has been copied by all civilised states, and fixed for all textile factories a working day of eight hours for children from nine to thirteen years, and of twelve hours for young persons from thirteen to eighteen years.

Even before this, in the "twenties" of the nineteenth century, a great popular movement in favour of a ten-hour working day had commenced, which was led by a philanthropic politician, Richard Oastler, a Tory, "the manufacturing king"; John Fielden, Thomas Sadler, and Lord Anthony Ashley, afterwards Earl of Shaftesbury, 1801-1885, were also conspicuous. This movement, which lasted almost twenty years, roused great enthusiasm amongst the working classes, and, in view of the want of employment which prevailed towards the end of the "thirties" and the high price of bread, assumed locally forms which alarmed the governing and wealthy classes.

Thus Sir Robert Peel himself declared: "The misery and the uncertainty in the position of the labouring classes is too great. It is a disgrace and a danger to our civilisation; it is absolutely necessary to make their position less hard and less precarious.

If we cannot do everything, we can at least do something, and it is our duty to do what we are able." The Chartist agitation, which was exciting all England, served finally to make people understand the state of affairs. Chartism, indeed, which had already, in 1839, failed in its main point, had been able to effect very little direct change in the social conditions;

**Improving
the Conditions
of Labour**

**Owen's
Utopian
Schemes**

**The Chartist
Agitation
in England**

but its indirect results were all the greater, for its abrupt ending made the labour classes understand that it is impossible to break the strong framework of the old constitution by the employment of force. They tried, therefore, henceforth to serve their aims by conformity to the existing institutions. On the other hand, Chartism

The Social Gospel of Carlyle made it clear to wide circles of the ruling classes that things could no longer go on as hitherto, that the familiar 'laissez-aller' policy in social matters must be abandoned. Thus there arose in the wealthy and educated class intellectual currents which were favourable to the concession of the reasonable demands of the labouring class.

Thomas Carlyle signalised himself as the most mighty preacher of a healthy inner life, and to him above all the credit is due of having roused the social conscience of his time. He is distinguished from the Socialists and Radicals in the principle that he considers that human society necessarily involves some notion of rule, otherwise the society could not last. But he assumes two points—that the ruling party protects and safeguards the weaker class, and that this latter is loyal and well behaved towards its leader and protector. Both, however, only thrive on the soil of the faith and the work of all concerned. Work is necessary in order to justify our existence on earth, and faith in the ideal beyond the grave is needed in order to make the severity of labour and the miseries of our existence endurable by us.

The evils of the present day, according to Carlyle's conception, have their root in the fact that all these assumed conditions of a really human existence are not forthcoming. The old relations and ties between the feudal lords and their vassals have ceased, to give place to the unsympathetic payment of ready money as the only bond, "the cash nexus," between

Worship of Mammon capitalist and workman. The poor man no longer finds any protection, but remains left to himself; the result is that he has no loyal feelings for the ruling classes, but thinks only of rebellion and revolution. Faith is tottering everywhere, even if it be not lost; and finally, work has become irksome to all, so that the proletarian does it only with reluctance, while the aristocrat tries completely to avoid it. Thus men think "this universe is a large,

capacious cattle-stall and a workhouse with an enormous kitchen and long dining-tables, and that he alone is wise who can find his place at it."

The actual circumstance that at the present time, under the rule of selfishness, the signs of the dissolution, the transitoriness, and the unendurable burden of the existing conditions are noticeable, is for Carlyle a reassuring symptom. For now only two courses are left: either the nations, eaten up by the worship of Mammon, succumb, fall a prey to foreign conquerors, and then receive, as is right, a new faith and a new aristocracy forced on them from without; or they develop for themselves new ideals and a new social fabric, in which all sections will be knit together by the bond of mutual loyalty.

It is comprehensible that in Britain especially no contentment is found, since the prevailing doctrines and institutions are unsuitable. Carlyle heaps deadly scorn on them, one after the other. Look now at the utilitarian philosophy and the corresponding national economy; they start with a world of knaves, and wish

A Golden Age for the Workers that something honest should result! Look again at the Malthusians! They imagine that the labouring class, by sexual

restraint, has it in its power to diminish the number of "hands" and to improve its position. They believe in a golden age, when twenty million workers strike simultaneously in the same domain. They needed, indeed, only to pass in an all-embracing trades union the resolution not to marry until the state of the labour market was again completely satisfactory! Or look at the constitution of Parliament! "There no British subject can become a statesman, the leader in deeds, unless he has first shown himself the leader in words! Surely this is the very worst method of election that could be devised!" Or, lastly, consider the government of the existing majority! It provides neither help nor guidance to the people, but is a thing which bobs up and down on the waves of popular favour like the body of a drowned jackass. The end is that a revolt of the people gathers, and some day bursts with fury and dashes the dead body down into the mud at the bottom.

All this must be changed. But how? Carlyle promised himself but little from Socialism. He did not wish for a Utopia, even if its realisation were possible. He

THE MARCH OF SOCIAL REFORM

wished hard work for all, since that is the destiny of mankind, and a system of subordination under the most efficient, since in no other way can the continuance and advancement of human society be ensured. The old principles of government must be revived.

Formerly, the lower classes stood in countless different relations to the upper classes beyond those of buyer and seller as now—in the relation of soldier and general, tribesman and chief, loyal subject and ruling monarch. "With the complete triumph of hard cash another age has come, and thus a new aristocracy must come." This is to be the

"nobility of industry," which organises and conducts a noble government, and must be responded to by the subjects with loyalty and obedience. At the time there will be a few leaders of industrial undertakings who will realise this ideal; but soon there will be more and more of them. until we, at last, shall have a noble and upright country of industry under the rule of the wisest. The motto of the nobleman of the future is. "Honourable conduct in business and warm-hearted interest in the welfare of all whom he may employ." This is the theme of Carlyle's positive social policy, which he varies from time to time with new illustrations and historical parallels, now pathetically, now sadly, now with the bold flights of idealist prophecy, now with the thundering denunciations of an Old Testament prophet. Carlyle is thus the first to announce an order of things in which the philanthropic manufacturers, filled with sympathy for the community, are to form the ruling class, the social aristocracy. From

this point of view all else seems incidental, if only the leading sections of the community rise, as is anticipated, of their own impulse to the realisation of a "new code of duties." If Carlyle is therefore no political Socialist, he is yet always sufficiently a

friend to the working classes to advocate the State support of the lower orders; on the other hand, he is an outspoken opponent of the democratic development, which appears to him necessary only so long as the ruling classes cannot remember their duty.

If we wish to form a correct estimate of Carlyle, we must

not conceive him to be a scientific philosopher or a national economist; he would have been no more able to explain the principles of modern political economy than he was capable of abstruse meditations on the last problems of willing and

being. His greatness rather consisted in the fact that he was a powerful writer, who knew how to awaken enthusiasm in the social policy of the nation. All his individual ideas, on account of this defective knowledge of political economy, were of no practical use, and were far too hastily sketched to be capable of application to real life; but they were the most powerful literary means for spreading among the higher classes of the nation the feeling that the workers were unjustly suffering, and that this condition must be remedied by reforms. Carlyle

himself indeed believed in a future when England would be ruled by a nobility of industry, and all England soon echoed with this new rallying cry. This was an idea which, as such, represented only an illusion of the ruling classes; but an illusion



Frederic D. Maurice



Earl of Shaftesbury

PIONEER LEADERS OF SOCIAL PROGRESS

Leader of a movement which taught that "our interests are common, and every man is full of duties towards his neighbour," the Rev. F. D. Maurice was recognised as the founder of modern "Christian Socialism," while the Earl of Shaftesbury was ever in the forefront of all causes that aimed at the uplifting and Christianising of the people.

Photos by Ma

1 Elliott & Fry



E. V. NEALE

A wealthy advocate of co-operation, Edward Vansittart Neale was a true friend of the working classes, aiming at peaceful reform and making sacrifices on its behalf.

whose influence led to the rejection of the Manchester dogma in labour questions by the leading circles, and to the adoption by them of a friendly attitude towards the efforts of the workers in the direction of co-operation and coalition. Next to Carlyle must be mentioned Benjamin Disraeli, afterwards Earl of Beaconsfield, 1804-1881, the founder of the first "Social-Conservative group" in Parliament, that of the so-called "Young England." He adopts the essential points of Carlyle. But we find also much that is original in his ideas; above all, the thought of the social kingdom comes for the first time prominently forward. In recent years, he explains, definite classes have ruled in England, and the result is that struggle between those who possess property and those who have none, which, under the dominion of free competition, has produced the unhappy condition of the people.

This calamity must be ended by abolishing the dominion of the classes, and therefore all class legislation. The power must be given to the king, as the only constitutional authority which represents no class interests. Under monarchical government, morality and religion will once more be established in the land. And the most powerful agent is the true nobility which embraces all that has been conspicuous in the state, whether from high birth or from talent, virtues, office, or wealth.

Disraeli, in his novels "Coningsby, or the New Generation," 1844, and "Sybil, or the Two Nations," 1845, has clearly described the results of this doctrine in practical life. In them he instances the model factories, where nothing but love and concord prevail between capitalist and worker. The manufacturer also does his best in this direction, since he takes the most comprehensive measures for the prosperity of his employees, shortens their

Disraeli's Model Employer hours of labour, prepares for them good dwelling-houses, gardens, baths, schools, reading-rooms and churches, and provides for their pleasures by musical societies, games, festivals, and dancing. Many workmen, through their master's aid, actually come to be the owners of their own houses, gardens, and small farms.

This philanthropy finds its earthly reward in the efficiency and willingness of the workers, so that Disraeli's model

manufacturer declares that from the point of view of profits this investment of capital has been one of the best he has ever made. It is the duty of the young aristocratic politicians, to whom Disraeli also directly appealed, to make such a state of affairs universal. His appeal actually fired men's enthusiasm. A number of young members of the nobility, who were fresh from the university and filled with the romantic spirit of the time, formed themselves into the "Young England" party, which honoured Disraeli as its head and teacher, and was eager for social reforms.

Another movement tried to revive the old religious feeling and to lay the only true foundation of economic reform by filling all men with a genuinely Christian spirit. The leader in it was Frederic Denison Maurice, chaplain to Lincoln's Inn, 1805-1872, who taught: "our interests are common, and every man is full of duties towards his neighbour." For this reason the opposite, and unchristian, idea of the constitution of society was to be refuted, and the coincidence of the interests

Founder of Christian Socialism of all men to be expressed in practical action. Maurice thus founded the modern "Christian Socialism." He was soon joined by other men of equal sincerity of character and of unwearying solicitude for the welfare of the workers—above all by Charles Kingsley, John Malcolm Ludlow, and Vansittart Neale—"a body of friends," as John Stuart Mill said, "chiefly clergymen and barristers, to whose noble exertions hardly enough praise can be awarded."

Since the masses of workmen in crowded meetings joined enthusiastically this crusade against the abuses of the new order of things, the reform movement of the "forties" was bound in the end to become irresistible, especially since parliamentary inquiries and official reports had proved the enormous extent to which the "sweated" labouring classes were over-worked. In vain the supporters of the prevailing doctrine of "laissez-faire," Cobden and Bright, the acknowledged leaders of the school, at their head, resisted with all their might the agitation which struck such a blow at the fundamental propositions of Manchester and was consequently decried as harmful; in vain the great employers of labour, under the leadership of the powerful ironmaster, Lord Londonderry, took the field against "the hypocritical

philanthropy which now prevails"; in vain the employers of the textile industry raised heartbreaking complaints over the threatening ruin of their trade; in vain the learned Oxford professor, Senior, "proved" minutely by the so-called "analysis of the manufacturing process"—in reality by incorrect calculations of the costs of production and prices of manufactured wares—that the whole net profit of the capital sunk in factories came from the twelfth hour of labour, and that therefore that hour could not possibly be curtailed. Dr. Andrew Ure, the panegyrist of the factory system, tried in vain to lay stress on the interests and the morals of the protected young persons themselves, who, if too early released from the discipline of the factory, would be driven into the arms of idleness and vice.

All these forms of opposition, besides the opinion of the head of the government, Sir Robert Peel, which, being unfavourable to reform, weighed heavily in the scale, were defeated by the force of the movement supported by popular feeling. At the decisive voting in Parliament a part of the Whigs, under the leadership of **Better Times for the Workers** Macaulay, who in spirited words recommended the protection of workmen as a means of retaining in the nation all those high qualities which had made the country great, allied themselves with the majority of the Tories and with the Radicals, in order to decree the ten-hour working day for persons from thirteen to eighteen years and for all female workers, at first only in the textile industry in 1847.

Although this law, in fact, reduced the working day to ten hours not only for the protected persons, but generally for all employees, since the protected classes composed 60 per cent. of all operatives, yet none of the consequences feared by interested or learned antagonists have ensued. The value of the British exports, reckoned before the passing of the law in 1846 at 57·7 million pounds sterling, had a few years later, in the year 1852, risen to 78 millions, an increase of 35 per cent. "If the shrewd calculation of Professor Senior had been correct," so a factory inspector remarked in his report with pointed irony, "every cotton mill in the United Kingdom would have worked for years at a loss." And with reference to the supposed degeneration of the children in consequence of too short a working day.

a report of the factory inspection of the year 1848 noted that "such uncharitable talk about idleness and vice must be stigmatised as the purest cant and the most shameless hypocrisy."

Thus, the marvellous development of industry, hand in hand with the moral and physical renaissance of the factory worker, struck the dullest eye. **Marvellous Development of Industry** The laws were gradually extended to the other great industries, and in 1867, under Disraeli's Ministry, partly also to the workshops; and in 1868, at the instigation of this same Minister, the whole of this legislation, which had already become somewhat confused, was consolidated and completed in the "Factory and Workshop Act."

The manufacturers, even before this, had completely reconciled themselves to the thought of the protection of workmen. Henceforth they offered no more resistance either on principle, by means of political agitation, or, in practical life, by infringement of the factory laws. On this head a committee appointed by Parliament to examine the working of the existing factory laws reported in 1876: "The numerous former inquiries into the position of the children and women engaged in the various industries of the country have disclosed conditions which produced a great outburst of public sympathy, and imperatively called for the intervention of the legislature."

A striking contrast to the circumstances disclosed in these reports is afforded by the present position of the persons in whose favour the various factory and workshop Acts have been passed. Some employments are still unhealthy in spite of the sanitary provisions of these Acts, and in other industries there is still occasionally a pressure of work beyond the limits defined by law, which is prejudicial to the health of the operatives. But such cases are exceptional. At the same time we have no cause for assuming that the legislation which has shown itself so beneficial to the workers engaged has caused any considerable damage to the industries to which it applied. On the contrary, industrial progress was clearly not checked by the factory laws; and there are only few, even among the employers, who now wish for a repeal of the chief provisions of this Act or deny the benefits produced by this legislation.

Fruits of Labour Legislation



SOCIAL PROBLEMS IN FRANCE

THE STRIVINGS AFTER EQUALITY AND LIBERTY

IN France the first social movement, in the modern sense, was in connection with the great Revolution. This had tried to put into practice the ideas of Rousseau as to the Law of Nature. Man is by nature good, so Rousseau taught.

Principle of the New Constitution

This good, uncorrupted man, so Robespierre added, was now personified by the lower orders only, who had remained untouched by luxury and vice. The government was, therefore, to be transferred to the lower orders by the grant of equal political privileges to all citizens, and thus the reign of everlasting equality, virtue, and happiness would dawn. The new constitution of 1793 adopted as its principle: "All men are equal by nature and by law," and "The object of society is the welfare of all." Thus, Robespierre declared: "We wish that in our country selfishness may be replaced by morality, ambition by honesty, decency by the sense of duty, contempt of misfortune by contempt of vice."

But men had not yet arrived at clear ideas of a new distribution of property. On the contrary, this result was not attained until the Directory, after the Democratic constitution of 1793 had been set aside. It was due to François Noël Babeuf, 1764-1797, a former partisan of Robespierre. Starting with the precepts of the Law of Nature, Babeuf pictured to himself the ideal society based on the following precepts: the duty of all to work; statutory settlement of the number of working hours; regulation of production by a supreme board

Babeuf's Ideal Society

elected by the people; division of the necessary work among the individual citizens; the right of all citizens to all enjoyments; and a corresponding distribution of property among individuals, according to the standard of equality. Since even the boldest imagination hesitated to hope from one day to another

for the realisation of this ideal, Babeuf had planned a series of appropriately devised measures as a connecting link between the present and the social regeneration of the future. In the first place, a "great national community of property" was to be established, to which all State property, all property of the "enemies of the popular cause," as well as all estates which were left uncultivated, were to be attached.

Every Frenchman could join the community if he gave up his property and placed his working powers at its disposal. Besides that, the community would inherit all private estates. The members were to work in common, and would receive all the food "which composed a moderate and frugal cuisine," and other necessities of life. Anyone who entered the community burdened with debt became exempt from all liabilities. On

An Army of Theorists and Discontents

the basis of this programme, Babeuf, favoured by the circumstances described, succeeded in collecting round him many thousand followers, chiefly old supporters of the Jacobin doctrines, discontented members of the middle class, and political theorists of every rank, but only a very small proportion of artisans.

The Government interfered, alarmed at the threatening character of the movement. A secret association, the Club of the Pantheon, was therefore formed, which took steps to prepare a decisive blow. It was proposed to capture the capital by a coup-de-main, in order to plant side by side the banners of economic and political equality; although the prepared manifesto to the people cautiously spoke only of the restoration of the overthrown constitution of 1793, in order that all who held Jacobin views might join the agitators. While the rebellion was still being secretly discussed, Babeuf and his colleagues, who had long been betrayed and watched by the police, were

• arrested in May, 1796. Being brought before the National Tribunal, Babeuf and his friend, Darthé, although acquitted on the charge of conspiracy, were condemned to death for inciting men to divide private property, and guillotined May 27th, 1797, and seven fellow-conspirators, among them the future historian of the movement, Filippo Buonarroti, 1761-1837, were sentenced to banishment. The young communistic movement thus become leaderless was doomed to rapid extinction.

It was not until the third decade of the nineteenth century that a large socialistic movement was again started in France, at a time when the industrial development had not yet created an enormous proletariat. This explains why it found its followers mainly among the sections of the middle and upper classes, which were steeped in idealism. Here "the young men had heard in their childhood of the portentous events of the Revolution, had lived through the Empire, and were sons of heroes or victims; their mothers had conceived them between two battles, and the thunder of cannon had ushered them into the world."

**Bazard the
Prophet of
Socialism**

These youths, passionate and romantic in spirit, full of an instinctive dislike of the unscrupulous egotism and the prosaic dullness of the bourgeois society around them, were forced to offer strong opposition to the prevailing utilitarianism, and to welcome rapturously the first prophet who undertook an attack on selfishness, narrow-mindedness, and the aristocracy of wealth. Such a man was Bazard in 1828, who enlisted supporters for Socialism in connection with the teaching of Saint-Simon.

Count Claude Henri de Saint-Simon, 1760-1825, who, while able to found a school, could never produce a regular movement, had stopped short of Socialism. He had never clearly understood the war between capital and proletariat. On the contrary, he included both classes under the category of "industrials"—that is, as the body of those who work at the production of material enjoyments—who, as the most numerous and productive class, ought properly to govern the State, while, as a matter of fact, the great landowners, the clergy, and the high officials possessed the power. The political background of the time favoured these ideas. At that period, 1815-1830, the decisive war in France between the

adherents to the "ancien regime" and the bourgeoisie supported by the people was being waged, while the class dispute between the property-owning orders and the proletariat, which was now first developing, had not yet made itself felt. The teaching of Saint-Simon was the theoretical expression of the aspiring classes generally. The supremacy of the "industrials," which he advocated, began to assert itself in the actual economic development as the supremacy of capital. The spirit of the age, no less than the essence of Saint-Simon's nature, which was wrapped up in mysticism, required that his system should be first and foremost a religious and moral one. He therefore expressly termed it "a new Christianity." His object was to accustom mankind to a new code of ethics, in order to raise on this foundation a new political and social fabric.

"In the new Christianity," he wrote, "all morality will be directly derived from the principle that men are to regard each other as brothers. This principle, which was held by primitive Christianity, will be explained, and in its new form will lay down the fundamental proposition that religion must direct society towards the one great end, the immediate amelioration of the lot of the poorest class." Thus it was Saint-Simon's intention to perfect the material side of Christianity, and so to bring about complete earthly happiness.

Saint-Simon had not contemplated a property reform. This was first planned by Saint-Amand Bazard, 1791-1832, who also, in connection with the historical-social ideas of his master, had elaborated a special doctrine of historical development. According to this, there are two fundamental social ideas, that of selfishness, or of individualism, and that of unity, or of association. According as the latter or the former principle predomi-

**Definite
Purpose of
Mankind** nates, organic or critical periods in the history of nations may be distinguished.

The organic epoch is characterised by the universally recognised authority of definite ideas, by the prevalence of the same thoughts in the minds of all, and by a united effort towards the same ends. Mankind here felt itself conscious of some definite purpose, and therefore proceeded to raise permanent social structures. The critical epoch was marked

by criticism of the traditional principles, which were deprived of their influence over men's minds by the disappearance of public spirit and by the reign of individualism. Existing institutions were undermined, until finally the edifice which earlier times had reared crashed down. The followers of the new doctrine announced "to the

The Dreams of French Visionaries

astounded world an age so full of fame and magnificence, such glorious times, such golden crops and rich harvests, such happy people, so much wealth and pleasure, so much greatness, enjoyment, and harmony, that the most indifferent opened eyes and ears and were intoxicated with these prophetic visions."

The elaboration of this doctrine in detail was chiefly due to Barthélemy Prosper Enfantin, 1796-1864, who represented all profits, rents, and dividends as a species of income which did not depend on the labour of the possessor, but on the "exploitation" of the workman. The fundamental principles which were to put an end to all this, had to be carried out by a hierarchical organisation of society, and so the contesting Saint-Simonian party had already been organised on a strict system of hierarchy, and its guidance entrusted to two high priests—*pères supérieurs*—Bazard and Enfantin in 1829.

But when Enfantin, becoming arrogant from the number of his followers, who were reckoned by thousands, demanded the "emancipation of the flesh," since he preached that the marriage tie should not be binding if affections grow cool, because society ought to be just to all natures, even to flirts and coquettes, then Bazard seceded, in 1831, disgusted at such a travesty of the true teaching. The "Globe," the organ of the school, soon preached without any further shame the bold doctrine of free love. Such a foolish and immoral deterioration could not fail to alienate the people from a doctrine

Fragments of a Great Cause

stained with extravagance and indecency. Enfantin could only find forty loyal followers when he withdrew to his property at Ménilmontant, near Paris, with the fragments of what had been shortly before so flourishing a school. "Enfantin," the last number of the "Globe" declared, "is the messiah of God, the king of the nations. The world sees its Christ, and recognises him not; therefore, he withdraws himself from you with his apostles." The last

survivors of the school, Olinde Rodrigues, Michel Chevalier, Charles Duveyrier, were finally dispersed by legal intervention, since a charge of immorality was brought against them in August, 1832. So rapidly was the movement past, and so violent was the disenchantment of the public, that "nothing was left of the whole incident except a feeling of astonishment that men could ever have paid attention to it, and a new ground for distrust of innovations. Before a year elapsed people spoke of Saint-Simonism as of a long-forgotten matter."

Charles Fourier, 1772-1837, elaborated his social theory independently of Saint-Simon. Its starting point was strictly individualistic. His aim was not the happiness of the community nor the equality of all, but the satisfaction of the impulses of the individuals, the most enjoyable life for each separate person. All individual impulses, according to Fourier, come from God, as necessarily follows from their existence, and are therefore good. It is only necessary to give them free play on a profitable field; the result is then obtained that men can always have wishes and

Fourier's Social Theory

desires, and that the earth can readily satisfy all their wishes. If at the present time men have longings which remain unsatisfied, and impulses which must be suppressed, this, in view of the harmony between wish and enjoyment which God wills, is an evil which must exclusively be attributed to the deficient organisation of human society.

The system of Fourier only attained considerable importance after the dissolution of the Saint-Simonian school. Victor Considérant, 1808-1897, had great influence on it, as he freed the master's teaching from all kinds of fantastic additions, and at the same time brought prominently forward certain vigorous ideas which could be turned to account in the popular agitation, such as the right to work and the insurance of the worker. Both these movements, Saint-Simonism as well as Fourierism, had, on the whole, found supporters only among the "intellectuals," and those members of the middle class who were theorists. The real mass of workers kept aloof from them as a rule.

The first interference of the French workmen in politics followed rather in connection with the secret societies of the Republicans. In the middle of the "twenties" a new secret society, the "Société des

SOCIAL PROBLEMS IN FRANCE

• "Amis du Peuple," had formed itself out of the ruins of the overthrown Carbonari conspiracy, with a Jacobin programme. Its management was in the hands of a number of young men, mostly students, who succeeded in carrying their agitation into the ranks of the workmen. Out of this society, which made various attempts to effect the establishment of the Republic by concerted risings, was developed, after various intermediate steps, the "Société des Familles," the views of which advocated communism.

Filippo Buonarroti, an Italian, one of the banished members of Babeuf's party, had received an amnesty, and on his return had plunged once more headlong into the whirlpool of conspiracy. Thus he had become a Carbonaro, and he afterwards joined that republican body of conspirators. True to his old ideals, he had tried to introduce communism into these associations. But that which the speeches of the feeble old man failed to effect was accomplished by his spirited narrative of Babeuf's teaching, heroism, and martyrdom. The members of the secret clubs—the "intellectuals," the middle class, and the workers—recognised that the only true result of equality for them was communism. Louis Auguste Blanqui, 1805–1881, and Armand Barbès, 1809–1870, two ex-students who had played a part in all republican plots, and had been in the forefront of every disturbance, were the leaders of these communists.

Disheartened by no failures, and crushed by no penalties, these past-masters of conspiracy used every release from prison as an opportunity to plan at once fresh murderous schemes and assassinations. These men, who wanted rather the fiendish delight of conspiracy than any object to conspire for, did not attempt to initiate any such tangible schemes of reform as even Babeuf had already started. The tactics of the secret society guided by them were to make the ruling power incapable of resistance by a skilful and bold coup-de-main at the appropriate moment, and to rouse the people to revolt. An attempt on the life of the king was advised as a preliminary skirmish before the pitched battle. The method of this political warfare is what the Socialists have since usually called "Blanquist tactics." On May 12th, 1830, the insurrection of the Blanquists, 850 in number, took place; but since at that

moment no political or economic crisis was felt, the expected response was not forthcoming, and the rising was soon quelled.

While the difficulties of association were so great, the natural disinclination of the French to form strong and permanent party combinations could not fail to produce a large variety of sects, corresponding to the many Socialistic schemes of the time. The exaggerated doctrine of Babeuf as to equality was continued by the school of Etienne Cabet, 1788–1856, which wished to attain its object by strictly legal methods, and in other points made an advantageous departure from the crudities of Babeuf's scheme. The Fourierists have been already mentioned. Next came the school of Philippe Buchez, 1796–1865, who had given a more distinct character to the shapeless propositions of the Fourierists by the effective remedy of union. Buchez insisted from 1831 onwards that the workmen ought to economise until they could form themselves into a productive association. A part of the profits of the business ought then to be applied either to the extension of the old association or to the founding of a new one, until finally all the workmen in France were owners of the capital necessary for production. This train of thought led, as Lexis pointed out, to a series of actual attempts, and certain sections of the Parisian working classes clung tenaciously to the idea.

The plan developed by Louis Blanc, 1811–1882, of founding such "productive" associations by state-given aid could not fail to meet with more support from the proletariat. For then the workman did not require to save out of his small wages; and besides this, the labouring class was liberated at a blow. The scheme of Blanc culminated in the special point that the State should organise the workmen, so far as they wished, into workshops, which, during the first year, were to be directed by the State, but afterwards by the workmen themselves. These "ateliers sociaux" were to be associated, to agree as to the method and extent of the production, to provide for the sick and incapable, and to help those undertakings which were depressed by crises. Since it was expected that the industries conducted by capitalists would soon be brought to a standstill by this competition, this system of associations only presented a transition

**France's
Socialistic
Schools**

**Men who
Delighted in
Conspiracy**

**State aid
for the
Workers**

stage towards pure communism, of which the principles were to be: "Production according to capabilities, consumption according to requirements."

All these schools—and this point must be strongly emphasised, for it is often overlooked—must not be considered as merely representative of the working classes; on

Aims of the Christian Socialists the contrary, they felt that they represented all classes suffering from capitalistic methods of production, the lower middle class as much as the proletariat. This is still more the case with the Radical Christian Socialists of that time, such as Pierre Leroux, 1797–1871, the Abbés Hugues Félicité Robert de Lamennais, 1782–1854, Henri Benjamin Constant de Rebecque, 1767–1830, and Constantin Pecqueur, 1801–1887. These, consciously or unconsciously, renewed the idea of Saint-Simon, that a purification of mankind by religion and morality was alone able to pave the way for future social reform; for then only would all men regard each other as brothers, and be able to establish a new organisation, in which the possessors of wealth would consent to equalise the differences in property.

Pierre Joseph Proudhon, 1809–1865, a contemporary, appreciated more fully the interests of the middle and the lower classes, since in an ingenious but thoroughly idealist scheme he aimed at a realisation of the three main principles of the great Revolution—justice, equality, and liberty—in the economic world. He took up a position, in the interests of individual freedom, distinctly opposed to communism, against which he brought the charges that it obliterates the distinctions between individuals, fosters the indolence of all, and extinguishes personality. His intention was to preserve the improvements due to the economic system of individualism, but, on the other hand, to remove the distress and unhappiness introduced by it. For this reason

Lamentable Error of Socialism competition is to be maintained; but opposition and isolation are, within certain limits, to be obviated by reciprocal support and combination. For "competition and association," so he said, "support each other. Far from excluding each other, they do not even diverge. Whoever speaks of competition assumes a common goal; competition is therefore not egotism, and it is the most lamentable error of socialism to see in it

the overthrow of society." He only attacked the unrestrained competition, where the possession of capital, as the privilege of a favoured minority, "exploits" the large, hard-working majority of the people; where the small man, from want of credit, cannot keep his footing; and where the social disorder leads to a crisis, to the bankruptcy of employers, and to want of employment among many thousand workers.

The party of the democratic middle class led by Alexandre Auguste Ledru-Rollin, 1807–1874, saw itself compelled to make advances to Socialism. Its chief organ, the "Réforme," willingly opened its columns to Louis Blanc's social and political articles, and even its official programme clearly showed the influence of the new socialistic doctrines. "The workers," so it ran, "have been slaves and serfs; they are now labourers; our aim must be to elevate them to the position of sharers. The State must take the initiative in industrial successes in order to introduce such organisation of labour as will raise the workers to the position of

The Golden Age of the Bourgeoisie sharers. The State must provide work for the stalwart and healthy citizen, and help and protection for the old and weak."

Notwithstanding this strong socialistic current, there were at first only slight waves visible on the surface of political life; the strict law of meetings and associations, and the franchise, which depended on a large income and was granted only to the 200,000 richest citizens in the whole of France, prevented the new ideas from being asserted with irresistible weight in ordinary times.

In the "thirties" and "forties," when Socialism and the emancipation of the lower orders were so prominent in the world of thought, the governing powers were quite unconcerned by them. At no period of the nineteenth century had the large industries and "haute finance" so ruled the governing powers as at this time, which Treitschke called "the golden age of the bourgeoisie." Indeed the labour legislation in no way served to protect the worker, but was purely directed towards the interests of the bourgeoisie. The associations of workers in the same craft for the promotion of their "presumed" common interests, as it was very significantly termed in the law, which dated from the year 1791, were still prohibited; and

this law, under the government of Louis Philippe, was still enforced merely against coalitions of the workers, and never against the employers. The prefects were instructed, in the event of strikes, to forbid meetings and to put foreigners who took part in them at once across the frontier.

The labour book was obligatory on the workmen, and in the commercial courts the employers had a secured majority. Only a feeble protective law was passed in favour of the workmen, which established a twelve hours' maximum working day for children; and even then the official instructions for carrying it out explained that it could not be strictly observed. The ruling class in France was not at all disturbed, either by the misery of certain sections of the proletarians in the large towns, or by riots of starving workmen or risings of communistic conspirators.

This misgovernment was crowned by the insolent ignorance with which the official representatives of this rule of the great bourgeoisie flatly denied the existence of abuses and declared their world to be the best of all possible worlds.

Although facing a condition of things which concealed in it most bitter class disputes, that section of society asserted that neither disabilities nor privileges existed, since everyone could become rich, and then acquire the highest political rights. "There are no more class disputes," announced François Pierre Guillaume Guizot, 1787-1874, as President of the Council, a short time before the February Revolution, "for there are no longer any conflicting interests." And when reference was made to the agitation among the people, he arrogantly thought that "we, the three powers, the Crown and the Chambers, are the only legal organs of the sovereignty of the people; besides us there is only usurpation and revolution." And thus the demand for the extension of the franchise, which in the whole country was granted only to a bare quarter of a million of the most highly taxed, was flatly refused. No class which so obstinately asserted its privileges could rule for long; and in fact the monarchy of July, 1830, was overturned like a house of cards by the revolutionary hurricane of the year 1848.

The upper bourgeoisie was, however, still politically the most matured class at that time. The real middle class, the

poorer citizens of the towns, had, under the July monarchy, abandoned the radical opposition, which politically supported the traditions of the great Revolution. In other points it fluctuated vaguely between the maintenance of all ownership and a socialistic altruism, and had never been able to effect a union with the peasants, by far the most numerous class in the country. The political immaturity of the middle class was exceeded by that of the working classes, who thought they could come with one mighty leap into that land of promise called Socialism.

Under such circumstances the provisional government which, put at the head of affairs by the Revolution of February, 1848, embodied primarily the middle class, and secondarily the working orders, was not able to produce any considerable results. The maximum working day, which had been fixed for all industrial undertakings, was not carried out, and the prohibition to appoint "middlemen," who overworked the men, was not observed. The gift of £120,000 to the labour associations was unable to effect any increase in co-operative systems, and the reluctant attempt to put into practice the right to work finally, when the "national workshops" established for the purpose were discontinued, led to riots.

Thus the French ship of state drifted aimlessly, without a compass, on the ocean of politics, and was at the mercy of the first man who knew how to take the helm and steer her into a safe harbour. The direction of the official social policy under Napoleon III. was determined by the fact that the sovereign himself, while still a young prince, had developed his own programme of social reform, which culminated in the creation of a nobility of manufacturers in Carlyle's sense, and in an attempt by the State to solve the labour problem by the cultivation of untitled

lands. What was done, then, towards putting this project into practice, when its originator mounted the throne of

France? If we wish to answer this question correctly we must not forget that Napoleon had paved the way to his position by perjury and crime, and that consequently he had to be on his guard against revenge. This system, therefore, began with a campaign against all associations, however constituted, of workmen, who

**Socialism
the Land
of Promise**

**Guizot's
Arrogant
Attitude**

**Napoleon III.
as Social
Reformer**

were considered the most active disseminators of revolutionary ideas. Thus, not only all their political unions but also their purely economic associations, including many flourishing co-operative stores and similar societies, fell victims to the dictatorship which "saved society." But after the first zeal to found the new

Labour's Rights under the Empire

empire had abated, a careful distinction was made between the political and the economic organisations of the proletariat, and while the former were ruthlessly nipped in the bud, no obstacles were placed in the way of the latter.

Thus, there arose under the empire a vigorous labour agitation, of which the centre of gravity lay in the combinations for obtaining higher wages and generally improved conditions of labour. Now, it is true that such coalitions were forbidden according to the already mentioned law of 1791; but they were still tacitly allowed. "Striking" workmen were pardoned and complete neutrality was enjoined on the prefects in event of suspension of work. Finally, in 1864, the prohibition on coalition itself was removed.

But beyond this the empire undertook to support the working classes by a long series of tangible measures. At one time it tried to guarantee to the metropolis cheap prices for necessary provisions. This was done especially by the establishment of the "Caisse de la boulangerie" endowed by the bakers, from which the individual masters received advances in times of high prices for corn in order to be able to maintain the low price of bread. Then an energetic attempt was made to face the labour question, not indeed in the vague form of the royal pamphlet, but by a system of public building operations. Within fifteen years more than £600,000,000 were spent in Paris alone on public edifices. The same thing happened in Lyons, Marseilles, and Bordeaux.

Great Days of the Building Industry

This measure had various important consequences from the magnificent scale on which it was carried out. Permanent and profitable employment was given to a large number of "hands," wages had an upward tendency, and the spirit of enterprise was everywhere aroused by the excitement proceeding from the building industry. All else that happened was of subordinate significance. The remaining

point most worthy of mention is the legislation on mutual help societies, which supported their members in case of sickness or, under certain circumstances, of incapacity to work. These possessed an income of £400,000 and various privileges; and their number actually increased from 2,000 in 1852 to 4,000 in 1859.

The workmen in the State workshops were compelled to insure their old age, and at the same time their wages were increased by the amount of the premiums. Besides this, state funds were available for the construction of workmen's dwellings and the erection of benevolent institutions, crèches for the children of workmen, asylums for crippled workmen. It is strange that the empire never thought about real legislation for the protection of workmen.

The most appropriate estimate of all this social policy is given by Lexis in his book on trades unions in France. "Louis Napoleon as emperor did not really need to fear that he would be reminded by the working classes of his brochure on pauperism. The social policy of the empire is by no means opposed to the spirit of it. Discipline and

The Policy of Louis Napoleon

superintendence of the workmen on the one side, amelioration of their material position on the other; that is an idea which is always upheld in the home policy of Louis Napoleon." In fact, the working class undoubtedly gained much from the new order of things: its position was incomparably improved during the years 1850-1870. Even the development of capital in the age of joint-stock companies was, on account of the number of new undertakings, not without profit to the lowest classes. For "even if one part of the shifted millions was concentrated in the coffers of the capitalistic body, another part was scattered over the mass of the wage-earning class."

Notwithstanding this, the proletariat was proof against all the allurements of the Second Empire. It was dumb to all gifts, deaf to all promises, cold to all flatteries; indeed, "the current of republican feeling, like a mighty river, swept away with it continually larger masses of the people." The lower middle class was at first furious, since, at the era of wild speculation and company promotion, when the bearers of the most renowned Bonapartist names joined in the worship of the golden calf, it had to bear

the brunt of the costs. It knew nothing of the black art of gambling on the stock exchange, and would gladly make money without trouble, and therefore was caught by enticing promises and invested its hard-earned savings in rash or swindling undertakings.

The middle class, therefore, and the proletariat, to whom the illusions created by Proudhon's theories had given common ideals, and with them the possibility of common action, united, especially in Paris, for the overthrow of the Empire. When this was accomplished under the influence of the defeat to the imperial armies in 1870, those classes combined against the republic of the bourgeoisie and actually brought the Paris commune, in which the National Guard, mostly recruited from their order, held sway for some time, from March to May, 1871, under their power.

Since neither Paris nor the Government wished to yield, the result was civil war, which naturally ended with the suppression of the insurgent population of the capital. In that short time, however, the government of the besieged city, whose programme of social policy was indistinct in other respects, had not been able to exhibit any comprehensive measures of reform. Under the Third Republic, which for the first time secured to the French working class permanent and full liberty in every direction, important political labour agitations as well as powerful economic organisations of the labouring classes were instituted. Politically, the most noteworthy event was the complete separation of the proletariat from the lower middle class. The proletariat followed out its own aims exclusively, in politics and economics, and thus acted according to the programme of class warfare.

Regard for the political influence of the masses of workmen compelled the Government to make social reforms which, in the first instance, dealt with the continuation of the protection to workmen—by the introduction of the ten-hours maximum working day for young persons under eighteen years and for all female workers in factories—and the concession of full liberty of coalition, since 1884. Besides this, the workmen have, in a number of towns, particularly in Paris, enforced various arrangements which are conducive

to their interests, such as the establishment of labour exchanges at the cost of the community, as also regulations for the minimum wage and maximum working day for all men employed by the town on public works. The movement in favour of trades unions and co-operative societies has lately received a great stimulus in France; the

Advance of Co-operation in France number of workmen united in trade associations already reaches 500,000. We may assume that the social and economic organisations of the French working classes, although they are still far from reaching the English standard, will, if given undisturbed development, attain in a few decades some such importance as the English.

It is, lastly, worthy of remark that the Socialists have succeeded in influencing the administration of the Board of Trade, so valuable for social interests, in favour of the workmen, since the Socialists have united with the democratic sections for the protection of the republic against the attacks of the military and clerical parties.

The more the working class in this way practically arrived at a comprehension of its immediate economic interests, in contradistinction to those of the richer class and without regard to any collision with those of the inferior bourgeoisie, the less satisfied could this latter class feel by the alliance with the proletariat. Thus it resulted that after the "seventies" the predominance of Proudhon's views, which earlier had effected the spiritual union between the two orders, grew less and less, and that the inferior bourgeoisie now worked for their salvation outside the socialistic organisations.

But the lower middle class did not succeed in making an organisation with a special programme of its own; and therefore hundreds of thousands of its members cordially welcomed the demagogues, who promised them that they would oppose

Transitory Success of Boulangism the great capitalists as well as the socialistic tendencies. This is the explanation of the transitory success of "Boulangism," in 1889, and more lately of the great prospects of the "nationalistic groups," who anticipated a revival of the French middle class from the campaign against the world of Jewish trade and finance. But this movement was so short-lived that no elucidation of its confused economic scheme was forthcoming.



SOCIAL DEMOCRACY IN GERMANY THE RISE & SPREAD OF LABOUR MOVEMENTS

THE first labour agitation in Germany was noticeable in the "forties." It then, owing to the strict police regulations of the German Confederation, chiefly affected the German journeymen who lived by thousands in foreign countries. Its leader

**Germany's
First Labour
Agitation**

was a tailor, Wilhelm Weitling, 1808-1871, who, as an emissary of the secret "Bund der Gerechten," League of the Just, at Paris, transplanted the communistic agitation to Switzerland. He organised the movement in such a way that public workmen's unions were founded under harmless designations, in which recruits were obtained for the "League of the Just." The object was to establish by revolutionary methods the communistic society, for which Weitling, in connection with the French Utopians, had drawn up a special system.

At the same time interest in communism had been roused even in the German middle classes, where the half doctrinaire, half idealist tendencies of the age had found a receptive soil in the students of philosophy and literature. In the mystic circle of the "humanistic philosophy" of Ludwig Andreas Feuerbach, 1804-1872, efforts were made to produce "humane" conditions even in social life, and the heartless capitalistic methods of business were condemned in accordance with the criticism of the French Socialists.

The positive ideal of this party, headed by the writers Moses Hess, 1812-1875, and Karl Grün, 1813-1887, was the most complete freedom of man, conceived by

**The Secret
"League
of the Just"**

nature as noble, in actions and conduct, in production and consumption. This school must therefore be termed anarchist, since it preached the unqualified self-glorification of the individual and the exclusion of any compulsion. This philosophic socialism found favour first with the educated middle class, and then also with the secret "League of the Just."

But since the arguments of this kind of Socialism were necessarily unfamiliar to the workmen, Karl Marx, 1818-1883, succeeded at last in preventing this system from doing any harm in that league. Through his efforts the league, which henceforth was styled "Bund der Communisten," adopted his principles, a change which practically produced no further results then, since his success coincided with the outbreak of the revolution of February, 1848, which dispersed the members of the league in all directions.

The only independent labour movement was made quite apart from the communistic league, under the organisation of Stephan Born, a compositor, 1825-1897. By vigorous agitation he succeeded in founding a labour party, which came forward under the name of "Arbeiter-verbrüderung," Labour Confraternity, and had as its immediate aim universal

**Overthrow
of the
Democracy**

suffrage for all representative bodies and a ten hours' working day. The activity of the "Labour Confraternity" at that time consisted chiefly in the support of the war of the democracy against the counter revolution; and thus the league was necessarily involved in the overthrow of the democracy. It was dissolved in 1850, and all attempts to call new workmen's unions into existence were nipped in the bud.

Some attempts of Marx and others to resume the agitation, in foreign countries by the revival of the old communistic league miscarried, owing to the vigilance of the police; and thus this association also soon disappeared for ever in 1853. During the whole of this decade the reaction allowed no organised labour movement to take place. This period was used by Marx for the further development of his system, which he had already sketched in the "Communist Manifesto." His original works, which secure him a position among the first thinkers of all time, reach their highest level in his

"Materialistische Geschichtsauffassung" and also in his "Untersuchung der kapitalistischen Produktionsweise."

From the study of Hegel, Marx had formed the fundamental conception that history depicts a ceaseless process of life, decay, and progress, in which each separate stage is absolutely necessary and relatively justified, however much it conflicts with all the accepted notions of politics or ethics. But while Hegel deduced the laws of historical movement from the "self-development of the absolute notion," Marx was converted by the philosophy of Feuerbach to the view that the man creates the ideas, and that the "idea" does not determine the history of the man.

At the same time his whole mental attitude rested on a materialistic basis, since he adopted the results of Feuerbach's investigations, that the higher beings whom our religious fancy has created are only the fanciful reflections of our own being. If man thus, unconsciously, created religion, why not all political, legal, artistic, and scientific existence? And here Marx believes that he can discover the secret connection of all historical development, since he assumes that, in the first instance, politics, but more remotely all other manifestations of the spiritual, social, and intellectual life, are to be referred to the economic conditions and their development as the one ultimate cause.

The economic formation of society since the abandonment of the primitive common ownership of the soil is determined in all its previous history by the contrast between the classes, especially that between the ruled and ruling classes. But this is changed in the course of time. For each economic constitution develops from itself productive forces which are finally incompatible with the old form of production and the old form of class supremacy.

As a consequence of this the contrast between the classes culminates in a class warfare, in such a way that a crisis must follow, the result of which must be one of two alternatives: either the disruption of the existing social constitution and its change into a higher system, since the suppressed classes have overthrown the hitherto ruling classes, or the common ruin of the warring classes.

This keen inquiry into the economic system shows how conditions are at the present moment. According to it, the

value of all commodities is determined by the amount of combined necessary, that is, normal, working time requisite for their production. A commodity which has cost twelve hours of combined necessary labour is worth double as much as a commodity which has cost six hours. But now in the capitalistic social system only

The Workman and the Capitalist the owners of means of production and livelihood produce commodities; and therefore the great majority of the non-propertied class sell their only commodity, their power of work, to the propertied. "The worker," so it is said in the account of Marx's teaching by Friedrich Engels, 1820-1895, which is to be regarded as an authentic representation, "sells his power of work to the capitalist for a certain daily sum. After a few hours' labour he has produced the value of that sum. But his contract of work runs to the effect that he must drudge for a further round of hours, in order to complete his labour for the day. The value which he produces in these additional hours of excess labour is excess value, which costs the capitalist nothing, but nevertheless goes into his pockets."

The appropriation of unpaid labour is the fundamental law of the capitalistic method of production, the existence of which is inseparable from the "sweating" of the workmen. Since now, according to Karl Marx, the excess value is the only thing which interests the capitalist in the process of production, his economic transactions will always be directed towards the increase of this excessive value.

The evident results of this desire for extra profits are as follows: In the first place, the daily hours of labour will be immoderately prolonged. Then the cheap labour of women and children will be employed on an immense scale. Finally, the anarchy in co-operative production which is so significant of the modern economic

Anarchy in Co-operative Production methods will be more and more carried to extreme lengths.* "The chief tool," so Engel explains Marx's views, "with which the capitalistic method of production increased this anarchy in co-operative production was the precise opposite of the anarchy; that is, the increasing organisation of production as co-operative in every productive establishment. With this lever it destroyed the old peaceful stability. When it was

introduced into a branch of industry, it allowed no other method of work besides. When it took possession of hand work, it destroyed the old hand work. The field of labour became a battle-ground. Not merely did war break out between the individual local producers, but the local wars in turn became national, the com-

The Bitter Wars of Industry

mercial wars of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. Wholesale industries and the establishment of the world market have made the war universal, and at the same time given it an unprecedented bitterness. Among individual capitalists, as among entire industries and whole countries, the favourableness of the natural or created conditions of production decides the question of existence. The defeated is remorselessly disregarded. The opposition between co-operative production and capitalistic appropriation now appears as the contrast between the organisation of production in the single factory and the anarchy of production in the whole society."

The consequences of this are suspensions of business and work, partly local, partly universal, which lead to the formation of an army of unemployed, the so-called "industrial reserve army." This must grow larger as time elapses. For the "bourgeoisie" surmounts the crises by two measures only: on the one side by the forced annihilation of a mass of productive forces, factories which are not working, etc., on the other side by the conquest of new markets. The crises, then, are surmounted only by preparing more widely extended and more violent crises, and the means of avoiding the crises are lessened.

The crises now afford a means of concentrating various amounts of capital in one hand. Every capitalist ruins many other capitalists. Hand in hand with this destruction of many capitalists by a few, the co-operative form of the process of labour is developed in a continually growing scale. There is the change

Capital's Vast Supremacy

of the old instruments of labour suited to use by the individual into instruments adapted only for combined use, the entanglement of all nations in the net of the world market, and with this the international character of the supremacy of capital. The mass of misery grows with the continually diminishing number of great capitalists, who secure exclusively for themselves all the advantages of this change; but at

the same time sedition grows rife among the working classes, who are always swelling in numbers, and are organised by the mechanism of the capitalistic system of production. The monopoly of capital becomes a clog on the method of production, which has flourished with it and under it. It is removed, and its place is taken by the communistic social system, the principles of which are only suggested by Marx.

While Marx was developing his system in London, an attempt had been made in Germany, after the end of the "fifties" in the nineteenth century, to win over the workmen to the Liberal movement, which was assuming new importance. This was done by first founding associations for the education of workmen, and by the self-help movement initiated by a former judge of the patrimonial court, Hermann Schulze-Delitzsch, 1808-1883. The educational societies could, from their nature, only have a restricted sphere of influence. The case would have been otherwise with the self-help movement if it had been connected with the real interests of the working class, above all, with the organisation of trades unions. Instead

Lassalle the Friend of the Workman

of this, Schulze contemplated, in the first instance, the establishment of money-lending banks, of societies for supply of raw materials, of co-operative shops and similar associations which considered especially the interests of the small master-workmen, while the proletarians were attracted merely to the co-operative stores which were then also founded.

The result could only be that the workmen themselves felt this representation of their class interests to be insufficient, and looked round for men to help them. The man who came forward now as their leader was a friend of Marx, Ferdinand Lassalle, 1825-1864, who had won the confidence of the proletariat by his socialistic and revolutionary antecedents. The labour agitation of the present day, and with it "Social Democracy," were the fruits of his political activity.

Lassalle began his agitation in March, 1863, with the "Open Answer" to a deputation of workmen from Leipzig, who wished to learn his views on the social question and the means of reform. This pamphlet contained also the fundamental principles of Lassalle's social programme, which are only explained, supported, strengthened, and defended in all his later

SOCIAL DEMOCRACY IN GERMANY

writings. It was shown first of all that the average wages in a national industry depending on private capital and free competition always remain limited to the bare livelihood which is ordinarily necessary among a people for the support

and continuance of life, the "iron law of wages."

This was the inevitable destiny of the workmen so soon as they were in any man's pay. The workers must, therefore, Lassalle concluded, become their own masters, the house for which they work must be their own property, a "productive association"; then

that distinction between the wages of labour and the profit of owners would disappear, and in its place the proceeds of the labour would form remuneration for the labour. Organisation in productive associations could only be feasible under the existing conditions, if the State advanced to the workers the money for the purchase of the firms and of everything else which belonged to the management of factories and business. The means by which this State credit was to be won was the introduction of universal, uniform, and direct franchise, which would presumably secure to the labouring class the majority in Parliament. This was the solution propounded by the "Open Answer." Lassalle, in order to propagate this doctrine, founded the "Universal German Workmen's Union," of which he became the president, with absolute powers.

The older German communists, with Marx at their head, naturally could not approve of Lassalle's teaching or his tactics. The proposition of the "iron law of wages" could not but greatly offend Marx; but still more was the proposal

of the productive association as a remedy for all social misery bound to call forth all the indignation of the communistic thinker, who, in 1852, had declared that the proletariat ought not to meddle with doctrinaire attempts such as exchange

banks and associations, but "should try to revolutionise the Old World with their own great combined means." The Communists viewed with equal suspicion the exaggerated value attached by the followers of Lassalle to universal suffrage; for Marx did not expect to lead communism to victory

by parliamentary majorities, but expected all success from the continuously growing impoverishment of the masses and of the thus inevitable self-annihilation of the civil society. In accordance with this view he openly announced to the German workmen by the mouth of his

most loyal disciple, Wilhelm Liebknecht, 1826-1900, that Socialism was merely a question of power, which for that reason could not be solved in any Parliament of the world. During the lifetime of Lassalle these opponents could accomplish nothing, but soon after his early death, in 1864, they began to undermine his system. The International Association of Workmen, the Red International, founded in the autumn of 1864, acted as their champion. This never indeed counted more than a thousand members in Germany, but afforded a base of operations from

which the attack against the followers of Lassalle might be made. The regular troops of Marx's following were, however, first furnished by the "Federation of German Workmen's Unions." This was a labour league which, founded in 1863 by



Liebknecht



Bebel

GERMAN LEADERS OF SOCIAL DEMOCRACY

A loyal disciple of Marx, Wilhelm Liebknecht took a leading part in the advancement of Socialism, adopting extreme measures to secure the success of the cause and suffering two years' imprisonment, while Ferdinand August Bebel, who also was imprisoned, led the social democratic movement in the Reichstag and in the Press.



EDUARD BERNSTEIN

A writer remarkable for wide learning, grasp of facts, and graceful style, he led the opposition against Marxism, opposing the party view that the disruption of the bourgeois society was soon to be anticipated.

the party of Progress, had gradually been piloted to complete communism by the influence of Liebknecht on its chairman, Ferdinand August Bebel, born in 1840. In 1868, the Federation declared openly for the principles of the Internationals, and in 1869 established itself, in combination with seceded members of the Universal German

Programme of the Social Democrats

Workmen's Union and with other Socialists, as the Social Democratic Labour party. The programme of this Social Democratic party, drawn up at Eisenach towards the end of 1869, was conceived in the spirit of Marx, and only slightly corresponded with the ideas circulated by Lassalle's vigorous agitation, in order not to preclude the possibility of a future reconciliation with the powerful party of Lassalle's followers.

The programme declared expressly that the Social Democratic party regarded itself as a branch of the International Workmen's Association. Their ideal was the free Republic, which alone was able to replace the wage system of the existing industrial regime by co-operative labour, which should guarantee to each worker the full proceeds of his labour. The Eisenach programme laid down, as the immediate objects of the efforts of the party, a series of social and political requirements, which were borrowed partly from the principles of the political Radicals, partly from the doctrines of Marx and Lassalle.

The Social Democracy had begun, shortly before, to take active steps. The immediate impulse to practical action was given by an attempt, made by the Party of Progress in 1868, to found trades unions. Jean Baptista von Schweitzer, 1833-1875, and Friedrich Wilhelm Fritzsche, the leaders at the time of the "Universal German Labour Union," which was always influenced by the glorification of Lassalle, took immediate steps to establish industrial unions in order to forestall the detested

Amalgamating the Forces of Labour

bourgeois party. Finally, as the third member of the league, the "Social Democratic Labour party" of Marx appeared on the scene in order to secure its share. After this organisation of trades unions, the Social Democratic party in Germany ceased to content itself with bare criticism of the existing society, and to aim only at the final goal of their efforts, the State of the future. Henceforward it endeavoured to interfere directly with life,

since it put clearly before the workers the great advantages they could at once gain if they combined in masses according to their respective trades.

The results of the elections for the Reichstag in 1874 show how effective the trade organisation was. Although the split of the Social Democracy into the two camps of the Lassalle party and the Eisenach party still continued, socialism was already able to show a splendid army; not less than 340,000 votes were cast for it. Soon afterwards the Social Democracy entered upon the era of persecution by the courts and the police, and this, among other causes, led both parties to end the organisation of unions.

The instinct of self-preservation now impelled both sections to unite and to apply all their forces exclusively to the struggle against the common foe. The amalgamation was carried out at the congress at Gotha in 1875, where, as usually happens, the more radical party gained the ascendancy over the more moderate. The new programme showed in essential points the communistic stamp of Marx's doctrines, and only slight concessions were made to the followers of Lassalle. In

Demands of the Working Classes

fact, "Lassalleanism" ceased from that time to play any independent rôle in the history of the party. In other respects it is a feature of the Gotha programme that it pays far more attention to the protection of the workers than the earlier programmes.

Unrestricted right of combination, ordinary length of working day, prohibition of Sunday labour, of child labour, and of all forms of female labour injurious to the health, laws for the protection of the life and health of the workers, legal liability and independent administration for all charitable funds belonging to the workers; this was the list of requirements which the German working-classes continuously put before the Government of the day. Men began, therefore, to attach far more weight than before to an immediate and practical social reform. This change in tactics proved to be a factor of enormous significance, which was calculated to bring continuous reinforcement to the party. In the election of the Reichstag of the year 1877 the Socialistic Labour party, as the official title now was, could unite 493,000 votes in support of their candidates.

Shortly afterwards, on May 11th and June 2nd, 1878, followed the two attempts on the life of the German Emperor. Public

opinion falsely made the Social Democrats responsible for this, and so the emergency law "against the common danger threatened by the Social Democracy" was passed in October, 1878. After the party seemed to be really quite broken, it recovered and effected some secret and some harmless public organisations. When, then, in 1881, the "trade associations" of the workmen were allowed by the police, the Social Democracy won back their complete freedom of action; for the trade associations afforded excellent rallying points and recruiting grounds for the active army of the Social Democracy, although in their meetings hardly any party politics were discussed.

It is not astonishing, therefore, that the law as to the Socialists did not fulfil its primary object, the annihilation of the party. When the Social Democracy had recovered from the first shock, it advanced in an uninterrupted victorious career, until in the elections of the Reichstag of 1890 it received more than 1,400,000 votes. So it became clearer from day to day that the emergency law lacked any permanently effective result, and

Bismarck's Social Policy offered no compensation for the tainting of political morality, which the police espionage required by the law greatly promoted. The German Emperor, William II., recognising this, determined to renounce the use of this two-edged sword on September 30th, 1890.

Prince Bismarck, simultaneously with the suppression of the social democratic labour agitation, had inaugurated a system of social policy that was intended to put into practice all the best points of the modern Labour movement.

German legislation had hitherto occupied itself but little with the working-men. In 1869 it had granted to them the right of coalition, and for the rest had been satisfied with the prohibition of the labour of children under twelve years, and with the limitation of the labour of young persons under sixteen years in factories. It was a consequence of the fundamental notions of the Imperial Chancellor that no further steps were taken in this direction, although the school of socialist professors, of whom the most important intellects were Albert Schäffle, Gustav Schmoller, Adolph Wagner, Wilhelm Lexis, and Lujo Brentano, advocated this particular reform before all others. The Chancellor wished at one time that the manufacturer should be master

in his own house, and be able to conduct the business entirely at his own discretion. But then Bismarck did not abandon the view that the factory law as to the maximum working day, Sunday rest, &c., lowered the profits of the owner too greatly, and also diminished the wage-earning of the workman, even if it did not altogether

The State's Duty to the Worker render his employment precarious. Besides this, he believed that there were only local complaints of excessive duration of labour, so that any interference was the less imperative. Bismarck considered uncertainty of existence to be the real misfortune of the modern proletariat. His programme, therefore, announced that the worker, when sick, ill, or disabled, should be cared for, and that work should be found him when out of place.

He imagined that the first requirement could be realised by the plan that millions of workers should be insured in state-organised offices against the economic results of sickness, accidents, infirmity, and old age; the necessary costs were to be paid partly by the workmen themselves, partly by the owners of the business, partly by the empire, which was to be enabled to make ampler advances by the introduction of the tobacco monopoly and profitable taxes on spirits. The second requirement he wished to fulfil by recognition of the "right of labour," which could be put into practice by the carrying out of appropriate works, such as construction of canals and roads at the public cost in times of great scarcity of employment.

With these views of the necessity of State solicitude for working men, Bismarck combined the conviction, which had been strengthened in him by the development of the Social Democracy, that this party was in the highest degree dangerous to the State, and that, in the event of further unchecked development, it would certainly produce, sooner or later, a bloody

Fears of a Social Catastrophe social catastrophe. The result of this view was his campaign of extermination against the Social Democracy, which, however, as has been described above, completely miscarried. His constructive social policy has, however, been unusually successful. The German working-men's insurance, which was announced in an imperial message in 1881, and was completed by 1889, must be termed "a magnificent organising structure, unique of its kind

in the history of the world." We see from the numbers of the working men affected how immense a service was rendered.

In the year 1900 nine millions of workers were insured against sickness, thirteen millions against old age and infirmity, seventeen millions against accidents. The sums which on the basis of the legal claim

The Unsolved Problem of the Unemployed thus established are paid to the workers merely out of the means of the employers and the empire amount at the present day to more than £10,000,000 sterling annually, and are certain soon to be increased. The only point of that programme which Bismarck did not assist in carrying out is the solution of the problem of "unemployment." But, notwithstanding this deficiency, the achievements of the first Chancellor in the field of social policy stand as a "monument more lasting than brass."

The new regime which commenced with the retirement of Bismarck started very favourably with the working men. The socialist laws were not renewed; and William II. unfolded his programme of social policy in two public statements. According to them, "the time, duration, and nature of labour were to be so regulated by the authority of the State that the preservation of health, the laws of decency, the economic requirements of the workers, and their claim to legal privileges should be permanently upheld." Legal enactments for the adequate representation of workers were to be passed in order to preserve peace between employers and employed.

The protection of workmen was soon considerably extended, since by the law of the year 1891, Sunday labour, as well as the labour of children under thirteen years, was prohibited, and a maximum working day of eleven hours for adult female workers in factories was introduced. In other respects also, in spite of a strong current of opposition which set in among the

Growth of Social Democracy wealthy citizen class, social reform has been distinctly advanced by the introduction of a maximum working day of twelve hours for all journeymen bakers, the closing of shops at nine o'clock in the evening, commercial courts for labour disputes between masters and employees, and, finally, continual improvements to the system of statutory insurance of workmen. During these years the Social Democracy has slowly but surely increased in extent;

at the same time, however, a distinct disintegration is perceptible in the party. The congress at Erfurt in 1891, which drew up a programme, showed the party still united round the banner of Marx; but since then the main principles of Marx have been the centre of a heated controversy.

The leader of the opposition against Marxism, which is temporarily still found in the minority, is Eduard Bernstein, born January 6th, 1850, who, on account of earlier offences under the Press laws, was forced to live out of Germany; a writer equally remarkable for his wide learning, his grasp of facts, and his graceful style. Bernstein first opposed the party view that the disruption of the bourgeois society was soon to be anticipated, and that the tactics of the party must be determined by this prospect. Social conditions, he thought, had not come to a crisis in the way assumed by Marx. "The number of property owners has not become less, but greater. The enormous increase of social wealth is not accompanied by a dwindling number of capitalistic magnates, but by a growing number of capitalists of all grades.

Selfish Tendencies of Capital The middle classes change their character, but do not disappear from the social scale."

Even in the industrial world the concentration of production, according to Bernstein, confirms in some branches only the prophecies of socialistic criticism; in others it falls far short of them; and in agriculture concentration proceeds still more slowly. Politically the privilege of the capitalistic class gives way to democratic institutions, and the purely selfish tendencies of capital are more and more limited by society itself.

And in this way there will be less necessity and opportunity for the great political crashes, which the working class moreover would not be able, at present or for a long time, to surmount. The Social Democracy, therefore, may not reckon any more on the great catastrophe, but it ought politically to organise the working class, develop it into democracy, and fight for all reforms in the State which are calculated to elevate the working class and develop the constitution in the spirit of democracy.

The most important question of tactics in this sense is, which is the best way to extend the political and industrial rights of the German working men? The fact that Bernstein, in spite of the intense hostility which he encountered,

remained in the ranks of the party, and the further fact that many "men of intellect" in it had already made themselves more or less known to him, opened a reassuring prospect for the future of the German working men's movement. If, in the course of time, the great mass of the social democracy should really abandon the sterile doctrines of Marx, and aim at an honourable social reform on national soil, nothing would remain of the old Social Democracy beyond the name, and the cult of the "constitution of the future" would sink into a harmless amusement.

It had been the custom for many years in Germany to regard the economic needs and requirements of the working class simply as the "social question," which was the outcome of the development of the capitalistic conditions relating to production, exchange, and competition. When this development had brought to light unfavourable results and new needs in other professional classes also, there could no longer be any doubt that the social question covered a much wider field. The most distinct expression of this is the fact

Movements of the German Tradesmen that these professional classes begin to organise themselves in a similar way to the working class, and noisily demand—as little disinterestedly as the proletariat—that the State should intervene with its authority on their behalf in the existing economic conditions. The master tradesmen did this first, and recently the small dealers. These two classes are generally kept in view when mention is made of the movement of the middle class in Germany; a movement which, moreover, has been of incalculably less importance than that of the working men.

The movement of the tradesmen is mainly represented by two associations: the United Trading Associations and the Universal German "Handwerkerbund." The political representation of their demands is effected by the Conservative and the Clerical party, and in an especially partial way by the "German Social Reformers," the section of the regular anti-Semites. There are two prominent postulates, from which, if granted, the tradesmen class, oppressed by the modern development of factories, trade, and demand, hope to gain renewed power; first, that a proof of qualification be demanded from every man who in the future intends to set up as a master, and, secondly,

that it be obligatory on every master to join the guild of his calling. The proof of qualification is intended primarily to guarantee the quality of the work done by the tradesman; secondarily, to limit the competition in favour of those who are already in the business. The obligation to join a guild is intended to combine

Defensive all masters in the common
Combination of defence of their interests,
Employers and to make every individual master share the burden of the suggested methods of promoting trade, credit departments, courses of lectures, etc., since experience has shown that when entrance is voluntary only a minority are enrolled in the guilds. At the same time the following measures are proposed: the institution of chambers of tradesmen, in order to serve as a special board of control over the guilds and to represent duly the interests of the trade in all legislative matters; also, restriction of military workshops, prison labour, and hawking; further, prohibition of co-operative stores, travelling booths, public auction of tradesmen's goods, and of branch establishments; finally, regulation of the system of tender in the interest of the tradesman class, and preferential rights for the claims of tradesmen in cases of bankruptcy.

The proposal as to the proof of qualification has already found a majority in the German Reichstag. On January 20th, 1890, a motion in its favour was passed by 130 votes against 92. But the Government emphatically declined to accede to this wish.

The Prussian Government showed itself far more friendly to the second chief demand of the tradesmen, that of compulsory membership of a guild, since it proposed in the Bundesrat the introduction of this regulation for most smaller industries within a legally determined limit in 1896. The Bundesrat altered the proposal in a liberal sense. The principle of universal compulsory membership was allowed to drop; on the contrary, the formation of a compulsory guild was made dependent on the resolution formed by the majority of the tradesmen concerned. In this form the proposal has been law since July, 1897. Stress must be laid on the point that the compulsory guilds may not establish common branches of business in order to promote the industrial undertakings of the members of the guild, and are therefore restricted in their field

of activity; also that the law realises another demand of the tradesman party, since it institutes chambers of tradesmen with a number of legal privileges.

Besides this, the German Governments have endeavoured, by the enactment of a special law, to protect those engaged in the building trade more efficiently than before.

Government Protection for the Workers

The Government for the present is very cool towards the increasing demands of the tradesmen, who aim at a sort of guild privilege. They had the following propositions announced as their own programme by representatives of the Prussian Board of Trade. First, the assistants who wish to become masters are to have an opportunity of educating themselves both in the technicalities of their business and also in arithmetic and bookkeeping; next there are to be permanent exhibitions of all the power machines, apparatus, and tools employed in the smaller industries; finally, the formation of societies of the masters for common economic objects, societies for raw materials, for shops, etc., was to be supported when possible. How much of this will be passed depends to a considerable extent on the good will of the tradesmen themselves, whose corporate action is far from becoming as prominent as the political middle-class movement, which demands State coercion for the exclusion of harassing competition.

After the trades agitation came the movement of the middle-class shopkeepers, which has hitherto been less important. The agitation started here with the "Zentralverband deutscher Kaufleute," in addition to which, in the year 1898, a "Bund der Handel- und Gewerbetreibenden" was formed. So far as this movement is directed against sordid competition, it has chosen a thoroughly justifiable object, which the German Governments have supported by providing special legislation to check this evil, which manifested itself under the most

Progressive Taxes in Saxony

various forms. On the other hand, their agitation against the large warehouses has overshot the mark, and their intemperate opposition to such useful institutions as co-operative stores is emphatically to be condemned. Since 1899 a regular campaign has been organised against the warehouses, which met with considerable success. In Saxony, a number of towns has introduced a progressive tax on the profits of the large

business houses. In Bavaria, the tax on trades has been modified in the same sense, and in Prussia, since 1900, a Bill with a similar object has been introduced by the Government and accepted by the Landtag.

In Austria, the prospects of the Social Democracy were more favourable than in Germany, since the heated struggle among the nationalities for years repressed any interest in other questions, and the Government, by unscrupulous exercise of their powers against the Press and the rights of association and assembly took away all air and light from the budding plant of Social Democracy. The agitation of Lassalle had found but faint echo in Austria.

On the other hand, after the concession of the right of assembly in 1867, the new Social Democratic Labour party received for the moment a great stimulus; this, however, soon died away when, after its assent to the German "Eisenach programme," that privilege was again withdrawn from it by the Minister Giskra. A revival of the party was the consequence of the milder interpretation of the laws as to associations under the Hohenwart

Anarchism in Austria

Ministry in 1871. The stricter policy of the Ministry of Adolf Auersperg, 1871-1879, produced, however, a second decline. Under the succeeding Ministry of Taffe, which introduced milder measures, the Social Democracy was once more in the ascendant, and for the first time gathered followers from among the Czechist workmen.

At this epoch Anarchism found its way into Austria through the "Freiheit" of Most, and in a few years the whole workingmen contingent of the Social Democracy had wheeled into the Anarchist camp. When, however, the Anarchist party had dug their own grave in 1885, by plots of assassination which led to a stupendous reaction, the Social Democracy slowly revived. Since then, being led by Victor Adler in a strict Marxist spirit, it was able to gain an increasing body of followers, and, under the Ministry of Badeni, it won the reform of the franchise, by which a fifth group, composed of electors qualified on the basis of universal and uniform suffrage, and electing seventy-two members, was added to the existing four electoral groups in 1895. Out of these the Social Democrats, in the election of the Reichsrat of 1897, secured fourteen members. The trades movement has also received

SOCIAL DEMOCRACY IN GERMANY

• a stimulus since 1893, although up to the year 1914 little more than 100,000 workmen shared in it. Much progress was made in legislation as to the protection of workmen, especially under Taaffe, when trenchant factory laws, among them the maximum twelve hours' working day for men as well as compulsory insurance against sickness and accidents were introduced.

In Austria especially the movement of the middle class has attained great importance, which—under the protection of clerical members of the high nobility and many Catholic priests—represented there at the same time the anti-Semite party. But before a strong party showed itself, as early as 1883, the two chief demands of the tradesmen class, the enforcement of which is their foremost object, namely, the proof of qualification and compulsory association, were realised in Austria. The proof of qualification was, in the words of Count Richard Belcredi, who helped this agitation to a successful issue, designed to be "a most necessary protection of honest work and of existing industries against competition and production at ruinous under-prices; a protection against inexperience, insufficient knowledge and means, as well as indiscretion on entering into business; a protection of consumers and purchasers against inferior commodities."

Hungary's Backward Condition

The compulsory association was to organise trade, and to promote "esprit de corps," thoroughness, and honesty in all its branches. The result of these experiments in Austria, however, has shown that the proof of qualification has nowhere helped the tradesman, but in places has rather hindered him by the separation of trades; and the compulsory associations have certainly not become practically efficient on any considerable scale. The direction of the middle class movement towards political goals not only failed in attaining the expected result, but momentarily hindered the co-operative self-aid movement which was benefiting the more efficient among the small shopkeepers.

In Hungary the backward condition of industrial development, and the strength of the purely national movements, for many years presented insuperable obstacles to an extension of the Social Democratic party. In 1868 a Labour party was founded there with the programme of Lassalle.

After the beginning of the "seventies," this party also adopted a more Marxist creed, but did not long strictly maintain it.

At the beginning of the "eighties," anarchism brought confusion into the small group, and, on the other hand, subsequently a part of the Social Democrats often made extensive compromises with the middle-class parties. On the whole, the party remained limited to the few industrial districts, especially the capital Buda-Pesth, until, at the beginning of the "nineties," the agitation was suddenly carried with great success into the ranks of the labourers on the estates of the Magyar nobility. In reply the authorities, who had already been obliged to crush some risings with armed force, at once prosecuted it with the utmost severity of the law. The complications of the franchise law prevent the Socialists from taking any very effective part in parliamentary elections.

The organisation of trades unions is still in an early stage, and has to contend with the authorities. Altogether there are some fifty thousand working men united in the trade associations. The legislation as to the protection of workmen is still quite undeveloped. The only real progress which can be recorded in recent times is the introduction of compulsory insurance against sickness.

In Switzerland the Social Democracy, notwithstanding the most complete liberty of movement at all times, and notwithstanding the shelter afforded to so many persecuted foreign socialists, has never been able to attain real importance. The reasons for this are to be found in the difficulties of agitation, owing to the defective concentration of industry, in the steady political and social development of the country, and, finally, in the sober, practical character of the people. The Social Democracy, founded in 1865 by partisans of the International Labour Association, very slowly increased, so that its party organisation, even in 1914, had only 6,000 members. The "Grütliverein," which is composed exclusively of Swiss citizens, and goes hand in hand with the Social Democracy, is more important; it had in the same year 15,000 members. The Social Democracy carried four candidates in the election to the Federal National Council in 1899. Its representation in

Government's Opposition to Labour

Democratic Movements in Switzerland

the cantonal Parliaments and in the town councils is equally weak. The trade-association movement is, apart from callings such as those of printers and railway employees, not very strongly developed; but locally, for example, in Basle, co-operative stores have become important. In Denmark the social movement stood

Labour from the first in close sym-
Conditions in pathy with the German Social
Denmark Democracy, and therefore the Social Democratic party there adopted a programme which in its main features corresponded to the German.

The trade union organisation of the Danish workmen is of still greater significance; in 1914 more than 80,000 industrial workers had joined it, and had greatly improved the conditions of their labour by energetic combination.

The statutory protection of workmen has not been much developed in Denmark; it is mainly restricted to the ten hours' working day for young persons.

In Holland the large industries have been little developed; the economic conditions of the country are determined by the flourishing agriculture and extensive wholesale trade.

The trades union movement is of greater importance, and included over 30,000 organised workmen in 1913. The legislation on social politics has culminated in the institution of an eleven hours' maximum working day for young persons and female workers.

In Belgium, where the already existing germs of large industries had attained an enormous development in the second half of the nineteenth century, a Social Democratic Labour party of some importance was eventually founded, after various useless attempts, towards the middle of the "seventies." Its pro-

Belgium's programme was modelled in all
Large essential points on the German
Industries one. After the second half of the "eighties" the party received considerable additions of strength, since it used its utmost endeavours at the same time to form and to promote trades unions and industrial associations. Several of these Belgian industrial societies are well known for their excellent management and their wide sphere of influence, as, for example, the "Vooruit" at Ghent

and the "Volkshaus" at Brussels. In the year 1893 the workmen, in combination with the Radicals, extorted, by monster demonstrations and a general strike, universal suffrage, which was not indeed granted in a direct form, but under that of the so-called franchise by "majority of votes." At the first elections which took place on that system in 1894, 350,000 votes were polled for socialist candidates, of whom 32 were able to enter the Belgian Chamber. Since that date Socialism has continually won new adherents, so that it was in a position at the 1911 elections to unite 530,000 votes in support of its candidates, and to effect the election of 38 deputies.

Legislation for the protection of workmen is restricted in Belgium chiefly to the twelve hours' maximum working day for young persons.

In Italy, where until recently there have not yet been any noteworthy industries, the relations of the employers to their workmen in town and country were by no

Spread of means patriarchal; on the con-
Anarchism trary, the workmen, since they
in Italy were not sufficiently organised, were "sweated" to the greatest

extent. It was only since the beginning of the "eighties" of the nineteenth century, when the Anarchists, after various riots, had finally been defeated by the stringent measures of the Government, that the Social Democracy began to come into prominence.

The trades unions have become comprehensive organisations, and the Social Democracy has also numerous followers, especially in North Italy, the real centre of industry, although associations of country workers have declared their adhesion to the party. Spain, in her industrial development, stands appreciably behind Italy. In other respects the politico-social life of Spain presents in important points practically the same peculiarities as that of Italy—namely, distress among the lower orders, a lamentable want of education among the people, and the intrusion into politics of numerous disreputable scions of the "higher" classes. Anarchism has, therefore, rapidly spread here since the end of the "eighties," while the Social Democrats have made but little way.

GEORG ADLER

GREAT DATES FROM THE FRENCH REVOLUTION TO OUR OWN TIME

A.D.		A.D.	
1789	MAY: Meeting of States-General. JUNE: Tennis Court Oath. The States-General becomes the National or Constituent Assembly. JULY 14th: Fall of Bastille. AUG.: Abolition of Fendal privileges. OCT.: Insurrection of Women.	1803	MARCH: Secularisation of ecclesiastical states in Germany. MAY: War declared between France and Great Britain; French occupy Hanover.
1790	FEB.: Leopold II. Emperor. JULY: Treaty of Reichenbach. AUGUST: Mutinies, and massacre of Nancy.	1804	FEB.: Royalist Plot of Pichegru and Cadoudal; Moreau exiled. MARCH: Murder of Duc d'Enghien. Issue of the Code Napoleon. MAY: Napoleon I. Emperor of the French. Pitt returns to office. Russia forms alliance with Prussia. Nov.: Alliance joined by Austria.
1791	MARCH: Death of Mirabeau. MAY: Canada Act. JUNE: Flight of Louis to Varennes. AUG.: Conference of Pilnitz. SEPT.: Louis accepts the Constitution. OCT.: "Legislative" Assembly meets.	1805	MARCH: Villeneuve sails from Toulon. MAY: Italian Republic becomes a monarchy, with Napoleon king. Eugene Beauharnais viceroy. JULY: Calder defeats Villeneuve. SEPT.: Third Coalition formed. OCT.: Capitulation of Ulm. Trafalgar. DEC.: Austerlitz. Treaties of Schönbrunn and Presburg. Bourbon Dynasty of Naples deposed.
1792	JAN.: Treaty of Jassy. FEB.: Treaty betw Austria and Prussia. MARCH: 1st, Francis II. Emperor; 29th, Gustavus III. of Sweden assassinated. APRIL: France declares war on Austria. JUNE: Mob breaks into Tuileries. JULY: 24th, Prussia declares war; 27th, Brunswick's proclamation. AUG.: Mob attack on Tuileries; Louis a prisoner. Supremacy of Paris Commune. Fall of Longwy. SEPT.: September massacres. Cannonnade of Valmy. "National Convention" meets; Republic proclaimed. OCT. and NOV.: Success of Republican armies. DEC.: Trial of Louis XVI. opens.	1806	JAN.: Death of Pitt. End of Holy Roman Empire. APRIL: Joseph Bonaparte King of Naples. JUNE: Louis Bonaparte King of Holland. JULY: Confederation of the Rhine. OCT.: Prussia shed at Jena and Auerstädt. Nov.: The Berlin Decree.
1793	JAN.: Second partition of Poland. Louis beheaded. FEB.: Declaration of war with England and Holland. Revolt of La Vendée. MAR.: Revolutionary Tribunal. APRIL: Flight of Dumouriez. JUNE: Fall of Gironde. JULY: Revolt of Girondist departments. Death of Marat. SEPT.: Law of the Suspect. Carnot. OCT.: Republican Calendar. Marie Antoinette and Girondins guillotined. NOV.: Reign of Terror. DEC.: Toulon captured.	1807	JAN.: The Orders in Council. Act abolishing Slave Trade. FEB.: Eylau. MARCH: Portland Ministry. Canning Foreign Secretary. APRIL: Treaty of Bartenstein. JUNE: Friedland. JULY: Treaty of Tilsit. Jerome Bonaparte King of Westphalia. SEPT.: Copenhagen bombarded. OCT.: Treaty of Fontainebleau. French troops enter Spain. Stein begins his reforms in Prussia. DEC.: Junot at Lisbon.
1794	MARCH: Fall of Hébertists. APRIL: Fall of Danton; Robespierre supreme. Pichegru in Netherlands. JUNE: 1st, Howe's victory; 26th, Jourdan's victory at Fleurus; 28th, Thermidorian reaction. Fall of Robespierre; end of Reign of Terror. OCT.: Pichegru overruns Holland.	1808	MARCH: Abdication of Charles IV. of Spain. MAY: Meeting at Bayonne. Rising of Spain. JUNE: Joseph Bonaparte King of Spain. Murat King of Naples. JULY: Capitulation of Baylen. AUG.: Vimeiro. Convention of Cintra. OCT.: Meeting of Erfurt. NOV.: Fall of Stein. Napoleon goes to Spain. DEC.: Advance and retreat of Sir John Moore. Napoleon leaves Spain.
1795	JAN.: Third partition of Poland. APRIL: Peace of Basle with Prussia. JULY: Peace of Basle with Spain. Emigrés crushed at Quiberon. OCT.: Insurrection of Vendémiaire suppressed. Directory established.	1809	JAN.: Moore at Corunna. FEB.: Fall of Saragossa. APRIL: Wellesley at Lisbon. Austria declares war. MAY: Tyrolese revolt. Aspern. Annexation of Papal States. JUNE: Soult forced to evacuate Portugal. JULY: Wagram; Talavera. Walcheren Expedition. OCT.: Peace of Vienna. Bernadotte becomes Crown Prince of Sweden.
1796	MAY: Bonaparte in Italy. Lodi. SEPT.: Archduke Charles repulses invasion of Jourdan and Moreau. OCT.: Spain allies with France. Nov.: Arcola; Paul I. Tsar of Russia. Gustavus IV. assumes government of Sweden.	1810	MARCH: Napoleon marries Marie Louise. JULY: Annexation of North Sea Coast Districts. SEPT.: Busaco; Cortes meets at Cadiz. Nov.: Torres Vedras. DEC.: Tsar withdraws from Continental System.
1797	JAN.: Rivoli. FEB.: Cape St. Vincent. APRIL: JUNE: Mutinies in British Fleet. Treaty of Leoben. Repression of Venice. Cisalpine and Ligurian Republics constituted. SEPT.: Coup d'état of Fructidor. Death of Hoche. OCTOBER: Camperdown. Treaty of Campo Formio. Nov.: Frederic William III. King of Prussia.	1811	MAY: Fuentes d'Oñoro and Albuera.
1798	APRIL: Helvetic Republic constituted. MAY: Egyptian expedition sails from Toulon. Rebellion in Ireland. JUNE: Vinegar Hill. JULY: Battle of the Pyramids. AUG.: Battle of the Nile. Second coalition formed.	1812	JAN.: Ciudad Rodrigo. APRIL: Badajoz. JUNE: Moscow Expedition starts. Liverpool Ministry. JULY: Salamanca. SEPT.: Borodino. Burning of Moscow. OCT.: Retreat from Moscow. NOV.: Bridge of Beresina. DEC.: Agreement of Taurigen.
1799	JAN.: Parthenopean Republic of Naples. MARCH: Stockach. APRIL: Magnano. MAY: Bonaparte repulsed at Acre. JUNE: Trebbia. AUG. Nov.: Capture of Dutch Fleet in the Texel. SEPT.: Restoration of Naples monarchy. Withdrawal of Suwarrow. OCT.: Return of Bonaparte. NOV.: Coup d'état of Brumaire. Bonaparte First Consul.	1813	FEB.: Treaty of Kalisch. MAY: Lützen and Bautzen. JUNE: Vittoria. Treaty of Reichenbach. AUG.: Katzbach and Dresden. SEPT.: Treaty of Toplitz. OCT.: Leipzig.
1800	JUNE: Marengo. AUG.: Union between Great Britain and Ireland. DEC.: Hohenlinden.	1814	JAN.: Treaty of Kiel. Norway joined to Sweden. FEB.: La Rothière. MARCH: Capitulation of Paris. APRIL: Battle of Toulouse. Napoleon goes to Elba; Bourbon restoration. MAY: Treaty of Paris. NOV.: Congress of Vienna meets.
1801	FEBRUARY: Resignation of Pitt. Treaty of Lunéville. MARCH: Abercrombie at Aboukir. APRIL: Nelson at Copenhagen. Alexander I. Tsar. OCTOBER: Peace preliminaries. The Batavian Republic organised.	1815	MARCH: Napoleon lands and returns to Paris. MAY: Murat overthrown at Tolentino. JUNE: Ligny, Quatre-Bras, and Waterloo. JULY: Second Bourbon restoration. Napoleon sent to St. Helena. Holy Alliance. Nov.: Peacey of Paris.
1802	MARCH: Peace of Amiens. APRIL: French Concordat with Papacy. AUG.: Bonaparte First Consul for life. SEPT.: Piedmont annexed to France.	1818	Congress of Aix-la-Chapelle. Evacuation of France by forces of the Allies. Pindari war in India.
		1819	The Six Acts.
		1820	Accession of George IV. Queen Caroline scandals. Royalist reaction in France. Revolution of Riego in Spain. Revolution in Portugal and separation from Brazil. Insurrections in the two Sicilies. Congress of Troppau, afterwards Laibach.

GREAT DATES FROM THE FRENCH REVOLUTION TO OUR OWN TIME

A.D.		A.D.	
1821	Death of Napoleon. Suppression of Italian revolts. Greek insurrection against Turkey.	1853	Turkey declares war against Russia.
1822	Canning, Foreign Secretary. Independence of South American colonies recognised. Congress of Vienna. Greek successes.	1854	Crimean war. Battles of Alma, Balaclava, and Inkerman.
1823	Ferdinand VII. of Spain re-establishes absolutism by French help. Reaction in Portugal. Huskisson's commercial policy in England.	1855	Palmerston Ministry. Fall of Sebastopol. Alexander II. Tsar.
1824	Accession of Charles X. in France.	1856	End of War. Persian and Chinese wars. Lord Canning in India.
1825	Ibrahim Pasha in Greece. Nicholas I. Tsar of Russia.	1857	Indian Mutiny: revolt broken.
1826	Canning prevents Spanish intervention in Portugal. Fall of Miscolonghi.	1858	Orsini's bomb. Derby Administration. Mutiny suppressed; India transferred to the Crown.
1827	Canning, Prime Minister. Anglo-Russian Treaty of London. Death of Canning. Battle of Navarino.	1859	Napoleon supports Sardinia against Austria; Magenta and Solferino. Peace of Villafranca. Palmerston's return.
1828	Wellington, Prime Minister. Test and Corporation Acts repealed. Clare election. Usurpation of Dom Miguel in Portugal. War between Russia and Turkey.	1860	Union of Savoy and Nice to France. Garibaldi in Sicily. The Commons, the Peers, and the Paper Duty.
1829	Catholic emancipation. Treaty of Adrianople. Greek independence recognised.	1861	Victor Emmanuel King of Italy. Death of Cavour. Abd ul-Aziz Sultan. William I. in Prussia. Emancipation of Russian serfs. North American Civil War.
1830	Accession of William IV. in England. Grey Prime Minister. The July Revolution. Louis Philippe King of the French. Risings in Belgium, Poland, and Sicily. Accession of Ferdinand II. in Naples.	1862	Battle of Aspromonte. King Otto expelled from Greece. Bismarck Prussian Minister. Cotton famine.
1831	Belgium recognised as an independent kingdom. Polish revolt suppressed. English Reform Bill rejected.	1863	Schleswig-Holstein war. Suppression of Poland. The Alabama.
1832	Reform Act passed.	1864	Death of Palmerston.
1833	Otto of Bavaria King of the Hellenes. Isabella succeeds in Spain. Miguel expelled from Portugal. Slavery abolished in the British Empire.	1865	Russell Ministry. Gastein Convention.
1834	Melbourne Ministry. Poor Law Reform. On Melbourne's dismissal by the king, Peel attempts to form Ministry.	1866	Seven Weeks' War of Prussia and Austria. Sadowa. Venetia ceded to Victor Emmanuel. French in Rome. Dual Government of Austria-Hungary.
1835	Melbourne Ministry returns. Palmerston in control of Foreign Affairs. Ferdinand I. Austrian Emperor.	1867	Disraeli's Reform Bill. B.N.A. Consolidation Act. Abyssinian War.
1837	Accession of Victoria. Hanover separated from Great Britain. Papineau's revolt in Canada.	1868	Isabella expelled from Spain. Fenian outrages. Abolition of Church rates.
1838	Lord Durham in Canada. Development of Chartism.	1869	Gladstone Administration. Irish Land Bill and Disestablishment.
1839	Mehemet Ali in Syria. Abd ul-Mejid sultan. Peel and the Bedchamber question. Anti-Corn Law League.	1870	Franco-German War; Sedan; Third Republic. Italy unified. English Education Act.
1840	Mehemet Ali checked. Marriage of Queen Victoria. Canadian Act of Reunion. Chinese "Opium" War.	1871	Surrender of Paris. German Empire proclaimed. Black Sea Conference.
1841	Kabul disaster. Peel, Prime Minister.	1872	Alabama award.
1842	Dost Mohammed restored. Peel's sliding scale. The Disruption in Scotland.	1873	MacMahon President in France.
1843	Annexation of Sindh. Gwalior Campaign.	1874	Alfonso XII. in Spain. Disraeli Administration.
1845	First Sikh War; ended next year.	1875	Purchase of Suez Canal shares.
1846	Repeal of the Corn Laws. Pius IX. Pope. Russell administration.	1876	Bulgarian atrocities. Abd ul-Hamid Sultan.
1847	Fielden's Factory Act.	1877	Russo-Turkish War. Annexation of Transvaal.
1848	February Revolution; Second French Republic. Risings in Sicily and Naples. March Revolution in Germany. Revolt of Schleswig-Holstein from Denmark. Revolts of Lombardy and Venice against Austria. Frankfurt Parliament. Radetzky defeats Charles Albert of Sardinia at Custoza. Accession of Frederic VII. in Denmark. Francis Joseph in Austria; Louis Napoleon President of French Republic. Dalhousie in India. Collapse of Chartist movement in England. Reaction victorious in Germany and Austria. Second Sikh War.	1878	Treaty of San Stefano. Berlin Congress. Afghan wars; ended in 1880.
1849	Hungarian revolt suppressed. Victor Emmanuel King of Sardinia. Dissolution of Frankfurt Parliament. Reaction in Central Italy. Annexation of Punjab.	1879	Zulu War: Isandhlwana.
1850	North German Confederation. Convention of Olmütz. Australian Constitution Bill. The Queen's memorandum to Palmerston.	1880	Gladstone Administration.
1851	Coup d'état in France. Palmerston dismissed. Great Exhibition.	1881	Majuba. Retrocession of Transvaal.
1852	Schleswig-Holstein question. Cavour Minister. Death of Duke of Wellington. Napoleon III. Emperor.	1882	Bombardment of Alexandria. Tel-el-Kebir. Franchise and Redistribution Acts.
		1883	Death of C. G. Gordon. Penjdeh incident.
		1886	First Home Rule Bill. Salisbury Ministry.
		1888	Parnell Commission.
		1889	Annexation of Burmah.
		1895	Salisbury's Unionist Administration. Jameson raid.
		1898	Conquest of Sudan.
		1899	Boxer rising in China. South African War begins.
		1900	Australian Commonwealth.
		1901	Accession of Edward VII.
		1902	End of Boer War.
		1903	Russo-Japanese War.
		1904	Separation of Norway and Sweden.
		1905	(Dec.) Campbell-Bannerman (Lib.), Prime Minister.
		1906	Grant of responsible government in S. Africa.
		1909	Union of S. Africa.
		1910	Accession of George V.
		1911	Republic in Portugal.
		1912	Manchu dynasty expelled, and republic declared in China.
		1912	Balkan States defeat Turkey.
		1913	

GLIMPSES of EUROPE'S CAPITAL CITIES




IN THE HEART OF LONDON

Valentine



VIEW FROM THE MONUMENT, SHOWING THE RIVER THAMES, THE TOWER & TOWER BRIDGE



TRAFALGAR SQUARE AND THE NELSON COLUMN AS SEEN FROM THE WEST SIDE



ANOTHER VIEW FROM THE MONUMENT, SHOWING ST. PAUL'S IN THE DISTANCE

LONDON, THE CAPITAL OF THE BRITISH EMPIRE



PANORAMIC VIEW, SHOWING EIGHT OF THE BRIDGES ACROSS THE RIVER SEINE



THE AVENUE DE L'OPERA, WITH THE OPERA HOUSE IN THE DISTANCE
'LA VILLE LUMIÈRE': SCENES IN THE BEAUTIFUL CAPITAL OF FRANCE



A GENERAL VIEW, SHOWING THE IMPERIAL PALACE AND THE CATHEDRAL




UNTER DEN LINDEN. ONE OF THE MOST FAMOUS STREETS IN EUROPE

IN BERLIN, THE PROSPEROUS CAPITAL OF THE GERMAN EMPIRE



NEVSKII-PROSPEKT, ONE OF THE FINEST THOROUGHFARES IN THE WORLD



THE OLD ADMIRALTY BUILDING FROM ONE OF THE BRIDGES SPANNING THE NEVA

ST. PETERSBURG. THE MODERN CAPITAL OF THE RUSSIAN EMPIRE



PART OF THE FRANZENSRING, THE PRINCIPAL BOULEVARD OF VIENNA




BUDAPEST, SHOWING THE SUSPENSION BRIDGE ACROSS THE RIVER DANUBE


THE CAPITAL CITIES OF AUSTRIA AND HUNGARY



A BIRD'S-EYE VIEW, SHOWING THE PICTURESQUE MOSQUES AND MINARETS



ANOTHER VIEW OF THE CITY, INCLUDING THE GALATA BRIDGE
CONSTANTINOPLE, THE CAPITAL OF THE TURKISH EMPIRE



LOOKING TOWARDS THE RUINS OF THE ACROPOLIS

Photo by m



GENERAL VIEW OF THE MODERN TOWN

ATHENS, THE CAPITAL OF ANCIENT AND MODERN GREECE



ROME, SEEN FROM ST. PETER'S, SHOWING THE TIBER AND THE CASTLE OF ST. ANGELO



GENERAL VIEW OF BERNE, WITH THE BERNESE OBERLAND IN THE DISTANCE

ROME AND BERNE: THE CAPITALS OF ITALY AND SWITZERLAND



PANORAMA OF MADRID, GIVING A GLIMPSE OF THE PRADO IN THE FOREGROUND



GENERAL VIEW OF LISBON, LOOKING FROM ST. PEDRO DE ALCANTARA

MADRID AND LISBON: THE SPANISH AND PORTUGUESE CAPITALS



THE BRUSSELS PALAIS DE JUSTICE: ONE OF THE WORLD'S LARGEST BUILDINGS




THE BRONZE STATUE OF WILLIAM II. AT THE HAGUE


SCENES IN THE CAPITAL CITIES OF BELGIUM AND HOLLAND



A PICTURESQUE GLIMPSE OF BUCHAREST, THE CAPITAL OF ROUMANIA Photochron



GENERAL VIEW OF SOFIA, WITH MONUMENT TO ALEXANDER II. OF RUSSIA



PANORAMIC VIEW OF BELGRADE AS SEEN FROM THE RIVER DANUBE

THE CAPITALS OF ROUMANIA, BULGARIA, AND SERVIA



EUROPE: SEVENTH DIVISION THE EUROPEAN POWERS TO-DAY

AND A SURVEY OF THE BRITISH EMPIRE

As concerns our present great geographical division—Europe—we have now reached the last historical phase. It remains for us to take the states into which that division is now split up, to give an account of their present-day characteristics, and to relate the present with the past and the immediate future. For it is not the historian's part to prophesy, though he has provided the data for prophetic inductions, within very circumscribed limits.

At this stage, therefore, we give a picture of the political and social conditions prevailing, first of all, in every Continental state, large or small, from Russia to Andorra, dwelling on those features which appear to be of the strongest interest in each individual case.

Finally, we turn to our own islands, and hence digress to an account of our world-empire, which needs to be treated as a unity, although such treatment of it has been impossible to fit into our continuous narrative of world-history built up on a geographical basis. For it is the history of an expansion into every quarter of the globe, the picture of an empire whose flag is planted on every continent, whose dominion in every continent but Europe itself extends from sea to sea, and claims to include, metaphorically at least, in that dominion the boundless ocean itself.

RUSSIA

By Dr. E. J. Dillon

TURKEY, GREECE AND THE BALKANS

By F. A. McKenzie

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY

By Henry W. Nevinston

GERMANY

By Charles Lowe, M.A.

BELGIUM, HOLLAND, LUXEMBURG, SWITZERLAND

By Robert Machray, B.A.

ITALY AND SAN MARINO

By William Durban, B.A., and Robert Machray, B.A.

FRANCE, MONACO, AND ANDORRA

By Richard Whiteing and Robert Machray, B.A.

SPAIN AND PORTUGAL

By Martin Hume, M.A.

SCANDINAVIA

By William Durban, B.A.

THE UNITED KINGDOM

By Arthur D. Innes, M.A.

THE BRITISH EMPIRE

By Sir Harry H. Johnston, K.C.B.





THE FIRST DUMA, WHICH SAT FROM MAY 10TH TILL JULY 22ND, 1906

From the drawing by L. Sabbatier




THE SECOND DUMA, WHICH LASTED FROM MARCH 5TH TILL JUNE 16TH, 1907



THE THIRD DUMA, WHICH ASSEMBLED ON NOVEMBER 1ST, 1907

RUSSIA'S PARLIAMENT: PICTURES OF THE THREE DUMAS



EUROPEAN POWERS TO-DAY

RUSSIA IN OUR OWN TIME

ITS POVERTY, CORRUPTION, AND OPPRESSION WITH A GLOOMY AND UNCERTAIN OUTLOOK

By Dr. E. J. Dillon

THE Russia of the twentieth century is the product of manifold social forces, religious influences, and political currents, of which the most salient and obvious began to be keenly felt and generally noticed in the reign of Peter the Great. Down to that historic epoch the nation had kept studiously aloof from the progressive peoples of Europe, leading a life apart.

Unlike the Poles and Czechs, whom communion with papal Rome had brought into continuous contact with all that was stimulating in Western civilisation, Russia isolated herself by embracing Byzantine Christianity and accepting Byzantine culture. Peter the Great was the first ruler

Thinking Russia in Two Camps to break with this paralysing past and to endeavour to bring his people into line with their European neighbours.

The task was superlatively arduous, and the efforts constantly made since then to accomplish it divided thinking Russia into two camps, which towards the middle of the nineteenth century received the names of the Slavophile and the Western.

The men of the latter party yearned for the regime of France or England. Those of the former thanked God for having vouchsafed to His chosen people the best of all possible institutions: Greek orthodoxy as the most perfect Christian creed; Russian autocracy, conceived as a paternal relation between tsar and people, and therefore the most satisfactory of all forms of government; and the village commune as the

highest type of social organism. Perfect in idea, those institutions had been abused by men, and were consequently now capable of great improvement. But to put them wholly away for Western innovations would be suicidal. Indeed, the circumstance that they constituted the exclusive heritage of the Russian race might, it was argued, be taken as a proof that Providence has destined the Slav Messiah of the nations to take the place of effete Europe in the vanguard of the cultured world.

The note of Slav thought being the universal and the absolute, it too often happens that inadequate attention is paid by Russian reformers to the concrete, the real, the relative. In this way **The Dream of the Idealists** it came to pass that the friends of Western culture in the tsardom longed not so much for the grafting of European ideas on the Russian stock as for a quick and complete break with the past and the complete regeneration of the nation on the lines of extreme Socialist theories. Orthodoxy, autocracy, and the village commune, everything Russian, was to be thrown into the melting-pot, whence a rejuvenated nation was to emerge.

When far-resonant events like the Crimean War allied themselves with these nihilistic notions, from the union of the two sprang that powerful current of anarchistic thought and feeling which openly and secretly has been undermining the bases of the Russian Empire ever since. With this tendency, which has made itself felt

in all classes of society—being industriously spread by village schools and popular literature, as well as by the teachings of professional revolutionists—the names of Alexander Herzen, Nicholas Dobroliuboff, and Leo Tolstoy have been closely associated. Most of the active leaders of the reform movement, saturated

**Russia's
War with
Japan**

to the heart's core with those subversive ideas, were unwilling to make allowances for Russian ways of thought, modes of living, religious feeling, and secular customs. Midway between these two camps stood the ruling oligarchs—planless, listless, resourceless.

The war with Japan revealed and intensified the astounding weakness of the established political and social fabric, hastened the downfall of the regime, and offered the reform party a golden opportunity to put their fanciful projects to the test of realisation. When the tsar, giving way to what seemed the wishes of his people, had laid down his prerogative of absolutism and promised far-reaching political and social reforms, the ground, cleared of ancient encumbrances, presented a unique site for the erection of a stable democratic fabric.

Guided by ordinary common-sense and commanded by an unflinching will, the reform party might have successfully infused into the nation all the democratic current it was capable of absorbing. The leverage it had acquired was enormous. Some few discerned then what the many can plainly see to-day—that that party by first accepting the power, without responsibility, which was well within its reach, might have soon afterwards obtained the reins of government, and begun its grandiose and perilous experiment upon the nation.

But, confident of an easy victory, disdainful of help, impatient of advice, and chafed by delay, the Democrats violently opposed, in lieu of steadily supporting,

**Democrats
in Quest
of Allies**

Count Witte's administration. In quest of allies, they made a high bid for the support of the Jews, the peasants, the working man, the lower clergy, and the troops by promising reforms which it would have taken a century of continuous effort and untold sums of money to realise. At the best of times Russian reformers lack the saving sense of measure, but now they broke loose from all restraints and ended by alienating the sympathies of many

true Democrats who could gauge the tendency of the time and estimate the speed and the trend of the main social and political currents of semi-articulate Russia.

Since the partial revolution of 1905-6, which rendered many weighty problems acute without starting practical solutions for any of them, Russia has been passing through a transitional phase, the duration of which it is impossible to predict. That extraordinary upheaval, which may be aptly characterised as the result of a struggle not so much between two forces as between two weaknesses, between an epileptic and a paralytic organism, began in truly characteristic fashion. Whole sections of the Statute Book and State Law were abrogated by implication. Customs and traditions, hallowed by ages, were informally but effectively abolished, and nothing whatever was put in their places. In short, a sponge was passed over the slate, on which the mob was allowed to write its conflicting demands, and almost everybody was surprised to see that anarchy ensued. Some of the worst effects of the confusion which was thus

**Fruits of
the Recent
Revolution**

produced still continue to make themselves felt in the principal departments of public life. Many of the political and social questions then formulated are still pressing for answers. Between the theory and practice of the present administration many a chasm is still unbridged.

Thus it would tax the ingenuity of a Montesquieu to determine the type of monarchy which in Russia has succeeded absolutism, and the courtly Almanach de Gotha has illustrated the difficulty by offering a definition of the regime in terms which contradict each other. One may take it that the government is still an autocracy, tempered, as the rule of the first Romanoffs was, by the wishes of the people; but with this difference, that in the seventeenth century public opinion was focussed fitfully in the Zemsky Councils, whereas to-day it is permanently embodied in the Duma and the Council of the Empire.

One of the most momentous changes brought about by the revolution of 1905 affects the legislative machinery of the tsardom. Formerly the monarch was the sole fountain head of law, and although he invariably availed himself of the services of the Council of the Empire and the Senate, which drafted Bills and interpreted statutes, his influence upon law-

making was paramount and unchallenged. But the charter which he bestowed upon his people in 1905 contains a promise that henceforth no measure shall be inscribed upon the Statute Book without the assent of the two representative Chambers.

That is now become one of the fundamental maxims of the Russian Constitution. But, like all such principles, it is applicable and absolute only in normal times. During periods of public trouble exceptions are provided for. For example, if in the intervals between two Dumas, the Crown believes that the needs of the empire call for special legislation, the tsar may on his own authority promulgate it, on condition that on the re-assembling of the nation's spokesmen the measure be laid before them for confirmation or repeal. The one instance in which the emperor, going further, altered the fundamental laws themselves and accomplished what was technically a coup d'état, occurred in June, 1907, when he authorised M. Stolypin to change materially the electoral law. Among the arguments brought forward in defence of this bold line of action two seem especially cogent. The franchise as established in 1905 had no claims whatever to be included among the fundamental laws, which alone are "immutable." Indeed, it had been printed among them solely in consequence of a mere chancellery blunder. Moreover, by their nature the conditions which a citizen of almost any country must fulfil in order to qualify as a voter, especially when the franchise is very restricted, are not stable. They change with the times, and no serious legislator would seek to canonise them.

Two Dumas that Failed Another consideration that weighed with the Crown and the Premier was the danger that threatened representative institutions in Russia at that critical period of their existence. Two successive Dumas had come together, bitterly disappointed the hopes of their friends, and realised those of their enemies. And if the third experiment should fail, the grant of an elective Chamber would most probably have been suspended sine die. In order to avert this calamity it seemed necessary to get together an assembly that would consent to discharge its own functions within the narrow limits outlined by the constitution. A set of arbitrary voting qualifications was therefore drawn up by the Prime Minister, which,

however illogical, unfair, and indefensible they may be on theoretic grounds, attained the end in view. The third Duma accordingly met, passed laws, discussed Bills, increased the pay of its own members to an extent that was deemed exorbitant, and accustomed the nation to the working of a legislative assembly. The responsibility attaching to that course and the credit for these results belong principally, if not exclusively, to M. Stolypin. The Duma in its present shape, and indeed the entire machinery of government, continue to exhibit in a superlative degree signs of the haste with which they were elaborated and proofs of the faultiness of their working.

In form they are stamped with the mark of transition, in character they exhibit the defects of the qualities which render the Slavs socially popular and politically inferior. The "Cabinet," presided over by the Premier, includes only a certain number of the tsar's official advisers, and eliminates nearly all the more important ones. The Ministers of War, Marine, and Foreign Affairs, as well as the Minister of the Imperial Court are outside the Cabinet. At bottom this may be an advantage, for it makes them quite independent of the Prime Minister. If they take part in any parliamentary discussion, the act is understood to be quite spontaneous on their part, and in each case they must first obtain the express authorisation of the emperor. The Prime Minister's authority does not touch them, nor does the Crown, when appointing or dismissing them, consult him.

The autocracy as it prevailed down to 1905 has thus disappeared, but it seems impossible to define with anything approaching to precision the type of government that has taken its place. Nor would it be easier to trace the limits that divide the legislative, judicial, and executive powers from each other. The tsar, indeed,

The Tsar Still an Autocrat still retains his old title of Autocrat, despite the needlessly bitter opposition offered to it by democratic politicians who spend most of their energy in barren tilting against windmills. But he has preserved more than the title. No measure can acquire the force of law without his assent. All authority emanates from him. He is the source of justice and mercy, and his dispensing power—of which, however, he but seldom makes

use—is extensive enough to enable him indirectly to temper or annul a penal law. The tsar is the one connecting link between the Russian nation and all the foreign members of the international community. He is also the war-lord of Russia, to whom the land and sea forces owe obedience, and he is the sole judge of the acts of

Disappointed his Ministers, who are responsible to no other institution
Liberal in the empire. What dis-
Reformers appointed Liberal reformers most bitterly complain of is the Duma's impotence even in financial matters. And in truth its influence is chiefly negative.

The Lower Chamber may criticise, but cannot reform. If its members pass a Bill obnoxious to the Government, the Upper House is virtually certain to throw it out. A Chamber of Reconciliation is then convoked, composed of a number of members of both legislatures. If these fail to agree, everything remains as it was before, and if a money vote is in question, the Minister continues to receive the sum allotted to him by the estimates of the preceding year. That the Duma should be thus restricted to the rôle of censor is deemed to be one of the worst defects of the present system of government.

On the other hand, it cannot be gainsaid that the soft, plastic character of the Slavs, the feebleness of their social interests, and the ease with which they turn away from deeds to words, are also to some extent answerable for the barrenness of the legislative sessions. The late Speaker, M. Khomyakoff, who is himself endowed with the admirable characteristics of the Slav in an eminent degree, has frequently pointed out the evil and explained it. Speaking in November, 1908, to a publicist about the glut of Bills and the slowness with which they are dealt with, he is reported to have said: "Looking at it all round, I must say that, to my thinking, the legislative machinery should

Squabbles be changed in some way. I
in cannot indicate how this is to be
the Duma done . . . but it is easy to see that if on July 1st this year there remained 222 Bills untouched, and by November 1st of the same year 290 more were laid before the House, well, there is something to think about. . . But all that would be nothing if the members of the Duma hit it off together, more or less. But they are eternally squabbling, eternally fighting. With regret I am obliged

to say that of late these quarrels have increased. On the whole, however, that is in our character. Let four men come together, and the very first thing they do is to rummage each other's souls in quest of each other's defects. About the good points nobody cares, but they infallibly rake up the delinquencies."

It is almost impossible to watch the working of the administrative mechanism of to-day without seeing that the Duma has lost the fascination for Russia which it possessed in the year 1906. It was then looked up to as a sort of brazen serpent in the Desert of Bureaucracy, created in order to heal. To-day it is but one of the many state departments of which there were then too many, whose privileged members are paid high salaries by the starving people for doing little or nothing. It has ceased to be a fountain of good, and is looked upon as a source of malignant evils.

It has no hold whatever on the country, and therefore cannot act as a breakwater against the heavy rollers of the revolutionary sea which threatens to sweep away the dynasty and the monarchical regime.

The Creeping Paralysis of the Duma And as the Duma is the only rampart which the monarchy now possesses against a general democratic movement — just as the police is the only protection on which the monarch relies against terrorist plots — it follows that, parallel with the creeping paralysis of the Duma goes the perilous weakening of the monarchic regime.

Thus the Russian Autocracy might be likened to a mighty rock which after centuries of repose has just rolled from the summit of a high mountain, but has been stopped midway down. In its present precarious position it may remain for years, or it may suddenly resume its downward course to-morrow, crushing everything in its way. This latter contingency is deemed by many to be all the more likely as many forces are working deliberately, methodically, and perseveringly, to set it rolling; while most of the officials who have undertaken the task of thwarting these, are either listless, negligent, or else secretly in the service of the enemy.

Evidently, then, change is a necessity. The sole question is, who shall have the shaping of it? At present the dynasty has the opportunity, and, to a limited extent, the ways and means, but apparently lacks the right men or else the will to appoint them. Even of the Bureaucrats,

Who at present wear the livery and receive the pay of the Crown, a large percentage are desirous of ulterior and far-reaching changes. A new political and social revolution is what they ardently hope for. And they would not only welcome its advent but would work actively to hasten it if they could take this step with impunity. Some of them indeed do, but stern necessity compels the majority to bide their time in relative quiescence.

This attitude is but one of many symptoms of a dangerous disorder which the ruling classes cannot, or will not, diagnose. Since the October 17th to 30th, 1905, there has been a bewildering dislocation of the political forces of the country, but it came to pass so gradually that even its occurrence—to say nothing of its significance—has not been realised or even noticed by the professional watchmen of the nation. But its effects are felt, although they are not being traced to the true cause. The Cabinet, the dynasty, the ruling classes—administrative and legislative—are now on one side, and the people are on the other. There is no organic nexus

The Shadow of a Second Revolution

between the governing bodies and the nation. Liberty is banished to the parliamentary island of the Tavrida Palace, law to the hall of the Senate and the pages of the civil and criminal codes, justice to the world to come, and the few measures of reform with which the Duma or the Cabinet periodically toy are as indifferent to the nation as the caress of a soft and tender hand squandered on a tortoise's shell would be to the slumbering tortoise inside.

The nation is marching steadily along its own grooves of thought, and striving towards its own ideals, and the governing classes are moving over theirs. The link between them is purely mechanical, not organic, and that, too, seems destined shortly to snap. Even now the subterranean forces of upheaval are so active, so constant, so successful, and the resistance offered them is so feeble, that even strangers with open eyes and ears, and nimble minds, can predict with perfect confidence the coming of the second revolution.

The principal mainstay of the dynasty, and, indeed, of order in the empire, is at present the army, whose loyalty has withstood temptations that appeared irresistible. Suspected in 1905 of being honeycombed with sedition, it still constitutes not only the most efficient pro-

tection to the regime, but to all elements of peaceful progress in the nation. In 1905 vigilant observers confidently predicted the saturation of the army with anarchistic or socialistic views within three years, that being the period necessary for a complete renovation of the troops. But although the efforts of the revolutionary

Russia's Loyal Troops

party are concentrated on the land and sea forces, without whose help or connivance they will find it difficult to carry out their subversive designs, the temper of the troops is still on the whole satisfactory. But even the army is not immune from the individual efforts of such apostles of the revolution as the late Gershuni, whose almost irresistible influence might aptly be likened to that of the piper of Hamelin. Socialism and Anarchism are now reaching the private soldier and common man by means of the Press, which the revolutionary forces of the country can handle with surprising effects. The bulk of daily papers, as well as weekly and monthly journals, are arrayed against the government, and their present moderation of tone is solely a result of the powerful deterrents which martial law puts in the hands of governors and general-governors. A change of regime to-morrow, or even the repeal of exceptional legislation, would effect a sudden and complete transformation in their methods of warfare.

That the army still needs complete reorganisation in almost every respect is evident, and not merely to experts, but also to careful outside observers. In the course of the years 1906 and 1907, the Government removed nearly all the highest commanders from active service, the chiefs of corps and divisions, and likewise about two-thirds of the other commanding officers. But independently of this weeding-out process numbers of excellent officers have voluntarily quitted the army because of the miserly pay there,

The Army in Need of Reform

the slowness of advancement, the lack of stimulus to enterprise, and of the crushing out of individuality by rigorous centralisation. Hundreds of them found it utterly impossible to live on the pittance they received.

Of these many resigned their commissions, while others plunged into debt. The life of the average officer, from the grade of major downwards, was a never-ending sequence of disillusion and hardships.

The War Ministry, when it shortened the term of service from four years to three, failed to allow for the fact that the training would have to be intensified correspondingly. Twenty-five per cent. more work was accordingly expected of the staff officers, who received neither better pay nor more help than before. Yet the staff of officers **The Army's Serious Loss of Men** had nearly always been inadequate. As the number who are continually lacking amounts to about 4,000, the work that falls to those who are in the service is doubled and sometimes trebled. Every year the military schools send out about 2,500 young officers to the army, which is annually losing about 4,000. The deficit is therefore growing instead of diminishing, and most of those who leave the service are said to be the best educated and the most highly qualified.

From January, 1909, the pay of the Russian officers was increased, but only slightly. Lack of funds keeps them from receiving their due, for gold is one of the chief forces that move the steel of armies, and Russia is poor. Still, much larger sums might have been made available for the troops by intelligent thrift. The hundreds of millions assigned in 1908 to the building of the Amoor railway line would, in the opinion of experts and patriots, have been much better invested in raising the material and moral level of the soldiers and officers. Men of talent whom a military career was wont to attract under the first Nicholas and the second Alexander now seek at the Bar, in trade, commerce and industry, or in various departments of the civil service, a suitable field for their activity and adequate remuneration for their time and labour.

In Russia, garrison service is marked by sameness, and the efforts put forth to vary its monotony too often demoralise those who make them. Hence the morale of the officers' corps **Problem of Garrison Service** stand in quite as much need of being improved as their material condition. And unless this problem is worked out to a desirable solution, the common men, who constitute the finest fighting material in the world, will lack efficient instructors, without whom the raw stuff cannot be fashioned into a living organism. In a country like Russia, the barracks could, and should, be turned into a kind of

national school for the upbringing of the primitive beings that enter them every year. Little has been done by the tsar's military advisers in the way of profiting by the lessons of the late war. And yet most other countries have utilised Russia's painful experience. The hand grenade, for instance, proved a most useful weapon during the Japanese campaign, and the War Ministry accordingly resolved to introduce it. Two departments, therefore, undertook to supply hand grenades to the army--the artillery and the engineers' corps--but as they have been unable to agree how to set about it, the step has not yet been taken. The utility and necessity of siege artillery is another of the practical conclusions which were drawn from the experience obtained during the Manchurian campaign. But the Russian army, which was not supplied with siege guns in 1904, is not supplied with them yet.

Again, about half of the divisions are still without quick-firing guns, because there is no money to buy them, the sum needed being computed at £20,000. Yet for the new and uncouth headgear which has recently been introduced, a sum of £1,400,000 was assigned **Essential Qualities of the Politician** unhesitatingly. The police, too, which is one of the least efficient in the world, is manifestly undergoing a process of slow reorganisation. Here, however, the work of improvement is more difficult owing to the exiguity of qualified men, for in Russia no one can become a good policeman who is not a man of nerve and a citizen of more than average moral worth. And individuals endowed with such ethical and physical equipments have no motives for becoming social pariahs by donning a livery which renders them in the eyes of Russian society what the publicans were in the eyes of the Jews.

In order to be and to remain an honest and incorruptible member of the police force in Russia, a man must be heroically virtuous, wholly temptation proof. Doubtless, every department of the administration in the tsardom has its own peculiar temptations, but that of the police teems with them. The pay is absurdly small; the work is hard; the risks are great; the antipathy of the public is intense and ruthless, and if a member is dismissed by his superiors, he is virtually an outcast. During the discharge of his duties money is thrust upon him at every hand's turn, sometimes for what he does, at other times



RUSSIA'S FINEST INFANTRY: THE SEMINOVSKY BEING REVIEWED BY THE TSAR



THE TSAR, WITH AN IKON IN HIS HAND, BLESSING RUSSIAN INFANTRY



ANOTHER PICTURE SHOWING THE TSAR REVIEWING THE SEMINOVSKY REGIMENT
THE TSAR OF RUSSIA AMONG HIS SOLDIERS

for what he leaves undone, and very often on the principle on which the Chinese pay their doctors, so long as they have no need of their professional services. Under these circumstances to fall is easy, even to an immaculate citizen. And the bulk of the police are the reverse of immaculate. The secret political police organisation,

Workings of the Secret Police which at a time like the present is one of the mainstays of the regime, has been shown by recent events to be at once implicitly trusted and absolutely untrustworthy. Its workings tend to undermine the throne, which it is paid to support, and its agents—some consciously, others unwittingly—defeat the very object which the organisation exists to promote. Nor is it to be supposed that any partial reform will infuse new life into the service so long as the Government lacks men of common honesty to work as agents, money to pay them well, and an organising intellect to give direction to their efforts.

Russia's police organisation is divided into two branches, of which one deals with ordinary crime and criminals, and the other with individuals and associations whose aim is to overthrow the Government or to assassinate its members. And the influence of both divisions upon the community is now seen to be positively mischievous. In some cases the chiefs, and in most instances the agents, undisguisedly adopt measures which run counter to the principles on which society rests.

They violate the law, scoff at morality, tamper with Imperial behests, paralyse the arm of the most powerful Minister, change a judicial or administrative thunderbolt into a simple petard, open prison doors to dangerous malefactors, reveal state secrets to bloodthirsty terrorists, and finally reach a point at which public opinion, clamouring to have them punished, is uncertain whether to classify them as cunning conspirators or

Corruption Among the Police as stupid officials. The ordinary police system, which is more amenable to supervision than the political, is undoubtedly corrupt to the core. Badly-paid underlings or impecunious chiefs conspire with thieves, highwaymen, and other criminals, whom they not only screen from punishment, but aid and abet in the commission of crime. In the year 1908 some extensive conspiracies, in which members of the police took part,

were brought to light. The Government instituted strict investigations, which led to further discoveries of a nauseous kind. The accused were sent for trial, the scandal was intense and widespread, and the public mistrust of the police became more deep-rooted than before. But the system remains what it was. It may well be doubted whether the moral calibre of the Russian constable can be greatly improved before his material well-being has been adequately provided for by his employers. But if the ordinary police in Russia resembles salt that has lost its savour, the political section may be likened to a disinfectant with which a potent poison has been mixed.

True, in no country is scrupulous respect for austere morality a characteristic of the body of men whose duty it is to discover in order to frustrate political crimes. So long as they keep within certain broad limits, and refrain from committing a breach of certain rudimentary ethical principles, they are sure to be judged by an easy standard. But in the practice of the Russian secret police all restraints appear to have been ignored, all breaches of human and divine law to be permitted. The **Evil Record of the Spy Azeff** Lopoukhine - Azeff scandal, which stirred the Russian nation to its inmost depths in 1909 revealed a code of maxims and a sequence of acts for which even men of lax morality find no excuse, and people of average intelligence can suggest no reasonable explanation.

The head of the police, Lopoukhine, set great store by a spy named Azeff, who was the soul and brain of the revolutionary committee which conceived and arranged some of the political outrages that preceded and accompanied the revolution. For the seven years ending in 1909, Azeff enjoyed the confidence alike of the terrorists and the police, and, so far as one can judge, achieved feats of sufficient importance to justify it in each case. He is said to have planned, among other crimes, the assassination of General Bogdanovitch, Governor of Ufa, of the Minister Plehve, from whom he was receiving large sums of money every year, and of the Grand Duke Sergius.

On the other hand, he betrayed the most successful Russian revolutionist that ever lived, Gershuni, who was proud of being his intimate friend. And while Azeff, the redoubted and redoubtable revolutionist,

was thus playing false to his party on the one hand, and was procuring the murder of prominent members of the Imperial Government on the other hand, one of the most influential chiefs of the provincial police—Bakai, the assistant-director of the secret police of Warsaw—was betraying Azeff to the revolutionists. But as the revolutionary committee could not on such questionable evidence convict its trusted leader of foul play, it appealed to Lopoukhine, the police director who had been the zealous co-operator and intimate friend of the despotic Minister Plehve, and this gentleman gave evidence against the secret agent whose services he had utilised and appreciated.

Among the causes that have led to this anarchy are the lack of unity of system and moral laxity. Under Plehve, for instance, there were five different bodies of secret police, each one working by itself and directing its efforts principally against the others. These were, the force under the police department, the police of the Department of Public Safety, the police of the Minister of the Interior, the palace police, and the police of the Foreign Department. It is easy to see how these bodies might unintentionally baulk each other's schemes; but that, moved by spite, hatred, or other base motives, they should deliberately play into the hands of the revolutionists is more difficult for foreigners to understand. To Russians, however, it seems not only probable, but true. And among the instances they bring forward in support of this grave accusation the following is the most striking.

While the cleverest Russian revolutionist, Gershuni, was living in a tailor's family in Kieff, planning the assassination of the Governor of Ufa, his every deed and word were revealed to the chief of the Kieff secret police. The traitors were two zealous revolutionists, the tailor and his daughter in whose house Gershuni was living. Now the chief of the Kieff police, General Novitsky, forwarded urgent telegrams to the Home Secretary, Plehve, asking for instructions and expecting to be authorised to arrest the conspirators. But Plehve, who is alleged to have had a grudge against the destined victim of the assassins, ordered the police director to stay his hand. "Observe, report, keep everything absolutely secret, but do nothing rash." Such was the gist of the Minister's

mysterious behest. And during a whole month the chief of the Kieff police continued to report, and the Home Secretary went on repeating his instructions. At last the day set apart for the crime was drawing near, and the police director informed Plehve that the four conspirators whose names he had communicated

long before had started for Ufa to commit the deed. But still Plehve made no sign. And in May, 1903, General Bogdanovitch, Governor of Ufa, was duly shot dead by the four assassins, who went away unmolested.

As things now stand in Russia, the throne alone would seem to separate the nation from anarchy, while the police shield the throne from destruction. On the efficiency of the police, therefore, the duration of the present regime will continue to depend, unless it be laid upon some more solid groundwork.

A thorough reorganisation of the police will entail heavy expenditure. Money, therefore, is a requisite. And what is true of the army and the police is equally true of every state department in the empire: without funds, no root-reaching reform is feasible. On the other hand, without purifying reform the diseased organism cannot be healed nor the enfeebled financial forces reinvigorated. We are apparently face to face with a vicious circle.

On the finances in the first instance, and on the economic condition of the country in last analysis, the future of the nation very largely depends. For the longer needful reforms are delayed, the more intense and widespread will disaffection become, and the slighter will be the influence of the conservative elements in the country. These elements are at present almost entirely confined to the higher classes. Formerly, indeed, the peasantry, too, were included among them, but erroneously; because the Russian

mooshik—this is one of the Russian terms for peasant—bore stoically what he could not alter, and dared not

criticise, he was set down as a worshipper of the autocracy. And, in order to obtain a Conservative majority in the Duma, the peasant was enfranchised by the first electoral law. In the interests of the nation, that mistake had to be righted as soon as the unwelcome fact became clear that he was quite indifferent to politics, as

politics, but was ready to join any party, legal or illegal, that would give or promise him gratuitously the land belonging to the squires, the Crown, or the Church.

Intellectually little better than the French or British peasant of the eleventh century, the mooshik lazily tills the land which he occupies but does not own. He is but a member of the village community in which the ownership is vested. Hence he lacks the sharp-cut notion of personal property, which to European peoples is almost an innate idea. He sees no moral wrong in sequestering by force the land that belongs to another, especially if that other is of a different class; nor can he discern any danger to himself in that course, although underlying it is a principle which, if logically applied, would reduce him to utter poverty. On the benighted condition of the vast agricultural class which thus constitutes a formidable and proximate danger to the well-being of the nation, the third Prime Minister, M. Stolypin, concentrated his attention.

Among a set of urgent problems all pressing for instant solution, he singled out the agrarian question as the most momentous. Soon after he had accepted office he acquired the conviction that unless he could win over the peasantry to such conservatism as enlightened selfishness

engenders, the country would be ruined. But his way was blocked with many obstacles. Seemingly, the peasantry had already thrown in their lot with the enemies of the empire. Revolutionary groups had bribed them with the promise of free land, rightly feeling that to be successful the anti-monarchical movement must have the active support of the masses. And it was because having won they failed to keep that support, and the movement consequently remained a mere urban revolution, that Russia is still an autocracy.

Of the 170,000,000 who now inhabit the tsardom, only 12·8 per cent. dwell in cities, the other 87·2 per cent. live in the country, and of these 74·2 per cent. are tillers of the soil. The entire peasant class of the empire amounts to 67·2 per cent., or two-thirds of the population. These figures enable one to understand the importance of the peasantry to the revolutionist leaders and the recklessness with which they made their bid for its support. Brutal anarchism was the form which the subversive movement assumed among the tillers of the soil.

M. Stolypin's mode of warring against this violent outburst was to smash the last of the three idols of the Slavophiles—the village commune—to divide among

Russia's Peasant Population

tsardom, only 12·8 per cent. dwell in cities, the other 87·2 per cent. live in the country, and of these 74·2 per cent. are tillers of the soil. The entire peasant class of the empire amounts to 67·2 per cent., or two-thirds of the population. These figures enable one to understand the importance of the peasantry to the revolutionist leaders and the recklessness with which they made their bid for its support. Brutal anarchism was the form which the subversive movement assumed among the tillers of the soil.



A RUSSIAN CROWD BEING HELD IN CHECK BY COSSACK SOLDIERS



A COSSACK REGIMENT RIDING THROUGH THE STREETS OF ST. PETERSBURG

individual husbandmen the land theretofore possessed in common, and thus grafting the idea of personal property on the sluggish, untutored minds of the rustics to wait until that should bring forth political and social fruit. This vast and fateful experiment is now in process of realisation. In the haste with which it had to be undertaken and the political colour that was necessarily imparted to it in consequence of the stress and strain of the moment lie the sources of its two sets of defects.

But the efforts made by the Government were praiseworthy. The domain lands of the Imperial family and extensive estates bought from wealthy noblemen were parcelled into lots by the Peasants' Bank, and are now divided among the farmers who undertake to refund the cost price to the State. The continuous migration of landless husbandmen to Siberia is also being directed and fostered by the Government, which further proposes to invite the same land-seeking class to colonise certain districts of Central Asia. The number of families that migrated to Siberia during the year 1908 was computed by the central authorities at 74,500, or, say between 370,000 and 450,000 individuals of both sexes. The extent of land parcelled out among these is estimated at 3,000,000 desiatines, a dessiatine being equal to 13,067 square yards, or approximately 2½ acres;

**Emigration
to Siberia
Encouraged**

this amounts to nearly 17,000 square miles. This salutary agrarian reform, simple though it may seem, will require the expenditure of sums of money so vast that the special agrarian fund will not suffice to furnish them. One may be pardoned for doubting whether even yet the Ministry itself fully realises the amounts that will ultimately be absorbed by this grandiose experiment, or the political changes it will bring forth. That the peasants will fail to redeem the bonds issued by the government to the noblemen who are selling their land, and that the deficit must one day be covered by the State, seems to many a foregone conclusion.

But the total cost of the transfer will probably not be limited to this loss. For the peasant, who already lives from hand to mouth, will be unable, from lack of ready money, to till the land as the noblemen tilled it. He must therefore obtain credit or sell out. Yet, in lieu of receiving the wherewithal to keep his new farm on its old level of productivity he has to sadd'le himself from the outset with debts which will cripple him and damage the community. The system of cultivation that still obtains in Russia may be tersely described as plunder of the soil. Much is taken, and little or nothing is given back. The three-field system, which involves enormous work, the lack of variety of crops, and the absence of

artificial manures, contribute to exhaust the fertility of the land. But it is difficult to see how any Minister, situated as M. Stolypin was, could have provided funds enough for the agrarian revolution which he courageously inaugurated. It is worth noting that, contrary to expectation, the peasants do not readily purchase the land which the Agrarian Bank acquired at its own risk from the landlords and divided into lots suited for farms. And yet the terms on which the bank offers them are very advantageous to the purchaser. Between November, 1905, and November, 1908, the bank thus bought 3,682,000 dessiatines from noblemen who had either actually suffered or were afraid of suffering from the violence of the peasantry.

Yet, of all this land, only 656,000 dessiatines have been bought by the would-be tenant farmers, or, say, 18 per cent. of the whole. The remainder, amounting to more than 3,000,000 dessiatines, remains on the hands of the bank, which has been authorised to make further purchases amounting to 2,000,000 dessiatines. In this way 5,000,000 dessiatines are in a transitional state—a result which must have a mischievous effect on the material well-being of the community.

In the Budget this dead loss figures as a minus, for the former owners of these estates have already been remunerated in government bonds, bearing interest at 5 and 6 per cent. And the interest on this debt has to be paid with regularity. The result is that the Government, in order to make good the loss of the bank, draws upon the taxpayer, and having assigned 7,000,000 roubles to the peasants' bonds in 1908, gave a subsidy of 17,500,000 in 1909.

But a more scathing criticism than could be based upon the probable financial consequence of the measure lies in the grounded fear that by its limitations it

will demoralise the village community, which it cannot wholly abolish, will ruin the bulk of the peasant farmers, whom it cannot furnish with adequate means of tilling the newly acquired soil, will cut millions adrift from the land, deprive them of permanent work, rob them of the material and moral help which they heretofore received from the village community, and expose them unequipped for resistance to the powerful temptations

of professional revolutionists. In other words, M. Stolypin's experiment, if there were funds to ensure to it the highest degree of success, could not bring forth good fruits before a couple of generations. But realised only in part—and plainly in its subversive part—owing to the dearth of funds to carry out the whole, and relied upon as an immediate remedy for the pressing political evils of to-day, it strikes most Russian observers as a superlatively mischievous scheme, which, however, does credit to the heart of M. Stolypin.

That the peasantry is as sorely in need of culture as the land will be taken as a self-evident proposition by all who have lived among them. Crass ignorance, mediæval superstition, paralysing fatalism, and a propensity to thriftlessness and laziness, are among their negative characteristics, and also among the active causes of the poverty from which they constantly suffer. Indeed, such is the character of the Russian agricultural class that, according to a competent, but one hopes a mistaken, judge, M. Obraztsoff, the introduction of personal property

among them will in three years cause about 20,000,000 of them to be landless. "The owners will exchange their farms for alcohol, just as they now exchange their carts and their garments for drink. There are families who have drunk their unsold land for twenty years in advance."

It is interesting in this connection to note the views of another authority, A. J. Savenko, who affirms that the fundamental impressions which rural Russia makes on the observer are the laziness, listlessness, and ignorance of its inhabitants. "The indolence of the majority of the peasants transcends all bounds. For dwellers in cities, who live in an atmosphere of steady toil, it is positively bewildering. The peasants are averse to doing anything. Work of any sort is distasteful to them, and they shirk it by every means in their power. Old and young are characterised by sloth, but youth takes the foremost place. In a large village you cannot find a single good worker, male or female. They will not consent to exert themselves even for most substantial remuneration, preferring to sit with folded arms at home. They live in want; some of them beg; but none wish to labour. . . . All in all, I think that in the course of a whole year the

peasants work no more than from one to two months. The remainder of the time they spend in utter idleness, which has a stupefying effect on them.

"Cynicism is a natural consequence of this sloth and listlessness. The peasants live in incredible squalor. Their æsthetic requirements are lowered to a microscopic minimum. The need of the most elementary comforts are wholly unfelt. They lead literally the life of hogs. Brutish cynicism shows itself through the whole course of rustic existence. I do not know wherein the spiritual side of it consists. The bulk of them are not conscious of any bond between themselves and the nation or the State. Religion no longer plays the part that it once did in the life of the people. In a fairly large village there is no church, and none of the villagers are in the least put out by the lack of one. Only one necessity is everywhere felt in the gloomy existence of the peasantry—the necessity of *vodka*—and that thirst is stilled abundantly."

A correlate to the laziness of the peasant is the large number of days of rest he enjoys even during the busiest months of the year when every hour of daylight ought to be utilised to the fullest.

The Peasants Poor and Thriftless

For example, August 1st is a holiday, the sixth is a holiday, the fifteenth is a holiday, the twenty-ninth and the thirtieth are holidays. Add to these the four Sundays, and you have nine days in one month during which no work is done.

But it is not only in the country that this disregard of time is noticeable. In trade and commerce, at the Bar, in the banks, on the railways—in short, everywhere it is the same. The Board of the Siberian Railway has lately published statistics of the number of hours the trains were late on that line during two consecutive years. In 1906 they lost 2,514 hours, and in 1907, 2,335 hours, *i.e.*, in 1906, 104½ days; and in 1907, 97 days and 7 hours. In the course of three years the Siberian trains lost exactly one year. And these statistics deal only with passenger trains.

Poverty is the correlate of sloth and thriftlessness, and it may well be doubted whether in any other country in Europe the material existence of the peasants leaves so much to be desired as in Russia. "The peasant's dwelling is a wooden or mud hut, more suited for cattle than for human beings. The peasants, with-

out distinction of sex, and oftentimes the cattle, take their rest in one narrow, mephitic room. Such a rudimentary convenience as a bed is a very great rarity in a farmer's house. The villages and hamlets in which the rural population of Russia are sheltered burn to ashes once in twenty years, completing

its ruin. Some hygienists hold, however, that if Russia were not periodically thus consumed by fire she would rot away in her infected huts and cabins. . . .

Nor is the food of the peasant any better. Compared with what it was, there is a certain change for the worse. . . . It consists mainly of bread and potatoes. Even such vegetables as cabbage, onions, and cucumber are disappearing from the table of the bulk of the peasants."

The wealth-creating power of the Russian husbandman is what the personal characteristics and the social conditions enumerated above would lead one to expect. Take the five principal cereals of the country—rye, wheat, oats, barley, Indian corn—and we find that in the year 1900 the total produce was but 3,269 million poods—a pood is 36 pounds; there are 62 poods in a ton—valued at 1904·7 millions of roubles. That is in Russia, where agriculture constitutes the main occupation, giving work to 74 per cent. of the entire population. Now, in the United States, where only 36 per cent. of the population till the land, the harvest of cereals in that same year amounted to 5,340 million poods, valued at 2,800 million roubles. Thus the American farmers gathered in 63 per cent. more—in weight—than the Russians. And yet the population of the tsardom is, roughly speaking, double that of the North American Republic.

If we now inquire how much of the corn is eaten by the people who raise it, we shall find the Russian husbandman

lagging far behind his rivals. In fact, one may truly say of him what was said of the French tiller before the revolution:

"He always has too little to eat, and occasionally dies of hunger." During the year 1904 the American citizen consumed 54·3 poods of corn; the German, 28·0; Austro-Hungarian, 23·3; French, 23·3; British, 23·0; Russian, 18·3. The melancholy significance of these figures will become more clear when we

bear in mind that together with corn foods the other peoples eat meat, fish, eggs, vegetables, butter, and fruits in much larger quantities than Russians. Nor should it be forgotten that Russia exports about 15 per cent. of the entire harvest of cereals, which amounts to about 3 to 4

The Scanty Fare of the Russians poods a head of the population. The following suggestive table gives in poods the production and the consumption of the five cereals enumerated above by six nations in 1894 and 1904 :

Countries	Production per head		Consumption per head	
	1894	1904	1894	1904
Britain	10'8	8'2	23'9	23'0
Germany	21'1	26'1	23'7	28'0
France	27'2	28'4	27'5	23'3
Austria-Hungary ..	24'9	23'1	23'1	23'3
United States ..	51'3	72'8	42'8	54'3
Russia	26'6	26'3	22'8	18'3

The sameness and scantiness of the Russian peasant's repasts are all the more surprising that game is abundant in the interior and fish plentiful in Russian seas, rivers and lakes. The amount of fish caught in Russian waters every year is computed by the well-known expert, Borodin, at 1,120 million kilogrammes, of which about 19,000,000 kilogrammes are caught in the Caspian Sea ; 35,000,000 in the Baltic and White Seas ; 17,000,000 in the Black Sea and Sea of Azov : over 6,000,000 in the Arctic and Pacific Oceans ; and 5,000,000 in the Ural Sea.

Carp and perch contribute about 754,000,000 kilogrammes ; herring about 152,000,000 ; salmon, about 45,000,000 sturgeon, approximately, 34,000,000 different other kinds, about 40,000,000 not counting 64,000,000 kilos of fresh-water fish. And it should be borne in mind that this wealth of fish food is obtained with a minimum of expenditure in money and labour, for fisheries and pisciculture in Russia are still in a very primitive state. The sea, like

Russia's Great Fish Supply the land, is being ruthlessly plundered ; poaching is almost universal, and down to a short time ago close seasons were openly disregarded. Yet Russia supplies three times as much fish as the United States, five times as much as Great Britain, and six times as much as France. The amount of cattle possessed by the peasantry, according to the latest statistics, was

in 1908 : in European Russia, exclusive of Poland, 25,000,000 head ; in Poland, 3,000,000 ; in Asiatic Russia, 6,000,000 ; in the Caucasus, 5,000,000 ; in Finland, 2,000,000. But although the absolute total in that year was undoubtedly greater than in any of the foregoing years, the percentage per 1,000 souls of the population had fallen perceptibly. In the sixties of the last century it was about 340 ; in the seventies, 327 ; in the eighties, 319 ; in the nineties, 311.

Fires caused by gross neglect or malice constitute one of the scourges of the tsardom. It is computed that every year fire destroys property valued at 400,000,000 roubles, about £42,000,000. Of every thousand roubles' worth insured by the various companies almost 80 per cent. of the premium is thus consumed. Assuming that the value of insured property in the tsardom amounts to sixty milliards of roubles, the yearly loss suffered by the insurance companies alone through fire is estimated by experts at 336,000,000 roubles. And this forms but a portion of the total loss, because a large amount of property is never insured. Now, a considerable percentage of these fires might be easily hindered by the application of ordinary prudence on the part of the peasants and by watchfulness on the part of the authorities, who have done little to suppress incendiarism.

Improved State of the Workers Among the Sphinx questions of the year of the revolution, 1905, the economic condition of the Russian working man was thrust in the foreground as the most pressing of all. And, considering that the changes brought about in the social and political framework of Russia were due in large part to the strikes organised by factory hands, the mistake was pardonable. And crying evils were redressed. The Russian workman, having beaten the world's record for strikes, had most of his genuine grievances speedily remedied ; the hours of work have been shortened, the pay has been raised, the risks have been lessened, the methods of terminating his engagement have been made easy and satisfactory to him, and over and above he has dealt a stunning blow to the employers of labour, whose profits he has cut down, and whose business he has in many cases wholly ruined. But parallel with the rise in

wages went the increase in prices for the necessities of life, and some articles are further out of the workmen's reach to-day than before the revolution. In the Moscow district in January, 1897, there were 248,500 workmen receiving in wages 42,500,000 roubles, or, say, 170 roubles a year per man. In 1903 there were 293,000 men in receipt of 56,500,000, or 192 roubles a head, making a rise of 12 per cent. But during the same period the prices of food rose by 25 per cent (bread), 36 per cent. (meal), and even 50 per cent. (peas).

In consequence of the strikes of 1905-1906 a further great rise has taken place in the prices of bread, foodstuffs generally, and the necessities of life. One of the results of the revolution was a further augmentation of the wages of workmen without any corresponding increase in their productivity. The absorbing power of the home markets was unfavourably affected by this perturbation. This was noticed at the fair of Nijni Novgorod in 1908, when the turnover fell short of the average of former years by no less than 15-20 per cent. In

Industry's Loss through Strikes

1905, women's wages were still very low, the average not exceeding 6-8 roubles a month—about 12s. 6d. to 17s. 6d. Since then the lot of the working man and woman has been very substantially bettered. In 1907 a series of far-reaching measures, calculated to improve it still further, and including insurance against accidents, was drafted by the late Minister of Trade and Industry, M. Filosofoff, and would have been laid before the Duma in the form of a Bill had it not been for his sudden death at the close of that year.

The marvellous vitality of Russian finances and the solidity of their economic basis were brought into sharp relief by the revolutionary movement of 1905, which dealt a severe blow to industry, commerce and finances. In 1905 the number of strikes totalled 13,110, while the number of workmen taking part in them amounted to no less than 2,709,695. The damage done was incalculable. This phenomenon is unprecedented in the economic history of Europe. It may well be doubted whether in any other country the financial and industrial fabrics would have successfully borne such a formidable strain. In Russia the gold standard is still intact; trade, commerce, and in-

dustry, although passing through a protracted crisis, are seemingly regaining their buoyancy, and altogether the outlook, without being precisely inspiring, is described by observant Russians as less depressing than might reasonably have been expected. Russia's credit in 1909 may be gauged by the terms on which she

Russia Living Beyond Her Means

concluded her 4½ per cent. loan in January of that year. The conjuncture was highly unfavourable. War clouds hung over the Balkan Peninsula. It was feared that Austria, Turkey, Bulgaria, Servia, and possibly Russia herself, might be drawn into the coming sanguinary struggle.

The Russian rente stood at 77½, and it was known that the Finance Minister must at almost all costs raise funds abroad in order to pay off the war loan of 300,000,000 roubles contracted in France in 1904. Yet, despite these adverse conditions, a loan of 450,000,000 roubles was raised in January, 1909, of which the usual price was 89½, the bankers' commission 3½, and the net proceeds received by the Treasury, 85½. And considering all the circumstances, these results are considered to be fairly satisfactory.

At the same time it cannot be gainsaid that Russia has now reached a point at which she must either live by the exertions of her own wealth-creating class, without the continuous help of foreign capitalists, or else be content, after a series of financial crushes, to find her normal level. To many who are quite unbiassed observers she appears to be now living beyond her means. The vast sums which have been expended on the strategic Amoor railway at a time when the army and the police have yet to be reorganised, the navy to be rebuilt, the peasants to be financed in their new character of tenant farmers, education to be cheapened and diffused, the whole system of internal administration to be remodelled, fill one with misgivings, not,

The Nation in Danger of Bankruptcy

indeed, as to Russia's resources, which are enormous, but respecting the ability of her rulers to develop and utilise them sufficiently to make the revenue cover the expenditure. With reluctance I venture to utter my strong conviction that unless some genial administrator—a statesman as well as a specialist—successfully encounters the hero's task of reconstructing the financial and economic fabric of the Russian Empire, applying freely the drastic

remedies by which alone the present disorders are curable, the nation, having first lost its old standard, will inevitably sink into the slough of bankruptcy and financial anarchy before the Russian constitution is twenty-four years old. That the peasant is too heavily taxed considering his present income is as

Defects in Financial System

evident as it is that his present income is much too slender considering the extent to which sobriety, thrift, and industry might increase it. Another defect in the present financial system is that the tax-gathering is done in September, when the farmer is obliged to sell what he has just threshed in order to satisfy the collector. For there is no postponing the season; it is as the laws of the Medes and Persians. Even in districts where tobacco is grown, which cannot be brought to market before November, the taxes are, for the sake of uniformity, gathered in September. The result is that in many places where ready money is not available the belongings of the farmer are distrained.

The pivot of the financial machinery is the sale to foreign countries of cereals, which contribute more than any other kind of export to pay the interest on the foreign debt. For the balance of trade in Russia must necessarily be active; that is to say, the total value of the exports must largely exceed that of the imports. That is one of the consequences of the nation's indebtedness. Russia is forced to sell part of the harvest to her neighbours, however urgent may be her own need of it. In 1908 the exportation of corn and other foodstuffs fell off to a disquieting extent affecting the trade balance correspondingly. The following comparison of the value of the exports and imports in millions of roubles for the following four years needs no further commentary:

Year	Value of exports in million roubles	Value of imports in million roubles	Excess of exports over imports in million roubles
1905 ...	1017	583	434
1906 ...	1043·5	650·5	393
1907 ...	1016·8	759·8	257
1908 ...	932	752·8	179·2

Manufactures in Russia, which were, so to say, built up by the Finance Minister, Witte, with the money of foreign capitalists, are still suffering from the strikes, the spoliation, and the incendiarism that

accompanied the revolution. The West Russian Manchester, Lodz, until 1905 one of the most prosperous manufacturing cities in Europe, was well-nigh ruined and swept out of existence by the anarchistic wave. And the recent sudden increase in the activity of the Moscow manufactures and the briskness of their trade is attributable solely to the ruin of those at Lodz.

At present, however, there are signs that Russian industry is slowly recuperating—the staple industries, metallurgy, the collieries, the Baku oil-wells, are no longer stagnant. Russian firms have competed successfully for orders from Italy and other foreign countries for railway waggons and metal rails. In short, the lowest depths of depression appear to have been reached, and the present rise, if very gradual, is at least continuous. At the same time, it should not be forgotten that a large percentage of the capital sums invested in Russian industry melted away wholly during the heat of the revolution. And yet the Russian money market still offers uncommonly favourable terms for capital.

Railway Building in Russia During a great part of the year 1908 the official rate of discount was 7½ per cent., while the private rate was still higher. Even on excellent security advances bore interest at the rate of 10 per cent.

In the tsardom there is hardly any capital available for industrial enterprises. It is mostly locked up in Government securities. About 25 per cent. of the foreign loans is held in Russia by Russians, or, say, 344,000,000 roubles; while over a milliard and a half has been invested in internal loans during the past five years.

The building of new railways and the working of old ones generally offer a fair test of the level of a country's material prosperity. In Russia, since the war, little has been attempted in the way of constructing new lines. Some that had been begun before have been completed, such as the Moscow girdle line, the Orenburg-Tashkent, the Perm-Ekaterinburg lines, and a few others. In 1908 the grandiose Amoor railway, which is expected to cost much and bring in little, was begun. The second track of the Trans-Siberian was commenced, and a most useful line connecting Northern Russia with the Donetz coal district was undertaken by a private company. But railways, which create wealth in other

countries, are not profitable in Russia. They are often ruinous, owing to the frauds in countless shapes which turn the immense profits into the pockets of dishonest schemers. Millions of passengers travel without tickets every year, and many of them lord it over those who pay their way. The railways are forced to pay enormous damages for the loss of fictitious consignments. In short, the losses needlessly incurred in exploiting the principal lines are enormous, and it is the peasant, the workman, and the manufacturer who have at last to make good this deficit. It is computed that 100,000,000 roubles are swallowed up every year by these colossal frauds. And in lieu of plucking up this abuse by the roots, the authorities, finding it less troublesome to lessen the deficit by raising the passenger tariff, have had recourse to this expedient, with undesirable results. First-class passengers are either disappearing altogether from several lines, or they are represented by the privileged people who still travel gratis.

Experts affirm that as the peasants might easily increase their slender yearly pittance by thrift, sobriety, and sheer hard work, so the Government might convert the sempiternal deficit into a handsome surplus by exploiting on businesslike principles the railways, woods and forests, the state lands, the minerals, and the fisheries of the empire, all of which are now being managed with a degree of perfunctoriness which differs little from culpable negligence. Clever railway managers like those whose names are so well known in Great Britain and the United States would soon change the annual loss of 100,000,000 roubles into a large net profit. The colossal wealth of forests which now bring in but £6,000,000

sterling might easily be made to yield twice that sum. The naphtha wells in Baku and numerous other districts could and should be made the sources of a splendid annual revenue, whereas, at present, they enrich only a few individuals.

The fisheries, which are far and away the most abundant in the world, are at present worth no more than £215,000 a year. The State mining industries are carried on at a dead loss. The financial operations of the Imperial Russian Bank do not bring in much more than £10,000,000 sterling to the state.

In a word, the sources are abundant, but no one tries to tap them properly. Russia has it in her power to pay her way and prosper. But she seemingly lacks the will. The results are all the more deplorable that they could so easily be avoided.

One of these results is the enormous indebtedness of the nation. And it is increasing, not diminishing. If we compare the Russian estimates for 1909 with those of previous years, we shall find it hard to shake off the conviction that the ordinary expenditure is growing out of all proportion to the growth of the ordinary revenue.

The yearly excess of

ordinary revenue over ordinary outlay has been in millions of roubles is :

1903	1904	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909
148·8	111·5	99·3	145·9	146·5	74·4	4·8

Between the years 1903 and 1909 the annual income of the state went up from 2,031,080,000 roubles to 2,447,000,000, while the expenditure rose from 1,883,000,000 to 2,472,020,000. The total Budget of 1907 showed a deficit of 52,770,000 roubles; in the following year an internal loan of 200,000,000 was required to cover the deficit; and in 1909 a foreign loan of 450,000,000 was floated.



TWO CELEBRATED RUSSIAN AUTHORS

Count Leo Tolstoy and Maxim Gorky, two novelists whose portraits are given above, took the side of the poor and endeavoured to bring about better social conditions, though the latter has not the religious enthusiasm which characterises Tolstoy's writings. Tolstoy, having resigned all privileges of rank, died in 1910.

Russia's indebtedness is, therefore, appalling. As compared with her potentialities, it is not perhaps alarming; but contrasted with her annual revenue, and the slight wealth-creating power of the state, it is becoming disquieting. If the business management of the empire—abstraction made from politics—were in competent

Russia Blind to her Possibilities

hands, guided by resourceful heads, there would be nothing to fear, for Russia's potential wealth is reasonably believed to

be immense. But as things now are, and bid fair to continue, the symptoms are not suggestive of impending prosperity. Almost one-fourth of the yearly outlay is spent on the service of the debt, which has increased since 1903 by over 40 per cent. In the year 1902 it amounted to 6,664,000,000 roubles. In 1909 it had grown to 9,175,000,000.

And this enormous total would have been utterly inadequate to the needs of the empire were it not for the unpalatable fact that about 28 per cent. of the ordinary income derives from the alcohol state monopoly. This is the sale of vodka by the Government, which was conceived with the best intentions by Alexander III., but has proved, according to the testimony of the most competent authorities, a curse to the Russian nation. The number of million vedros—a vedro is 2.704 gallons—of vodka consumed yearly from 1901 to 1906 is as follows:

In 1901	49.5	In 1904	71.2
„ 1902	66.0	„ 1905	75.9
„ 1903	71.5	„ 1906	85.0

One of the most gifted and best informed Russian publicists, M. Menshikoff, writes: "It must not be supposed that the alcoholic poison has infected the lower classes only. It has tainted in a like degree the petty tradesfolk, the merchants, the clergy, the bureaucrats of cities, and it numbers many victims among the higher intelligent classes."

Widespread Curse of Drunkenness

The injury inflicted by drunkenness on the physical and moral constitution of the Russian race is incalculable, and it is

clear to many that degeneration is the ultimate form it usually assumes. Disease and crime are its ordinary accompaniments. Characteristic is the fact that in many places children are among its victims. In a Zemsky Council of the province of Perm the drunkenness of school children was one of the themes discussed, and the

council, having heard the report of the school inspector of the district, called for further details with a view to the adoption of repressive measures. (Cf. "Novoye Vremya," November 10th, 1908.) It is, perhaps, hardly to be wondered at that the peasantry, whose monotonous lives consist mainly of an alternation of hardship and oblivion, should seek to vary it by the artificial mirth and temporary forgetfulness bestowed by inebriety.

Against such vices as this, and the crimes to which it leads, legislation is powerless. Unless the youth of the country can be made amenable to moral influences such as will enable it to face and withstand temptation, the hope of lasting betterment is slender indeed. Religion in Orthodox Russia is doubtlessly still a beneficent force, but it seldom moulds the youthful mind or steels the tender will. And nothing has taken its place. Since the revolutionary wave passed over the land the latent symptoms of general anarchism, which long lay dormant, have been brought into the light of day. Now, therefore, there is at least hope that the hideous disease may

Schools

that do not Educate

be cured, which would otherwise induce general paralysis. But by whom? The clergy of the Orthodox Church are

badly educated, badly housed, underfed, and exposed to all kinds of temptations. The ecclesiastical schools where the religious shepherds are trained have forfeited the character of educational establishments in the good sense of the term. A professor of the Ecclesiastical Academy of St. Petersburg, Professor Glubokofsky, gives a description of their working in terms that make Russian patriots shudder. There is no teaching there, no docility, no obedience, and the morals are disgusting. Even the celebrated Ober Procuror of the Most Holy Synod, K. Pobedonostseff, deliberately stated shortly before his death that "the ecclesiastical school has become a low tavern." If the salt thus loses its savour wherewith shall it be salted?

The condition of ordinary secular schools is often as bad or even worse. It would, of course, be a gross exaggeration to assert that the influence of all educational establishments in Russia is the reverse of beneficial. But it is fair to say that the good schools are the exception, and one may truly add that ever since the revolution of 1905 the youth of Russia has been animated by a spirit of lawlessness and

RUSSIA IN OUR OWN TIME

gross self-indulgence with which those teachers who strove to discharge their duties were generally powerless to cope.

Scholars of both sexes in many parts of Russia formed secret societies for the purpose of meeting together and indulging in veritable orgies. The majority, while eschewing such uncleanness, refused obedience to their teachers, came to school or absented themselves as they liked, openly criticised their masters, and sometimes turned the school into a tavern or a gambling den. In a Moscow boarding school for children of the nobility, forty scholars struck work in 1908 because they were dissatisfied with the conduct of the director. The head-master, it appears, had demurred to those boarders who failed to come home before one o'clock a.m. The indignant young gentlemen first complained of the head-master to the marshal of the nobility, and, having received no redress, quitted the school.

In one of the educational establishments at Kharkoff the boys were allowed to have their own smoking-room; but they turned it into a gambling hell, and drove away the inspector who came to see what they were doing. In Tiflis a schoolboy, having received bad marks for his lesson, protested. His comrades supported him energetically but vainly. At last they ordered the school council to expunge the bad marks and put good ones in their place, threatening unless this were done to throw bombs. And the school council complied.

In the city of Kutais the governor-general received an anonymous letter condemning him to death. Very shortly after this it came to his knowledge that the missive had emanated from the state grammar school, and that one of the fifth form boys had been deputed to kill him. His excellency, repairing to the educational establishment, entered the fifth class during a lesson, and exclaimed abruptly: "Master G., you were chosen by lot to kill me. Eh?" The boy curled up with fear and muttered: "Pardon, your excellency, pardon, I—I—can—you know—decline—refuse—to do it." "Oh, well, it doesn't matter. I'll forgive you this time," was the astonishing reply, and, so saying, his excellency walked away majestically. And the lad was not even rebuked!

None of the very distressing phenomena that characterised the Russian revolution have challenged such widespread attention

or occasioned such serious misgivings as the vicious precosity of Russian youth. Not content with aping the vices of their elders, they strove to outdo them. Even virtue and innocence, which were happily well represented during that period of unbridled licence, generally paid the toll of self-disguise to vice. The revolution,

however, merely brought out **The Vicious Precosity of Young Russia** a disease that had long been latent. For many years previously the fermentation of ideas produced by the germs of revolutionary literature had been proceeding unchecked. Maxims and principles were instilled into the minds of children which were strong dissolvents of traditional morality, and, if pushed far enough, of the basis of social life.

In elementary schools the old ideals were methodically dethroned. Vice and virtue were made to derive their changeful character from the social and political views of the individual. Thus, to rob or steal was a good action if undertaken for the purpose, say, of despoiling the rich and succouring the poor man. Killing was not murder if the assassin's motives were politically or socially revolutionary. Religion and traditional ethics, which taught doctrines the reverse of these, were envisaged as a set of social shackles from which mankind could not be too soon emancipated. In a word, the baleful influence of these "educational" currents, felt for nearly forty years, cannot easily be over-estimated.

When the Press censorship was removed the sluice gates of this reservoir of turbid nihilism were suddenly burst open. For months the sphere of journalism and literature was flooded with the waters of anti-religious, anti-ethical, anti-social doctrines and sentiments. Everything that had been held sacred by former generations was anathematised as degrading or held up to derision by this. Parental affection,

Reservoir of Turbid Nihilism conjugal fidelity, and respect for the convictions of others when those others happened to be conservatives in politics or religion, were scoffed at as irrational and antiquated. To revealed creeds, to patriotism, ethics, clean living, no quarter was given by the leading iconoclasts, who hypnotised the young generation. Free love was preached and practised by the youth of the intermediate schools, who founded "free-love leagues,"

drew up by-laws which members were bound to observe, and utterly ruined many youths of both sexes. At last the Press drew attention to the evil, and the Minister of Public Instruction endeavoured to uproot it. But the mere surgery of administrative measures was unavailing. "The roots of the disease must be treated,"

The Mirror of Literature wrote one of the most widely-spread journals. "And these," it added, "are to be found in ourselves, in the whole social organism, in the decay of the family, in the depravity of fathers and mothers." Whether the cure will be successfully accomplished, it is unhappily certain that the young generation will come to the front morally and intellectually enfeebled by the ravages of one of the most malignant diseases that can befall the social organism.

The morbid feelings and subversive notions which are among the symptoms of this fell malady are necessarily mirrored in the popular literature, which therefore throws a strong light on latter-day Russia. But the Russian literature of to-day is much more than a mirror. Some sections of it might, perhaps, be aptly likened to a laboratory where noxious germs are carefully cultivated which warp the mind, disfigure the soul, and produce the monstrous shapes that excite our disgust. Characters which Wycherly and Congreve would have shuddered even to contemplate are not only described in latter-day novels and stories with artistic talent and undisguised sympathy, but they are associated with the highest of the new ideals held up to the Russian nation. To say that many of the literary productions which characterise the revolutionary epoch are public outrages on morals and religion is to put the case with studied moderation.

The British public knows something of Maxim Gorky and Leonid Andreyeff, but one may doubt whether it has ever read the works of Artsybasheff, whose

Russian Writers, Good and Bad "Sanyin" would have been confiscated by the police of Great Britain, Austria, or Germany; of Kuzmin, Sollogub, Kamenski, and a host of others. It is only fair to add that many of the works of these writers are quite free from the taint of immorality. Sollogub's "Little Devils" is a powerful story, and Kuzmin's verses are technically perfect. But such tales, for instance, as "Four," or "Leda," by A. Kamenski, or "Sanin,"

by Artsybasheff, cannot be too severely condemned, whether we view them from the ethical angle of vision or the æsthetical.

Wrought upon for decades by disintegrating forces such as those enumerated above, Russia's vital powers could not but be seriously impaired. And the present plight of the nation moves one to pity. An ardent friend of Russia, himself a Slav patriot, has put his impressions frankly upon record as follows: "What I am going to say has a paradoxical ring about it, but it is none the less true. There is no Russian nation. With an Orthodox Russian people we are indeed acquainted, a people numbering 88,000,000, whose religious convictions offer them a substitute for everything in the nature of national ideas possessed by other peoples. But we look in vain for a compact Russian nation permeated with identical interests. And the most amazing trait of this phenomenon is the circumstance that this gigantic mass of people speaks one tongue, cherishes one faith, and yet in spite of it all shows so little understanding for the common ties that bind it to the State.

Solvents of Russian Society It is no satisfactory explanation to say that lack of culture and geographical conditions are answerable for this. The fundamental causes lie deeper: it is that egotism peculiar to all Slav peoples which finds it so hard to make sacrifices for the common weal, either in the narrow or the broader sense of the term."

These are some of the solvents of Russian society with the effects of which on concrete men and women, and doubtless on the whole Russian organism, the rising generation will soon be confronted. Happily there are also several powerful factors on the other side—religious sectarianism, partial revivals in the Orthodox Church, strenuous efforts by Russian Lutherans, and even the reforming zeal of ordinary citizens who, having cultivated the moral sense, would gladly rescue their youthful compatriots from the abyss that now threatens to engulf them.

From the Orthodox Church, with its atrophied organs, its demoralised schools, and its good-natured, half-starving clergy, no miracles in the social sphere can yet be expected. The essence of Russia's religious creed—one of the facets of the trinity of which Panslavism was once composed—lies in the life to come, the world beyond

the grave. Death is the starting-point of everything worth knowing, worth possessing, and therefore worth striving for. Hence, strange though it may seem, death is the central point of the orthodox faith; life is dull, grey, repellent; it is only the sunset of existence that tinges everything, not, indeed, with its own splendour, but with the ineffable glory of the world to come. It is no exaggeration to assert that of all Christian creeds and churches, there is not one that contributes less to the equipment of its adherents for the stern life struggle here below than the contemporary Orthodox Russian Church.

Panslavism, of which orthodoxy was one of the three bases, has thus been thrust from the foreground of the scene on which Russia is now playing her part. Belief in her heaven-sent mission among the effete nations of two continents may still perhaps linger on in the breasts of the veteran contemporaries of Khomyakoff and Aksakoff, but it is no longer a stimulating or an active force in the community. Had it been otherwise, it would have aroused the nation in 1908. The anti-Slav policy then

Thwarting the Balkan Confederation

struck out by the Austro-Hungarian Secretary for Foreign Affairs, Baron von Aehrenthal, when he annexed Bosnia and Herzegovina, thwarted the scheme of a Balkan Confederation, and buried the last hopes of the Southern Slavs, would have unchained an irresistible popular outburst. The Government, however firm its resolution to keep the peace, would have been driven to resist, and, if needs were, to fight, as in 1877. For the issues were

vital; the moment was critical; the choice of alternatives would be final. But nearly everything turned out as the Austrian statesman had expected. Russia's defence of her kith and kin was verbal. Bound by secret treaties to remain an inactive spectator of the incorporation of the Slav provinces, she accepted the inevitable.

Russia's Doubtful Future

She could not well begin a diplomatic campaign against a measure, however far-reaching, to which she had already deliberately given her assent. And the condition of her army, as well as the state of her finances, agriculture, and industry, forced her to eschew a disastrous military conflict, which would have been the sole alternative to any attempt at evading her treaty obligations.

From whatever angle of vision we contemplate the Russia of to-day, we are struck with the contrast between her boundless potentialities and the sordid reality, and with the vast distance between promise and achievement, which are divided by a seemingly infinite abyss. One might aptly liken the Russian nation to a very complex mechanism, forged by some latter day Vulcan, and then taken to pieces.

Properly put together, set in motion, and guided by a genial engineer, it might prove one of the main factors in the latter-day history of Europe and the human race. But of this there is no sign. The pieces still lie scattered about, half corroded with rust, and the most optimistic feeling they arouse in the minds of Russia's friends who contemplate them is a vague hope.

E. J. DILLON



TYPICAL RUSSIAN PRIESTS AND MILITARY OFFICERS

LATER EVENTS IN RUSSIA

THE revolutionary movement in Russia, so active in the nineteenth and in the early years of the twentieth centuries, practically ceased after the failure of the risings of 1905 and the establishment of the first Duma. But Liberalism continued to spread, and is still influencing the urban populations of the middle-class, whilst in the factories unions and associations have been formed by the workmen. The policy of the Duma to improve the educational opportunities of the great mass of the people was discouraged by the Government of the Tsar in 1913, but in spite of the official censure on the Ministry of Education in that year, some advance can be shown. In 1911, the total number of elementary schools stood at 100,295, with 6,180,510 pupils, while 80 per cent. of the population were still illiterate. In the ten universities of Kazan, Kiev, Kharkov, Moscow, Odessa, St. Petersburg, Saratov, Tomsk, Yunev, and Warsaw, about 40,000 students were enrolled in 1913.

With the lack of education, and the unwillingness of the Government to attempt any great measure of national and compulsory education, drunkenness remains an appalling curse to Russia. But as some slow improvement is visible in the matter of schools since the beginning of the twentieth century, so there are signs that drunkenness may be lessened by legislation. A Drink Bill was passed by the Duma and strengthened by the Upper House (the Imperial Council) in 1914 for the reduction of the drinking shops, and many petitions went up from numerous villages for the total closing of these places of temptation, and for more aggressive action on the part of the Government against the illicit drink traffic. The trouble is that the sale of spirits is a Government monopoly, and an important source of imperial revenue. Moreover, the production of spirits is a powerful vested interest. Enormous sums are invested in the cultivation of potatoes and rye for the distilling trade, and in Russia, as elsewhere, this trade is not prepared to accept legislation that will mean heavy losses to those concerned in its maintenance.

Hope lies in the possibility of fostering and developing the use of spirits for heating and lighting purposes, and the fact that the production of mineral oil is insufficient for these purposes, is some justification for such a hope. The Tsar in his letter to

M. Barck, the newly appointed Minister of Finance, January 1914, made a special point of the need for dealing with the evil of drunkenness, alluding to the "melancholy picture of popular weakness, household misery, neglected business" as "the inevitable consequences of an intemperate life." The Imperial rescript also added: "It is not meet that the welfare of the exchequer should be dependent upon the ruin of the spiritual and productive energies of numbers of my loyal subjects." But noble words and excellent intentions on the part of the Imperial Government have, for more than a century past, too commonly been divorced from relative action in Russia to give confidence of any immediate temperance reform.

Agrarian reforms, or at least agrarian changes, have been taking place since 1909. The Imperial Ukase, ordained in celebration of the Romanoff tercentary in 1913, that, amongst other matters, £5,000,000 should be appropriated to found an inalienable fund for improving the lot of the peasants, and this expenditure is part of the Government's agrarian policy—a policy directed at the abolition of communal ownership and the establishment of small peasant proprietorships. Two other items in the Government's land programme are: (1) the removal, of small holders from thickly populated districts to districts favourable to agriculture where there are fewer people; (2) the settlement of peasants on valuable areas at present unoccupied, and yielding no revenue to the State.

Although in the matter of elementary education the percentage of girls in the primary schools is only about 30, the political and social outlook for women in Russia has steadily widened with the growth of the twentieth century. Women have been allowed to graduate in medicine in Russia, and to practise as fully qualified physicians since the Russo-Turkish War of 1876-77; but in 1914 they were still excluded from the higher Civil Service and from the Bar, though permitted to study law and pass all the examinations that qualify for the legal profession. Teaching, and employment in the lower branches of the Civil Service and under the municipal councils, are the occupations of many women in Russia, while others find employment as trained chemists, architects, civil engineers, surveyors, and electricians.



THE BALKAN WARS OF 1912-13

TO understand the history of the political reconstruction of the Balkan Peninsula and the causes of the wars that brought about that reconstruction, we must go back to the year 1908 and note how matters then stood in Turkey and in the European States on her frontiers—states emancipated from Ottoman rule in the nineteenth century.

The Sultan Abdul Hamid II. had reigned since 1876. He had seen Bosnia and Herzegovina taken under the protection of Austria, Bulgaria become a practically independent state, and Crete given autonomy. Starting with a movement in favour of constitutional government, he had soon tired of that, and after showing some soldierly qualities in the Russo-Turkish War of 1877, he became a recluse, obsessed with fears for his own safety and dominated in his domestic policy by this obsession. As a ruler and administrator he had utterly failed, centralising the power in his own hand, and then failing to come to a decision on issues of first importance. Shut up within the Palace of Yildiz Kiosk, refusing to go out except to the most necessary religious services, Abdul Hamid brooded in fear over the violent deaths of earlier Sultans, and distrusting all his officials, let the affairs of State remain unsettled until he reasonably could attend to them.

Postponement and delay, delay and postponement were the rule of the Porte, while Armenian massacres horrified Europe, and unspeakable outrages in Macedonia called for immediate redress. Under the rule of Abdul Hamid II., Turkey went into hopeless decay, and when the war came, went quickly to pieces. While the Sultan was deciding whether or not bicycles should be permitted in Constantinople, the Turkish ironclads were rusting in the Bosphorous. While he was considering the regulations for a café chantant in Pera, the Powers were demanding reforms in Macedonia. All liberty vanished in Constantinople under the most ingenious and the vilest spy system. Free speech and a free press were not to be thought of even in the

twentieth century. Taxes were largely farmed, and the abuses that the farming of taxes always brings were conspicuous. The peasant was often obliged to cut down his date tree to pay the tribute demanded of him. Trade suffered heavily, and towns and cities once centres of prosperity dwindled in population and sank into poverty.

In Macedonia in 1902 came a great uprising against the misgovernment, and the revolt of the Macedonians, who had for years hoped to become part of a greater Bulgaria, was ruthlessly stamped out by Turkish troops.

Macedonian Atrocities In 1903 Macedonia again was in revolt, and the revolt was suppressed, as before, with horrible results to the people of that unhappy province. Whole countryside were destroyed. Disease and famine followed, and hundreds of thousands, men, women and children died under the sword or from sickness and starvation. To make matters worse in Macedonia, Greeks and Bulgars were violently opposed, and their rival bands engaged continually in mutual slaughter. Revolutionary "Committees" added their executions upon enemies to the common stock of crime. From time to time the Powers called on the Sultan to effect reforms in Macedonia, but nothing happened save the inevitable delay. In Albania from time to time open resistance was offered to the Sultan's rule, and revolt and massacre alternated. But the Albanians were never really conquered by the Turks.

Thus things stood in the summer of 1908. Anarchy, massacre, hopeless collapse in administration and growing weakness in the army were the chief features of the Ottoman Empire. While in his palace of Yildiz Kiosk, the Sultan still busied himself over a thousand and one trifles, becoming in his deadly fear of a violent death more and more pitiable and dangerous. "He trembled at his best troops," wrote a member of the Sultan's Court, "shrank from trusting his elder sons, his sons-in-law, brothers-in-law—who were worthy generals—and the officers who had inclination to

**The
Sultan's
Terror**

serve him strengthened by strong personal and family interests

"For some months before the revolution the troops had only blank cartridges for their rifles. This step was taken from a fear that cartridges fully charged might be used against the Sultan himself. Likewise, all the guns in the forts that could be turned against the Yildiz had been spiked. Electricity was laid on in the palace. But the Sultan, fearing that it might be turned against him for regicidal purposes, had the wires cut, and candles exclusively used. These lights were stuck on circular pieces of cork that floated on wooden buckets of water. The water would be available to

cope with fire should the crime of burning down the palace or any of the Sultan's numerous sleeping kiosks be malignantly attempted."


It was at this time of fear and general misery, in the summer of 1908, that the "Young Turks," fresh from Paris, where they had formed an association, called later the Committee of Union and Progress, accomplished their "revolution" in Constantinople, which, for a brief moment promised, in the eyes of Europe, the regeneration of Turkey. The revolution was carried out quite peacefully. Important officers in the Turkish Army opened up negotiations with the Young Turk leaders.

Their pay was in arrears, they saw their army being ruined and their country destroyed piecemeal under the rule of Abdul, and they resolved to make common cause with the reformers. Army corps after army corps was won over to the Young Turks, whose headquarters were at Monastir in Macedonia, and at Salonika, without the Sultan apparently getting any inkling of what was happening. The Turkish governor at Monastir—Hilmi Pasha—given the choice of joining the reformers or being shot, promptly went over to reform, and then departed for Constantinople to explain to the Sultan that the only way to deal with the revolution was to yield to it. Abdul finding that all his elaborate precautions for his own safety were of



ABDUL-HAMID OPENING THE TURKISH PARLIAMENT

The early years of Abdul-Hamid's reign were full of promise. In 1877, as shown in an earlier chapter, he granted a constitution, and, in person, opened the new Parliament. But the Assembly was short-lived, reaction setting in and overcoming the liberty from which so much was expected. In 1908, yielding to the pressure of the reformers, the sultan granted another constitution to Turkey, and in December opened the Parliament elected by the people.



KING FERDINAND ANNOUNCING THE INDEPENDENCE OF BULGARIA

In 1878, at the Treaty of Berlin, the Powers of Europe created Bulgaria an autonomous principality under the suzerainty of the Sultan of Turkey; and in 1908, when Turkey underwent such a marvellous change, Bulgaria feared that the nominal suzerainty might be made a real one. To this she was unwilling to consent, and in October, at Tirnovo, Prince Ferdinand solemnly proclaimed Bulgaria an independent kingdom, taking for himself the title of king.

no avail, that his army had failed him, and that without his army he was powerless, accepted the situation philosophically. He gave in to the Committee of Union and Progress, regranted the constitution he had cancelled, and allowed himself to become subject to the men who had planned and carried out the revolt.

For a few happy days the highest hopes for a new spirit in Turkey were entertained. In the fervour of the revolution, universal brotherhood became the order of the day in Constantinople and in Salonika, to vanish all too soon when disillusionment set in. The Committee of Union and Progress had come from Paris full of certain French notions of progress. The leaders were freethinkers and political freemasons, bent on establishing a centralised democracy; as rulers and administrators they were inexperienced and utterly unable to meet the needs of Turkey, and out of touch with the faith of

Mohammedans. Macedonia remained in anarchy, whilst the efforts to bring Albania into subjection resulted in the devastation of that country. Abdul was formally deposed in May, 1909, and Mehmed V. proclaimed Sultan, but the weakness and incapacity of the Government were unlesened.

In the meanwhile, the Balkan States, Bulgaria, Serbia and Montenegro, and Greece were already beginning to make preparations for the dismemberment of Turkey, for considerable alterations in the map of Europe, and for the enlargement of their territories.

The civil and economic progress made in these states—largely unrealised in Western Europe before the war—must be noted. Bulgaria, formerly a land of massacres, oppression, poverty, and wretchedness, had been transformed by 1908 into an exceedingly prosperous state, and that despite furious internal political agitations.

Before 1878, there were not more than sixty schools in the whole country, at the beginning of the twentieth century there was an elementary school in every village, and a secondary school in every town of 10,000 persons. Sofia, the capital, with its university and its streets full of busy and contented people gave proof of the

Progress in Bulgaria new condition of things. But the glaring contrast between Bulgaria free and her neighbour Macedonia, inhabited so extensively by her kinsmen, under the rule of the Turks, was always present to the Bulgarians. And there was still one drop of bitterness in the Bulgarian cup—the suzerainty of the Sultan. To end this suzerainty and release Macedonia, the Bulgarians gradually built up a strong modern army—with an available fighting force of 380,000 men out of a total population of 5,000,000.

Servia was under a cloud for some years after the murder of King Alexander and his queen in the royal palace, and the placing of King Peter on the throne by the regicides in 1903. But the rural life of the nation was healthy and the peasantry prosperous, each man owning his own land and each household free from famine and want. The one great ambition of the Servian people had been, since its liberation in 1878, to make Servia a great kingdom by an alliance with Montenegro, and by absorbing the old Serb provinces of Bosnia and Herzegovina, and the province of Novi-Bazar—a strip of land between Montenegro and Servia. Austria destroyed these hopes, as far as Bosnia and Herzegovina were concerned, but the rest of Old Servia, including part of Macedonia, were still under Turkish rule, to be conquered by Servian arms, when Servia was strong enough to take the field.

Montenegro was always a nation of warriors, from the time when an old remnant of the Servian nobility established itself there in 1389 to escape the Turkish yoke. Prince Nicholas, its ruler, had never yielded to the Sultan, and his daughters, married in the royal families of Russia, Italy and Germany, helped to maintain the goodwill of Europe to this tiny state of 500,000 people.

Greece had failed so lamentably in the war of 1897, that few realised the advance it had made, and the re-awakening of national spirit within its borders in the

twentieth century. How much the change had been due to its Prime Minister, M. Venizelos, can never be told, but it is certain the debt is considerable. The wretched rule of the Turks in Epirus, the sufferings of the Greek population in Macedonia, and the desired union of Crete with Greece were a constant spur to military activity, for clearly only by force of arms could union with their kinsmen in these regions be established. A British naval mission, invited by the Greek Government, did much for the re-organisation of the Greek navy, and French naval officers gave valuable assistance. As for the army, in a little more than ten years its character was changed as the war was to prove. But the old, long-standing feud with Bulgaria had to be ended before Greece could enter with any hope of success on a war for the liberation of Epirus and Macedonia.

The revolution of the Young Turks with their Committee of Union and Progress at Constantinople, so far from bringing new health and strength to Turkey, simply hastened the break up of the Ottoman Empire in Europe, and brought curtailment of its dominions in Africa. No sooner had Abdul Hamid given his approval to the revolution than Austria-Hungary formally annexed Bosnia and Herzegovina, despite the Berlin Treaty of 1878, and Prince Ferdinand of Bulgaria proclaimed himself Tsar of his dominions, and declared his country an independent kingdom. In 1910, Prince Nicholas of Montenegro followed the example of Ferdinand, and also proclaimed himself king. In the same year that remarkably able man, M. Venizelos, the Prime Minister of Greece, sounded Bulgaria as to a joint alliance for the pacification of Macedonia, and for the ending of the old hatred between Greek and Bulgar. The weakness of Turkey was demonstrated to all the world in 1911, when Italy seized Tripoli, and by March, 1912, Servia and Bulgaria had formed an alliance, which was speedily followed by the much needed alliance between Greece and Bulgaria. Servia not only wanted to bring the Serb population in the district of Novi-Bazar and in Macedonia under its authority, but it was also anxious to gain an opening on the Adriatic. Bulgaria, apart from the liberation of Macedonia, was determined to win Thrace and extend its borders to Salonika.

The New Spirit in Greece

The Ambition of Servia



KING FERDINAND JOINING HIS ARMY AT THE SIEGE OF ADRIANOPLE



KING FERDINAND OF BULGARIA CONFERRING WITH GENERAL IVANOFF ON THE BATTLEFIELD

Greece was longing to wipe out the memories of the war of 1897, and to drive the Turk out of Epirus. Montenegro was always ready to strike a blow at its old enemy, and all four countries believed they had much to avenge, and felt ready to do battle with their common foe.

In August, 1912, relations were strained nearly to breaking point between Bulgaria and Turkey over the condition of Macedonia, where the unfortunate people were faring no better under the Young Turks than they had fared under Abdul, and between Montenegro and Turkey over the oppression of Albania by Turkish troops. Finally, at the end of September, the Balkan League of Bulgaria, Serbia, Montenegro, and Greece was in working order, and in all four countries troops were mobilised, and active steps taken in readiness for war. In vain the Turkish Government tried to detach Greece from the League. In vain the Powers of Europe endeavoured to preserve peace by impressing the necessity of reforms in Macedonia on Turkey, and by warning the Balkan League that no annexation of Turkish territory would be permitted. Turkey was as impotent as ever over Macedonia, and Lord Crewe's solemn declaration in the House of Lords (October 8) "in no circumstances would the Great Powers agree to any change in the *status quo* in South-East Europe" was unheeded. (In fact, within a month—November 8—Sir Edward Grey was admitting that "No one will be disposed to dispute the right of the Balkan States to formulate when they please the terms upon which they will be disposed to conclude peace.")

King Nicholas of Montenegro began the war on October 6th, and at once threatened Scutari, while Greece proclaimed her sovereignty over Crete. Serbia and Bulgaria, a week later, presented an ultimatum to Turkey demanding the immediate establishment of autonomy under European Governors in Macedonia. On October 15th this ultimatum was rejected, and Turkey, having made peace with Italy, withdrew her representatives from the Balkan States, and the dogs of war were loosed.

As far as can be told, the military strength of each country at the outset was as follows:—Turkey had 198,000 men in Macedonia and 170,000 in Thrace.

Bulgaria had 320,000 men—of whom 100,000 were to assist her allies in Macedonia, while the rest of the army operated in Thrace. Serbia had 190,000 men for the conquest of Old Serbia and a passage to the Adriatic. Montenegro had 37,000 men for the taking of Scutari and the assistance of the Servians in Old Serbia. Greece had 110,000 men for the conquest of Epirus and the Ægean Islands. Greece also possessed a navy, which kept the Turks from sending reinforcements to Macedonia through the Ægean Sea, while the Turkish fleet—such as it was—was constrained to stop in the Dardanelles. The Bulgarian army was led by Generals Savoff and Dimitriev—the former glorified in the first war as the "Moltke" and the latter as the "Napoleon of the Balkans" (and if Dimitriev failed in the second war, it was for much the same reason as Napoleon ultimately failed). But General Savoff was in many ways but Tsar Ferdinand's deputy. The main army of the Greeks was under Crown Prince (later King) Constantine, with General Sapundzakis commanding in Epirus. The best of the Turkish commanders were Djavid Pasha, Zekki Pasha, Hassan Tahsin, and Mahmud Mukhtar. The Servians were led by Crown Prince George, and Generals Stefanovich and Yankovich. The Montenegrins by Crown Prince Danilo, and Generals Martinovich and Vukovich.

Victory was with the Balkan Allies from the first, and on every side the Turk was beaten. The Bulgarians swept all before them on their march to Adrianople, winning important victories at Seliclu and Kirk Kilissi on October 22nd and 23rd. The Servians gained an equally important victory at Kumanovo on October 23rd and 24th, and by November 2nd the whole district of Novi-Bazar was in the hands of Serbia and Montenegro, and the Turkish authority had given place to Servian Civil Government.

On November 5th came a decisive defeat of the Turks at Monastir by the Greeks and Servians; and four days later Salonika surrendered to the Greek arms, to be claimed by Bulgaria as her property on the following day. At the end of November Adrianople was closely invested and the Bulgarians had defeated the Turks at Lule Burgas and were at Chatalja.

But the Bulgarians were now over 200 miles from their base, and were, owing to



KING NICHOLAS OF MONTENEGRO WITH THE CROWN PRINCE OF SERVIA



GENERAL SAVOFF, THE BULGARIAN COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF, WITH HIS CHIEF OF STAFF

the utter neglect of sanitary precautions, weakened by disease. Winter was upon the land, proposals for an armistice were welcomed, and on December 3rd an armistice was signed between Turkey, on the one hand, and Bulgaria, Servia, and Montenegro on the other. Greece remained outside the armistice and by means of her

The Winter Armistice

This fleet proceeded to occupy a number of the Ægean Islands. This fleet had not only kept Turkey out of the Ægean Sea, it had carried Bulgarian troops to Thrace from Salonika, Greek troops to Epirus, and provisions to the Servians on the Adriatic coast. The signing of the armistice saw Thrace and Macedonia and Epirus in the hands of the Allies, save for the Turkish garrisons in Adrianople, Janina, and Scutari, all closely besieged. On the question of the fate of these cities turned mainly the peace negotiations which followed the armistice. Bulgaria demanded possession of Adrianople and refused to hear of compromise. Constantinople became restive at the thought of surrendering Adrianople to the enemy, and Kiamil, Sultan Mehmed V.'s Prime Minister, was driven from office. Once more the Young Turks, with their Committee of Union and Progress, effected a revolution, Nazim Pasha being murdered in the process. The popular clamour in Constantinople was for war rather than an ignoble surrender of Adrianople, the armistice was fiercely denounced, and on February 3rd, 1913, war was again renewed.

In the second and last stage of the war of the Allies against Turkey, hostilities were restricted to the sieges of Adrianople, Scutari, and Janina and the Bulgarian advance on Chatalja—fifty miles west of Constantinople. Adrianople was the first to fall. In spite of an active resistance on the part of the besieged, marked by frequent sorties, the Bulgarian troops, reinforced at the end of the armistice by a

Renewal of War

large number of Servians and thousands of reservists, made their preparations for a grand assault on the city. The attack began early on February 24th, the Servians pressing forward on the west, the Bulgarians on the north-east. The Turks fought fiercely, but were hopelessly over-matched, and before nightfall on the next day Adrianople capitulated. Shukri, the Turkish commander, with 50,000 men and 600 guns, surrendered, and Adrianople was

in the hands of the Bulgarians. This taking of Adrianople is probably the only case in modern warfare of the capture of a great fortress by open assault.

It is estimated that at the armistice the Turks had lost 15,000, and the Bulgarians 10,000 men over the siege of Adrianople. Apart from the capture of this important city, the Bulgarians made little progress towards Constantinople, and the Turks fought with more energy in the defence of their capital than elsewhere.

Janina was taken by the Greeks on March 5th—the main army directed by the crown Prince (now King Constantine), and brought round by sea from Salonika, cutting the defences asunder. The Turkish Garrison, under Essed Pasha, numbered some 30,000 men when they surrendered. The Greeks, in the midst of rejoicing at the success of their arms, were shortly to be engloomed. For on March 18th, 1913, King George, an exceedingly popular monarch, was assassinated in Salonika by a dipsomaniac—to the great distress of the nation.

Scutari was not surrendered till April 22nd. The Turkish commander, Hassan Riza, who had put up a defence which had cost the besieged Servians and Montenegrins thousands of lives, was murdered in the middle of February, and his place had been taken by Essed Bey, an Albanian chief, with aspirations to rule Albania. King Nicholas, as the siege drew to its close, was warned by the Powers of Europe that he would not be allowed to incorporate Scutari in Montenegro, and on April 10th an International Squadron, under Vice-Admiral Sir C. Burney, blockaded the coast. In the meantime secret negotiations were taking place between King Nicholas and Essed Bey, and on April 22nd the latter capitulated, leaving the town with all the honours of war when the Montenegrins entered. The siege of Scutari cost Montenegro nearly a third of its army, for the total casualties amounted to 10,000 men, but the Powers, decisive and agreed for once, insisted that the town must belong to Albania, about to be made an independent country, and on May 6th, King Nicholas withdrew his troops.

Before Scutari fell a second armistice had been made between Turkey and the Allies, and on April 7th, the peace delegates again met in London, as they had done in the early truce in December.



KING CONSTANTINE OF GREECE



KING PETER OF SERBIA



WILLIAM, THE FIRST MPRET OF ALBANIA



KING FERDINAND OF BULGARIA

Negotiations now turned on the question of frontiers. Turkey had lost all territory in Europe save a small area west of Constantinople, and there could be no question on the part of the allies of any of that territory being once more placed under Ottoman rule. By the Treaty of London, May 30th, 1913, Bulgaria retained Adrianople and Kirk Kilissi, and part of Western Thrace; Serbia, Macedonia to Monastir, including Old Serbia; Greece, Epirus, Southern Macedonia, and Salonika; Montenegro, an extension of territory on the east and south-east. Albania from Scutaria to Chimara was declared an autonomous state—to the disappointment of Greece and Montenegro, who had hoped to divide that country between them. The exact delineation of frontiers on the east between the Allies was not conclusive, and but a few weeks elapsed after the signing of this Treaty of London before war had again broken out in the Balkans, and now the recent allies, but ancient enemies, were at each other's throats, Bulgaria threatened by Roumania on the north, engaging with Greece and Serbia for the territorial spoils of Turkey.

Partition of Turkey

The intervention of Roumania encouraged Serbia and Greece, and finally forced Bulgaria, badly worsted, to make peace. But the causes of this second war were deeper than the hostile attitude of Roumania to Tsar Ferdinand.

Very serious discontent existed in the Governments of Greece and Serbia at the policy of Bulgaria in the recent war. The success of the Bulgarian arms had overshadowed in the European press the deeds of her allies, and the predominance of Bulgaria was not only a source of irritation, but to Greece, it seemed, a positive danger. Moreover, the original stipulation had been that Bulgaria should send 100,000 troops to assist the Allies in Macedonia, and this promise Bulgaria never kept. With the utmost secrecy was the extent of the Bulgarian army in Thrace concealed from public knowledge, and while Bulgaria hastened to claim Salonika—which the Greeks had taken—the fact that 45,000 Servians had gone to the assistance of Bulgaria in the taking of Adrianople, was held of no account in the eyes of the conquering Bulgarians. So, at the end of May, Bulgaria, flushed with its triumphs over the Turks, and confident

that its armies were more than a match for those of Serbia and Greece, was ready to decide by battle the question of the settlement of frontiers and the boundaries of the three kingdoms. The districts desired by Bulgaria were in the hands of Serbia and Greece—who had already contracted a military alliance—and it was evident that their conquest necessitated aggressive action. But Tsar Ferdinand and his military staff rashly assumed that their late allies (whom they held in contempt) would give way before a fierce onslaught, and that this second war would quickly end in victory for Bulgaria.

Speedy success was of the utmost importance to Bulgaria. For Roumania had formulated demands for a rectification of frontier between Silistria and the Black Sea, the Turks might at any time take up arms for the recovery of Adrianople, and the Powers might intervene as they had done at Scutaria. If Bulgaria's claim to possess Salonika was the merest expression of confidence in the power to take and hold that city against Greece, the intervention of Roumania for the enlargement of its borders at the expense of Bulgaria had no higher ground of justification than the belief that its neighbour was too exhausted to make successful resistance. Roumania for years had been content to foster its trade and increase its population without indulging in international disputes. Since 1861 it had been an independent kingdom, and its King, Charles I., and its Queen (known in literature as "Carmen Sylva") both of German royal families, were popular.

The Second War

But the Roumanian army had never been neglected, and while the population had increased 50 per cent. in the fifty years preceeding 1912—when it stood at 7,248,000—the increase of the army had also proceeded, so that it was ready to mobilise 650,000 men when required. King Charles had adopted neutrality when the Allies were at war with Turkey, but he had declared in his speech from the throne to the Roumanian Parliament, in December, 1912, that Roumania was an important factor in the European Concert, and that when "the questions raised by the Balkan crisis come to be finally settled, her voice will be heard." The time had come, King Charles decided, in July 1913, when the voice of Roumania was to be heard—in its guns if needs must—for in that month it

Balkan League Dissolved

At the end of May, Bulgaria, flushed with its triumphs over the Turks, and confident

THE BALKAN WARS OF 1912-13

was evident that Bulgaria was making no headway against her enemies.

It was of the utmost importance therefore to Bulgaria, at the outset, to prove her superiority over Serbia and Greece before Roumania could come to their assistance; and no less before the Powers should intervene. For, with the exception of Scutari, the Powers had sanctioned spoils to the victor. But when, on June 30th, the Bulgarians under Dimitriev (Savoff had

Treaty of London, had calmly re-occupied Adrianople and the surrounding country. Bulgaria had no armies to withstand either Roumanians or Turks. Her ruler had staked all on the hasty overthrow of Serbia and Greece, and lost. A last attempt was made on July 25th to defeat the Greeks at Semitli, and when, after two days' hard fighting, this failed the Bulgarians withdrew across the frontier. The Roumanians were now at Philippopolis on the south east,

retired from the command owing to differences with the Government) attacked the outposts of the Servians and Greeks in Macedonia, they only succeeded in driving them back to the main armies, and two days later it was the Bulgarians who were on the defence. The Servians under Marshal Putnik, and the Greeks under King Constantine, steadily advanced, and the Bulgarians no longer fought with the spirit they had displayed against the Turks. In vain the Bulgarians attempted an invasion of Serbia, and strove for some

signal victory that would give them influence when peace was made. Serbia and Greece were too strong to be overpowered.

On July 4th the Roumanians were in Bulgaria, and a week later had occupied Varna. By the 20th of July, Servians and Roumanians were converging on Sofia, the Bulgarian capital. To make matters worse for Tsar Ferdinand, the Turks had taken the opportunity of reasserting themselves, and in complete defiance of the

the Turks were at Adrianople, Serbia and Greece were on the west and south-west frontiers. Bulgaria invaded by Roumania, and surrounded on all sides, was compelled to seek peace, and on 31st July an armistice was signed. Peace delegates met at Bucharest, and there, on August 10th, 1913, the Treaty of Bucharest ended the war. By this treaty Bulgaria ceded additional territory to Roumania, south of Silistria, and retained a portion of Thrace with a coastline on the Aegean Sea. Turkey resolutely declined to give up

Adrianople and the adjoining country, in spite of the remonstrances of the Powers, and Bulgaria was in no condition to begin a third war to regain her former conquests. No difficulties arose over the frontiers of Serbia and Greece, both of whom had added substantial territories to their dominions. Bulgaria, to whom in the first place had been all the glory of the war against Turkey, and who at one time threatened, it seemed, Constantinople itself, emerged from this second conflict



Sebah & Joailler

MEHMED V., SULTAN OF TURKEY

with but slight advantage on its original position, and with all its former military prowess eclipsed.


It is estimated that the total number of casualties, killed, wounded, and death of soldiers from disease, amounted to no less than 352,000; and this in two wars of very short duration. The

Defeat of Bulgaria Bulgarians were the heaviest sufferers, for their casualties amounted to 140,000. The Turkish casualties are put down at 100,000, the Servians at 70,000, the Greeks at 30,000, and the Montenegrins at 12,000. It is impossible to state the number of killed and wounded with complete accuracy, but these figures may be accepted as approximately and proportionately true. As to the cost in money, it has been estimated at the huge sum of £245,800,000. And here again the heaviest drain was on Bulgaria, whose expenditure is figured at £90,000,000. Turkey comes next with £80,000,000, Servia with £50,000,000, Greece with £25,000,000, and

The Cost of the War Montenegro with £800,000. The Powers, having decided from the first that Albania was to be an independent state, lest falling under Slav influence it should be a source of danger to Austria, or if Greek, a possible offence to Italy, an International Commission, consisting of representatives of Austria-Hungary, France, Germany, Great Britain, Italy, and Russia,

was appointed to control the country and to determine the frontiers until a ruler could be found for its people. The inhabitants who number between 1,500,000 and 2,000,000, are hardy and independent tribesmen, many of them Mohammedans, in spite of their oft-repeated revolts against Turkish rule, others Roman Catholics and Orthodox Greeks. The Albanians proper, claiming descent from the conquering soldiers of Alexander the Great, are estimated at 1,200,000. The land devastated by the Turks, ravaged by Montenegrins and Servians in the recent war—for the Albanians were in arms at the

The New Albania thought of being made subjects to King Nicholas or King Peter—even now that it is independent has no immediate prospect of enjoying peace within its borders. Although it is mountainous, a good deal of grain is grown, and cattle raising is an important industry. The chief towns are Scutari, population 30,000, Durazzo, Valona, and Koritza. The area of the country is about 12,000 square miles, and extends from the Adriatic (Scutari to Chimara) to the valley of the Black Drin. In November 1913, a Sovereign was found for Albania in the person of Prince William Frederick Henry of Wied. Proposed by the Powers, he was willingly accepted by the Albanians, and on his accession, in 1914, Albania was plainly to all the world an independent state.



AUSTRIA-HUNGARY IN OUR TIME

AN EMPIRE OF MANY NATIONAL- ITIES AND CONTENDING RACES

By Henry W. Nevinson

FROM its history one can see that the monarchy of Austria-Hungary is not so much a result as a residue. It embodies no conscious purpose or intention, like modern Germany. After its long and varied annals we can hardly speak of its growth, for it remains rather as a shapeless and almost accidental collection of pieces than an organic and vital whole. It is still encumbered by the tradition of former greatness in days when it stood before Europe as the Holy Roman Empire, whose monarch was equally the successor of the Cæsars and the representative of God's temporal power here on earth. It would be hard for any empire to live up to such a part as that, and the memory of an obsolete grandeur which could not be maintained has prevented the country hitherto from developing along fresh lines of progress.

We can, indeed, hardly speak of Austria-Hungary as a country at all. It lies sprawling in the middle of Europe, without natural limits or frontiers; and it has no natural character of its own, though the parts of the empire are in touch; and it possesses no colonies or foreign settlements. Almost every kind of scenery may be found within its boundaries. In the south-west are the Alpine peaks of the Tyrol; in the south-east the great ranges and forests of the Carpathians. North, in Bohemia, and south, in Bosnia, are regions of pleasant hills and valleys, interspersed with plains. The Alföld, or central flat through which the great rivers of Hungary run, is one of the largest plains of Europe, and the outlying province of Galicia, beyond the northern Carpathians, is a vast plain of Russian character. As a complete contrast to such scenes, you may pass down

one of the most beautiful and varied coast-lines in the world, from the top of the Adriatic to the Mouths of Cattaro, and still you are in Austrian or Hungarian territory, for Austria stretches out an arm to reach the sea at Trieste, Hungary does the same at Fiume, and the narrow length of rocky shore and mountain, called Dalmatia, is Austria's again. This diversity of scene makes Austria-Hungary one of the most beautiful and interesting parts of Europe for the traveller, especially as it is also one of the least known. But the diversity of scene is even surpassed by the diversity of race; and though this also affords the traveller a further interest and charm, it adds considerably to the problem of government.

In fact, it is the problem of government, and without realising the diversity of race, it is impossible to understand what the contemporary history of the empire means. There are eight easily recognised races within the frontiers, and the list might be extended to eleven. Of the eight at least five are not merely different from each other; they are strongly nationalist, and from time to time display violent hostility towards one or all of the other races with whom they are supposed to share the glory and government of the same empire. That is the worst of an empire which has not grown by natural energy from the inside, but has been thrown together bit by bit as occasion served, often by the accident of dynasty or marriage. One remembers the well-known ironic line :

Bella gerant alii ; tu, felix Austria, nube.

Or, in English :

By others let the wars be waged ;
Thou, happy Austria, get engaged.

Such marriages were successful in adding territory, not in adding power. To

**Austria's
Varied
Scenery**

form a picture of the result, you might imagine small portions of the British Empire all clustered together in the same country, so that English and Irish, French Canadians and Boers, New Zealanders and Manxmen were living side by side, without the sea to keep them comfortably tolerant and apart. Such a

Disunion in the Empire variety of peoples, all dwelling within a small space—Austria-Hungary is only about twice the size of the British Islands—adds much to a traveller's interest. Indeed, to the student of men, no part of Europe, not even the Balkan Peninsula, is so full of varied knowledge as Austria-Hungary.

Almost every stage of European civilisation is found existing there in full vitality—the scientific and highly educated German of Vienna, the mountaineer of the Tyrol, the gipsy of the Hungarian plain, the ancestral Moslem of Bosnia, the Roumanian descendant of old Roman colonists in Transylvania, the progressive Czech of Bohemia, the unchanging Jew of Galicia, the unhappy Pole, and, finally, isolated almost in the centre of them all, unrelated to any of them, and only very dimly related to far-off Turks and Finns, stands the Magyar, surrounded by Slavs of various names, and almost continually at strife with the Emperor of Austria, who happens to be also his own king. In the whole Austrian Empire, almost the only European stock which you will not find is the Austrian. It would be hardly too much to say that such a being as an Austrian does not exist.

We may, however, use the word roughly still for the large German population which forms the centre of Austrian society and boasts itself, with some justice, the most civilised and advanced of the many nationalities. These Germans are the natural successors to the eastern province of Charlemagne's old Teutonic Empire—the East Mark, which ward off the Mag-

Advanced and Civilised Germans yars—and they number some 9,000,000, or about a third of Austria's population, and something over 2,000,000, or about a ninth part of Hungary's. Till quite lately no one would have hesitated to call them the predominant race. German was the language, not only of the Court, society, and literature, but of all official and legal business throughout the empire. It was taught in all schools and used in every department of the army. No one would

have thought twice in describing Austria as a German Power, and it is naturally the desire of the German-speaking population to keep things as they were or to extend the German culture and influence.

But in recent years the Germans have seen themselves checked, and even driven back, not only by the Magyars of Hungary, but by the various branches of Slavs in Bohemia and the lesser states, such as Styria and Carinthia. The surprise has only intensified their Teutonism. Many have embraced the so-called Pan-German ideal, which tries to regard the cause of all the Teutonic peoples of the world as one, and would gather the Teutons, not only of the German and Austrian Empires, but of Russia, South America, South Africa, including the Boers, and of Holland and Belgium into a single fold. A favourite scheme of Pan-Germanism for some time past has been an extension of German influence throughout the old Turkish provinces to the port of Salonika, or even by way of Constantinople itself, where Germans already number some 40,000, to Asia Minor, and by a German

Enemies of the Austrian German railway to Bagdad and the Persian Gulf. By this route they hoped to find an outlet for the German increase in lands where they would not lose their nationality, as they do in the United States. At the moment events are against the scheme, but it is a thing to be remembered in estimating the probabilities of Austrian politics. It is the ultimate goal of the "Drang nach Osten," of which we have heard so much.

For the time, however, these more ambitious designs have been checked, and the Austrian German is fighting for existence in his own country rather than for distant Pan-Germanism in the Balkans or Asia Minor. For some ten years past he has been brought into sharp and continual conflict with Czechs, Magyars, and Italians, in turn or together. It is partly a religious quarrel, and the cry of "Freedom from Rome"—"Los von Rom!"—is one of the party's watchwords. But many good Catholics belong to the movement, too, and the conflict is, before all things, a matter of race or nationality. For some years past the section that looks to Germany rather than Austria as its national fatherland has been growing, and allegiance to the Hohenzollern of Berlin rather than to the Hapsburg is openly

expressed. To unite the German part of Austria to the rest of Germany is an obvious though futile device. But for the position of Bohemia, perhaps Bismarck might have tried to realise it. But he knew that Bohemia made the thing impossible. Probably an equal obstacle lies also in the very different nature of the South German from the Prussian. For the South German of Austria, if less painfully educated and disciplined to a certain kind of capacity, has far more freedom and charm of nature, and far more imaginative power. Nor does his neighbour, the South German of Bavaria, find life under Prussian leadership exactly enjoyable.

So the Pan-German of Austria is now standing in opposition to the chief forces at work in his country. Perhaps the strongest, as well as the most recent, of these forces is Pan-Slavism. It is a similar movement, but less conscious, less wealthy, and devoid of organisation and practical aim. It is a dream of distant unity, like the Russian movement of the same name—a feeling of common brotherhood rather than a policy with a programme. Certainly it has the strength of

**The Slavs
Weakened by
Division**

numbers, for, taking the Austria-Hungary monarchy as a whole, the Slavs probably outnumber all other races by at least two millions. But, as usually happens among Slavs, they are weakened by division. The Czechs of Bohemia, the Croats, the Serbs, the Ruthenians, the Slovenes, the Slovaks, the Dalmatians, and the Poles, though all of Slav origin, now in many cases form separate nationalities, and even in language they are often unintelligible to each other, though their languages are akin.

They are also divided by religion. The great majority, such as the Czechs, the Croats, and the Poles, are Catholic; while the Serbs and many of the Southern Slavs remain Orthodox, following the same rites and doctrines as the Greek and Russian Church. The Pan-Slavist ideal in Austria-Hungary is the formation of the empire into a kind of confederacy of states in which the Slav would predominate. At one time, like all Pan-Slavists, they looked forward to a Slav empire under the suzerainty of Russia.

But this ideal has been dimmed by the overwhelming defeat of Russia in the East and by the cruel reaction of her own government against liberty. At the present time the Slav claims are for separate nationalities. The Croats,

gathered round their old capital of Agram, live in violent protest against the dominance of the Magyars in the kingdom of Hungary, to which they belong. They are nearly all Catholic; in fact, the name Croat is used among the Southern Slavs for Catholic just as the name of Servian signifies Orthodox or Greek Church. They

**Feuds of
Czechs and
Germans**

boast a fine history, claiming to be the only Southern Slavs, except the Montenegrins, never subdued by the Turks. Indeed, they are the only Slavs in Austria-Hungary who have established some right to nationality, except the Czechs of Bohemia, and, in quite recent years, perhaps the Roumanians of Transylvania, who have become an even more painful thorn in the side of the Magyars, because there is always a danger that Roumania may adopt their cause.

But of all the Slavs in the empire, the Czechs are by far the strongest and most advanced. Their civilisation is historic, and their nations long held a high place in Europe. But the Germans have been their foes from the beginning, and the feud continues with violence to the present day. Till some thirty years ago there seemed every chance that their nationality would become absorbed under German language and manners. The national movement began with the revival of the national language, as also happened in Hungary, and is happening in Ireland now. It is strange that a literary and academic beginning should have taken so deep a hold on the populace that German is now a language under a ban and the contest between the peoples is perpetual.

As long ago as 1886 Bohemia won the privilege of special law courts and universities, together with the recognition of her language as official, though this right was again withdrawn in 1899, when the Czechs were endeavouring to introduce Czech words of command into the army. This feud against the Pan-Germans has,

**Bohemia
Demands a
Kingship**

in fact, continued ever since, breaking out with especial fury in 1902, again in 1904, when the Vienna University was closed on account of it and the Germans retaliated by smashing up Kubelik's concert-hall at Linz; and again towards the end of 1908, when martial law was proclaimed in Prague at the very time of the emperor's Diamond Jubilee. The Czechs now demand a restoration of the old separate kingship for Bohemia

on the same terms as Hungary's kingship, and it is very probable the concession will be granted by the coronation at Prague either of the present old emperor or of his successor, the Crown Prince Franz Ferdinand, who is an enthusiastic Catholic, and has also a Czech wife in morganatic marriage. The estimated number of Czechs in the empire is about six million, or nearly a quarter of the population of Austria proper. But more serious for Austria even than Bohemia's nationalism has been the prolonged disagreement with Hungary.

We need not go back to the cruel repression of Hungary under Heynau after the revolutionary chaos of 1848, when the present emperor came to the throne; nor to the restoration of the constitution in 1861; nor even to the "Ausgleich," or Compromise of 1867, by which Beust hoped he had arranged a workable system of unity in separation. In 1897 the struggle was renewed, chiefly on the Hungarian demand for a separate tariff and separation in commercial affairs. It resulted in a complete block in the constitution existing between the two countries.

By that constitution there is an Austrian Parliament of two Houses—the Upper House, largely hereditary, and a Reichsrath of elected representatives; and there is a distinct Hungarian Parliament of a House of Magnates, chiefly hereditary, and a House of elected representatives, in which the Magyars have hitherto secured a majority, though they are not a majority of the population. Both Parliaments send "Delegations" of sixty members each to sit alternately at Vienna or Budapest, for the arrangement of the common financial burdens. The Delegations may vote together; but they sit separately, and do not debate together. The emperor-king can personally veto all Bills passed by either Parliament; and he appoints the Ministers himself, apart from the will of the majority. Such a system may obviously lead to a deadlock on any

serious question, and on the questions of the tariff and the army the deadlock lasted year after year. In 1900 the emperor threatened to suspend the constitution. In 1902 Kossuth, son of the famous Hungarian liberator of 1848, and leader with Count Apponyi of the Magyar Nationalists, demanded absolute separation, except for the bond of the crown. In the next year a complete disintegration of the empire seemed probable, and the Kossuthites insisted on the use of Hungarian words of command and the employment of Hungarian officers in the Hungarian regiments of the regular army, not merely in the Honved, or local Hungarian militia, corresponding to the Austrian militia, or Landwehr. The

emperor conceded the appointment of Hungarian officers and the use of national emblems, but steadily refused the use of the Hungarian word of command as destroying the unity of the army. So the deadlock on the tariff and army continued, the Hungarian Parliament going so far in 1905 as to refuse taxes and recruits. The emperor summoned the so-called Coalition to Vienna, but no terms could be arranged. In the following year, 1906, the Coalition was allowed to take office on condition that it did not

oppose a measure for manhood suffrage, all males over twenty-four. This was carried largely by the emperor's personal influence, acting through the premier, Baron von Beck, an honourable statesman, who also succeeded in ending the ten years' quarrel over the tariff by a commercial treaty with Hungary, in 1907. Under this treaty, each state was granted a separate tariff; but Hungary was to pay 36 per cent. of the expenses for war, defence, and foreign affairs. A court of arbitration for future disputes was also instituted. The question of the word of command in the army was held over, and was not definitely settled till a later time. The Magyars are, in part, very much



FRANZ FERDINAND OF AUSTRIA

The Archduke Franz Ferdinand, heir to the throne of the Austrian Empire was assassinated by a Serbian anarchist June, 28, 1914.

End of a National Quarrel

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY IN OUR OWN TIME

occupied by the Slav movements directed against them in Croatia and Transylvania, and by their own endeavours to retain a majority in their Parliament by one device or another under manhood suffrage. With this object they framed a Bill in 1908 by which a fairly rich Magyar's vote will count as about thirty to one against the Slav peasant's. It is significant that in the Austrian Reichsrath the first appeal to the people under manhood suffrage produced a Parliament of twenty-six groups, the two largest being the Social Democrats—90, largely Jewish in tendency, and the Christian Socialists—65, largely anti-Semites.

The year 1908 was for many reasons one of the most remarkable in Austria's history, and much future history is likely to spring from it. For some years past Austria had

they were not intended to work. Nothing was further from the thoughts of the two most interested Powers than a reformed and resuscitated Turkey. They were only waiting for Turkey to rot till she dropped, and in the meantime they opposed any genuine reform on the ground that the integrity of the Turkish Empire must never be infringed.



THE HEART OF VIENNA

The real value of this phrase was shown in the early summer of 1908 when Count von Aehrenthal, who had lately succeeded Count Goluchowski as Foreign Minister in Austria, suddenly proposed to extend the Austrian, or rather Hungarian, railway from the frontier of Herzegovina through the Sanjak of Novi Bazar to the Turkish frontier town of Mitrovitsa. By this line Austria would at once open for herself a route to Salonika without quitting territory under her own control till she



A SCENE IN THE AUSTRIAN CAPITAL

The Schottengasse and Währingerstrasse, two of the chief thoroughfares in Vienna, the leading city of Austria, are shown in the above illustration.

been watching the decline of Turkey into apparent ruin with peculiar attention. As one of the "two most interested Powers," she had combined with Russia to impose various schemes of reform upon the sultan, especially in regard to Macedonia, where the wretchedness and persecution of the populations had become a scandal to Europe. But the schemes of reform did not work ;

entered Turkey herself. It was a daring proposal, but Russia countered it by suggesting another railway, from the Danube, through Servia, the Sanjak and Montenegro, to Scutari and the Adriatic, thus binding together the Serb states and giving them egress to the sea independent of Austria. To such a scheme, after her own proposal, Austria could only assent with a

sardonic smile, and so the matter rested. But suddenly all deep-laid plans and dark designs of Austria, as of other Powers regarding the Near East, were overturned by the Young Turk Revolution of July, 1908, a revolution conducted with skill and moderation, that won a brief and quiet impermanent success. Unhappily

Austria's Thwarted Designs success was just the last thing that the two most interested Powers desired in Turkey. They had long looked forward with apprehension to a terrible combat in sharing out the Turkish Empire, but it would be a still more terrible thing if no one was to get a share.

The details of the arrangement are, naturally, obscure. We only know that there were meetings between Baron von Aehrenthal, M. Isvolsky, the Russian Foreign Minister, and Signor Tittoni, the Foreign Minister of Italy, and that in September, Prince Ferdinand of Bulgaria, an Austrian by birth and education, visited Budapest and was received with royal honours. On October 5th, Prince Ferdinand, almost certainly at Austria's suggestion, proclaimed himself tsar of an independent kingdom, owing no fealty to Turkey and no tribute for Eastern Roumelia. On the following day, Austria formally annexed the Turkish provinces of Bosnia and Herzegovina, which she had been allowed to occupy and administer by the Treaty of Berlin since 1878.

"The rights of our sovereignty," ran the proclamation, "are extended to Bosnia and Herzegovina. Among the many cares that surround our throne, care for your material and spiritual welfare shall not be the least." At the same time, a share in the legislation was promised, together with equal rights before the law, and equal protection for religion, language, and race. The Austrian troops which had been allowed to police the Sanjak of Novi Bazar, a long, Turkish strip of land

Annexation of Turkish Territory lying between Serbia and Montenegro, were also withdrawn, nominally as compensation to Turkey. The concession was valueless, for if those Serb states on either side of the Sanjak were hostile, Austria could not hold it; and if they were friendly, she could re-occupy it without effort. But by the annexation of the two provinces, Austria tore up the Treaty of Berlin, insulted Turkey, and exposed the Young Turk government to

extreme danger from the probability of war, besides irritating Serbia and Montenegro almost beyond endurance.

There are nearly 2,000,000 Servian Slavs in the annexed provinces. Less than half the population is Orthodox—the rest being Catholics or Mohammedan descendants of Serbs early converted by the Turks; but all of them are Servian by race, descendants from subjects of the old Servian Empire that was destroyed by the Turks at the end of the fourteenth century. The annexation cut the Serb race in half, and absorbed about a third of it. Servia saw herself also cut off hopelessly from the sea and from her heroic kinsmen in Montenegro. The Servian army was at that time very small, probably not more than 200,000 of all arms, though Servia had lately been purchasing new batteries from France.

Austria, in the three previous years, had also spent very large sums in re-armament, and she could probably put over a million men in the field, including the Hungarian Honved. But her troops are admittedly ill-assorted and split up by nationalist feeling, and in the year 1909 it seemed as though Servia might declare war any day. At the worst she could only be absorbed into Austria, and form the nucleus of a great Servian province, gradually becoming as independent as Hungary. At the best she might bring Russia into the contest as protector of the Southern Slavs.

Servia's Fate in the Balance In its ulterior aims of embarrassing the Reform Party in Turkey by war and of restoring the sultan's corrupt government, Aehrenthal's coup completely failed. If there was a secret bargain between him and Isvolsky, it certainly came to nothing, because Sir Edward Grey took strong steps to demonstrate Britain's friendship to the Young Turks, and the Pan-Slavists in Russia raised an outcry against any possible bargain which would secure some advantage like the opening of the Dardanelles to the Russian fleet at the price of betraying the Southern Slavs to "the German." Isvolsky, it is true, addressing the Duma on Christmas Day, 1908, definitely refused to support Servia against the Power which had broken the Berlin Treaty, but any future designs that may have been plotted against Turkey were soon left in abeyance. Internal friction followed the annexation, especi-


AUSTRIA-HUNGARY IN OUR OWN TIME

ally on the question of concessions. No international conference was held to give sanction to the arrangement, and Austria lost very heavily in her large Turkish trade owing to the indignant boycott of Austrian goods by the Turkish people. In 1910 a constitution was given to the annexed provinces appointing a Diet of 92 members.

It is possible that the annexation was in reality a further step towards the conversion of Austria into a Slavonic rather than German Power. At all events, that will probably be its result, and it is believed to have been favoured by the Crown Prince Franz Ferdinand, who has strong Slavonic sympathies. On the other hand, we must remember that, whatever

Moslems, began to leave the country in large numbers as soon as the Turkish Revolution gave them hope of security on Turkish soil. There has always been great dissatisfaction because the recruits from the provinces are taken to serve their time in far-distant parts of Austria, while troops of other nationalities are quartered among the Bosnian villages.

Perhaps even stronger discontent has been aroused by the large numbers of Catholic churches erected by Government throughout the country, though not much more than 20 per cent. of the population are Catholic. Jesuits and Franciscans are continually spreading their propaganda, and it is an open secret that



THE HUNGARIAN HOUSES OF PARLIAMENT AT BUDAPEST

the Pan-Slavists may say, it is all of a piece with the familiar German "Drang nach Osten," and that the annexed provinces are already largely Germanised. They are filled with German officials; all newspapers, except the German, are so rigorously censored that they often appear with blank columns; the forests, which are a chief source of wealth, are sold to German contractors; many Slav schools have been suppressed; the Archbishop is an Austrian nominee, and even the Orthodox Servians refuse to accept the rites of their Church from anti-national hands.

The Bosnian Mohammedans, who number about 35 per cent. of the population and are Slav by race, though very strict

they are encouraged by the Crown Prince Franz Ferdinand, who, perhaps, aims at converting Austria-Hungary into a Catholic Slav Power as a counterbalance to the Orthodox Slavs of Russia.

Thus, Germanism and Catholicism have been thrust upon Bosnia and Herzegovina with almost equal persistence, and the inhabitants naturally looked for protection to their kindred in the neighbouring states of Serbia and Montenegro, or even to reorganised Turkey, which they still claim as their suzerain. It must be remembered that when Austria was permitted to occupy and administer by the Treaty of Berlin, she had to mobilise 200,000 men, so strong was the opposition

of the inhabitants to a purpose which she called her mission, though the provinces had but recently freed themselves from Turkish misgovernment. English travellers have often pointed to the advantages of Austrian rule—the police, the growing commerce, the excellent roads, and other signs of advancement under Baron von

The Aged Emperor Franz Joseph Kallay, who administered the provinces for twenty years with great appearance of success. But English travellers generally take their information from the German-speaking officials, and it is also a common mistake of our race to suppose that man lives by bread alone. The hostility to Austrian rule is at the present time probably as strong as it was at the time of the occupation in 1878.

With Prague in open riot, the Italian provinces deeply disturbed, the Poles violently indignant at the treatment of their countrymen by Austria's German ally, Croatia and Transylvania restless under Magyar injustice, the Magyars themselves insisting on further demands for independence, and with Bosnia-Herzegovina in a state of siege, the celebration of the aged emperor's Diamond Jubilee, in 1908, could hardly be called an auspicious occasion. Yet, in all Europe there was probably no man more widely respected than Franz Joseph. It was not merely that he had reigned for sixty years without open scandal. A man of no great intellectual power or gift of foresight, he had, within the rigid limits of Austrian Court life, devoted himself to the tasks that lay before him with an obstinate tenacity that failures and disasters made tragic, but could not shake. The mysterious death of his son and the

The Emperor's Griefs and Disasters assassination of his wife cast a deep gloom over his private life, while the loss of nearly all his Italian possessions, the annihilation of his forces by Prussia, and the collapse of Austria's old leadership among the German States, were public disasters that few dynasties could survive. Yet neither grief nor disaster turned him from the fulfilment of duties which destiny laid upon him, and long experience had endowed him with a kind of

instinct for discerning the right moment to yield or to remain firm. How far he was aware of his Foreign Minister, Baron von Aehrenthal's, sudden action that convulsed Europe with apprehension in the autumn of 1908, we cannot yet say. The stroke was so unlike the emperor's habitual restraint and moderation that it encouraged the belief in his temporary retirement from affairs and his delegation of authority to his successor. That report has been contradicted, and one can only hope that the end of a long and worthy career will not be marked by dangerous European complications which Austria's action will have chiefly contributed to bring about.

What will happen at the aged emperor's death has long been a central problem of international politics. M. Milovanovitch, the Servian Foreign Minister, while protesting against Austria's attempt to shatter the Serb nationality by annexing the provinces, said in January, 1909: "Austria-Hungary is not a Father-

Problem of the Future land, but rather a prison of numerous nationalities all panting to escape." The description is singularly apt. As I have tried to show, the empire is hardly even a geographical expression. Never was a great Power less homogeneous or more savagely torn by contending races. It is natural to suppose that with the departure of the man who has so long held the component parts together, however loosely, a general disruption will ensue and the whole fabric of the empire collapse. But it would be unwise to prophesy any such fate. Austria-Hungary has survived so long that in all likelihood it will go on surviving, if only by habit. Besides, a disruption would imply the isolation of many enfeebled nationalities.

Patriotic as Czechs and Magyars and Serbs and Germans may be, when it came to the point they might very likely prefer to hang together rather than enjoy a short-lived separation at the cost of ultimate and perpetual absorption under the grinding imperialism of one or other of their powerful neighbours.

HENRY W. NEVINSON



LATER EVENTS IN AUSTRIA-HUNGARY

IN spite of much internal agitation over the question of the franchise in Hungary, and of repeated turmoil in the Hungarian Chamber, the dual monarchy in later years had enjoyed an era of peace, and the Emperor Francis Joseph I., whose reign began in that year of revolutions, 1848, had long outlived the troubles that once beset his throne. In 1914 the Emperor, then in his 84th year, had to suffer the loss of the heir apparent, Prince Franz Ferdinand, who, with his Consort, was shot dead by a Servian assassin at Sarajevo on June 28th. This crime was associated with the unrest prevailing amongst the various races and kingdoms that made up the dual monarchy of Austria-Hungary. How wide and numerous were these differences of race may easily be understood when some statistics of 1914 are grasped. According to these statistics, the people of the dual monarchy included in Austria 9,000,000 Germans, 6,000,000 Bohemians and Moravians, 4,250,000 Poles, 3,380,000 Ruthenians, 1,200,000 Slovenes, besides smaller numbers of Italians, Croats, and Servians; while in Hungary there lived 10,000,000 Magyars (Hungarians), 3,000,000 Roumanians, 2,000,000 Germans, 2,000,000 Slovaks, 1,500,000 Croats, and 1,000,000 Servians; besides a certain number of Italians. With so mixed a population there is naturally considerable variety in religion. While the Roman Catholic Church embraces about 80 per cent. of the people of Austria, and just over half of the people of Hungary, and its numbers are estimated at more than 37,000,000, there are also 3,500,000 of the Greek Church, over 4,300,000 Lutherans, Calvinists, and other Protestants, more than 2,000,000 Jews, and in

Racial Differences Bosnia - Herzegovina 500,000 Mohammedans. To a large extent the electoral districts for the return of members to the

Lower House of the Reichsrath at Vienna are formed on the basis of race; and as the franchise was extended in 1907 to every male citizen who has resided for a year in his district, and is not disqualified by crime or poverty, the number of parliamentary groups, in addition to the Social Democrats, who admit no racial distinctions and are international, includes German Liberals, National Liberals, German Conservatives, Anti-Semites, Poles, Ruthenians, Young Czechs, Old

Czechs, Independent Czechs, Clericals, Slavonians, and Serbo-Croats, Bohemian Conservative Feudalists, Moravian Central party, Italians, and Roumanians—the main conflict for years raging between the Czechs and the Germans. Hungary, with its Reichstag (Upper House of Magnates and Lower House of Representatives) has also its own racial difficulties. Croatia and Slavonia, though part of the kingdom of Hungary, have their own Diet, presided over by a Ban, or Lord-Lieutenant, and

The Hungarian Constitution 43 members of this Diet are sent to the Hungarian Reichstag, where, invariably, they sit in opposition. Another group in permanent opposition was the Independence party, led by the late M. Francis Kossuth—a son of the revolutionary leader of 1848—whose death took place in May, 1914. These national parliaments have the fullest powers in internal matters, but on questions of foreign policy and for the organisation of the army and navy, the Delegations, or Joint Committee, of Austria-Hungary, consisting of 60 members, are alone responsible. Three executive departments are concerned exclusively with the foreign affairs and finance of the dual monarchy and with the War Office. Each state makes its own separate provision for the imperial expenses, and the proportion to be contributed is fixed by mutual agreement, renewable every ten years. A Customs and Commercial Treaty between Austria and Hungary, signed in 1907, and ratified by the Parliaments of both states in 1908, renewed and confirmed the agreement first made in 1867, whereby the two states are a common territory for commercial and Customs purposes, and possess the same system of coinage, weights and measures. A Court of Arbitration for the settlement of differences between the two states was also established by this treaty. In spite of extensive emigration to America from the rural districts, the population of Austria increased from 27,496,712 in 1906 to 28,826,000 in 1911, while that of Hungary increased from 19,254,559 in 1900 to 21,030,000 in 1911. The total population in 1910 was estimated at 51,340,603. Next to Russia, Austria-Hungary, with its area of 675,887 square kilometres, is the largest empire in Europe, though in point of population it is beaten by Germany with its 65,000,000 people.




THE KAISER AND KAISERIN REVIEWING PRUSSIAN STAFF OFFICERS AT POTSDAM



GERMAN ARTILLERY IN THE MANŒUVRES ON THE FRENCH FRONTIER, OCTOBER, 1904



FOOTGUARD RECRUITS REVIEWED BY THE KAISER: NOTE THE "GOOSE-STEP



CROWN PRINCE, IN THE FOREGROUND, AS AN OFFICER OF THE IMPERIAL CUIRASSIERS

GERMANY'S GREAT CONSCRIPT ARMY: SOME SCENES OF MILITARY LIFE



GERMANY IN OUR OWN TIME THE EMPIRE'S PLACE AMONG THE WORLD POWERS & ITS MILITARY & NAVAL STRENGTH

By Charles Lowe, M.A.

BY far the most conspicuous and momentous event of the nineteenth century was the rise of the new German Reich on the ashes of the Second French Empire. The victories of the great Napoleon will shine for ever in the pages of history, though the results of those victories have all gone to dust. The Corsican was a man of tremendous, but of negative, power. He shook all Europe to its foundations, but out of its ruins evolved no new political structure to survive his own fall. He was essentially a destroying demon, while Bismarck, on the contrary—who was to succeed him as the principal wielder of one-man power in Europe—proved the genius incarnate of creation.

Napoleon had only escaped from Elba and reached the Tuileries with intent to make one more gigantic effort to crush united Europe when Bismarck was born—seven weeks exactly before Waterloo—All Fools' Day happening to be the birthday of the wisest man of his time. Little, certainly, did the Titanic Corsican then think that, far away, in an obscure hamlet of the sandy Mark of Brandenburg, a man-child had on that First of April been born, endowed with the power of building up again what he had cast down, and of shivering his upstart dynasty to atoms. All the seas of blood which flowed at the call of Napoleon had been shed in vain; whereas the German Empire stands, and promises to stand, a solid result of the three wars of 1864, 1866, and 1870, which Bismarck found necessary to wage in order to unify the German people. Hence he has come to be known as the statesman of "blood and iron," as if, forsooth, omelettes could be made without eggs, or states cemented without the sacrifice of human life. If any empire more than

another, after that of Rome, has been built up by a policy of blood and iron, surely it is our own, for the long reign of Queen Victoria was one of almost continuous war in one part or another of her world-embracing dominions. It might easily be shown that without this policy of "blood and iron" it would never have been possible to point to the new German Empire as the most momentous creation of the nineteenth century. It was after the Franco-German War of 1870 this mighty empire took the place of vanquished France as the leading, because the most powerful, nation on the Continent of Europe; for, after Sedan, the centre of political gravity passed automatically from Paris to Berlin. Yet even now there are but few Englishmen who have a clear and just notion as to what sort of a thing this new German Empire really is.

It may, therefore, be said at once that it is unique of its kind; and that it is *not* an empire in the Casarian or Tamerlanian, or Turkish, or Russian, or Napoleonic sense of the term. It would be much nearer the mark to describe the German Empire as the "United States" of Europe, with the King of Prussia as their perpetual president, under the title of "Deutscher Kaiser," or "German Emperor," for "Emperor of Germany" he is not. That would imply sovereignty *over* the German people, but William II.'s sovereignty is confined to Prussia. It is for this reason that neither he nor his grandfather, the first kaiser—in, not *of*, a united Fatherland—was ever crowned, as coronation would carry with it the idea of imperial sovereignty, which is not an attribute of the German Emperor. Nor are all Germans the "subjects" of the kaiser, as

**Germany's
Imperial
Solidarity**

to stand, a solid result of the three wars of 1864, 1866, and 1870, which Bismarck found necessary to wage in order to unify the German people. Hence he has come to be known as the statesman of "blood and iron," as if, forsooth, omelettes could be made without eggs, or states cemented without the sacrifice of human life. If any empire more than

they are so often called. Every German is the subject of his own Landesvater, or native sovereign. Thus the only immediate "subjects" of William II. are his own honest Prussians, while the Saxons, the Württembergers, and the Badeners, etc., own similar allegiance to their own respective rulers, but all enjoy the superincumbent status and privilege of imperial German citizenship. Another point to be noted is that the kaiser does not receive from the empire a single penny of his Civil List—about £800,000—which is exclusively Prussian, and all the ceremonial expenses entailed upon him as emperor are drawn from his copious stipend as King of Prussia. The imperial dignity is an honorary title in the strict sense of the term, but the cost of maintaining it is cheerfully borne by the kaiser-king's special Prussian subjects for the honour of the family, so to speak, "et pour les beaux yeux du roi de Prusse."

**The Kaiser's
Loyal
Prussians**

It is ignorance of these and other facts essential to a clear comprehension of the subject that has caused the German Emperor to be represented as a kind of Frankenstein monster, bearing no resemblance to any man or monarch in the universe. It cannot be too emphatically declared that William II. is not an absolute or irresponsible ruler, like, for example, Nicholas II. of Russia. The best way of realising his character as a sovereign is to remember that the German Empire is but the European analogue of the United States of America, a confederation of twenty-five sovereign states—of which three, the Free Cities of Hamburg, Lübeck, and Bremen, are republics—under the title of "Deutsches Reich," with the King of Prussia, ex-officio, as its perpetual executive chief or president. Just as each State in the American Union enjoys its own legislature for the transaction of purely state affairs, so a similar system prevails in Germany, where each federal state has its own bicameral diet, or Landtag, for legislating on affairs not reserved for the Reichstag or Imperial Parliament. The Kings of Saxony, Bavaria, and Württemberg, and the Grand Dukes and Dukes of the other federal states are just as much sovereigns in their own territories—just as much "kings in their own castles," so to speak—as the King of Prussia, with the title German Emperor, is

**Germany's
States and
Sovereigns**

in his own special Hohenzollern monarchy. The depth of popular ignorance on this head in England was revealed when the Duke of Edinburgh succeeded to the throne of Saxe-Coburg-Gotha, by the death of his uncle, and when he was written of as having now "taken an oath of allegiance" to the German Emperor, as if he had become his imperial nephew's vassal.

On the contrary, the duke became just as much of an independent sovereign in Germany as the King of Prussia himself, who is only "primus inter pares" among his fellow sovereigns in the Reich. Outside of his own particular kingdom of Prussia, William II., as German Kaiser, has no more power of interference in the civil affairs, say, of Saxony, Bavaria, or Baden, than the Khan of Tartary. Even in the Free Cities of Hamburg, Lübeck, and Bremen, the emperor cannot step in to exercise the prerogative of mercy, one of the symbols of sovereignty.

To talk about the kaiser as a despot, an autocrat, an absolute ruler, an irresponsible monarch, is to talk nonsense. The truth is that both as King of Prussia and as German Emperor William II. is a constitutional sovereign—

**The Limited
Powers of
William II.** if of a peculiar kind. When Englishmen speak of "constitutional" government they mean government by party, whereas the German conception of the same thing is government according to a written constitution, whether it includes party see-saw or not. The trouble with our own "glorious constitution" is that it is in the nature of a "lex non scripta," so that we never really know where we are; whereas, the Germans always enjoy the immense advantage of knowing, so that in cases of dubiety or dispute they simply have to turn to the "Reichsverfassung." And the same remark applies to the Prussian constitution, the outcome of the revolution of '48, when the respective powers of crown and crowd were very carefully defined; though, on the whole, the balance of power is in favour of the king in his right of absolute veto.

But as kaiser he has no such right, so that in this and some other respects, he is not so powerful as the president of the United States. The legislative body of the empire may be said to consist of two Chambers—the Reichstag, or National Assembly, representing the German people and returnable by manhood suffrage;

and the Bundesrath, or Federal Council, representing the Federal Sovereigns and Free Cities of the Fatherland. Each of these Chambers has co-ordinate and co-equal powers. The assent of both is essential to the passage of an imperial law, and any Bill would be blocked by the veto of either. Apart from these two bodies the kaiser himself, as President of the Union, has no power to veto an imperial law; and as Prussian member of the Federal Council he can only command seventeen votes out of a total of fifty-two.

It will then appear that, even in the Federal Council, the Prussian president might easily be outvoted on any question: as he was, for example, in the case of the Supreme Court of the empire, which was located at Leipzig instead of Berlin. A Bill which is passed by the Reichstag and approved by the Federal Council becomes law whether the emperor, as King of Prussia, has voted for it or not; and then the imperial president has no separate veto power, no choice but to execute the combined decision of the German people and German princes. But now a word

Functions of the Reichstag

as to the Reichstag, or National Assembly, of which, by the way, the members are now paid, and which is often described as a mere "money voting and law-assenting machine." Nothing could be further from the truth. The power of the Reichstag to reject measures placed before it by the Imperial Government is absolute, and this Government has no means of coercing its will. True, the kaiser, with the assent of his fellow sovereigns in the Union, may dissolve Parliament, but so can our own king on the advice of his premier; and to dissolve a Parliament is not to dragoon it.

Dissolutions of the German Parliament have always taken the form of a plebiscite, a referendum, a direct appeal from the party-torn representatives of the German people to the people themselves, and in nearly all such cases the reply has been decidedly in favour of the Government. Power of purse is exercised as absolutely by the German Reichstag as by the House of Commons, and the kaiser cannot put a new warship on the sea, or add a single man to the German Army without the sanction of the German people.

The list of measures which have been rejected both by the Imperial and Prussian Parliaments is a very long one, but the

Government remains in power whatever happens, seeing that the principle of government by party does not form part of the administrative machinery of any German state. Nor among sensible people is there any strong desire for it. National security is of far more importance to Germany, as a sort of "besieged fortress"

Why Germany Needs a Strong Monarchy

—to use the words of Moltke —than government by *see-saw*; and the problem ever before the German people and their rulers is how to combine the greatest degree of national safety with the highest degree of individual liberty. "Hemmed in," said Moltke, "between mighty neighbours, we are of opinion that we require a strong monarchy." Moreover, it cannot be doubted that Prince Bülow, on the eve of the General Election of 1907, spoke the popular mind of the nation when he said that "no one in Germany desires a personal regime, but, on the other hand, the great majority of the German people is most emphatically against a party regime."

But while it is quite true that though the German people do not, as is so often said of them, live under a personal regime, or anything like it, it is equally true that what may be called the personal power of the emperor is very great. In the purely civil and political field this power, as we have seen, is circumscribed by the written constitutions of Prussia and the empire, and not once has the kaiser-king ever sought to overstep or circumvent the limits set against his arbitrary will.

He cannot veto a measure which has received the double approval of the Reichstag and the Bundesrath; he cannot, without the consent of his fellow sovereigns in the Union, declare an aggressive war, and most certainly those sovereigns would never allow their executive president to precipitate the nation into a wanton struggle. Well, then, but what is the nature of the power

The Kaiser Master of Many Legions

that the kaiser so palpably exercises? The answer is that he is the representative and spokesman of the German people to other countries; above all, that he is commander-in-chief of the army and navy; and that this "Kaiserliche Herr" also claims to be a "Kriegsherr," war-lord, or master of many mighty legions. It is the flashing of the emperor's helmet more than of his crown which sometimes tends to dazzle.

the eyes and bewilder the German nation, and other nations as well. It is in his administrative capacity as "Kriegsherr" that the kaiser wields most personal power within the empire; while abroad he is also comparatively untrammelled in the domain of foreign policy. In both fields the emperor is entitled by the constitution to wield great personal power, yet he has never abused it or sought to throw his sword into the scale either against the civil rights of his own people or the general rights of man as involved in the peace of the world.

And the sword of the German Emperor is a mighty one—none more so. The "German Michael," with his "mailed fist," is perhaps the most formidable fighting man the world has ever seen; and yet he is a pacific one, seeing that he has not once bared his blade for well-nigh forty years, or since his last great set-to with the Gauls beyond the Rhine. Whatever else may be said about Germany, it must at least be conceded to her credit that, with all her tremendous armed strength, she has ever been a bulwark of the European peace.


Since her war with France, Germany may be said to have become an industrial state as compared with the almost purely agricultural country which she was before; yet her greatest industry is militarism—

the manufacture of soldiers, and in this respect she easily surpasses all her rivals. Of these soldiers she keeps a standing army of about 600,000, which is just about double the strength of what it was a year or two after the great war; and in time of war this force could be raised to a first fighting line of about a million and a half.


If need were, Germany could put into the field, from her reserves of various kinds, a host of over four millions of highly trained fighting men. Her standing army is divided into twenty-three army corps, all as like each other as two pins in respect of composition and efficiency, so that after a stranger has seen the march-past of one of those superb bodies of men, he may be said to have seen the whole German army. It is, of course, a conscript army, though its size is fixed by budget law, and hence it follows that, though all Germans capable of bearing arms are liable to serve, it is only the fittest who are taken to the colours, seeing that the number of available recruits always exceeds that of the time-expired men.

It would be outside the scope of a sketch like this to detail the organisation of the German army; suffice to say that it is a machine which represents more brain-work than any other machine ever devised by the wit of man, and that it is just as

The Germans Under Conscription



GERMANY'S PARLIAMENTARY BUILDINGS IN BERLIN



THE STately PALACE OF THE GERMAN EMPEROR AT POTSDAM

near perfection as any human institution can possibly be. But, then, as to its cost? Do we not often hear of the frightfully oppressive burden of militarism under which the German people groan as compared with our own? What are the facts? One is, that our own military estimates for 1905-6 exceeded those of Germany by nearly a million sterling for the United Kingdom alone; while our Army Budget for the whole empire was £61,500,000, as compared with the £29,000,000 of Germany and the £27,000,000 of France. "Ah, but then,"

Cost of Great Armies exclaim the critics of militarism, "apart from the actual cost of the German army in positive cash, just consider the blood-tax that has to be paid by its victims in diverting two of the best years of their life from their civil occupations, and thus sterilising their productive labour!"

The answer to this is that what these victims lose in one way they gain, and more than gain, in another. For they return to civil life far better citizens than ever they were before—imbued with discipline, orderliness, respect for authority, energy, improved physique, and other qualities which soon enable them to make up, and more, for the time, not lost, but devoted to the service of their country—a citizen's first and highest duty. It is a great mistake to suppose that military service is unpopular in Germany. It may be with

some, but with the vast bulk of the nation the army is its most popular institution, and its officers are readily accorded the leading position in society. In fact, the average German officer is the highest type of the German man.

But the worship of his uniform sometimes leads to strange results—witness the case of an old gaol-bird, called Voigt, a cobbler by trade, who dressed himself up as a captain in the Prussian Guards, waylaid a party of William II.'s finest soldiers, and commanded them to follow him to a little town, Köpenick, near Berlin. The soldiers obeyed like sheep or machines. At Köpenick, the cobbler-captain, saying he was the agent of the kaiser, arrested the burgomaster, and sent him and his lady under escort to Berlin, after which he coolly walked away with all the cash in the treasury, which he had previously demanded in exchange for a receipt. The feat would have been impossible in any other country save Germany, where there is a blind worship of every kind of uniform, beneath which no one ever takes the trouble to look.

This is one of the minor penalties of being a "Volk in Waffen," a people in arms, but that is a condition of things from which the Germans by no possibility can escape if they would continue to be secure of their national existence. It is just as essential for them to have the finest army in Europe as it is for us to

have the strongest fleet in the world. Conscription is a sheer necessity for the Germans; and each country has its own peculiar needs and problems. As in the case of individuals, what is food for one may be positive poison for another, and it would be just as preposterous for us to seek to obtrude upon the Germans our

If Germany Went to War With France? own special form of constitutionalism as it would be absurd for the Germans to insist upon our adopting their system of conscription. The question is often asked: What would be the likely issue of another war between France and Germany?

The answer to such a question must be simplified by a comparison of figures. Supposing the armies of the two countries to be pretty equal in respect of strength, organisation, and efficiency, let it nevertheless be remembered that, whereas the populations of France and Germany in 1870 were nearly the same, that of Germany is now 63,000,000, as compared with the 40,000,000 of France. Thus the answer to the question referred to will probably take this form: that the Malthusianism of decadent France has relegated her to the position of a second-rate Power vis-à-vis of virile, fruitful, and multiplying Germany.

But there is another vital consideration that bears upon the likely issue of a second struggle between France and Germany, and it is this: that in 1870 Germany had no navy worth the name, while now—leaving America out of account—her fleet is considered to be inferior in battle power, as distinguished from comparative paper strength, only to that of England. The war of 1870 was exclusively a land war, and the swift, crushing victories of the Germans had this peculiar, this unique result—that they may be said to have put the French navy entirely out of action, seeing that it had to hurry off all its best guns and men to help in the defence of Paris. But such a thing—

Why Germany Built Her Navy such a victorious walk-over on land—is never likely to occur again; and that was why, or at least one of the reasons why, the Germans—knowing that if ever they had to fight again they would have to do so on sea as well as on land—provided themselves with a navy which M. Lockroy, French Minister of Marine, who was given special facilities for studying it, pronounced to be the “best organised in the world.”

As the rise of the German Empire was the most momentous fact of modern times, so the most momentous thing in the history of this new empire was the creation of the German fleet. In 1870 Germany possessed but thirty-seven war-ships all told, and a very miscellaneous job lot they were; while now she has no fewer than about 260 various kinds of battle-craft, built or building, including several of the Dreadnought type. In 1888 the navy was manned by only 15,000 officers and seamen, and twenty years later the number exceeded 50,000. In 1888 the ordinary naval expenditure was only £2,500,000, by 1908 it had risen to £18,000,000; while the total sum to be devoted to the navy between 1906 and 1917 was voted at 166 millions sterling, though supplementary Bills tend to increase these colossal figures.

To the 260 war-ships of various kinds built and building in 1907, add 100 of the finest liners of the great German shipping companies, which are retained by the Government as auxiliary cruisers in the event of war, and you will get some idea of the new and formidable phenome-

William II. Creator of the Navy non which may be said to have burst upon a startled and apprehensive Europe in the form of the Imperial German

navy. And here it may be pointed out that while the army of the Fatherland is only “German,” its navy is “Imperial”; that is to say, that while the army is composed of contingents from the various states of the Union, each with its own peculiarities and privileges, the navy—recruited from the seafaring population on the same conscript principle as the army—is an imperial institution pure and simple, and is much more of a rivet to the unity of the Reich.

The difference may be further accentuated by saying that while there is no Imperial Minister of War, there is an Imperial Chief of the Admiralty. In its present form the Imperial navy may be said to be the creation of William II., and, if for nothing else, he will always be remembered for this achievement. To the eagle on the escutcheon of the Hohenzollerns he may be said to have added a swan. William I. taught Germany how to march, and it remained for his ambitious grandson to show her how to swim.

“As my grandfather,” the latter said, “reorganised the army, so I shall reorganise my navy, without flinching and in

the same way, so that it will stand on the same level with my army, and that, with its help, the German Empire shall reach the place which it has not yet attained."

Other utterances of the emperor show that he was the first of his race to grasp the meaning of sea-power—the struggle for which promises to be a marked feature of the present century—utterances such as "Our future lies on the water"; "Germany, too, must have her place in the sun"; "without the consent of Germany's ruler nothing must happen in any part of the world"; "may our Fatherland be as powerful, as closely united, and as authoritative as was the Roman Empire of old, in order that the phrase 'Civis Romanus sum' may be replaced by 'I am a German citizen'"; "Neptune with the trident is a symbol for us that we have new tasks to fulfil since the empire has been welded together. Everywhere we have to protect German citizens, everywhere we have to maintain German honour; that trident must be in our fist."

These and other utterances of his clearly showed that William II. had been bitten by the new-born passion for sea power, though in this respect he was but acting as the spokesman of the vast majority of his people. The voice of that people found vent in the creation of a Flottenverein, or Navy League, which now numbers almost a million subscribing members, and which has an annual income of about £50,000 for the purpose of agitating in favour of an ever stronger navy. But even previous to the formation of that league the Reichstag, in response to the same popular voice, had willingly voted 8,000,000 sterling for the construction of a sixty-mile long and twenty-nine feet deep canal between Kiel Harbour and the mouth of the Elbe—a work which, begun in 1886 and inaugurated in 1895, practically doubled the value of the German fleet by enabling it to concentrate either in the North Sea or the Baltic without incurring the various risks of going round by Denmark.

And now it has been decided to deepen and broaden this Kaiser Wilhelm Canal to admit of the passage of battleships of the Dreadnought type. Moreover, the Reichstag voted £1,500,000 sterling for the fortification of Heligoland, which we surrendered to Germany in 1890 in exchange for Zanzibar.

Otherwise the Flottenverein—under the patronage of some of the highest personages in Germany, including the emperor's sailor-brother, Prince Henry—played a prominent part in preparing the public mind for successive demands of money to increase the navy. The large naval programme of 1898, providing for seventeen new battleships, coincided with the Spanish-American War; while soon after the outbreak of our Boer War the Reichstag again voted, in 1900, something like £100,000,000 for the carrying out of a naval programme extending over sixteen years; though on two subsequent occasions, 1906 and 1907, supplementary Bills in the direction always of bigger battleships were presented to Parliament.

There was the less opposition to the immense Government demands in 1900, as the German public had been highly irritated by our seizure of several of their mail steamers, and the unloading of them at Durban in search of contraband—an incident to which the emperor thus alluded in a telegram to the King of Württemberg: "I hope the events of the last few days will have convinced ever widening circles that not only Germany's interest, but also Germany's honour must be protected in distant seas, and that to this end Germany must be strong and powerful on the sea also." At the same time it was stated, *not* in the preamble, but in the memorandum of motives attached to the Bill of 1900, that "Germany must have a fleet so strong that even for the greatest naval Power a war with it would have such risks as to imperil its sea supremacy."

And then the fat was on the British fire. For these words were regarded as a clear warning, if not a threat, to England, and there were many who professed to believe that a war between the two countries was only a question of time. For the last quarter of a century—or from

1884-85, when Germany, in spite of much dog-in-the-manger obstruction from us, first started on her career as an over-sea Power—the relations between the two peoples had been anything but cordial, and during the Boer War their estrangement reached a climax. But, truth to tell, there were faults and jealousies on both sides.

The German Empire was a political fact to which Englishmen were long in reconciling themselves, and there were but

**The Kaiser's
Passion for
Sea Power**

**Germany's
Great Building
Programme**

**Britain's
Relations with
Germany**

few who could lay their hands upon their hearts and call themselves its well-wishers. These feelings of coldness and suspicion were only intensified when Imperial Germany shot ahead and became our most formidable rival in the world of commerce. "That England," so Bismarck once said, "looks on in some surprise when

Germany's Progress on the Sea

we, her landlubberly cousins, suddenly take to the water too is not to be wondered at." But the Germans had not merely taken to the water. In the opinion of our Teutophobe alarmists, it was also their aim to wrest from us the trident of Neptune and destroy our tyrannical supremacy on the sea. As one writer said: "A mighty longing for larger sea power, a determination to brook no longer the overwhelming and resistless supremacy of England on the main, has seized upon the soul."

But while thus striving to make encroachments on the sea, the Germans at the same time had not been neglecting the air, and in the latter respect their most successful inventor, Count Zeppelin, was hailed by the emperor as "the foremost man of his century." For his conquest of South Africa, Lord Roberts received £100,000 from a grateful country, and that is precisely the sum which was also voted to Count Zeppelin by the German people for his conquest of the air. The degrees of these two acts of victory were very different, but still the Germans were entitled to claim that they had advanced further on the path of air-conquest than any other nation. Heine had sneered at them as a nation of dreamers, whose thoughts were always in the air, but his words had now acquired a wonderfully new significance: The French and the Britons now lord it on land.

In the ocean the Britons are rooted;
To the Germans remaineth the region of air,
Where they domineer undisputed.

With Count Zeppelin's achievements the time, however, had now come when the most hot-headed and visionary among the Germans began to regard their partial conquest of the air as a long step in the direction of the possible conquest of Great Britain, which would thus no longer enjoy the advantages of being an island if the sky could be darkened with aerial navies.

But it is a far cry from Lake Constance to the cliffs of Kent; and, on the other hand, in a country like Germany,

there is not always perfect identity between popular aspirations and Government aims. The emperor himself disavowed all deliberate hostility to England; while his chancellor, Prince von Bülow, was still more emphatic. Replying to the charge of some Socialist speakers in the Reichstag, that the increase in the German navy was rightly regarded as directed against Great Britain, the chancellor said, December, 1905: "That we are pursuing no aggressive plans against Great Britain I have said a hundred times. I have said a hundred times that it is nonsense to father such schemes on us."

To a Press interviewer some little time after, the prince said: "I admit that we have made great strides in shipbuilding; for, like other nations, we require a fleet in proportion to the extent of our commercial interests all over the water. But, as a matter of fact, our navy is still very small in proportion to our oversea commerce—judging their relative dimensions by those of other nations. To argue, however, that Germany thinks of ever competing with England for the mastery of the sea is

Germany's Need of Sea Power

tantamount to accusing us of wishing to build a railway to the moon, including rolling-stock, sleeping-cars, etc. It is sheer nonsense, and I for one deplore that anybody should deem me capable of entertaining such a fantastic idea."

In the Reichstag also the chancellor said: "In our construction of a fleet we are not pursuing aggressive aims. We only desire to defend our own German coasts, and to uphold German interests abroad. It is, moreover, the wish of by far the greater portion of the German people that we should not be defenceless on the sea. . . . The saying, 'Our future lies on the water,' is not in any way pointed at other Powers. . . . We have not the slightest intention of driving another Power from the sea, but we have just as good a right to sail the seas of the world as other nations have. That right the Hansa had centuries ago, and that right the new German Empire also possesses."



Apart from all question of England and her sea supremacy, it must be owned that Germany had reasons enough for justifying herself in the eyes of other nations in the building of a navy commensurate with her population (63,000,000), the extent of her coast-line, the size and number of her colonies, the volume of her marine trade—

GERMANY IN OUR OWN TIME

which is far superior to that of France—and her dignity as the leading Power on the Continent. Where was the logic of our grudging to Germany, with marine interests greater than those of France, a navy at least equal to the French one? Surely every country may enjoy the right of determining the means and manner of its self-defence; but human nature is a strange thing, and often prompts to the remark: "*Cet animal est très méchant; quand on l'attaque, il se défend.*"

Since the year 1848 Germany has seen her coast blockaded on three separate occasions, including the war of 1870, when she was practically powerless at sea. Again, in 1907, the value of her sea-borne trade was £372,000,000 sterling. Of this total, £294,000,000 was carried by German merchant vessels of over 3,000,000

Atlantic, until this was recovered for us by a couple of colossal Cunarders. The value of German trade done with the British Empire alone was over £109,000,000 annually. Besides, Germany was becoming more and more dependent on foreign supplies of food and raw material for the industrial portion of her people, and in the



GERMAN WARSHIPS: THE KAISER KARL DER GROSSE

One of the greatest of Germany's ambitions is to possess a navy that shall be unrivalled by any other Continental Power, and under the present kaiser, William II., distinct advance has been made in this direction. The two warships illustrated above, which are shown sailing through the great waterway, the Kiel Canal, are typical examples of Germany's naval strength.

tons register, valued at over £40,000,000, and manned by 60,000 seamen. Ten per cent. of the world's commerce and 79 per cent. of German sea-borne trade was carried in German bottoms, while the liners of the Hamburg and Bremen companies were the finest that crossed the sea, and had even wrested from us the blue ribbon of the

THE WARSHIP FRAUENLOB

event of those supplies being interrupted, she would be faced with a serious economic crisis. It would be difficult for her to withstand a Continental coalition unless she could count upon a free sea, and so for these, if for no other reasons, it was imperative for her to have a navy commensurate with her interests—a navy which nevertheless began to fill the minds of Englishmen with apprehension and alarm.

But the popular passion for sea power was still more deeply rooted. The desire for national unity had been followed by an equally strong craving for national

expansion. For several years after the establishment of the empire, Bismarck and others worked hard at its internal consolidation—witness, among other things, the codification of all the conflicting laws of Germany, a gigantic work lasting nearly thirty years, to which only German heads were equal. And no

sooner had the imposing edifice of the Reich been fairly riveted within and without than the national energy began to seek an outlet in the creation of a Germany beyond the sea. For years Bismarck had been indifferent, and, indeed, positively averse, to colonial adventure; but at last he could no longer resist a popular impulse which was rapidly

**Colonies
of the German
Empire**

growing in strength. The result was that, within a year or two of this new departure, in 1884, Germany found herself included in the ranks of the colonial Powers, with territories in Africa, New Guinea, and the Pacific Archipelago aggregating an area five times the size of her empire in Europe, though nine-tenths of this area is in Africa.

To this, some years later, in 1897, Germany added a ninety-nine years' "lease" of a 200-square mile foothold at Kiaochau, on the coast of China, whither the kaiser's sailor brother, Prince Henry, was despatched as the menacing apostle of the "mailed fist," with this sentence from his Majesty ringing in his ears: "Imperial power means maritime power, and maritime power and Imperial power are mutually interdependent, so that one cannot exist without the other."

Germany may thus be said to have become an oversea Power without becoming a colonial one in the British sense. It was wittily and truly said that France had colonies but no colonists; Germany, colonists but no colonies; while England had both colonies and colonists. It was too late in the day, as indicated by the world's clock, when Germany entered the colonial field, for by this time all the available waste spaces of the earth had already been appropriated by other Powers, especially England. What she wanted was to found a new Germany, a new Fatherland across the sea for the accommodation of those vast numbers of her surplus sons who had hitherto mi-

**Vain Search
for a New
Fatherland**

grated to America and other Anglo-Saxon lands; but it soon became apparent that none of the African territories which had now fallen to her were at all suitable for this purpose.

They were all sub-tropical, and fitted only to be plantation, not agricultural, colonies. Very small was the total number of Germans who went to seek their fortunes in Germany's "colonies," and even of these a large proportion were govern-

ment officials employed to administer the protectorates without having first learned from us the very necessary art of ruling native races. The brusque manners of Prussian policemen and the brutal methods of some German drill-sergeants were unsuited to the black tribes of the Kamerun and Damaraland. Rebellion was frequent, and even the German army, which boasted itself to be the best in Europe, was for several years powerless to put down a native rising in South-West Africa involving the loss of thousands of German lives and millions of money.

After this experience, shame and remorse overtook those Germans who had sneered at our own protracted struggle with the Boers. Attracting few or no colonists in the ordinary sense of the term, those German protectorates on the whole have never ceased to be a financial burden to the Imperial Government, and yet their existence and the necessity of defending them continued to be one of the chief arguments in the logic-armoury of the Chauvinists and the Pan-Germanists for the strengthening of the Imperial fleet.

**Germany's
Bid for
First Place**

These Pan-Germanists deserve more than a passing notice, seeing that, in a sense, they play that part in German political thought which the advocates of a united Germany did during the period between 1815 and 1870. Their organisation, the "All-Deutscher Verband," or Pan-German League, corresponds to, and is the complement of, the "Flottenverein." According to its statutes, it "has for object the revival of German nationalistic sentiment all over the earth, preservation of German thought, ideals, and customs in Europe, and across the ocean, and the welding into a compact whole of the Germans everywhere." The official anthem of these Pan-Germans is: "Deutschland, Deutschland über Alles, Ueber Alles in der Welt."

In charging down on the French at Waterloo, the Scots cried: "Scotland for ever!" In charging down on the whole world after Sedan, the Germans shouted: "Deutschland *everywhere*!" Prince Bülow once gave the toast: "The King first in Prussia; Prussia first in Germany; Germany first in the world!" And, saying so, he pretty well expressed the creed of the Pan-Germanists. The emperor, too, on the twenty-fifth anniversary of the Reich, delighted their hearts by declaring: "Out of the German Empire a world-

GERMANY IN OUR OWN TIME

empire has arisen. Everywhere, in all parts of the earth, thousands of our countrymen reside. German riches, German knowledge, German activity, make their way across the ocean. The value of German possessions on the sea is some milliards of marks. Gentlemen, the serious duty devolves on you to help me to link this greater German Empire close to the home-country, by helping me, in complete unity, to fulfil my duty also towards the Germans in foreign parts."

But while thus voicing the splendid aims of the Pan-Germanists, the emperor and his Government have never recognised their activity to the same extent as in the case of the "Flottenverein," and for the reason that the propaganda of the "All-Deutscher Verband" is still beyond the pale of practical politics.

There are now about 94,000,000 of German-speaking men in the world, and of these only 65,000,000 live in Germany itself. The rest are divided between Austria-Hungary, 12,000,000; Switzerland, 2,320,000; Russia, Baltic Provinces, etc., 2,000,000; various other European

Proposals of Teutonic Utopians countries, 1,130,000; United States and Canada, 11,500,000; South America, 600,000; Asia, Africa, Australia, 400,000.

But how, then, do the Pan-Germanists propose to bring all these widely-scattered Teutons into a common fold? In what respect does Pan-Germanism differ from Zionism, which aims at the repatriation of the Jews, or, at least, at their collection from all the countries of Europe and agglomeration into a new Semitic nation with a Rothschild or a Hirsch for their ruler? Broadly speaking, the Teutonic Utopians propose:

First, an economic alliance with all countries in Europe inhabited by Germanic peoples, such as Austria, Switzerland, Holland, Belgium, Luxemburg. This economical alliance will lead to political union for defensive and offensive purposes.

Secondly, the formation of a Central European Customs Union, aimed primarily against England and the United States, and secondarily against Russia.

Thirdly, the union of all the Germanic peoples—Low and High Germans—in one central Germanic Confederation. As part of this policy, Deutschthum across the seas is to be reclaimed. Out of transmarine Deutschthum a greater Germany is to arise. The only way in which the

Government has hitherto shown its practical sympathy with the aims of the Pan-Germanists has been to pursue a root and branch policy of Germanisation within the empire itself—with the French of Alsace-Lorraine, the Danes of Schleswig, and, above all, with the Poles of Prussian Poland, where, by a merciless

Dangerous and Unpractical Dreamers

process of expropriation and other forms of compulsion, the Slavs have been placed under the Teutonic steam-roller. Otherwise, the Government has held aloof from the agitation of the Pan-Germanists as from the propaganda of unpractical and dangerous dreamers, though it has been said that what the professors think to-day will be espoused by the practical politicians of to-morrow.

At the same time, it is well to remember that both the "All-Deutscher Verband" and the "Flottenverein" are rooted in the undeniable fact that the limits of the present German Empire are too narrowly drawn for the size of its population as well as for its importance and its aspirations. In fact, both these propagandist leagues may be said to incorporate that restless spirit, that ever-growing passion for national expansion, that hungering after "fresh woods and pastures new," which can scarcely fail to bring the German people into fierce struggle-for-life competition, if not, perhaps, into actual conflict, with other nations.

Those nations have to reckon with the fact that Germany, which, up to 1884, merely was a Continental Power, has now become a Colonial one, and aims at also being a "Weltmacht," or World-Power, in the sense that Great Britain is such.

"Without the consent of Germany's ruler," said the kaiser proudly, "nothing must happen in any part of the world"—and thus he explained what is meant by saying that Germany has become a "Weltmacht"—a Power that must be

Germany as Britain's Rival at Sea consulted before the other European Powers can come to any agreement with regard, say, to Morocco, China,

or other oversea "spheres of interest." It was to lend emphasis to her voice in such consultations, and protect her dealings with the markets of the world, that Germany thought it necessary to create a navy commensurate with her interests as a "Weltmacht"—a navy which, though at first merely intended for

coast defence, gradually assumed a battleship build for offensive warfare if need be, and at last grew to such formidable proportions that the British Government of Sir Henry Campbell-Bannerman, at the second Hague Conference in 1907, felt compelled to propose to Germany a mutual arrest of naval armaments and

Germany's Rise from Poverty

their restriction to the ratio of two to one. It is needless to say that this proposal was negatived by Germany on the ground of the inexorable "logic of facts." The truth is that Germany has become our most formidable naval rival because she had in the meantime also become our most dangerous commercial rival. Our supremacy on the sea, which we had won at Trafalgar, was still undisputed; but, on the other hand, our monopoly of the markets of the world had begun to crumble soon after Sedan.

Having vanquished the French in the field of war, the victors of Sedan set themselves to outstrip the British at the arts of peace, and it was not long before the cry arose in this country that they were beginning to do so. Ten years after Sedan, Germany adopted a moderate protective tariff, and, whether as a consequence or not, in a few years the country became transformed. From being one of the poorest of Continental states, Germany became the richest, and, in some respects, richer even than England. Let us take a few facts and figures.

In 1882, two years after the adoption of protectionism, British shipping through the Suez Canal was over 4,000,000 tons; in 1906 it had risen to 8,500,000, or a trifle over 100 per cent. increase. In 1882 German shipping was 127,000 tons; in 1906, 2,250,000, an increase of about 1,700 per cent. In 1882 England owned 81 per cent. of all shipping passing through the Canal; in 1906 the percentage had sunk to 63. In 1882 Germany owned only 2½

Shipping Enterprise in Germany

per cent., but in 1906 this had risen to over 16 per cent. Again, the Germans proudly point to the fact that one of their shipping lines—the "Hamburg-America"—has now become the greatest in the world, far surpassing the nearest of its British rivals in the extent of its operations and the number and tonnage of its ships. The capital of the company exceeds £5,000,000, its employees exceed 18,000, and its ocean-going fleet

numbers 149 vessels, with a tonnage of over 725,000. In addition, there is a swarm of river vessels and tugs, with a tonnage of nearly 150,000. The entire fleet is valued at £7,000,000. There are fifty regular passenger and cargo liners, calling at over 300 harbours. In the United States alone the company employs 2,000 agents. Furthermore, ships of the Hamburg Line are trading now in waters which until quite recently were regarded as British preserves—for example, in Indian, Chinese, and Australian seas, and even in the Persian Gulf.

According to one of our own consular reports for 1906, the general economic improvement in Germany had continued steadily, and "attained a hitherto unprecedented height." In "most trades the only subject of complaint was the scarcity of workmen."

The excess of Germany's exports over her imports has been growing rapidly. Dividing the last twenty-five years into five-yearly periods, the average excess of exports over imports of manufactures, as shown in this return, is given for each period in the following table:

NET EXPORTS OF MANUFACTURES FROM
UNITED KINGDOM AND GERMANY.

	United Kingdom Million £	Germany Million £	Excess of U.K. over G. surplus Million £
1882-86	136.5	51.2	85.3
1887-91	138.4	57.3	81.1
1892-96	110.5	57.5	53.0
1897-01	110.5	77.6	33.9
1902-06	138.1	113.1	25.0

Thus, it will be seen that the lead of £85,300,000 previously enjoyed by the United Kingdom has steadily dropped till it amounted to no more than £25,000,000. But corrected estimates tend to show that, as an exporter of manufactured goods, Germany is now within £15,000,000 of the United Kingdom.

It is on the strength of these official figures that the Hohenzollern Empire has been pronounced by an expert writer—Mr. Ellis Barker, author of "Modern Germany"—to be "at present by far the wealthiest state in Europe. Germany and the individual states composing it have a very large national debt, but against that debt they possess very considerable assets. Of these the Prussian state railways alone, which earn a profit of from seven to

eight per cent., would suffice to pay off the whole of the indebtedness of the empire and of all the individual states." Another indication of national wealth and prosperity is the fact that between 1885 and 1905 the German state insurance societies paid to about 19,000,000 workers, male and female, about £256,000,000 on account of illness, accident, infirmity, and old age.

In this connection be it remarked that no other country has essayed and accomplished so much for the welfare of her working classes as Germany. Under the old emperor she took the lead in the attempt to solve modern social problems by means of state legislation, thus inaugurating a sort of state Socialism in some beneficiary fields; while William II. also hastened to make his mark as a saviour of society by summoning an international labour conference, and in Germany itself full effect was given to its recommendations by a measure for the amendment of the Industrial Code.

All this is true. Under Protection—in consequence of it, as some maintain; in spite of it, as others aver—Germany has grown to be the wealthiest country in Europe. In the opinion of many she is also the best governed country in Europe, in the sense that she enjoys a government best adapted to her special needs and circumstances; yet we are confronted by the puzzling facts that for every Socialist in England there are four in Germany, and that social democracy, the party of extreme discontent, is stronger in Germany than anywhere else in the world.

At the election to the first Reichstag in 1871 only three per cent. of the total votes had been given to the Socialists, and by 1881 this percentage had risen to 6·12 with a poll of 312,000. By 1890 the percentage had further bounded up to 19·74 with a poll of 1,427,300; while at the election of 1903 the percentage was 31·71, or well on to a third of the whole—the Socialists having secured 3,010,771 out of a total poll of 9,495,586—a percentage of 37·71. Numerically, they were thus by far the strongest of the eight or ten parties among which the 397 seats in the Reichstag are divided. Of these seats they only secured 82, but according to the law of strict proportional representation they ought to have had about 130. The development of social democracy belongs to the history of the empire

proper, but here at least it may be said that its members—formerly, in 1903, nearly a third of the whole electorate—are the men whom the emperor has repeatedly denounced as "a band of fellows not worthy to bear the name of Germans," and "enemies to the divine order of things; men without a Fatherland."

Socialists Routed at the Polls It was with the help of these "Vaterlandslose Gesellen" that the Clericals, in 1907, threw out a demand for £400,000 for the perfection and development of South-West Africa, and on this issue the Government appealed to the German people, who were told that the new General Election was to decide whether Germany was to remain merely a Great Power in Europe, or whether she was also to become a World-Power. The reply of the people was decisive, and the Government got a working majority. The Socialists suffered a sort of *débâcle*. They returned to the Reichstag shorn of about half their strength—with 43 seats instead of 82, although, out of a total of 11,262,800 votes—the highest number ever yet given in the empire—they had polled 3,259,000, or only about 29 per cent., instead of their previous 32 per cent.

Nevertheless, the election was held to furnish clear evidence that the ambition to make Germany a "Weltmacht" and an oversea Power was no longer confined to the emperor, the "Flottenverein," and the Pan-German League, but that it had also permeated the great mass of the German people. It was held to show that the working population of Germany had deliberately and emphatically endorsed the economic policy which benefits the producer.

It was further held to prove that, however bad the general state of agriculture in Germany, it was at least decidedly better than in Free-Trade England. The German people had begun to grow tired of a party which was in the

The Greed of the Socialists main one of mere opposition and negation—a party as innocuous as it was noisy. The Socialists now appeared in the

light of those who, the more they get, the more they want. "What do they want?" inquired the Birmingham brassworkers, when they went over to inquire into the condition of the German workman. "They seem to have everything cheap, and we don't know what they are agitating for." It was seen that the poor in Germany

were not becoming poorer but richer. Socialism was being overcome by social prosperity. Its decrepitude was held to be due to the fact that Germans are guaranteed high wages by their tariff, that Germany is advancing with giant strides in wealth, comfort, and prosperity, while surrendering none of the noble ideas of

A Period of Intellectual Stagnation

duty, faith, and obedience upon which the old emperor and Bismarck built up the empire. In fact, the material prosperity of Germany—side by side with, and partly as a result of, her militarism, which supplied her trade, industry, commerce, and agriculture with labour at once disciplined and intelligent—had begun to assume such proportions as to throw all the other phases of the national life into the shade. Militarism and money-making and materialism have absorbed all the best energies of the nation, and left it thus comparatively poor and unproductive in the various intellectual walks of life.

An American writer of German origin, Wolf von Schierbrand, is pretty near the mark when he says: "There is an astonishing uniformity of mediocre ideas in modern Germany, with little of that daring flight of thought, that love of speculative philosophy, little of that poetical sentiment, which the world was wont to consider a special province of the German mind. There has been at work a process of mental levelling down. This prevailing sameness, this dearth of genius—although it cannot be denied that it is coupled with a great increase in hard common-sense and a practical turn of mind—can be traced all through German literature, art, and science of to-day. Since the close of the Franco-German War no really great poet, author, artist or scientist has arisen in Germany. Nearly all her great names antedate that war. This, I believe, is in part owing to the influence of military training on the

Politics Before Intellect

mind of the nation at the formative period of life." But, apart from this, the mind of the nation is absorbed in its material development, its expansion, and is far more concerned with the problems of politics than with those of intellect and art. It was the same with ourselves during our Civil War and Commonwealth period, when our literature was only saved from being one exclusively of political pamphlets by a "Paradise Lost." But the

German of the empire has not yet produced even a Klopstock, not to speak of a Milton, and as for Goethes and Schillers they are sadly to seek.

In an up-to-date "History of German Literature," by Edward Engel, he pronounces this to be "the first literature in the world," a judgment which can only be described as springing from the madness of national self-conceit wilfully blind to the fact that a literature with a Shakespeare at its head can never be relegated to a second rank. And then, as regards France, Germany has supplanted her as the leading, because the most powerful, nation on the Continent. The centre of political gravity has now been shifted from the Seine to the Spree. But Berlin is still far behind Paris as a "ville lumière," a centre of intellectualism, literature, art, and all the social graces; and one capital can still securely smile at the clumsy efforts of the other to add to the oak-leaves of a frowning Mars the laurels of an effulgent Apollo. Imperial Germany has now become a "Weltmacht," but it has not yet produced a "Weltliteratur," or anything like it.

Germany in the Field of Literature

During the last thirty years the number of new books published in Germany has, in round numbers, increased from 10,000 to about 30,000 per annum, but very few of these were ever heard of outside the Fatherland. It is useless for the Germans themselves to contend that this is more owing to the ignorance and indifference of outsiders than to the comparative worthlessness of their books, because literature is a ware, like any other commodity, which will readily find its level and its market wherever there is a desire—and it is a universal one among civilised nations—to enjoy the newest masterpieces of the human mind. In the field of literature, Germany's imports far exceed her exports, and, indeed, the latter are almost nil.

As between England and Germany, the balance of literary trade is immensely in favour of the former, and the same may be said of France. Shakespeare alone is far more frequently staged in Germany than any other dramatist, native or foreign. Imperial Germany has certainly produced some talented playwrights, and men like Sudermann, Hauptmann, Blumenthal, Von Schöthan, Heyse, Hirschfeld, Lubliner, Halbe, and others; but most of them have sought their inspiration from the mysticism of Tolstoi, the pessimism of

Ibsen, the pruriency of Paris, or the rowdy-dowdy romanticism of which Herr von Wildenbruch, who may be described as the Bard of the House of Brandenburg, is the most stilted exponent. For the rest, the German drama of to-day tends to be heavy in ethical, political, and other aims, at the expense of pure art. At the same time it must be conceded that the theatre, which is a subsidised institution in all German states, has an educational value hitherto denied to the British people.

What has been said of the drama must also be applied to fiction in general, and also to poetry, of which the quality is almost in inverse ratio to the volume of its output. History has always been a congenial subject in Germany, but few of her historical writers have a style; and of them in general—though there are some exceptions—it may be remarked what Macaulay said of Niebuhr, that he was “a man who would have been the finest writer of his time if his talent for communicating truths had borne any proportion to his talent for investigating them.” In the field of theology, Germany is far ahead of England

Religion's Place in Germany with its criticism and its development of dogma in the light of science, while the religious life of the nation might be summed up by saying that in no country of Europe is there so much natural piety and belief in God, combined with so little church-going, as in Germany, especially among the educated classes. It is true that the kaiser himself sets an example of the strictest Lutheran faith; but then his Majesty has, on countless occasions, committed himself to the doctrine of divine right, of his being the German vice-regent of the Almighty, “our Ally at Rossbach,” and he has had to live up to it.

Asserting himself to be intimate with the counsels of the Almighty, the emperor claims to be no less acquainted with the canons of art, and hence it is interesting to learn from him, in his capacity as “Kunstherr,” as distinguished from “Kriegsherr,” that German sculpture is ahead of the rest of Europe. Perhaps the greatest museum of plastic art in Berlin is the open-air Siegesallée, in the Thiergarten, which is now lined on both sides with two and thirty marble statues of his Majesty's heroic Hohenzollern ancestors, as chiselled by the leading German sculptors under the general direction of their chief, Reinhold Begas. This imposing

display of historical statuary is known to the caustic Berliners as the “Sea of Marmora,” but is well worth seeing for all that. “This I can already tell you,” the kaiser said when feasting all these creative artists after the inauguration of their work, “the impression which the Avenue of Victory makes upon foreigners

The Kaiser as an Art Critic is quite overpowering; on all sides a vast respect is manifested for German sculpture. . . . It shows that the Berlin school of sculptors can hardly have been excelled in the time of the Renaissance.” But if we take the emperor as our critical guide through the present realms of German pictorial art, the judgment is much less favourable.

The newest tendency is towards realism, as represented by the “Secessionists”—from routine and the old regime, from the old and accepted schools of painting in Germany. Drawing their inspiration from Arnold Böcklin, a Swiss by birth, these “Secessionists”—who point to Lenbach as an exponent of their principles in the domain of portraiture—have aimed at creating a new and distinctive school of German art, freed from the mannerism of the past—serious, sincere, truthful.

This they aim at, and yet to the kaiser they are an odious, degenerate race, whose productions merit only proscription at the hands of the Government. “If civilisation,” said the emperor, “is going to fulfil its entire mission, it must penetrate down to the lowest classes of the people. This it can only do when art bears a hand, when art elevates, instead of herself descending into the gutter.” As gutter-artists, the kaiser, in his capacity of “Kunstherr,” denounces the “Secessionists.” What his Majesty wants is not realism, but idealism—as well in art as in literature, and even the present tendency of the latter is in a direction fatal to reverence for traditional ideals, divine

Germany First in Science right claims, and all the rest of it. German literature is at present in a very troubled, transitional state, and therefore it bulks not largely before the eyes of Europe. But it is otherwise in the field of science, where Germany easily holds foremost rank. From their very nature and mental composition the Germans are far more fitted to shine as scientists than as litterateurs—their very language being against them in the latter respect—and

even their soldiering draws its strength and brilliancy from the fact that it is of the scientific kind. Scientific students from all countries, who used to crowd for illumination to France, now flock to Germany, where a world-wide reputation was won for her by sons like Helmholtz, Haeckel, Virchow, Buelow, Koch, Langenbeck, Tirkel, Czermak,

The Germans Not a Nation of Thinkers Bergmann, Bunsen, and a host of others. In fact, it may be said that science and soldiering

are the only two things that a Briton may study better in Germany than in his own country—those two subjects, and also music, in respect of which the Germans retain their proud pre-eminence both as creators and performers, though Imperial Germany has not yet produced another Wagner, whose genius was rooted in the period preceding the rise of the Reich.

As for the Press it may truly be described as poor and paltry by comparison with that of other nations—lacking in independence, influence, enlightenment, and political power. A daily newspaper is by no means so necessary to a German as it is to a Briton, a Frenchman, or an American. Mr. Ellis Barker is pretty near the mark when he writes: "The general intelligence and culture of a nation may be measured by the Press, which appeals to all, and which reflects the national mind as in a mirror; and I think that no educated German will contradict me when I state that the whole Press of Germany—dailies, weeklies, monthlies—is not only vastly inferior to the British Press, but is quite unworthy of the intelligence of a cultured nation. The German Press is a century behind the English Press, and the low standard of the whole German Press shows that the German nation is not a nation of thinkers."

This may sound paradoxical of a nation which has produced so many thinkers; but, to a great extent, it is true, on the principle that the exceptions prove the rule. In no country of Europe are there so few illiterates or so much book-learning as in Germany, and yet the average Englishman or American may be said to be a better educated man than the average German. On a peace footing Germany's standing army is about 600,000 men; while the standing army of German educationalists of all kinds numbers no less than 300,000. Germany has now twenty-

Germany's Educational Standard

two universities, which teach about 40,000 students, or more than three times the number of thirty years ago, so that she is now suffering from academic over-production—what the emperor deplored as an ever-increasing and useless "proletariat of passmen." And all their professors are so omniscient.

Gott weiss viel,
Doch mehr der Herr Professor;
Gott weiss Alles,
Doch er—Alles besser!

While it may be owned that Germany is the *most* educated nation in the world, it is, nevertheless, a long way from being the same as *best* educated. To cram the head does not carry with it that development of character which is perhaps the primary, and certainly the higher, aim of English education. It all lies in the difference between *wissen* and *wollen*, between *kennen* and *können*. The general tendency of education, military training, etc., in Germany is to make machines of men, and the thinking power of machines is not high.

Germany is far ahead of this country in technical education; and yet, says an expert: "It is not without cause that the best engineers in the world are the practically trained English engineers, although their theoretical knowledge is small as compared with their inferior German competitor."

Where Great Britain Leads Germany

According to the same authority "the chief practical value of the German schools consists, not in the knowledge disseminated, but in the discipline instilled. . . . It cannot be too often and too loudly asserted that Germany has become great and powerful—not through her education as synonymous with knowledge, but through her discipline. National co-operation, the co-ordination of all the national forces, which is developed to a greater extent in Germany than in any other country, has proved stronger than individualism, which squanders the national forces in constant internecine warfare. . . . Indeed, I venture emphatically to affirm that Germany, with all her schools and universities, and with her army of 300,000 teachers, is a far less intelligent and far less cultured nation than is the British nation."

That is perfectly true; and it is equally true that, in spite of all her "Bildung" and book-learning, and splendid achievements in the field of science and literature,

GERMANY IN OUR OWN TIME

Germany is still a very long way behind England in respect of that general something which we call civilisation. No Englishman can live long in Germany without feeling that he has come to a country where material and social refinement, manners, customs, and

How War Has Retarded Civilisation

all the other graces of civilised life are at a decidedly lower level than in his own; and that in fact the Germans of to-day are only at about the same stage of development as were the English of Queen Elizabeth. That, however, is due to no inherent incapacity in the Germans to take on as good a coat of civilisation as ourselves, but simply to the fact that circumstances have been far less favourable to them than to us.

War is anything but a civilising agency, and the Germans hitherto may be said to have always been at war. So have we, for the matter of that; but while we have always contrived to wage our wars outside our own country, the poor Germans have generally had to submit to the devastation and depopulation of their own. It was a frequent remark of Bismarck that Germany had not yet recovered from the effects of the Thirty Years War, which is said to have reduced her population from 16,000,000 to less than 5,000,000. And then her other principal war waged within her own borders—the Seven Years War—the wars with the French kings and Napoleon, and the campaigns with Denmark and Austria, only afford us matter for astonishment that the civilisation of

Germany should be so high as it really is. But her forty years' period of peace and material prosperity since her last great struggle with France has already done wonders for her. The German race is still almost original in its vigour; it is a rough diamond in the mine of European nations; and its good qualities—its bravery, piety, sincerity, intelligence, perseverance, energy, and idealism, only require the setting of a higher civilisation, resulting from circumstances of a kindlier and more emollient sort than ever blessed it before, to make it the leading nation on the Continent of Europe, and the one most devoted to the arts of peace.

So far, the highest expression of the German character, since the disappearance of Bismarck, is to be found in the man who had the tremendous courage to sign the warrant for his dismissal—William II., at once his country's greatest ornament and asset. Of him, the American Ambassador at Berlin, Mr. Andrew D. White, who had every opportunity for studying his character, spoke truly when he said: "The young monarch who is now at the head of Ger-

Ideals of the German Emperor

many—original, yet studious of the great men and deeds of the past; brave, yet conciliatory; never allowing the mail-clad fist to become unnerved, but none the less devoted to the conquests of peace; standing firmly on realities, but with a steady vision of ideals—seems likely to add a new name to those who, as leaders of Germany, have advanced the world." CHARLES LOWE



KLEBER SQUARE, STRASBURG, WITH THE CATHEDRAL RISING IN THE BACKGROUND

LATER EVENTS IN GERMANY

THE expectation, so fully and freely expressed, that the decrease of the Socialist vote in 1907 was the beginning of a Socialist débacle, to be followed by the disappearance of the followers of Marx from German politics was soon seen to be without any real foundation. In 1912 the General Election brought the Socialists

The Socialist Advance

back to the Reichstag in larger numbers than ever. In that year, for the first time, the Socialists, with 110 members, were the largest party in the Reichstag, and at by-elections in the years that followed, additional victories were won, not only in the Imperial Parliament, but in the Parliaments of Prussia, Bavaria, Baden, Saxony, and Wurtemberg. In fact, the Social Democratic Party, with its multitude of daily and weekly newspapers, throughout Germany, and its perfect organisation, continues to grow at the expense of the Radicals and Liberals, and its only serious rival in the State is the Catholic Centre Party, which returned 90 members to the Reichstag in 1912, as against 105 in 1907. The failure of Bismarck's policy of repression is seen in both these cases, for the "Iron" Chancellor did his best to crush the organisation of the Catholics as he exerted himself later to destroy the rising power of the Socialists. It yet remains to be seen, however, whether the Socialists could preserve their unity should the Reichstag become a governing body, for with the responsibility of legislative power has gone discussion and schism amongst the Socialists in most European countries of importance.

The large falling off in the membership of the established Lutheran churches in Germany has been a remarkable fact for many years. This decline has been most noted in Berlin and in the chief cities of the empire, and has occasioned much comment and discussion. Several reasons are

Lutheranism Losing Ground

alleged in explanation of the number of persons who each year decline any longer to register themselves as members of the Lutheran Church, and the main grounds seem to be : (1) to avoid payment of a tax required of all such members ; (2) the spread of rationalism encouraged by the "higher criticism" of the German Protestant theologians. This decrease of membership in the State Church has not,

however, affected the general adhesion to Protestantism. For out of a total population close upon 65,000,000 in 1910, Protestants claimed nearly 40,000,000, as against 35,600,000 in 1905, out of a total population of 60,000,000. The Roman Catholic Church in Germany in the same period enlarged its membership from 20,327,913 to 23,721,453.

The steady and continuous increase in the expenditure on armaments was emphasised by the Defence Bills of 1913. While the Navy Estimates for that year showed no startling advance, the Army Bills raised the peace strength from 544,211 to 661,176, and added 4,000 officers, 15,000 non-commissioned officers, and 117,000 men to the Imperial Army. The changes in organisation were to be completed in 1915, and involved the huge expenditure of between £52,000,000 and £53,000,000 non-recurring, and £9,000,000 recurring. Altogether, the Budget for 1914 demanded £60,000,000 for the Army. No less than 5,400,000 fully trained men are available for the field in 1915. An ugly feature of the expenditure on armaments in Germany was the charge made in the Reichstag in

Expenditure on Armaments

1913, that Krupp's and other firms had their agents in Berlin whose business it was to bribe officials in the Admiralty and the War Office in order to obtain secret documents, and thereby gain advantage in competition over rival firms by the anticipation of orders. The charge was met by the appointment of a committee of inquiry, and following the report of this committee a number of officers were brought to trial and convicted. Amongst those thus convicted were the Secretary-Superintendent of the Ministry of War, and two directors of the firm of Krupp.

The advance in manufactures in Germany in recent years must not be overlooked. In 1905 the total value of exports was £285,942,241, and of exports to the United Kingdom £51,776,800. In 1912 the exports were of the value of £484,210,000 and the exports to the United Kingdom £70,048,152. When the growth of population in those years is considered, it is also to be noted that the growth has been in the urban districts. The number of towns with more than 100,000 inhabitants went up from 41 to 48 between 1905 and 1910.

EUROPEAN
POWERS
TO-DAY



V
HOLLAND
AND
BELGIUM

HOLLAND AND BELGIUM IN OUR OWN TIME

LIBERTY & PROSPERITY IN THE SMALL STATES

By Robert Machray, B.A.

A REVOLUTION in Brussels, not at first sight of a very formidable character, but symptomatic of a deep, widespread, pervasive feeling of dissatisfaction with existing conditions, brought about in 1830 a movement which, assuming a national aspect, resulted in the forcible dissolution of the union between Belgium and Holland. The Flemish people, who inhabited the North of Belgium, belonged to much the same branch of the great German family as the Dutch, and might be supposed to have greater sympathy with them than with the Walloons, who occupied the south of the country, and were of closer kin to the French than to the Teutons. But they were Roman Catholics, and the Dutch, for the most part, belonged to the Reformed Church—in itself a pronounced line of cleavage. Besides, the Dutch had not been politic; they had treated the Flemings with as little consideration as the Walloons. In fact, they had regarded all Belgium as inferior to Holland, and looked upon it as if it had been theirs by conquest.

If they had acted in a different spirit, Belgium and Holland might have been one country to-day. But the separation took place soon after the rising in Brussels, although the independence of Belgium was not acknowledged by Holland till nine years afterwards. Sometimes the union

of countries has proved a great benefit, as in the case of England and Scotland; at other times their divorce has been followed by real good to both, and this is what has happened with respect to Holland and Belgium. They are small states, yet they can show, area and population considered, a prosperity, a condition of general well-being, which can hardly be matched

in the history of the world. It is extremely doubtful if this could have been said if they had remained united. The religious antagonism would alone, in all probability, have prevented it. Holland is a country with a history of which any nation might well be proud.

**Holland's Brave
Struggle for
Independence**

It is a little country, yet a great one. As is often pointed out for the example of mankind, the Dutch have fought through several centuries a finer struggle for civil liberty and national independence than has been made by any other people.

The story of their long struggle against the might of Spain is so full of a stormy grandeur, an invincible heroism, a prodigal heaping-up of the elements which are best and noblest in human character, that the mere memory of them moves the heart and fills the soul with passionate emotion. The expression, the "soul of a people," is often used, though, perhaps, not always quite accurately; but if there is a people of whom it may be said truly, it is of this people of Holland. And as the soul of Holland was in days bygone, so it is to-day—hard and proud, money-loving and money-getting, no doubt at all, but above and beyond everything instinct with the spirit of patriotism, for which no sacrifice can be too great.

The supreme desire of the Dutch is to preserve their independence, to have their Holland their very own. It is this ideal which dominates their national life, and equally inspires the two parties, Liberals and Anti-Liberals or Anti-Revolutionists, which divide its political life. They have good reason for cherishing this ideal, and never more so than at the present time. For, from the international point of view,

the position of Holland is not exactly a happy one. There is the interesting question of the succession to the throne—interesting rather than difficult, for even if Queen Wilhelmina should have no heir a successor to the throne can be found in a prince, with the blood of the glorious House of Orange in his veins, who will

**Danger to
Holland's
Independence**

be in sympathy with Dutch aspirations. The danger to the independence of Holland goes much deeper than this.

The most marked feature of the history of these first years of the century is the growing antagonism between Britain and Germany. However much or little the fact may be realised, the fact remains, deplorable, menacing, incalculable as to result upon the world. The hope of all men of good will is that a struggle may be averted. No one can regard the question without the deepest anxiety; but the Dutch have special reason for thinking of it with foreboding; for Holland stands between England and Germany. But it is not Britain that Holland has any need to fear. The irritation produced in Great Britain by the expression of the pro-Boer sympathies of the Dutch during the South African War has passed away, most fair-minded Britons feeling that the Dutch could hardly have acted otherwise than they did in supporting to some extent their kin. Britain has no wish that Holland should be other than independent for ever.

But the same cannot be said with equal truth of Germany. Holland holds the mouth of the Rhine, the greatest German river—"the Rhine, the Rhine, the German Rhine," as the song puts it. There has long been a school of German political thought which maintains that the possession of the whole river, particularly of its outlets, is necessary to Germany, and never ceases to urge that, seeing also that the Dutch are of Germanic stock, Holland should be occupied by Germany. Holland, too,

**Holland
in Fear of
Germany**

holds the great ports of Amsterdam and Rotterdam, arguments that further reinforce the German claim. With this

extended sea front, what might not Germany become! Does not "manifest destiny" point this way? The bulk of Germans, it should be said, listen to these flattering voices as if they heard them not, but the Dutch are hearing them always, and are haunted by them. If they have no serious fears, for the time being, of an


unprovoked armed annexation of their country by Germany, they dread the employment of subtler methods, commercial and diplomatic, which would bring about its gradual Germanisation. And again, at a crisis in European history, when the sacredness of treaties has been shown to be a fiction, should a war break out between Britain and Germany, what guarantee has Holland that her territory might not suddenly be seized by Germany as a base for operations against Britain? It is questions like this, arising out of the present international situation, that disturb Holland and cause great searchings of heart.

The Dutch were never more determined than at the present time to preserve their identity as a people, and apart from the menace which hangs over them they go about their business at home and abroad in their quiet, easy, immemorial way. They remain, as they have been for many generations, great men of business; their wealth and commerce now grow from year to year; they have got their vast colonial empire well in hand, but their money flows into many lands—it was the capital they supplied that in large measure built the railways of the United States. Amsterdam is one of the banking centres of the world, besides being its diamond mart. The country, with its 2,000 miles of canals and 1,800 miles of railways, presents a pleasing spectacle of well-ordered life, with features of its own which differentiate it from that of every other land.

There is a spirit of peace, of rest, of quiet about it, especially in the interior, that is looked for in vain elsewhere. The old order changes in Holland as in other countries, but with a measured tranquillity all its own. Its windmills, its level, highly cultivated fields, its dreamy homesteads, the picturesque dress of its slow-moving, much-smoking peasants still endure—the delight of the contemplative and such as love not the fret and fuss and hurry of these times of ours, and the joy of the artist. In its great cities, such as The Hague, Amsterdam, and Rotterdam, the old-world atmosphere is scarce to be found save in some old houses and in the churches; in them the modern spirit prevails, as might be expected. Yet, speaking generally, the peace of the land is so great that nothing could have been more appropriate than the building of the world's Palace of Peace, where arbitration takes



THE TOWN OF UTRECHT SHOWING THE OLD CANAL



VIEW IN LEYDEN, WHICH STANDS ON BOTH SIDES OF THE OLD RHINE



ROTTERDAM, THE CHIEF SEAPORT OF THE NETHERLANDS

SCENES IN THE TOWNS OF THE NETHERLANDS

the place of war, in the midst of this people. Holland is a land of liberty. Though predominantly a Protestant country, any Dutchman is free to worship God according to his conscience. Commercially, Holland believes in Free Trade, and has fattened upon it. Nothing, perhaps, gives better evidence of its prosperity than the

No Poor Rate in Holland fact that it has doubled its population since the middle of last century. Its population is now considerably over six millions, in 1849 it was about three. Another notable fact which witnesses to the same thing is that there is no poor rate in Holland. Of course there are poor people, but they are cared for, as a rule, by religious societies and private charities.

Its political system is simple. At the head of the State is the sovereign; then there are two Chambers for legislation. The monarchy is constitutional and hereditary; the Parliament, known as the States-General, consists of a First Chamber of fifty members elected for nine years—one-third retire every three years—by the provinces; and of a Second Chamber of 100 members, elected for four years by all male citizens of twenty-five and upwards who pay a direct tax to the State, or are householders, or own boats of twenty-four tons, or have a salary of about £23 yearly, or show evidence that they can support their families. This means that about one-third of the male citizens have votes.

For many years Dutch politics were largely influenced by questions arising out of their colonial empire, but this phase has passed away. Recently the most important measure passed into law is the Electoral Reform Law of 1896, which regulates the franchise as mentioned above. The Dutch attach great importance to education, which is compulsory for children from six to thirteen years of age. Their schools and universities are well organised; their primary schools are practically free. The

Holland's Up-to-date Education

Dutch are fine linguists, perhaps because their own language can take them but a little way in Europe or elsewhere.

It is quite a common thing for Dutchmen of any position at all to speak fluently and correctly French, German, and English.

Belgium enjoys one great advantage over its northern neighbour, for its neutrality is guaranteed by the Treaty of London, November 15th, 1831, by Austria, Russia, Great Britain, and Prussia.

No country has made greater strides during recent years than Belgium in wealth and industrial development, thanks to its natural resources, but thanks also to the fact of its neutrality being guaranteed—a fact of which the Belgians sometimes are inclined to lose sight. During the Franco-German War, Britain prevailed upon both combatants to affirm afresh the neutrality of this little country, which otherwise might have been affected very adversely.

Under the ægis of the protecting Powers, Belgium has had full opportunity for self-development, and it must be admitted that it has taken every advantage of it. No one can visit Belgium without being struck by its prosperity, whether as regards the purely agricultural section, with its vast number of small holdings all in the highest state of cultivation, or as regards the manufacturing part, the centre of which lies about Liège, with its huge ironworks and other highly successful industries. And it must not be forgotten that infected as Belgium is with the modern spirit, it is a country with a rich historic past still living and actual in

Franchise Liberties in Belgium

such cities as Ghent and Bruges, and that, in the Ardennes, it can show scenes of loveliness and rare charm that appeal to all. Its magnificent cathedrals, with their splendid pictures, will always exercise some influence on Belgian life and character, though not, perhaps, in the exact direction its "Clericals" would prefer.

Belgium came into existence, as has already been stated, on its secession from Holland. By its constitution, framed in 1831, it is a constitutional, representative, and hereditary monarchy, legislative power being vested in the sovereign and two Houses of Parliament, the upper being known as the Senate, the lower as the Chamber of Deputies or Representatives. Several changes have been made in the constitution with respect to the franchise, the last being introduced by the law of December 29th, 1899. By this law the principle of manhood suffrage has been established, qualified, however, by the *suffrage universel pluriel*, and the proportional representation of minorities founded upon a somewhat complex system.

All citizens over twenty-five who have lived for one year in any given commune have one vote. But this is not all. They have an additional vote if, first, they are thirty-five years of age, married, with

HOLLAND AND BELGIUM IN OUR OWN TIME

legitimate offspring, and pay a tax of five francs (4s.) to the State; or, second, are twenty-five years of age and own immovable property to the value of £80, or have a corresponding income, or for two years have received £4 a year from Belgian State funds or from the national savings bank. But the Belgian can have yet another vote if, being twenty-five years old, he possesses a diploma of higher education, or has filled some public or even private position which implies this higher education.

No Belgian can have more than three votes. Both Houses of Parliament are chosen by this electorate. Senators are elected for eight years, most of them being elected by the general body of voters, and the rest by the provincial councils. The Deputies are elected for four years, in the proportion of one member to every 40,000 of the population, and number 116, one-half of whom retire every two years. The members of Parliament are paid indemnities, and get free passes over the railways.

Though Belgium has of recent years become an intensely democratic country, it is still, as will have been seen, a long

Belgium a Stronghold of Socialism way from the "one man, one vote" principle. Its present franchise is the result of a long and sometimes embittered

struggle which, apart from the Congo, practically includes the whole political history of the country. For a lengthy period after the foundation of the kingdom under Leopold I., power was held alternately by the Clericals, or Catholics, and the Liberals, or Anti-Catholics; it was much the same during the first twenty years of the present king, Leopold II. But 1886 saw the rise of a new party, that of the Socialists, and it is this party which has made Belgium democratic; though it did not become formidable much before 1893, it has since become a great power in the land. The state of parties may be best shown by quoting the election returns for 1912, when the Chamber was increased from 166 to 186 members. The Socialists won three seats and numbered 38, the Liberals lost two seats and numbered 43, while the Clericals came back with 101 instead of 87 seats. One Christian Democrat was returned as before. In the elections to the Upper House, in 1908, the Liberals lost five seats, of which the Socialists gained three, leaving the Catholics with 63 votes against the 47 of the combined opposition, or "Left." In 1895 the Catholics had two-thirds of the

votes in the Chamber. It is thus apparent that the "Right," or Catholics, are steadily losing ground; they draw their strength mainly from the Flemish provinces, while the parties forming the "Left" derive theirs from the Walloon provinces. The Catholics support religious education in the schools and

Clerical Control of Education universities, and the Church, paid by the State, is yet outside its control. The Liberals belong to the middle class and

the industrial portion of the community, and are, as it were, between two stools. The Socialists preach and uphold the doctrine of collectivism, and are strongest among the working classes. All parties of the Left unite against the Clerical control of education. But the battle wages most fiercely, as for many years past, round the franchise. In 1904 M. Feron, the leader of the Left, moved the abolition of "plural" voting in favour of universal suffrage, but was defeated. In 1906 all sections of the Left combined on a common programme, the two chief "planks" in it being reform of the franchise and compulsory education free from Church control. And the end is not yet.

Perhaps it should be said that almost the entire population of Belgium belongs to the Roman Catholic faith, but full religious liberty prevails, all denominations receiving grants from the national funds. The two racial divisions, Flemish and Walloon, continue to be marked by a difference of language. Nearly 3,000,000 in the north, the country of Flanders, speak Flemish only; while rather more than 2,500,000 in the south, the Walloon area, speak French only. About 1,000,000 Belgians speak both languages.

But it is the South chiefly that is industrial, that has the greatest wealth, that has made, and is making, Belgium what it is, and in the end it can hardly fail to establish its influence as supreme over the national life. In Southern Belgium the **Belgium A Catholic Country** standard of education is, on the whole, higher than in the North, as might be expected from the pressure of industrial competition. The higher branches of education are well provided for throughout the country; it is with respect to the primary schools that the trouble comes. Primary school education is compulsory in a way, but it is too much in the hands of the priests, who, naturally, are more or less reactionary.

But the chief fact in the contemporary history of Belgium is its wonderful industrial development; this has been helped by technical education, which is in an advanced state.

Belgium has now taken upon itself the responsibilities of a great colonial empire. In 1908 the Congo Free State ceased to be independent, the sovereignty over it being transferred from the King of the Belgians to the country. The area of the Congo is estimated at 802,000 square miles, and its population at from 14,000,000 to

30,000,000. The Congo State was constituted a sovereign country under Leopold II. in 1885 by the Berlin Conference. It was declared neutral, with free trade, and the natives were protected under special rules—rules which, there is only too much reason to believe, were not observed in actual practice.

As the Congo has been thrown open to all the world, there is little ground now to suppose that there will be a continuance of the atrocities perpetrated on the natives which shocked the conscience of mankind.

THE GRAND DUCHY OF LUXEMBURG

THE great world nowadays knows very little about this small country, but rather more than forty years ago its name was on the lips of everyone; for after the war between Prussia and Austria in 1866—which resulted in the decisive defeat of the

Luxemburg's Independence Guaranteed latter and a fresh grouping together of the German states—Napoleon III. sought "compensation" to France for the increased power of the former by attempting to buy the Grand Duchy from the King of Holland, who also was Grand Duke of Luxemburg. Prussia, however, stoutly resisted this scheme, and for a time the "Luxemburg Question," as it was called, filled the mind of diplomatic Europe with apprehensions of war. But the matter was finally settled by a conference of the Powers held in London in 1867, when it was agreed that the garrison Prussia had for many years maintained in the city of Luxemburg should be permanently withdrawn from its fortress, that the fortress itself should be dismantled and destroyed, and that the Grand Duchy should henceforth become in every sense an independent and sovereign State, with its neutrality guaranteed.

Another consequence, though not immediate, of this war was that a prince of the illustrious House of Orange-Nassau, from whom Prussia had taken the Duchy of Nassau, became Grand Duke of Luxemburg. His son, William, was the reigning sovereign from 1905 till his death in February, 1912. A nice point arose as to the succession to the throne, for the Grand Duke's children were all daughters, and, according to the Salic Law, the Grand Duchy should pass away from his family at his death. It was by this law that Luxemburg had ceased to belong to the

sovereigns of Holland, the older branch of the House of Orange, when Queen Wilhelmina succeeded William III. Like the Dutch, the "Luxemburgeois" have the fear of Germany before their eyes; they have no desire to lose their national identity in the German Empire, though they are willingly included in the German Zollverein for commercial purposes. Therefore, in July, 1907, their Parliament, or Chamber of Deputies, became a law unto themselves by solemnly declaring that the succession should devolve on the reigning Grand Duke's daughters and their descendants in order of birth, the Salic Law notwithstanding. This repeal of the Salic Law enabled the Grand Duchess Marie Adelaide to ascend the throne on the death of her father, Grand Duke William.

It is a very tiny state, this Grand Duchy, its area being just a trifle under 1,000 square miles, and its population in the year 1910 was 259,889. It is well governed by its Chamber which consists of fifty-three members, half of whom are elected every three years; it has no army to speak of, and its debt, mostly incurred in railway building, is a mere bagatelle. It is a prosperous little country, its mining and smelting industries bringing in much grist to the national mill; it is a happy little country, for its inhabitants, now that the German spectre is laid, are well content

A Country Happy and Prosperous with their lot; it is a beautiful little country, especially the northern half of it, which forms the south-east portion of that lovely land known as the Ardennes. There is no more interesting or romantic city than the capital, also called Luxemburg, which is remarkable alike for its natural beauty and strategic importance.

LATER EVENTS IN HOLLAND AND BELGIUM

HOLLAND

FEW things have happened in the Netherlands since 1909 to distract the inhabitants from their peaceful industry. An heir to the throne—Princess Juliana—was born on April 30th, 1909, to the common satisfaction of the nation; since the absence of any legal heir to the crown was regarded as a danger to the country. The general election of 1913 was of considerable interest, because of the Tariff Reform proposals on the part of the Conservatives. The result of the election showed a quite definite opinion in favour of retaining Free Trade, the Conservative Ministry was defeated, and the new Second Chamber consisted of 37 Liberals, 18 Socialists, and 45 Conservatives. Dr. Bos, the leader of the Liberals, was at once invited by the Queen to form a Ministry, and he offered three portfolios to the Socialists. But the latter, after consideration, refused to accept any posts in a Liberal Ministry, on the ground commonly taken by the Socialists throughout Europe, viz., that Social Democracy cannot be identified with any government alien or opposed to collectivism, and must wait till itself becomes a government. Dr. Bos, therefore, being unable to count on the support of the Socialists, felt constrained to give up the idea of forming a ministry, and M. Cort van der Linden became Prime Minister with a Cabinet of Civil Servants.

BELGIUM

KING ALBERT succeeded to the crown of Belgium on the death of his uncle, Leopold II., December 17th, 1909.

Politically the campaign for universal suffrage—or, rather, for an amendment of

the existing franchise laws so that the votes of all classes should be equal, and the additional academic and property franchises abolished—has been the chief interest since 1906; and 1914 saw the Conservatives still in power and the existing law unaltered. A general strike, for no industrial end but solely for the political purpose of obtaining universal suffrage on equal terms, was organised in 1913; but it failed to accomplish its object, chiefly because the Catholic trade unionists declined to take part. The Government, however, so far recognised the significance of the strike by appointing a general commission to consider the whole question of electoral reform.




ALBERT I. KING OF THE BELGIANS

The reorganisation of the army on the basis of universal compulsory service, commenced in 1909, and was carried a step further by the law of June, 1913. This law put the peace footing at 57,886 men, and the war footing at 340,000. Compulsory training was fixed at fifteen months or two years, according to the branch of the service, with two later periods of training, each one month, and five years in the reserve. The total expenditure on the Army for 1913-14 was estimated at £2,790,550. In 1909-10

it stood at £2,283,900. But it yet remains to be seen whether this increase of expenditure will suffice to meet the demands that follow universal military training.


The prosperity of Belgium, ascribed to the industry of its people and the high standard of technical education, is evidenced not only by the population—seven and a half millions on 11,373 square miles—but by the trade returns. In 1907 the imports were valued at £150,944,000, the exports at £113,924,000. In 1912 the imports were £191,320,375, and the exports £158,059,143.



GENERAL VIEW FROM MONT BLANC BRIDGE, SHOWING ROUSSEAU'S ISLAND




THE HANDSOME PLACE NEUVE, WITH EQUESTRIAN STATUE OF GENERAL DUFOUR



ANOTHER VIEW, SHOWING THE MONT BLANC RANGE IN THE DISTANCE

SCENES IN THE FAMOUS SWISS TOWN OF GENEVA



SWITZERLAND IN OUR OWN TIME

THE FREEST COMMUNITY IN THE WORLD

By Robert Machray, B.A.

THE general impression of Switzerland is coloured far too much by the notion that it is an ideal country in which to spend a most delightful holiday, be it for a long or short period, whether the season be summer or winter. Switzerland undoubtedly stands for all this, but there is a tendency to forget or lose sight of the fact that it stands for much more. This outside point of view, largely based in England on such beguiling announcements as "A Week in Lovely Lucerne for Five Guineas, or a Fortnight for Nine," is scarcely, if at all, modified when the tourist finds himself actually on the lake and sees its beautiful mountains around him or mirrored in its blue waters. Satisfied with his excursion and his experiences, he returns home, nor stops to think of, far less ponder, the story that lies behind all this enchantment.

He has heard of Tell and the tyrant Gessler, and the apple placed on the boy's head and pierced by the shaft from the father's bow; he has heard, probably, of one or two incidents in Swiss history of a romantic sort; but he catches scarce a glimpse of the truth that the smoothly gliding life of this land, no matter what aspect of it be considered—social, educational, political, religious, racial or commercial—is the result of some seven centuries of conflict and change. Indeed, it is a life so well ordered, so sweet in the working of all parts of the machinery that goes to complete it, so easy in its touch—the expression "pressure" in this

**What the
Tourist does
not learn**

case is quite inapplicable—on the individual, whether citizen of the republic or stranger within its gates, that our tourist is as serenely unconscious of it as he is of the "gentle influence" of a star.

The fault is not to be charged altogether to the tourist; it must be laid, in large measure, at the door of the Swiss

themselves, though from their point of view it is no fault at all, but rather their way of playing the game. They do everything they can to encourage the belief that their land is veritably the Playground of Europe, and so great is their success in this effort that vast numbers look

**Switzerland
the Playground
of Europe**

on Switzerland as the land of the charming tour, of the delightful holiday, rather than as the country of the Swiss, one of the most interesting peoples in the world, with a civilisation more highly developed, from the political standpoint, than that of any other nation on the planet. With the Swiss, business is business, and business with them takes on the form of the admirable exploitation of that marvellous beauty with which Nature has so richly and abundantly endowed their land. So they give the casual observer the impression that they are a nation of innkeepers and waiters who understand the art of "running" hotels in the most perfect manner possible, and that their sole aim in life is to act as showmen to the wondrous natural attractions of their country.

In one of the most amusing books of pure humour ever written, "Tartarin sur les Alpes," Alphonse Daudet makes his hero, the inimitable Tartarin of Tarascon, come to the conclusion that the whole of Switzerland is the concession, so to speak, of a gigantic and enormously clever and capable catering company who, commercially, take the utmost advantage of everything at their disposal—the rosy peaks of the great mountains, the white calm of the glaciers, the green slopes of the upland pastures, the deep blue of lakes, the rolling masses of cloud, the grandeurs of sunrise and sunset, the pretty chalets and picturesque peasants—all "worked" to perfection, apparently for the benefit of the sightseer, but in reality in the interests of the concessionaires, who

have skilfully brought to their aid the services of railways, steamers, guides—and the best hotels in existence, take them all in all. This conceit is certainly a pardonable one, for the exploitation of Switzerland by the Swiss is very well done indeed. Before passing from this phase of the Switzerland of our own time, a few facts

The Great Hotel Industry respecting the hotel "industry" may be quoted. In 1880 Switzerland possessed, in round figures, 1,000 inns with some 58,000 beds; in 1890, about 1,500 inns with 70,000 beds; in 1900, nearly 2,000 inns, with 105,000 beds, representing a capital of about 600,000,000 francs, or £24,000,000 sterling.

It must be remembered in this connection that the total area of the country is less than 16,000 square miles, of which almost a third is unproductive. The profits of successful hotel-keeping are notoriously large, and the stream of gold that pours into Switzerland annually, and all the year round—for somewhere in Switzerland it is always the "season"—cannot easily be measured, but it must be very great; though, of course, it varies from year to year owing to circumstances. For instance, the attractions offered by the Franco-British Exhibition held in London in 1908 sensibly reduced the volume of tourists into the country, as they did everywhere outside of England.

The Swiss are highly intelligent, particularly as to getting the most money out of anything; they have a keen eye to the main chance. This is especially true of their hotel-keeping. As an example of this, there may be noticed what has taken place with regard to their winter resorts, such as Davos, and other places of the same kind. Originally they were introduced to the world as specially suitable spots for the residence of consumptives, and great numbers of those suffering from lung affections did

Business Ability of the Swiss live in them with beneficial results. But such places are no longer the exclusive abodes of such people. On the contrary,

many hotels now announce that they will not admit consumptives. So soon as the Swiss grasped the fact that Davos, and resorts like it, could be made extraordinarily attractive as a field for winter sports, such as skating, tobogganing, skiing, and so on, to the strong and the hale, they turned their attention

forthwith to the strong and the hale. So the consumptive client takes a lower place. This is not altruism; but it is business—as an American might say. However, this is not to say that there is no place remaining for the consumptive, for there are admirable sanatoria at his command. Outside of them he is not "wanted" as he used to be.

Having said so much on this aspect of the Swiss, it is time to consider another, which has already been suggested. This little nation, with a population in 1911 of 3,788,900, drawn from three races—German, French and Italian—with different languages and religions, has developed the most perfect example of a pure democracy in being to be found on the globe. This is what the ordinary tourist does not know, for it does not press itself upon him. Never was or is there a land in which government was and is so little obvious. There is hardly even a policeman to be seen, nor are there any decorations worn by the citizens—a small point, but on the Continent significant of much. In this typically democratic state there are no classes, no caste, no nobility, no exclusive privileges. Even the president of this republic is not the head of the State in the same sense as is the President of the United States or of France; he is hardly more than *primus inter pares*, and his headship, such as it is, endures for a year only.

As has been well pointed out, the dread of the supremacy of any single man is one of the governing factors in the Swiss character. This is a country in which every man has as good a chance as another, though, to be sure, natural ability tells here as everywhere. All this has only come about gradually, and after long struggles, both external and internal. But it remains nothing less than the most extraordinary thing in the political history of mankind that this small state, with its mixture of rival races and religions, perched upon the mountains of Central Europe, hemmed in on all sides by great nations, should have become both in ideals and in fact the freest community in the world. Something of this it owes to the neutrality of the country, as indispensable to the general interest of Europe, having been guaranteed by the Treaty of Vienna, 1815, something, also, to the high state of education everywhere

SWITZERLAND IN OUR OWN TIME

prevalent, even elementary education being excellent. But the explanation, in the main, lies in the history and the character of the Swiss people, history and character acting and reacting on each other, as always. Though the story of Tell and the apple be a myth, like other stories of a similar kind resolved into fictions in the crucibles of scientific research, it has a heart of truth which survives all destructive scientific processes. It stands for the Swiss character; it expresses the soul of this people better than anything else. When the Forest Cantons came together against the Hapsburgs and the might of Austria, their struggle was for freedom—the right to live out their lives in their own way. Battle after battle did they fight, and battle after battle did they win, consolidating all the while their national character, which was based on patriotism, and fusing themselves incidentally more and more into one people.

They were, and long were, great soldiers, and not in Switzerland only; as has been finely said, they were willing to sell their swords, but never their freedom. The Helvetic Republic of 1798

Switzerland's War of Religion grew out of the old defensive league of the cantons, as oak from acorn. Present-day Switzerland, however, begins in that year of European unrest, 1848; but this beginning included all that had gone before in Swiss history. In that year the Swiss Confederation, then consisting of nineteen entire and six half cantons, was united for federal purposes under a constitution. A revised constitution came into force in 1874, and continues, with little change, in force at the present time. In 1900, when the principle in elections known as "proportional representation" was before the country, the nation decided against it.

Since the close of the Napoleonic epoch the struggles of Switzerland have been entirely internal. There was, at the close of the first half of last century, what may be called the War of Religion, in which the Protestants triumphed over the Catholics, and caused the dissolution of the Catholic league known as the Sonderbund; and, forty years later, there was a fight between the rival Churches in the Italian canton of Ticino—Tessin. But these are merely noted in this article to bring out the point that to-day Protestant and Catholic live at peace—there being

complete religious liberty—on the patriotic basis that Switzerland is greater and dearer than any Church. Apart from the religious conflict, and more important as determining the life to-day of the country, is the political struggle. The chief parties in the State are: the "Right," or Conservatives, whether Protestant or Catholic; the "Centre," or Liberals; the "Left," or Radicals; the "Extreme Left," or Socialists—divisions of political belief and opinion which now obtain more or less in all modern communities. In one aspect the great question before the Swiss for the last sixty years has been whether Switzerland is to be one federal state or a confederation of states—cantons—each of them a sovereign state; the same question, in fact, which the Civil War settled in the United States of North America.

From 1848 to 1872, the main political preoccupation of the Swiss was the establishment of a federal state which yet left a large amount of self-government to the cantons, a problem which was satisfactorily solved. The Federal State is supreme in matters of peace and war, in the making of treaties, in army affairs, posts and telegraphs, money issues, weights and measures, revenue, public works, patents, and other matters that affect the country as a whole; no canton can break away from the rest, but still each canton retains the power of making its own laws, apart from such subjects as appertain to the domain of the Federal government. From 1872 to the present time, the dominant note in Swiss politics is the direct rule of the people as distinguished from government by elected representatives, and as expressed by what are styled the "Referendum" and the "Initiative."

Under the Constitution of 1874, supreme legislative authority in the confederation is vested in two Chambers: a State Council of 44 members elected by the cantons—

How the People are Governed two for each canton and one for each of the half cantons, irrespective of their size or population; and a National Council of

167 deputies or delegates chosen by the whole Swiss people by manhood suffrage, one representative for every 20,000 of the population; these deputies are elected for three years. The two Chambers united form the Federal Assembly, which elects a Federal Council of seven members, who are not members of either Chamber, to

whom is deputed the chief executive authority. The President and Vice-President are selected from the Federal Council, which sits at Berne, the headquarters of the administration, and, by the way, the financial centre of the country. The Radicals have long controlled the government. At the elections to the

Safeguards to National Liberty

National Council in October, 1908, they were returned by a large majority, but their power has been tempered by the voice of the people as given through the media of the Referendum and the Initiative.

One of the astonishing things about Switzerland is that, though the Radicals are always in the majority at the elections, yet the people have often rejected Radical measures, thus showing a certain innate and invincible conservatism. As a matter of fact, the Conservatives, though in a minority, constitute a very large proportion of the population. By the Referendum any law passed by the legislature must be referred to the direct vote of the nation if a petition to that effect is presented by 30,000 citizens, or by eight of the cantons, and the law must be altered, or even abolished, according to the result of the plebiscite. The liberty of the people is still further safeguarded, and the power of the legislature curtailed, by the Initiative, which signifies the right of any 50,000 citizens to demand a direct popular vote on any constitutional question. Taken together, the Referendum and the Initiative are the last and highest expression of the democratic spirit, and furnish an example to the rest of the world.

It must be admitted that these two political principles, or devices, if the phrase is preferred, have acted very well ; but it is manifest enough that they could not be safely employed in a country where the mass of the people were not so highly educated and intelligent as are the Swiss.

Advanced Political Privileges

For instance, they could hardly be expected to act well in Russia. When they were introduced into the Swiss political system, many of the Swiss themselves thought the result would be bad, but this has not by any means been the case.

A large part of the population follows agriculture ; there are 300,000 peasant proprietors in Switzerland, the land being pretty equally divided amongst them, and all work very hard. The Swiss peasant is


a very thrifty person, and manages to live on wonderfully little. The French and Italian Swiss are more lively than the German Swiss, who is apt to be a somewhat phlegmatic individual, but they are all as one man in patriotic feeling.

In the matter of education the Swiss, as Sir Horace Rumbold has put it, exhibit a "veritable passion." The Constitution of 1872 made education free and compulsory, though each canton makes laws for itself with respect to the way in which education is imparted. All schools make gymnastics an integral part of their curriculum, having in view the fact that the gymnasium is the nursery of the soldier ; the schools teach manual labour and industries ; girls are taught dressmaking.

A few words in conclusion should be said about the Swiss military system. In a sense, and a very true sense, every Swiss is a soldier. The hotel-keeper and the waiter can handle the rifle ; their soldierly education begins with the gymnastic training at the school, and continues in the cadet corps. So excellent is this preparatory work that Switzerland, protected, in any case, by her guaranteed neutrality, has no regular standing army, but she has the finest militia in Europe. So good is it that the new British Territorial System is largely modelled upon it. When the Swiss lad has left the cadet corps, he joins the Auszug, or Elite, for some years, next the Landwehr for a further period, and finally is drafted into the Landsturm. He has to put in so many days each year with the colours. It is a real army, and its total strength is about half a million.

So much importance do the Swiss attach to it that one of the few changes in the country brought about by the Referendum in November, 1897, is the increase in the number of days' service each recruit must put in, in his first year. In the cavalry the recruit now serves 92 days ; in the artillery, 77 days ; and in the infantry, 67 days, with repetition courses of 13 days each year, instead of every second year. The recruit has been so well trained before joining the army that he makes rapid progress, and develops immediately into a fine soldier. Not the least wonderful thing about this wonderful little country is that it maintains its wonderful army for a good deal under £2,000,000 a year.

ROBERT MACHRAY



ITALY IN OUR OWN TIME

THE NEW KINGDOM VIRILE AND PROSPEROUS

By William Durban, B.A.

A GAIN and again the question has been asked, what is the perennial charm of Italy, that land which reckons itself the special favourite of the sun? The best answer is that the secret of Italy's enchantment lies not in its atmosphere, delightful though the climate may be; nor in its antiquity, fascinating though its countless historic relics truly are; nor in its art, even though the whole peninsula is one incomparable picture gallery; but in that perpetual renaissance which gives irresistible impression of constantly renewed youth. The Italy of to-day has amazed the world by its virility, its rejuvenation since that memorable day, March 17th, 1861, when the new kingdom sprang into being with the proclamation of Victor Emmanuel, "Il Ré Galantuomo," as king of that "Italia Unita" which had been the dream of patriots—a dream at last materialised by the policy of Cavour, the fiery crusade of Garibaldi, and the enthusiasm stirred by Mazzini and Gavazzi.

The young kingdom is one of the Great Powers. Its people are the most prolific in Europe, increasing even more rapidly than the population of Russia, and pouring forth such streams of emigrants that in Brooklyn alone is a colony of 60,000 Italians, with a great quarter to themselves, while Argentina is rapidly becoming a South American Italy. In every age Italy has renewed its youth, but never with anything like the splendid vigour displayed during the present generation. No other land so thoroughly captivates the imagination with a multitude of monuments grey with age, but surrounded by all the evidences of youthful and irrepressible life in its most eager and strenuous demonstrations.

Though this favoured peninsula has been the subject of elaborate cultivation through all historic ages, and has from time immemorial supported teeming populations,

yet it is, as we see it, even more redundantly fruitful than ever. Loveliness of aspect here blends with superabundant fertility, the land overflowing with oil and wine, from Chiasso, on the northern frontier, down to Girgenti, on Sicily's southern coast. The whole vast coastline is a delightful sea-front where oleanders, tamarisks, stone-pines, and countless evergreen shrubs form a verdant frame for the variegated and brilliant picture of the interior landscape. Italian topography is a study of Nature in every one of her artistic moods. This unspeakable beauty of the whole country renders Italy more than ever a favourite playground of Europe.

Each successive year, increasing numbers of tourists visit the Italian Alps, dominated by Monte Rosa, the wonderful Dolomites, the Tyrolese valleys, the resorts round Lakes Maggiore, Como, Garda, Ticino, Orta, Lugano, and Iseo; the Etruscan hill-cities, described by delighted visitors as occupying the most wonderful region in the world; the fairy villages nestling in hundreds of nooks in the Apennine chain of hundreds of miles; the Lombardian plains, sheeted with blue-blossoming flax and intersected by lines of mulberry trees on which silkworms thrive by millions; the Riviera, with its semi-tropical vegetation; the Venetian larch forest of St. Mark, and the groves of Vallombrosa; the classic scenes of Baïæ and Capri, and the insular paradise of Sicily. With her head crowned with a diadem of Alpine snow, Italy bathes her feet in the central waters of the blue Mediterranean, and her citizens draw an ever-growing revenue from crowds of seekers after health and pleasure from lands near and far.

When, in the middle of the nineteenth century, Italy was welded into one nationality, she was steeped in poverty. But, to give a quaint little illustration of the

financial revolution that has been accomplished, whereas the English Christmas markets used to be stocked with immense numbers of delicious little Italian maize-fed turkeys, these are now missing, for the simple reason that "the people are rich enough to afford to consume their own poultry." That simple fact speaks volumes

An Era of Social Regeneration of the change that has come about in material conditions. There is still much poverty, but it is no longer general and deplorable. Italy has declared war on the slum, and the change effected is marvellous. The social regeneration that began in Piedmont has spread over the whole land.

At Turin a beggar is rarely seen, and in Naples, where, when Victor Emmanuel was proclaimed king, he found 90,000 professed *lazzaroni*, including criminals of every grade, with thieves, loafers, and drunkards, both beggary and squalor have been drastically dealt with. Fifty years ago the common people were almost wholly unable to read. The new regime has reduced illiteracy, until now less than one-third of the adult males, and one-half of the adult females are illiterate.

Notwithstanding that Italy lacks two indispensable elements, coal and iron, and is compelled to spend every year £8,000,000 on coal, so sturdy is her modern enterprise that her native industrial companies have £60,000,000 of paid-up capital, while foreign companies have about half that amount. The manufacturing expansion in the north has been marvellously rapid. The output of the paper-mills has more than doubled in twenty years. One of the phenomenal advances has been in applied electricity. From Volta down to Marconi, Italy has had a leading part in great discoveries in electricity. It was an Italian patriot, Antonio Meucci, who really invented the telephone; Pacinotti constructed the first machine for the application of electro-magnetism; and Ferraris

Triumph of Italian Inventors achieved the magnificent discovery of electric dynamic rotation, generated by means of alternate currents. Professor Righi, by his wonderful experiments on electric waves, paved the way for Marconi's introduction of wireless telegraphy, the most marvellous victory over time and space ever celebrated by science. And gradually the Italians are utilising the immense hydraulic forces of their country for producing so much of the "white coal,"

as they call electricity, as shall help them to reduce the import of coal from England. The electricity derived from the Alpine and Apennine streams will, in time, yield enormous wealth, for the number of useful falls in Italy is 34,837. Electrical establishments have turned many dull and idle towns into busy hives of industry, with rapidly increasing populations. This is the case at Maniago, near the fall of the River Cellina, whose waters are now being used to carry torrents of life and light to Venice and to other cities on the way to the beautiful "Bride of the Sea." This colossal work cost 10,000,000 francs, £400,000, and occupied 3,000 labourers in its installation.

The first trial of the great discovery of Ferraris was made in Rome by engineer Mangarini, who conveyed the force of the famous fall of the River Aniene at Tivoli, a classic spot, over the Campagna to the city. The magic light that at evening illumines the streets and houses of Rome, and the force that impels trams and mechanism of all kinds, come from the lovely cascade so admired by travellers, near which Augustus held his tribunal,

Italy's Magnificent Vineyards Mæcenas had the villa where he used to entertain Horace, and the Emperor Hadrian built his magnificent rural palace.

Italy is a land of agriculture, but this industry has passed through a crucial crisis at the close of the nineteenth and beginning of the twentieth centuries. Methods were miserably bad, and a train of diseases struck one crop after another. The magnificent vineyards were terribly damaged by the *peronospera* and the *phylloxera*, those parasites which passed into Italy from France, which in twenty years lost thus £400,000,000.

The silkworm disease, the orange-tree blight, and the fly that fatally perforates the olives have simultaneously during the present generation inflicted immense mischief. Men like Signor Solari and Signor Bizzozero have revolutionised Italian farming, as thoroughly as our own was revolutionised in the eighteenth century. And as Italian emigrants love to return home after a long absence, many of these have come back with the progressive ideas they have acquired in America, France, or Switzerland. In 1898 over 30,000 agricultural labourers returned and landed at Genoa alone, and hundreds every year cross the Atlantic for the great Argentine harvest, where they are highly paid, and



REFUGEES AMONG THE RUINS



THE DAMAGED POST-OFFICE




TWO VIEWS OF THE DESTRUCTION IN THE CORSO VITTORIO EMANUELE



SCENE IN THE TORRENTE CARTALEGNI



RUINED CHURCH OF SAN GIOVANNI



IMPROVISED HOSPITAL IN THE OPEN AIR



RUINS IN THE FINE VIA GARIBALDI

MESSINA AFTER THE EARTHQUAKE ON DECEMBER 28th, 1908

then return to reap their own harvests. Small peasant farmers and labourers have all alike awakened to the new order of things. Village banks have entirely revolutionised the position of the peasants, who formerly could make no progress for want of capital with which to attempt small farming successfully. Signor Wollemborg, a Lombard village doctor who has since been Minister of Finance, founded the first Italian village bank on the model of those which Herr Raiffeisen had established broadcast in Germany.

There are now nearly 2,000, with a membership of nearly 200,000. These institutions have rescued thousands of the diligent and persevering contadini, or peasants, from the terrible grip of the usurer. And likewise of late years the artisans and small shopkeepers have built up the huge organisation of the People's Banks, with their capital of £5,000,000 and their yearly business of £50,000,000, while £70,000,000 has been accumulated in the Private Savings Banks, institutions very similar to the People's Banks. The various banks lend money on very easy terms, and by their aid

Prosperity of the Rural Labourers

immense new areas have been planted as vineyards or cultivated in other ways, with profit to the worker never before possible. The rural labourers have succeeded in working out their own salvation. Out of the old sordid despair the contadini have been lifted into fair prosperity.

The favourite system of land tenure and cultivation which still prevails is the famous *mezzeria*. On this plan the estate is divided into a number of *poderi*, or fields, half the produce of which is retained by the peasant who cultivates the soil, and the other half goes to the landlord as rent. The *poderi* average about thirty-nine acres each. The *contadino's* house is on the *podere*, and is no mere hovel, for it provides ample accommodation for a large household. The agricultural system adopted provides occupation for the peasant-farmer for the whole year without intermission, for on the same *podere* he grows wheat, or maize, or rye, wine, oil, and flax, according to the qualities of the soil.

These labourers are exceedingly intelligent, and they toil indefatigably, but with the utmost cheerfulness. The women of the family rear silkworms and often make money by plaiting the beautiful straw produced in the

sunny clime, and also by spinning from the fine flax. The farmer not only gives to the landlord as rent half the produce of the *podere*, but also a stipulated number of eggs, hams, poultry, etc., while his wife or daughter, called the *massaia*, or housekeeper, may, by agreement, have to wash for the landlord's

household. The new prosperity of this agricultural community, the backbone of the nation, is the real secret of Italy's

marvellous recent progress, as the land is mainly an agricultural one. At the beginning of the new century the attention of the whole world was drawn to a series of crucial labour troubles in Italy, which had been coming to a head for several years. A vast change came over the condition and also the spirit of the working classes during the last decade of the nineteenth century, for during that period great numbers of the peasantry became artisans, and thus a very great new industrial community arose. But very quickly discontent was propagated amongst these by the spread not only of socialism, but also of anarchist ideas. Disastrous and riotous strikes took place amongst masons, miners, and railway workers.

The peasants caught the contagion and organised a league, but this was immediately met by the formation of a landowners' league. In Rome the masons employed on the monument to Victor Emmanuel II. organised a labour league and tried to compel every workman to join it, but parliament vigorously intervened for the protection of the men who refused to be coerced, and the leaguers were defeated. The only important industry in Sicily besides agriculture is sulphur-mining; in the wonderful "solfatara" district in the south of the island. The miners, many of whom are very quarrelsome, given to the use of

the knife and revolver, and to gambling, revolted against what were truly hard conditions in mines fearfully hot and

reeking with poisonous sulphur fumes. But when the marble quarrymen at Carrara, far away in the north of Italy, got up a sympathetic strike, they quickly resorted to violence, forming armed bands, which scoured the mountains and threatened to raid the town itself; great alarm was caused amongst the peaceful

ITALY IN OUR OWN TIME

inhabitants. Martial law was proclaimed, the province was placed under the rule of General Huesch, and the wanton insurrection was speedily quelled. Great improvements have of late effectually ameliorated the lot of the toilers, and the Employers' Liability Bill has had an excellent effect. It should be noted that

The Italian's the Italian is a born engineer.
Genius for He inherits the Roman faculty
Engineering for construction of public works, and many of the great Continental railways, the marvellous Alpine tunnels, and our own Forth Bridge, were mainly made by operatives from Italy. It is computed that there are always about 500,000 of these frugal Italian workers scattered about Europe. There is an Italian quarter in every great city in Europe whenever important public works are being executed.

Amongst this fascinatingly interesting people political problems are perpetually challenging solution. The typical Italian delights in litigation, and in these new days of genuine constitutionalism he becomes an ardent political partisan. The Italians are a nation of orators, and their parliamentarians revel in rhetorical declamation. Manhood suffrage was established by the Electoral Reform Act of 1912--only those who at the age of thirty have neither performed military service nor learnt to read and write being disqualified from voting. By this same act each member of the Lower House receives an annual salary of £240. Parliamentary institutions are peculiar, for the Senate, or Upper Chamber, is composed of members nominated by the king for life on the advice of the Premier. Thus the legislation is exceedingly democratic, yet the people feel that in emergency the Senate might be relied on to prevent reckless enactments.

In the Lower House the proportion of professional men amongst the deputies is extraordinary, for these constitute two-thirds of the deputies. Only a very few working-men have ever found their way into the Italian Parliament. Nor have very many of the aristocracy been elected. The members are mostly of the middle class. Modern United Italy has produced a succession of really great statesmen, of whom the nation is proud. The names of Cavour, Sella, Ricasoli, La Marmora, Minghetti, Depretis, Cairoli, Crispi, Di Rudini will live, and the doings of the

Premiers who have succeeded each other since this century began: Saracco, Pelloux, Zanardelli, Sonnino, Fortis, and Giolitti, are fresh in European recollection.

In Italy, as the seat of the venerable Papacy, religion and politics have for ages been inevitably entangled. But the separation of Church and State under Cavour's administration, and the dissolution of the vast number of convents, wrought a most radical revolution. The quarrel with the Vatican is still in process. The present Pope, when he was Archbishop Sarto, of Venice, was esteemed for his simplicity of life and his pastoral assiduity. But as Pius X. he is constrained by the Catholic Curia to assume the same attitude of intransigent Ultramontanism which was maintained by his predecessor, Leo XIII., and before him by Pius IX. But the struggle of late years has been not so much between the Vatican and the monarchy as between the College of Cardinals and the Modernists within the Catholic Church. These ecclesiastical Liberals within Catholicism had their head-centre in France; but in Italy the famous Abbate Murri was long engaged in a dispute with the Curia.

Famous before Modernism was formally condemned by the Pope.
Waldensian Protestantism is comparatively feeble in Italy. It is mainly represented in modern growth by the young Chiesa Evvangelica, founded by the eloquent Padre Gavazzi in the middle of the last century, but in more ancient phase by the denomination which is the oldest Protestant communion in the world, the famous Waldensian Church, which was born in the romantic valleys of the Cottian Alps, their home being called by Michelet "that incomparable flower hidden amid the sources of the Po."

The missions of the Waldenses are dotted about all over Italy and Sicily, and of late years they have steadily multiplied. Monsignor Merry del Val, who was born in London of Spanish parents in 1865, and educated in England, has been a conspicuous figure in Italy since 1907. This dignitary was indefatigable in conducting the conflict between the Vatican and the French Government over the Separation Law. He visited England as Papal Envoy on the occasions of Queen Victoria's Jubilee and King Edward's Coronation. He was created a cardinal, and succeeded Cardinal Rampolla as Papal Secretary of State.

This exquisitely lovely land has in our time suffered from the convulsions of Nature more than any country has ever done in the whole history of the world. The closing weeks of 1908 will be marked in its annals by the record of the earthquake which visited Calabria and Sicily, destroying Reggio and Messina, wiping out Scylla, and wrecking many other towns and villages. This appalling catastrophe created unspeakable consternation throughout the world, for it was estimated that 300,000 lives were lost.

Through all the struggles, difficulties, troubles, and vicissitudes of the brief history of the young kingdom of United Italy the royal family have not failed to win deepening esteem and affection. Thus the republican ideal of Mazzini seems forgotten. The nation was plunged into impassioned grief by the tragedy enacted at Monza on July 29th, 1900, when the beloved King Humbert I. was assassinated by the anarchist Bresci. His son and successor, Victor Emmanuel III., had as Crown Prince gained abundant popularity.

He and his wife, the beautiful Princess Elena of Montenegro, are considered "the handsomest royal pair in Europe," yet the king is the smallest of Continental sovereigns, being only five feet three inches in height, while the queen is very tall, so that when seen together they present a most striking contrast. Throughout their marriage service the king stood, while the queen knelt on a cushion, and thus they were just of a height. "The only time she was able to look up at me," says King Victor, quite good-humouredly. So immense has been his services already to his country that he has been styled, and not without reason, "The Saviour of Italy."

Europe was startled in the late summer of 1911 by the announcement that Italy had declared war on Turkey and had invaded Tripoli. For some time past complaints had been made to the Porte that the Italian residents in the town of Tripoli were harassed by local misgovernment and vexatious laws, to the serious interference with Italian commerce and the considerable annoyance of Italian business firms; but no redress came from Constantinople, the Sultan and his advisers being naturally quite impotent to deal with grievances in a province so far off as Tripoli, since they could not even

accomplish reforms in Macedonia. On the declaration of war the Italian Government made it plain to all the world that hostilities were to be confined strictly to Africa, and that no attack would be made on Turkish dominions in Europe or Asia, and that no disturbance of the peace of Europe was contemplated. The capture of the town of Tripoli presented no great difficulties to the Italian warships, but the conquest of the interior was another matter. For the Arabs preached a "holy war" against the invader, committed the usual unspeakable atrocities of Eastern warriors, and were practically invincible on retreating a sufficient distance inland.

Italy formally annexed the province of Tripoli in November, 1911, but the war went on without any change. The fighting was intermittent. The Sultan had no means at his disposal for any serious attempt to expel the Italian invaders—his authority had long been merely nominal—and the Arabs were as powerless to effect any reconquest of the towns on the coast as the Italians were to enforce the capitulation of their enemies in the desert.

Not until the Balkan League made war on Turkey in October, 1912, did the Sultan seek peace with Italy, and agree to the surrender of Tripoli and Cyrenaica to the Italian Government. This new North African addition to the colonies of Italy stretches from Tunis and Algiers on the west to Egypt on the east, and its area of, approximately, 400,000 square miles is bounded on the south only by the Sahara. The population in 1913 was estimated at 1,000,000, mostly Berbers, with a considerable minority of Jews, while the town of Tripoli then numbered 40,000 persons.

Doubtless commercial advantages may accrue to Italian business firms by the conquest of Tripoli, for a great trade—partly a caravan trade with the Sudan—passes through Tripoli and Bengazi, but political considerations were certainly not overlooked when the annexation by force of arms was decided on at Rome. With France in possession of Morocco, Algeria, and Tunis, and the British Protectorate obviously a permanent institution in Egypt, Tripoli alone remained under Ottoman rule in Africa; and as it seemed eminently undesirable to the Italian Government that this last remnant of the once mighty Turkish Empire should be

swallowed up by France, and as Italy had long wanted a strip of African territory on the Mediterranean, the best and only plan appeared to be a war of conquest. The war was long and costly in human casualties and money expenditure, but it was approved generally in Italy, only a section of the Socialists dissenting from the national verdict, and it had the merit of bringing together, at least temporarily, old political antagonists divided on the question of Church and State. The Papacy and the Crown were never nearer together since the Union of Italy than they were at the close of 1911. Even when the Sultan had formally ceded the last of his African dominions to the King of Italy and war between the two countries was officially at an end, peace was by no means guaranteed to the conquerors. The Arab tribes of the desert,

led by Baruni Bey, who had been Tripoli's representative in the first Turkish Parliament at Constantinople, were in open revolt in 1913, and, though defeated in battle by the Italian troops, their resistance had been a heavy stumbling block to the pacification of Cyrenaica.

While the conquest of Tripoli has been the chief event in the history of Italy in recent years, it has also laid a heavy tax on the conquerors. Italy looks for recompense for the drain of life and treasure in a new and fertile province, a land largely peopled by Italians, who with their characteristic industry and patience may rebuild in Tripoli and on the shores of the Mediterranean the civilisation long destroyed. There is no reason in the nature of things why Italy should not populate Tripoli and make of that land a valuable and important territory.

THE REPUBLIC OF SAN MARINO

ONE of the minor events of the year 1907 was the conclusion of a fresh treaty of friendship between the Kingdom of Italy and the Republic of San Marino, and in the arrangements and discussions which preceded this settlement, as in the treaty itself, the republic, which has only an area of 33 square miles, and a population well under 12,000, appeared as a sovereign and independent state, although its separate existence is maintained solely by the benevolent protection of its big friend, Italy. Of all the numerous independent states into which the Italy of the Middle Ages was divided, San Marino alone survives to the present day; and as long as Italy, by a sort of good-humoured forbearance, permits it to remain as it is, so long, and no longer, will its name be seen on the roll-call of the nations. It is situated some ten miles or so from the historic Italian town of Rimini, and is to all intents and purposes as Italian as any part of the country. But it claims to be the oldest state of Europe, dating its pretensions as far back as 855, though its independence is of a much later date. From the point of view of age, it regards the modern kingdom as something of an upstart.

It undoubtedly can boast of being the smallest republic in the world. When the devastating presence of Napoleon passed over Italy in blood and flame, San Marino was spared. "Let it remain," said the great conqueror, "as a model of a

republic." In those days it was more democratic, perhaps, than it is to-day. The eight parishes of which the republic consists return sixty members to its Parliament, called the Great Council; twenty of these representatives are drawn from its nobles, twenty from its townsmen, and twenty from its peasantry; two of them are appointed every six months as Regent-Captains with executive power. There is, besides, a smaller council, which regulates all matters pertaining to finance, law, education and war; its duties must be tolerably light, for San Marino has no debt; and, of course, it cannot go to war, though it has an army of about a thousand officers and men. Its capital, also called San Marino, has a population of 1,500, and is situated on the top of Mount Titano, a termination in that direction of the Apennines. The government Palace, rebuilt here in 1894, is a fine edifice. There is much that is interesting and picturesque about the town, and, indeed, about the whole of this small republic.

The meetings of the Council, with the "Noble Guard" in their fanciful uniforms in attendance, partake of something of the character of a pageant instinct with the suggestion of old-world romance and charm. But it need hardly be added that nobody regards this little republic very seriously; there is, in fact, a good deal about it which smacks of a Gilbertian opera.

ROBERT MACHRAY

THE ANGLO-FRENCH "ENTENTE CORDIALE"



The "Entente Cordiale" between France and England, so strongly fostered by the late King Edward VII., has been further encouraged by King George V., who, in April, 1914, visited Paris, and, with Queen Mary, was the guest of President Poincaré. The presence of their majesties at the Opera in Paris in company with the President and Madame Poincaré is the subject of the above picture.



FRANCE IN OUR OWN TIME

A SURVEY OF THE NATION'S SOCIAL AND POLITICAL LIFE

By Richard Whiteing

WE have followed the history of France among the nations of Europe down to our own day. Where does this great country stand at the present time?

In regard to politics the answer is simple enough. France has established the Republic after more than a century of effort, and has put it on the footing of the institutions that are taken as matters of course. This means, not that the present system is free from the liability to error and to great economic and social change, but simply that a reversion to either of the earlier forms, of monarchy or empire, is unthinkable. For good or ill the old parties have, and can have, no hope of a governing majority. The monarchy is associated with the tradition of misery; the empire with that of defeat and humiliation. The disasters of 1870-1 have had precious results on the temperament of the people; it is unlikely that the war drum will ever throb again in France in any cause but the defence of the territory. Even the lost provinces have become rather an aspiration than a purpose and a hope.

The new political ideal is the welfare of the nation as a whole, the making life better worth living for every unit of the mass of population. In his latest survey of the whole situation, M. Jaurès boasts that the country is now in full political

**The New
Political
Ideal**

democracy. In other words, the French people are at last in sole charge of their own destinies.

The constitution has been fashioned into a perfect instrument for the work in hand. Its provision of the second ballot ensures the predominance of the popular will; the deputies are paid as servants of the State, not as servants of any section of the electorate. The suffrage is universal, and no man has more than one vote. The electoral machinery is of

ideal simplicity. The Senate, composed of 300 members, will wholly represent the principle of popular choice in the second degree when its few surviving life members have passed away. The president is but the most eminent servant of the nation. This is not to say that none but Republican parties exist. There is a Monarchist party which, as Nationalist or Conservative in name, harps on the string of military glory, and still keeps a kind of sentimental hold on a section of the peasantry, and makes some figure in the social life of Paris. But the peasant proprietors in the mass are for the Republic, because they believe that it is for order and stability, and that they have nothing to fear from it, and a good deal to hope.

The urban masses, again, are bound to give it their support as the progressive movement in being, though the workmen as a whole are overwhelmingly Socialist and anti-capitalistic. In the decisive election of 1906, of some 9,000,000 voters who went to the poll, nearly 6,250,000 cast their votes for Republican or Socialist candidates, without counting another million or so who represented Liberals well affected to the existing system. The poor remainder stood for all the forces of reaction. The majority were all Republicans of one shade or other, whatever else they were not, and were ready to coalesce for the defence of Republican institutions.

The Socialist section of the Republican party now includes much of the highest intellect of France, and exemplifies nearly all the varieties of that school of politics throughout the world. The racial mind has a wide range, from the utmost poise and precision of scientific thought to the most passionate enthusiasm for the idea. The Commune is the classical example.

It was a system on the one hand, and, on the other, a delirium of utter self-sacrifice. Its members died by thousands for a social millennium. The outbreak would have ruined the democratic cause for ages in any other country; in France it only gave the cause a set-back that has already become but an incident of its career.

A Great French Socialist

The darkest hour found a man capable of stemming the current of disaster, and effecting the salvage of the proletarian idea. This was Jules Guesde. He had laid the causes of failure to heart, and he gradually taught his countrymen to abandon the old methods of sterile insurrectionary agitation, and to rely on organised propaganda to a definite end.

He opposed the desperate measure of the general strike, and in due course achieved the miracle of sending forty deputies to the Chamber pledged to a Collectivist programme, and to the saving idea of unity of all sections of the advanced party in the common cause. They were not, however, to co-operate with the Government; they were to convert it to Socialism, and his union of parties was still to be only a union among the elect. The thought of common action with men who were Republicans, and nothing else, was repugnant to his soul.

Then came Jaurès with the wider outlook of a scheme for union among all the supporters of the Republic. He was, and is still, a professor of philosophy, and, as such, a distinguished member of the academic body and a servant of the State. A man holding that position in France must be deeply versed in the history of nations and the history of thought, and the studies of Jaurès had taught him that practical persons with a sense of give and take always win in the long run. He urged his brother Socialists to spread their doctrines among the people in the old way, but meanwhile to work with the constituted authorities, and in Parliament for all that Parliament was worth.

The Butcher of the Commune

He entered warmly into the Dreyfus agitation, on the side that ultimately triumphed, and he finally sent one of his lieutenants into the government as member of a Ministry that contained the hated De Gallifet, "the butcher of the Commune."

This proceeding scandalised the Socialists of Europe, and it led to a Titanic debate between Jaurès and the German Bebel, at the International Congress of

Dresden: Bebel triumphed by carrying a resolution to the effect that Socialism should have a policy strictly independent of all other political parties, and should take no part in a "capitalist" government. Jaurès frankly accepted the vote; and, by his submission to the idea of party discipline, did much to maintain his position, and to lead his very antagonists to more practical courses. His followers are not a solid phalanx; it is his proud, though perhaps rather premature, boast that "outside of the united party" there is none deserving of the Socialist name.

Jaurès is still strictly a party man, and he constantly uses his energies as a spur to prick the sides of ministerial intent. In the summer of 1906 he held another Titanic debate with M. Clemenceau, as the head of the Government, on the great question of the rate of progress in democratic reform that still separates the labouring class of France from the middle class. There had been serious strike riots, and the Government had been compelled to intervene to preserve the peace. "Order is the Republic's first law," M. Clemenceau

Order the Republic's First Law

seemed to say. "Give us the opportunity to be your friends. All that you want will come, if only you have the patience to wait for it." He carried the point by a vote that expressed the confidence of the Chamber. "You are not the Almighty," cried the defeated champion in a moment of petulance. "You are not even the Devil," was the retort.

In the elections of 1906 over 26 per cent. of those who went to the poll cast a Socialist vote, yet this was regarded as a Socialist defeat. Socialism is powerful enough to influence legislation, though not to control it. It now elects mayors by the hundred, and municipal councillors by the thousand. Its chief supporters are found among the workmen, and the "intellectuals" of the professorial group.

Trade Unionism in France, as such, is rather "on the fence" in being not frankly Socialist though in strong sympathy with the movement. It has long been political and speculative in its tendencies, and for a simple reason. Many of the benefits in higher wages and the like, which with us were the exclusive concern of such organisations, are, in France, secured by the personal thrift of the workman, and by the help of the State. The French Unionists often prefer

to save for themselves, and this leaves them fancy free for the dream of a beneficial revolution which is to settle everything. Many of their comrades, however, are still for the English method of trade funds for purely trade purposes—the raising of wages, and the benefits. The first would make the unions a branch of a sort of labour party, rejecting the co-operation of all other classes but their own, and working by means of a class war. The others have the powerful support of the miners, the printers, the textile workers and the engineers.

According to Miss Scott, the latest historian of the movement, the only important unions that are distinctly revolutionary are those of the building trades. One of their spokesmen utters a warning cry against "the development of a fourth estate composed of trades economically privileged, with the unskilled and unemployed left on one side." It is no easy matter to arouse French enthusiasm for any idea of a purely utilitarian character. The tendency is always to look before and after to the complete regeneration of the race. This tendency has hindered the progress of French Co-operation. It has attained to nothing like the same rate of development as the British movement—even in the manufacturing branch, which has always been peculiarly its own.

The net result is that the French workman has, on the whole, a better lot than the British. He has more of the joy of life. His government, state and municipal, does more for him, and takes care that he shall be abundantly supplied with simple pleasures—seats in the shady thoroughfares for the summer evenings, where he may smoke his pipe and see his children at play; well-kept woods, forests and parks, where he may ramble on Sunday with his wife and family; cheapened services of tram and train—all with ludicrously cheap holidays as the general result. If his hours of labour are longer, the pace is nothing like so hard. His home life abounds in the solid and substantial comfort of the neat and cleanly dwelling, the well-filled clothes-press and larder, the well-cooked meal, and the well-stocked market as its source of supply.

For most of these blessings, no doubt, he has to thank his admirable wife, herself a product of the most careful cultures, domestic, educational, and religious. He

eats "like a prince," both in quality and in the quantity for his need. On this point the comparative statistics as to the prices of provisions in the two countries which are published in England from time to time are wholly illusory. With the French workman, two or even three courses and dessert are not the exception, but the rule. His children have the best of elementary, and often of advanced education—the former entirely free, with free meals at need—and over and above this, free access to magnificently appointed technical schools, where they may learn their trades.

The spontaneous help of his comrades rarely fails him in misfortune. He is less frequently haunted by the spectre of a submerged tenth than his British brother; indeed, that class is practically non-existent in France. "Wherever you go," says a recent observer, "you will find less evidence of poverty, of idleness, of misery than will force itself on your attention almost anywhere else in the world."

Thanks to all this, the French workman is generally content to remain in his class. It is by no means, however, the content of acquiescence. His class hatreds are strong, and, with his sense of equality, he is disposed to have "no use" for the bourgeoisie or for the aristocrats. In so far as he is a workman of the towns, he is generally socialistic and anti-capitalistic to the backbone. He belongs either to the French Working Class party, which is opposed to any sort of co-operation, political or other, with society at large, or to the Socialist Revolutionary party, which is disposed to accept such co-operation in politics, on conditions, but in each case with a view to the final triumph of equalitarian ideas. Finally, he hates war, partly on general principles, but mainly because he hates the blood tax of the conscription. Then, for the

balance of power in public affairs, the workmen are effectually held in electoral check by the peasantry, whose large share of the ownership of the land gives them little liking for Socialism, and no taste for farming under the State. These are the more potent as a check, because they have all but completely rallied to the Republican idea. Successive Governments have wooed and won them by standing firmly for the security of

**France the
Workman's
Paradise**

**Happy
and Contented
Workers**

**Peasantry
Opposed to
Socialism**

property and for public order, and by making them objects of peculiar care in other ways. Their technical schools for farming, for instance, are on the same high level as the schools for arts and crafts.

Liberty, Equality and Fraternity* are still the watchwords of the Republic, but the French are disposed to take them not exactly in this order.

Watchwords of the Republic Equality is the passion of the people, and the goal of all their strivings and of all their hopes. Fraternity is a sentiment of only less strength, but as yet it has got no further than fraternity by classes. Among the workmen, for instance, the sense of brotherhood is a positive affection of the soul, only to be realised by those who have lived in close touch with them and witnessed its countless manifestations of courtesy, charity, and active help.

It is the same among the professional and the other classes who are the brain and nerve of France, and here fraternity finds its strongest manifestation in the strength of the family tie. The family constitutes a vast insurance society for the mutual guarantee of all its members against the ills of life. Few fail to respond to the appeal, even when the claim extends to cousinships of the remoter degrees. The whole scheme of collective well-being is that in emergencies no single member of the "clan" shall have to stand quite alone. The uncle who looks after his graceless nephew as a matter of duty, and almost without expectation of gratitude, is a familiar figure of French comedy.

This, in itself, with the obligations it entails, involves a certain sacrifice of liberty, since you can hardly have it both ways—dependence, and a perfectly free course. Liberty, therefore, while it has made huge progress under the Republic, is still hampered by intolerance. The Press is free to the point of licence; but personal freedom, especially that of public

Weaknesses in the Government meeting, still leaves much to be desired. The Government, in its passion for public order, is fretful and meddlesome,

especially as it works through the agency of the police. It regulates strikes and public meetings to the point of exasperation, and compromises the "order of the streets" by a fussy anxiety to preserve it. The ordinary prefect of police simply loses his head at the sight of two or three gathered together for public discussion. The very

crowd is at fault in the same way; and in psychological moments every man's hand seems to be against his neighbour's coat-collar in the act of arrest.


For all that, the Republic is by far the strongest French government of modern times if only for the classic reason that it divides Frenchmen the least. The vast and powerful middle class no longer stands aloof. The people, in the conventional sense of the term, are not and never have been enough to make a governing system. The power may come to them when they have all the qualifications for it; but by that time they and the nation will be one. At present the middle class, with its backing of the moderates of all shades, is as strong as ever in affairs and in knowledge.

In all times the vast majority of the governed, as distinct often enough from their governors of the moment, have constituted a sort of natural force of conservation. They are at once eager for change and fearful of its effects; and their very inconsistencies serve to determine the pace for progress, and to


Predominant Partner in Politics compel a due regard to the adjustments between old interests and new claims. It may be no more than the force of

habit, but a force it is, for their mass makes them the predominant partner in politics. No party, however advanced, can touch the actual experience of administration without swaying to the side of this moderate norm, which represents the working mean between movement and stagnation, and which exists by no accident but by a law. When that central and all-powerful body swerves in momentary aberration to either extreme, progressive or reactionary, it begins to diminish in numbers, and to lose control. A government of abstract justice and of revolutionary upheaval, if it could be established to-morrow, would pass like the dream of a night. The chronic infirmities of human nature would still assert their rights.


The Republic is now in the safe keeping of the whole nation. Like every other government in the world, it will, of course, undergo enormous changes, but these must be gradual, and must still conform to the law of human affairs. The moderate man will ever be master in the long run. Much of the abuse of the "middle class" is due to the sense of



THE INTERIOR OF THE SENATE IN THE LUXEMBURG PALACE



EXTERIOR OF THE CHAMBER OF DEPUTIES



INTERIOR OF THE CHAMBER OF DEPUTIES

OUTSIDE AND INSIDE THE FRENCH HOUSES OF PARLIAMENT

their irresistible might. They captured the old revolution, they have already captured the new. In many respects France is fortunate in being rooted in institutions that make for stability and social peace. Her wise laws of inheritance provide for a beneficent diffusion of wealth throughout the whole of the body politic. No man may leave all his property exactly as he likes. A considerable share of it must go to his wife and children, and not to any one of them to the detriment of the rest. In this way there is an automatic check on the growth of large fortunes, and a constant diffusion of wealth, which irrigates the whole field of national well-being with a fertilising stream.

Thriftiest People in the World

There are few French citizens, men or women, who are without "expectations" of a kind. Consequently there is no huge landless, moneyless class, filthy, feckless and forlorn, answering to our abject poor. The flower and product of this system is the national habit of thrift, which is an effect of wise legislation rather than a mere peculiarity of the national temperament. Opportunity has made the French the thriftiest people in the world. Having the means of saving, they naturally save.

This, and this alone, accounts for the enormous recuperative power of the nation as a whole. "Whereas Great Britain," says Mr. W. L. George, in his "France in the Twentieth Century," "has but just recovered from the depression following on the South African War, a comparatively cheap contest which did not entail the destruction of a single English home, France, within four years of 1870, had regained her position, after paying an indemnity nearly equal to our total Transvaal expenditure, and enduring six months' devastation of her soil." French literature is naturally best understood by a study of the French character,

The Double Nature of the Frenchman

of which it is the necessary outcome. The Frenchman has two natures in marked contrast. In one he is the child of the joy of life—all impulse, whim, and go-as-you-please; in the other, he is the most staid, orderly, respectable being in the universe. In the first he follows the wayward law of his moods and his intuitions; in the other he is almost the victim of a rigorous logic which compels him to keep his mind as tidy as his

person, and to put every idea in its place. The latter is his normal state, and it has produced his classic literature; the former has prompted him to all the revolts of reaction towards Romanticism, Naturalism, Idealism, and all the other schools that are characterised so much by the final syllable of their names. Ronsard, apart from his services to the good government of the language, came to bring life and the joy of a free course in the beauty of nature. The rather mis-called age of Louis XIV. brought discipline, law and order; our good *bourgeois* of the muse was now intent on a return to the proprieties. This mood ran its course until he made holiday again with the Romantics. "Tempted of the Devil," wrote the wrathful Nisard, of Hugo the leader of the band, "he is begetting new schools every day."

It was not to last for ever. The rebels in their turn came to repentance with the Parnassian group. The poetic mind is now once more in a state of lawlessness, or, at any rate, of unrest, which bodes another return to the righteousness of form. Banville, who succeeded Hugo as the master poet of his day, was still the Romantic movement, but that movement chastened by its sense of the need of flawless workmanship and of spiritual restraint. His "Petit Traité de la Poésie" was merciless in its insistence on the clearness, precision, and minute finish of detail so dear to the French mind. Leconte de Lisle was classic in spirit, call him what else you will, though a classic with a wider outlook on life than the men of the grand period.

Sully Prudhomme, the next great name, has been called, and not unhappily, a French Matthew Arnold in his sense of the good breeding of an Augustan ideal, and sometimes a Lucretius, or even a Darwin, of poetry. Coppée was the same sort of man working in a medium of scenes of humble life, a French Crabbe, touching the butcher, the baker, and the candlestick-maker, not as one of themselves, but as the Puritan of a rigorous law of art.

Sully Prudhomme died but the other day. Where is he now—at any rate, in regard to his status in this world? Before the breath went out of his body an advanced school had come to regard him as a fogey. It has yet to wreak its vengeance on Heredia, the last of the Parnassians, for the crime of popularity,

but no doubt he, too, will have his hour of the wrong sort. His goldsmith's art in the fine chiselling of the phrase has carried their system to perfection; and perfection palls, to say nothing of the fact that the younger men are waiting, and that youth will have its day.

We are still with the Decadents, though in new manifestations. Beaudelaire rules our spirits from his urn; so does Verlaine, and it is estimated that at least a hundred of his pages may reach posterity. They should do so, for he at least restored the personal and the human note which had no place in the baggage of the Parnassian band. Mallarmé, sometimes coupled with him as a neo-Decadent, is far inferior.

It is now a riot of schools, if the word is not inappropriate to systems that are little more than exaggerations of the personal note. Some sing the all-importance of the *ego*, others the emptiness of life. They pass across the illuminated disc of popularity, from nothing into nothingness again, like the figures in the cinematograph. The Polychromists, who hold that the word is not merely the symbol of colour, but the thing itself, are still to

**The Modern
Poetic
Movement**

be found, though you have to look for them. The Realists yet honour Jean Richepin for his "Chanson des Gueux," and another composition in which he has written with much appreciation of the Devil and all his works. Maupassant shaped well in this school of verse at the outset of his career.

Foreigners have largely influenced the modern poetic movement. Maeterlinck is perhaps the most distinguished case in point. But there is now a promising cult, which places Whitman at the head, of Poe, Emerson and Thoreau as the four men of universal genius that America has given to the world.

The general result is that the old French prosody, the result of centuries of critical labour, has gone all to pieces, and that its chief law—one word, one vote for signification—has been repealed. Even the venerable figure of syntax has been plucked by the beard. Impression has taken the place of logic, assonance of rhyme. The reaction will follow in due course, probably in a new classical movement with larger and more generous bounds.

The same tendencies are observable in French fiction. It is a time of unrest, but the outlook is most promising. The old

Naturalist school of Zola, as a school, is gone, but it has left abiding traces, most of them for good. The good ones are in the direction of respect for the facts and of a faithful rendering of detail; the bad, in sheer pornography, though this is not the founder's fault. Bourget, though no Naturalist, in regard to the observation of the things of the flesh, follows that method in regard to the things of the spirit. There is another trace of Zola in the fact that the new school is overwhelmingly purposeful. In no former time has French fiction been so much occupied with the study of social facts. This is the main line of the new departure. Even the revived study of local manners and customs, local types, is not free from the laudable suspicion of a purpose of natural regeneration. If some still write in the old way, for the pure love of story as story, and of character in and for itself, they form but a minority, though a minority with a right to their welcome.

The revival of religion has its apostles, but every one of them takes care to let you see that he is a patriot rather than a saint. The wide, wide world is not forgotten, and it has a school to itself, with Loti as its master. His work has the study of foreign race types and exotic peculiarities for its means, and a suggestion of the greater glory of France for its end and aim. That perfectly equipped writer has ever been the best of patriots; and when he writes of "India without the English," we may easily divine his regret that Providence did not vouchsafe the blessing of its being "with the French."

The social studies embrace every variety of the *genre*. Most of them have this peculiarity, that they deal with groups rather than with individuals, in the older way. Where they are historic in their setting, we have no longer the splendid personalities of the past, the heroes of the world movement through the ages, but, instead, the masses of humanity, dim, but by no means dumb, who are struggling towards the light. Paul Adam and Paul and Victor Margueritte are the chiefs of the school. Their books are of races and nations, all in movement on the epic scale.

The fiction that has narrower limits of place or time has made a new departure under the leadership of M. Rod, who is not a thinker only, but a man of letters, with

all the restraints that belong to the French ideal of the character. The miseries of the people, the bankruptcy of faith, the internecine struggle between capital and labour, the self-seeking of the professional politician, are among his more striking themes. M. De Vogüé has taken this last subject as the motive of his powerful work "Les

**A Writer
of National
Romance**

Morts qui Parlent." For him the parliamentarians of to-day are but the delegates of the Convention in a new part. He is a polemist of great force, with a keen sense of actuality, which, however, does not prevent him from casting a longing, lingering look towards the past. Rod, too, is not without this tendency, but he can see good in both sides, and sympathy is his dominant note.

The note of sadness and of protest against a too insistent present is found again in much of the work that has provincial France for its subject, and particularly in that of M. Bazin, who stands at the head of a school. M. Bazin has written novels of great power—on the work-girls, on the exodus of the peasantry from country to town, on the religious persecution involved in the present quarrel between Church and State, on the problem of the lost provinces. The last, a mixture of history, patriotism, and philosophy, aspires to the dignity of a national romance, and as such it has been acclaimed by the most educated readers in France. But their suffrages are not enough for this writer. He has studied provincial life in all its aspects with a success that has enabled him to realise the sane and sound ambition of a wide popularity. Bordeaux is another remarkable writer of the same class.

The writers who are most read in France are Paul Bourget and Anatole France, of the earlier school, and Maurice Barrès of the new. Paul Bourget is now, whatever he was not in the past, the eloquent apologist of marriage, of the authority of the family as a social organism, of monarchy and aristocracy, and, above all, of religion.

**France's
Popular
Authors**

He brings to their support a delicacy and a suppleness of mind, and a perfectly equipped literary talent, which compel the attention of many who have no sympathy with his views.

These, however, have their antidote ready to hand in Anatole France, that "august Nihilist pamphleteer," as somebody has called him, who stands supreme

in literary power, and especially in eclecticism of style. He is the champion of the new ideas that seem pressing forward to victory. They could hardly do without him, for in France, as elsewhere, the cause is often of less importance than the skill of the advocate. His "sober elegance, his neat limpidity"—to translate perhaps too literally—compel the admiration of all. In a series of well-known works of fiction he stemmed the torrent of prejudice in the Dreyfus case far more effectually than even Zola, to whom his detractors have ever refused the title of a man of letters.


At any rate, what Zola did for the country at large Anatole France did for educated opinion, which still counts for much in matters of taste. He takes a side in seeming to take none, and to be wholly devoted to a detached and caustic observation of contemporary ideas. "L'île des Pingouins," one of the latest of his works, is also one of the best examples of his method, and with that, unfortunately, of a certain superfluity of coarseness that hardly deserves to be called a defect of his qualities. He is

**A Novelist
of the
New School**

a precious asset of the cause of progress, since most of the writers who are most read stand for a sort of reaction against the ideals of the popular party. It is easier to get a hearing in that way, among the select few—still large enough to make a considerable public of themselves.

Maurice Barrès is perhaps the most widely read of the three. He writes, often, with a strong conservative bias, in all the *genres*, and he has identified them with successive stages of his own development. He is a patriot, an ardent "regionalist," in his love of the character and colour of provincial life, an historical novelist of the new school, in his keen sense of the nations as makers of history, and his comparative indifference to their masters of court or camp. He is also a psychologist of the first order, with a deep insight into the souls of races, as distinct from the merely individual growths. The newer tendencies of cultivated thought are to be found in his pages, and especially in his strong insistence on the belief that no people can afford to forget its past. "Our individual conscience comes from the love of our country and of its dead."


Is there no place, then, for the novelists who write merely for the love of character and of incident, and especially for the love



BORDEAUX, VIEWED FROM THE TOWER OF ST. MICHAEL'S CHURCH



PANORAMIC VIEW OF LYONS FROM THE PLACE BELLECOUR



THE IMPORTANT NAVAL HARBOUR OF BREST



CHERBOURG, AS SEEN FROM THE FORT DU ROULE

SCENES IN THE GREAT CITIES AND PORTS OF FRANCE

of telling a story without any other prepossession? Assuredly, or M. Henri Regnier would not be read. He is a subtle spirit born out of his proper time, which was the eighteenth century, and prevailing by the force of his irony and his wit, and especially of that variety of the latter which is known as the "esprit gaulois."

French Apostles of Feminism But the remorseless obligations of the subject compel us to return to another class of writers with a purpose—the apostles of "feminism." The subject looms largely in the literature of France, as distinct from the propaganda by the deed and by the platform to which it is almost wholly confined in England. Marcel Prévost led the way with "Les Demi-Vièges"; but, as a rule, the women have now taken the matter into their own hands.

Their studies of passion leave little to be desired, except sometimes a sense of restraint; and the freedom for which they plead is less that of the representative assembly than of the home and the heart. Gérard d'Houville—Madame de Regnier for her familiars—writes with remarkable literary power. Madame de Noailles follows on the same side, and is much in vogue. With these are Madame de Coulevain, the author of "Éve victorieuse," and especially of "Sur la branche," and Madame Marcelle Tinayre, whose "Maison du péché" was one of the most widely read books of its year.

All of these have not only something to say, but they have learnt how to say it by the most serious reading in literature and history. They differ from earlier writers of their sex, and even from George Sand, in having a distinctly feminine point of view. They write as women, and not as women who hope to be taken for men. Such a method has its dangers; and it must be confessed that some of their feminine followers have run into the grossest licence, as though to proclaim

Imagination's Place in Literature their independence of the precept that want of decency is want of sense. The late Madame Bentzon, though woman to the finger-tips and a champion of women, had in perfection the qualities that must always go to the making of good literature, and especially reserve.

Imaginative work is not the all in all of a literature. There are thinkers who work for thinking's sake, as there are artists who work only for the sake of art. But the

peculiarity of modern France is that the apostles of ideas tend more and more to express themselves in poetry, fiction, and drama. They naturally wish to have a hearing, and they find that the average reader prefers to take even his philosophy in object-lessons. Some of them fare ill in this attempt, and succeed only in showing that they have missed their vocation. Most of the vital thought of France is enshrined in its fiction, and that fiction is so good because it is expected to be so much more than the amusement of an idle hour.

In history there has been a change from the prophetic and picturesque and the essentially literary method of Michelet to that of the minute and exhaustive study of facts with the object of leaving them to tell their own story, or, at best, of grouping them with a little malice aforethought. M. Sorel is the leading representative of this school, and he may be described as the French Stubbs. M. Lavissee, and, above all, M. Fustel de Coulanges, stand for the older and the more attractive method. But their work is still governed by a rigorously methodic purpose

A Brilliant History of France and treatment, which at least seems to obtain its effects of the picturesque by accident rather than by design. The last-

named, however, though it may annoy him to hear it, is very much of a great writer. M. Gabriel Hanotaux may be said to unite the two schools. His history of contemporary France during the period of reconstruction that followed her last great war is at once one of the most brilliant and solid works of the time. Apart from these, we have any number of writers of the memoirs in which the French have always excelled. M. Bourget has entered the domain of travels in a manner characteristic at once of himself and of the new school, with his quite descriptively named "Sensations d'Italie." In criticism—philosophic and literary—M. Brunetière, though he has recently passed away, still rules, with M. Lemaître and M. Faguet.

In philosophy and science proper the French are for the moment largely dependent on the foreigner—exception made of such names of the illustrious dead as Pasteur and Claude Bernard. Darwin, Spencer, Buckner, Haeckel, Schopenhauer, Hartmann, and Nietzsche call the tune.

The French drama shows precisely the same tendencies as French literature. It is given over almost wholly to the problem

and the social question. As M. Faguet has observed, there is in every age the formula in vogue; and, in a certain sense, all the theatres of France have ever, at any given period, played the same piece on the same night, the same sort of piece being understood.

In the eighteenth century the inevitable thing was a classic tragedy or a comedy of so-called character derived, not from the life, but from La Bruyère. In the nineteenth there was another variety of choice—Hugo, with the alternative of Augier, Dumas, or Sardou. To-day, in the drama as in the novel, writers are pushing out in every direction in search of the spiritual interests and preoccupations of their time. In the new comedy of manners, the lawyers, the doctors, the financiers sit to the artist, and not merely as individuals, but as members of a social group—the “world” of Bench and Bar, the world of medicine, and so on. What playgoer of us all can have forgotten the “Business is Business” of Mirbeau in its English dress? The French stage, usually in advance, has not been so closely in touch with the

**Reward of
the French
Dramatist**

realities of life for many a year. It is the spirit of Molière, who dared to plunge right into the realities of his day, in bold disregard of the conventions of the old Italian comedy which then ruled the stage. There is no more intrigue for intrigue's sake. The modern French dramatist has simply opened his eyes to what is going on around him, and has reaped his reward in no longer being reduced to “faire du Scribe” or even “du Sardou” for a living. We in England are still, or were but yesterday, in the old rut; and, though we have escaped from Scribe, we are still hardly out of the toils of Sardou, with “The Scrap of Paper” and “Diplomacy” as our most successful pieces of the immediate past.

When that truly eminent hand in stagecraft died, it was but as a writer who in his own country had survived his own school. But Mr. Shaw and Mr. Galsworthy, with others of their band, have shown us the way to better things, especially now that our younger men have improved on one of their leaders by leaving themselves and their own personal idiosyncrasies of theory out of the cast, and by working purely in a medium of the actual concerns of their day. Mr. Pinero, the only one of our veterans who is always marching on, has caught up with at least the rear-guard of the

French host in “His House in Order,” and has had his reward in the honour of adaptation for the Paris stage. And Mr. Barrie has made an attempt to extend his empire in the same region. He would have done better to begin with the “Admirable Crichton.” The play so named, however, is rather German than British in its method;

**The New
Role of
the Stage**

and something as much like it as one pea is like another has long been played in Germany. The French move faster. In the art of acting, for instance, while we are yet agitating for a school on the old lines of the Conservatoire, M. le Bargy is well on his way with a new method of rendering the passions of the scene, which is founded more directly on the study of nature.

The Théâtre Libre and the Théâtre Antoine are striking examples of the present methods of writing pieces, of mounting, and of playing them, all immediately from the life. The less ambitious Grand Guignol, and even the amateurish Théâtre Social, must be mentioned in this connection, if only as signs of the times. The French stage is, in some instances, gradually leaving the realism, to which ours is yet but gradually working its way, for a symbolism which is still true to the spirit of the universal quest in being a symbolism of the real. The names of Cœuret, of Portoriche, of Brioux, and of Donnay have yet to become household words on our side of the water; but we shall hear more of them, no doubt, in the course of the next quarter of a century. M. Lemaître, M. Lavedan, and M. Rostand, in the higher ranks, have already been brought to our notice, and, no doubt, all the rest will come in good time.

M. Rostand apart, no aspect of our modern life is indifferent to the newer writers. They seek their subjects on the stock exchange and the racecourse, in the religious conflict and the decay of faith, in the home, in public life, and in

**Themes
of Modern
Writers**

Socialism as in all the reactions—in fact, wherever men's hearts beat with the passions of their age. Criticism follows them, as it always does a bold and successful lead; and, where it still ventures to disagree, it has to find some less hackneyed term of derision than “problem” and “tract.” The big battalions of the playgoer are now with the problem; and naturally all is changed. The passion for experiment, for the eternally new,

not as a mere bid for notoriety, but as research forward, as exploration, is equally characteristic of France in other arts. It is especially so in music. The new school, led by Debussy and d'Indy, with Bruneau, Charpentier, and Dukas—as composers or as critics—for captains of the host, are men for whom Wagner is already but a grey-beard. They are as different

France's New School of Music

from the great German master in their methods and aims as he was from Gluck; and they have come to regard both as follies of the past. "That animal Gluck!" cries Debussy. "I know only one other composer as insupportable, and that is Wagner. Yes; this Wagner, who has inflicted on us the majestic, vacuous, insipid Wotan!"

"And what do you think of our Berlioz? He is an exception, a monster. He is not at all a musician; he gives one but the illusion of music, with his methods borrowed from literature and painting."

The new school borrows from literature, too, but only for the spirit, not for the method. Its art is sensuous, not to say sensual, and dreamy, and it aims at the rendering of states of emotion rather than of the emotions themselves. Debussy, for instance, after learning his accidence at the Conservatoire, and winning the Prize of Rome there by an orthodox academic composition—just to show he could do anything he liked—went straight into the work of his choice as soon as he had shaken himself free of academic control. He had served in the army, like every other Frenchman, and he found his first call to something new in "the blend of sonorities" produced by the barrack-yard call for "lights out" and the long-continued vibrations of a neighbouring convent bell. He sought to do in music what Verlaine and Stéphane Mallarmé were doing in poetry—the latter especially in his "Afternoon of a Faun." The verse was imitative of impressions of natural effects, and

Music's Exquisite Fairiness

Debussy tried to render these in music in the same subjective manner. "In the midst of a dream," says Bruneau, "murmuring violins rustle, and tinkling harps; pastoral flutes and oboes sing; and they are answered by forest horns," all in "an exquisite fairiness" of general effect.

Rossetti next took his turn of inspirer in chief with "The Blessed Damsel," rendered by the musician so as to give all the dreamy witchery of that

masterpiece of fancy and imagination. Maeterlinck's "Pelléas and Mélisande" was inevitable after that, with its "ideas of fatality, of death, its atmosphere of sorrowful legend, its poor kings, poor people, poor inhabitants of unnamed lands whom fate leads by the hand"—fate and Maeterlinck. It is the music of people who do nothing, but feel everything, whose souls are instruments on which Nature plays in all her moods.

No wonder such a composer should ignore melody, with its beginning, middle, and end; its story, in a word. "I have been reproached," he says, "because in my score the melodic phrase is always found in the orchestra, never in the voice. Melody is almost anti-lyric, and powerless to express the constant change of emotion or life. It is suitable only for the song which confirms a fixed sentiment."

Debussy visited London in 1909, and conducted several performances of his own music. Vincent d'Indy, a Frenchman, but a pupil of the Belgian composer Franck, visited New York, and expounded similar views in a lecture at


Revolution in French Art

Harvard University. He met with an interested though not an enthusiastic reception; but critics of note predicted that the future was with the music of the school. French art has undergone a thorough revolution in the course of the last fifteen or twenty years, with Claude Monet and Rodin for its prophets, and Maclair for its expositor. The last is the Boswell of both of these great men, and he has taken down their theories from their lips. The common note of it all, in music as in painting and sculpture, is the discovery that there are new effects of Nature to render, effects not always dreamt of in the philosophy of the modern classical schools. So the art of the day imports a revolt against the academical system in France, though not necessarily against the ancients. Its aim is the more faithful rendering of light. The new painters paint light on the presumption that there is really nothing else to paint. For them colour is but an effect of light, and they try to produce it by the very methods of Nature.

Their point of departure is the truism that in Nature no colour exists of itself. As a reality pertaining to objects, colour is a pure illusion. It is simply an effect of light in its impact on objects. The light does not illumine the colour; it



A REGIMENT OF INFANTRY ON THE MARCH



OFFICERS STUDYING THE ELEMENTS OF BIG GUN FIRING



A COMPANY OF SOLDIER CYCLISTS ON THE ROAD

SOLDIERS OF THE FRENCH REPUBLIC

brings the colour in its train. Objects are of no colour; or, rather, of all colours, as they absorb or reflect these from light. The academic system starts from the heresy that colour is something that can be laid on in compact masses, mixed for the purpose on the palette. Nothing of the sort; it is

Passion for Reality in Painting

but an effect of far more artistic adjustments. The earlier masters had some instinctive perception of this great truth, though they had not reduced it to a science. There are traces of it in Watteau, in Ruisdael, in Poussin, and especially in Turner, Constable, and Delacroix. The school is called Impressionist; but Mauclair gives good reason for thinking that the noun chromatism might suggest an adjective more to the point. And since colour is but light, so light is but form in every mode of definition. Why, then, take the trouble to paint anything else, since in this you have the all in all?

This is the principle of the revolt against mere subject in the picture. Why paint history, or symbol, or anything else that is so purely human and secondary in its source? Why not paint what is alone real? This passion for reality leads logically to the search for truth in mere human characterisation, for character is but truth in one of its forms. If you paint man, let it be man as he is, not as he should be in some fantastic theory of the ideal. Courbet must be mentioned here as a precursor, though the principle has been carried far beyond him by later men.

Claude Monet leads them all. His way of painting a landscape is to take, say, a dozen canvases, and to devote each to one particular aspect of the scene as the light marks the true hours of the painter's day. So the one landscape, after the patient labour of many days, comes out as twelve quite different scenes, according to their degrees of illumination. To

Monet's Artistic Methods

plant yourself with but one canvas before a constantly changing scene, and in protracted sittings jumble all its effects together, is but the childishness of art. Monet uses only the so-called primaries, though he is not very strict in the definition of them, and he never mixes the pigments on his palette to get a special combination. He simply lays them on in such a way as to produce by optical suggestion the effect of the combination

he seeks. Hence, when we are near them, his pictures are apt to look quite unintelligible, as an assortment of primitive colour stains without aim or purpose.

But see them at the right distance, and this confusion subsides into a perfectly ordered work flooded with light, and therefore with colour, and abounding in true form and drawing everywhere—not in the drawing of outline, of which Nature knows nothing, but in the drawing of colour, than which she knows of nothing else. The revolution, both in aims and methods, is extraordinary, and is not to be made intelligible by any description; it has to be seen. To be fair to a man almost forgotten, it dates at least from Couture, who, as any of his pupils still living might testify, often painted in this way.

Degas, another great Impressionist, shows the same solicitude for truth in regard to figure and to movement. He, too, has the horror of the crude outline, and holds firmly to the belief that form is but light and shade. He finds movement, by preference, among the ballet

Impressionist School of Painters

girls, and he has painted them by the hundred in all the incidents of the daily practice of their art. Here, we have them at their lessons; there, waiting for their turn; and there again "on" in their fairyland of scenery, gauze, and coloured rays. He is quite pitiless in his passion for truth. Sometimes his nymphs look hungry, sometimes even quite ugly—a lower depth, no doubt, in the professional inferno—as they squat for repose, or writhe in the tortures of the gymnastics of their trade. But by-and-by we shall see them in their appropriate setting, and then all defects of detail will be lost in the illusion of the perfect scene, as their tremulous contours play hide-and-seek with the light from which they spring.

Renoir, another great painter of the Impressionist school, finds his favourite contrasts not so much in light and shade as in light against light, which is, after all, but the expression of the same truth; for shadow itself, as artists know it, is not blackness, but only another degree of light.

The school is a large one now. It has passed its apprenticeship of calumny, poverty, neglect, and it influences all the French painting of the day. It has produced great illustrators—Raffaelli, Forain, Renouard, and Cheret, who has done such

wonders for the art of the poster. It is now on its way to the nirvana of absorption into the light of its origin, to make room for the incarnation of neo-Impressionism in the artists of the Pointillist group. With these, the effects of light, instead of being rendered as in Claude Monet's work by irregularly disposed blobs of colour, if one may use the phrase, are obtained by a sort of mosaic of it, composed of small touches of equal size, and of spherical form. This, in a way, is an attempt to paint the very atoms whose vibrations produce the light itself.

Rodin is Impressionism in sculpture; and he, too, like the painters, works mainly for effects of light, and for character, and so is in full revolt against the academy. Yet he still proclaims his allegiance to the Greeks, who, he declares, managed their statuary on precisely the same principles as his own. He is for new truth in one word, and his new truth is that we do wrong to treat sculpture as a mere glorified study of still life. It is emphatically, even in its most statuesque pose, a thing vibrating with movement, a movement that comes from the play of light on its different masses. These, as they catch the ray, or lose it, form a great harmony; and the statue is to be wrought entirely to the end of the harmony so obtained.

For him there is no such thing as the one view, sole and single, of a piece of statuary. It has to be seen in all its parts, and to be judged by the entire disposition of its masses in regard to the everlasting play of light. His "Age of Bronze" was so much a conceivable thing of life, as distinct from the merely inert thing of the older school, that he was accused of having cast it bodily from his model, and he was compelled to take extraordinary pains to show that he had done nothing of the sort. After this came the "John the Baptist Preaching"—marvellous again in precisely the same way. It is a real man speaking to his fellows, and so wholly absorbed in his message that the whole body of him is in utterance with movements conformable to the working of his soul. He is not thinking of how he stands, or how he walks, for walking he is, but simply of what he has to say; and the last thing of which he is to be suspected is the consciousness of what he is doing. It is almost ridiculous in some of its

sincerities, ridiculous in its suggestion of the utter absence of the sense of effect. The "Burghers of Calais" came later, as another revolt. The revolt might have counted for little with the general beholder, but the note of sincerity was manifest to all. The mythical child of Nature might have judged the work and found it good—the burghers defiant in their dejection, dejected in their defiance, with the hanging lips of scorn and of despair. Think how such a subject might have fared in a studio of the Beaux Arts, and we shall realise the immense advance.

With the Balzac that came long after, Rodin reached his present manner, which is but the old one perfected in the sense of character and freedom of handling, in the deeper learning of the relation of masses, and withal in the profound sense of the symbol, and of the majesty and the greatness of life. He is now a sort of mystic sketching with the chisel as others sketch with the crayon, a Dante, a Blake, a Maeterlinck, dreaming in marble or in bronze. He loses himself now and then, but such misadventure is inseparable from the finding of any new thing. He has enlarged the bounds of sculpture; that is the main point.

Is this to say that he has destroyed the old idealism of the real classic schools or even of the academies? Nothing of the sort. That was, and is, a real thing, too, in its search after one kind of perfection of proportion, and of the perfection of line. He has only shown that it has not exhausted all other possibilities of the quest. The Laocoon, with its divinely restrained anguish and its perfect beauty in distortion, is no less true to one conception of great art than Rodin's famished Ugolino, with the light almost shining through his ribs, is true to another. The point of interest in the new art of France is that it is one with the literature

in being experimental, and something beyond it, in the sense of nature and in the sense of life. Expression of character now stands in the forefront, as distinct from the expression of mere ideals. All the reactions are still possible in all the arts; and the next one in painting and in sculpture may be in the direction of the old classic repose. The good of each successful experiment is that it still leaves some precious addition to the stock of

ideas. There is no finality in anything, simply because there is none in the aspirations of the human spirit. The legend of Eden is still a valid one: we are ever trying to walk as gods.

If France has been less active than of old in science, as generally understood, it is perhaps only because her present quest is for science in all the arts. Everything in France turns on the religious question; it goes straight to the roots of the national life. In a sense there are only two parties in the country—believers and unbelievers. All others are merged in these. You are a clerical, an agnostic, or an atheist, in the first place; the political badge comes after, as it may.

The quarrel between Church and State dates from the Revolution—to go no further back. The Church estates were confiscated after the great upheaval, and parcelled out among various owners, mainly the peasantry. There was no undoing that; but when Napoleon I. came to restore the fabric of institutions, he found a way out of the difficulty. He frankly recognised all the religions—Catholic, Protestant, and Jewish—gave them the right to acquire fresh property, and paid the salaries of their priesthood from public funds as a sort of compensation for the loss of their former income. The State acquired certain privileges of control in return, needless to mention here.

This concordat, as it was called, worked fairly well until our time. Then it was found that the Church was in a way to become as rich as ever by the offerings of the faithful, and to take itself seriously once more as the censor of thought. She was at the same time suspicious of popular government, and was held to be a secret agent of reaction. Hence came a revival of the old and ominous cry of "the Republic in danger," and with it a determination to destroy the concordat,

The War Against Religion to reduce Catholicism to the status of a mere pious opinion, and to deprive that and the other faiths of all official support. This policy was found to unite all the discordant elements of the Republican majority. The popular party—as its strength was measured by votes—was opposed to all religion, as such; the professorial and the middle class generally were scandalised by the claims of the Church to the censorship of ideas.

So the war broke out, with the result of disaster after disaster to the clerical power. The teaching orders, which had a sort of monopoly of the elementary schools, were broken up. Much of the wealth of the Catholic body began to go the old way of confiscation, though a good deal of it was saved by its confidential transfer as private property to the hands of the faithful. The Church was disestablished, the State salaries to the priesthood were withdrawn, while a pension scheme, offered as a sort of compensation for them, was rejected with contumely at the bidding of Rome.

The Protestants and the Jews readily accepted the new state of things, and undertook to make the support of their systems wholly a matter of private and voluntary concern. The Catholics, against whom these measures were really directed, resisted from first to last. But the measures were so acceptable to the governing majority, ruling through the ballot box, that all active resistance was vain. Successive Ministries lived on the policy of suppression. M. Waldeck Rousseau kept his Government together by

Renan the Genial Sceptic this means; so did M. Combes, and M. Clemenceau after him. No matter what the state of the game in party politics, each held this trump card in reserve for emergencies, and won with it. Right or wrong, it is unquestionably the policy of the masses that hold the mastery in France.

Meantime the Church was not idle; and the war was transferred from politics to literature. M. Rod has given us an interesting history of this new clerical reaction in his "Idées Morales du Temps Présent." The movement found "the classes" very much under the sway of that genial sceptic, M. Renan; it left them largely in the hands of M. Brunetière, the Catholic devotee. Renan was scepticism absolute and self-satisfied, scepticism as a dogma, and sufficient to all the needs of the intelligence, if not exactly of the soul.

When his disciples began to look for something more, they found it in the pessimism of Schopenhauer. The reaction against this doctrine, with its revolutionary implications, led straight to the reverence of tradition as the convenient depository of the results of human experience and the only sure guide. M. Brunetière, a sort of pontiff of criticism and literature, boldly proclaimed Catholicism as at once a polity and a system of faith. With this, the

more cultivated thought of France reached its positive current; and at the present time of writing it has irresistible attraction for many minds. M. Bourget, as a thinker, is of that school. M. Jules Lemaître has made a new departure; and, while insisting on the necessity of the religious idea, has found its true source and its authority in our "most distinguished sentiments." It reads like the end of a letter; it is meant for a confession of belief. But the literary reaction is nothing as compared with the solid force of custom that makes for the old cult. The mother of the family in France is, as a rule, Catholic and pious, whatever the father may be; and this in all classes, and in town and country alike. There are two to reckon with in marriage, and when one of them insists on the blessing of the Church, the other has generally to give way.

The children thus get their Catholic teaching, no matter who gives it to them—the mother or the priest—and they make their first communion with all the modest pomp and ceremony that attend the rite. Many of the boys, no doubt, will grow up half-ashamed of it as they pass through the workshop; with the girls its effects are rarely lost. And even among the urban masses and the politicians, the very ultras of infidelity often consent to have their daughters brought up in the Catholic faith. One other tribute to the force of custom must not be forgotten: the churches are open still and as thronged as ever, just as though nothing had happened. Probably, if Rome could be induced to abate half her claims to the absolute direction of the human spirit, her opponents would abate more than half their hostility. The conflict in its acute stage is the result of a natural intolerance and of an incapacity for give and take, of which neither side has the monopoly.

All sorts of attempts were made, both within the Church and without, to establish a basis of agreement between the disputants. The French bishops, or many of them, lent a favourable ear to schemes of compromise, but were overruled from Rome. The Liberal, or modernising Catholic party, represented if not exactly led by the Abbé Loisy, pleaded eloquently for a reconciliation with modern thought, and for an abatement of the Papal claim to supremacy in this domain. But this writer was peremptorily ordered

by the Church to lay down his pen, or to write only in defence of ecclesiastical tradition. The Abbé long protested against the deliberate opposition of Rome to the whole rationalist and scientific movement of the age. "Suppress," he says, "this policy of ideas, and cease to attempt the impossible." In saying this, however, he

**Rome's
Methods with
its Critics**

claimed to be a true son of the Church. So did the late Fr. Tyrrell, whose name is mentioned in this connection only to show that the movement of modernism was by no means confined to priests of French nationality. He demanded not a brand new Catholic theology, but simply one under the progressive influence of that "spirit" of Christianity which was the original principle of life and growth. Rome, however, has dealt as roundly with these individuals as it dealt in the past with the Gallican and all the other Churches claiming an organic life of their own.

The philosophers, of course, have not been able to keep out of the *mêlée*. M. Goutroux, a member of the Institute, has made an attempt at reconciliation in his "Science et Religion." He tries to show that the conflicting forces are not so much concretes as tendencies, and that each is a complement of the other. They do wrong to strive for victory; they should strive for harmony. He is entitled to be heard, if only for the breadth and range of his survey, which includes Comte, Spencer, Haeckel, Ritschel, and William James.

But the greatest of all the apologists of free thought is M. Guyau, who, in a series of brilliant works recently brought to a close by his death, has tried to sketch a "morality without obligation or sanction"—to translate the title of his most famous book. This, like much else that appears in France nowadays, is an implicit abandonment of all attempts to find a common understanding with revealed religion in any of its forms, and an effort to discover the basis of a new faith in the nature of man. The known defect of agnosticism is its want of the categorical imperative for conduct and for life. It is negative at the best; and a positive concept is the only one that can afford a foundational base.

M. Guyau accordingly offers a formula for morals which asks no support from revelation, from tradition, or from ecclesiastical authority, and which derives

its ideal from the realities of existence and its ethic from the constitution of man. His point is, to put it quite briefly, that the altruism which is our higher principle of being is in no wise dependent on theology, commonly so called. It is just as much an essential part of us as the egoism which is supposed to be the lower principle. It

Education the Battlefield of Religion

belongs to man's nature, on its expansive and dynamic side, as distinct from the merely self-preserving instinct of the other part of him, and is a force which carries with it the authority of a vital function. In this way he claims to have solved the problem of egoism and altruism, hitherto the philosopher's stone of speculation, for the benefit of the moralists. We could not, he argues, be completely egoist, even if we tried. To live is to spend ourselves for the good of others, and is at least quite as much a law of biology as to store and acquire for our own good. Pleasure may be a consequence of altruism, but it is not necessarily the end. The end is the sheer necessity of living according to the law—the law of our being, not of any deliverance from any messenger or any mount of God.

In France, as in England, education is the battlefield of religion ; and one section is eagerly in search of a system that may replace the teaching of the old faith. Some think that moral teaching should be given in the schools, others that it should be rigorously excluded from them. M. Compère, a member of the Institute, and a general inspector of public instruction, offers a complete treatise on education, intellectual and moral, in which all the sanctions are derived from laws which are not religious in the conventional sense of the term. Another writer, M. De Monzie, who has held high educational rank, urges the banishment from the schools of ethical teaching in every shape and form. " No more scholastic idealism," he says, " no

Conflict of Church and State

more lay instruction, no more moral catechism ; let us apply the school and the school-teacher to their essential and unique function—education." So the war goes on, and Rome is still unyielding as ever. It can hardly be otherwise. It is bound by its traditional claim for uniformity, as distinct from unity, and is perhaps too deeply pledged for the possibility of change. Policy might suggest the wisdom of compromise, but consistency

forbids. In the voting masses of France, largely alienated from all faith, with whom the issue rests, the Church has encountered a power as implacable as itself. They, too, seem incapable of compromise, and their infidelity is an aggressive force. The same stern necessity is laid on both sides, and they advance to the onset under the impulsion of fate. The conflict now belongs, not so much to the history of a nation as to the history of religion itself. Here, for the first time in the course of human affairs, is a triumphant majority determined to give form and body to a new policy which is nothing less than the complete emancipation of the human spirit from the religious idea.

It is a difficult thing to take a bird's-eye view of a nation, more especially as the results must very much depend on the eye of the bird. France is described as at the height of her greatness, or in full decadence, according to the observer. Some think that with her declining population, heavy taxes, her disordered Budget, with its immense allocations for all sorts of fanciful schemes, and its annual estimates of something like £180,000,000 sterling,

Triumphant Legions of Free Thought

she cannot possibly long keep her place in the van of civilisation. Others rejoice in the fact that the Republic has won the goodwill of all the nations but one, founded a huge colonial empire, and enormously increased her trade with Britain and with the world. The present system is, at least, fully entitled to give itself the benefit of the doubt, and to boast of its contribution to the national prosperity. One thing is certain—the nation is now quite self-governing for good or ill, and in the full enjoyment of the privilege of suffering for her own mistakes.

The dynastic conflict is at an end ; the religious conflict alone threatens domestic peace. It is serious—that is not to be denied. Both sides are to blame, for both have yet to learn the lesson of intellectual toleration.

But, as commonly happens in such cases, the one that wins least sympathy from the beholder is the one that has the upper hand. The triumphant legions of free thought have everything to fear from a reaction. A powerful minority of the peasantry, with the women, who are nearly a majority of the whole people, will not patiently consent to be hindered in the exercise of an old faith while a new one

FRANCE IN OUR OWN TIME

is still in the making. Religion is an institution, as well as a matter of private concern, and it must naturally have immense claims on the veneration of millions of struggling souls. The United States form a stronger Republican government than even France, and, with them, religion is as free as the air. No doubt they are happily exempt from some of the peculiar difficulties of the sister polity. France has had to disestablish a Church; they never made the mistake of establishing one. Confiscation would seem to be an indispensable agency of government, since it has gone on all through history; but it is still a two-edged sword whose cut is apt to be quite as deadly in the swing as in the stroke. There would be sound policy in sending the Church on her way contented, even at the cost of pecuniary sacrifice, and thenceforth in leaving her severely alone.

In education the Republic has made immense strides. The best teaching is now accessible to every citizen, high or low, according to the measure of his powers. The communal school has become a sort of starting-point of social equality; there

France's Educational Strides

is no great distinction of classes under its roof, and the humblest pass with little pecuniary difficulty to the higher grades. The "Lycée," corresponding roughly to our middle-class school and public school, is incomparably superior to these in regard to its cost and to the technical quality of the instruction. Here, too, all classes study side by side. Beyond these are the schools for the army, navy, engineering, and other specialised callings. Beyond them, again, is the university, equally accessible to all, but in practice mainly reserved for students of law and of the teaching profession, since the other establishments provide for all ordinary needs.

The whole system has but one defect—it still leaves a good deal to be desired in regard to the culture of character. It is far better than our own as a preparation for careers; not so good as a preparation for life. But it is greatly improving in the sense of the educational value of sports and games, though, in that respect, its faults have been exaggerated. The British system still aims at training a select class for the work of government and administration; the French, with its strong equalitarian bias, insists on giving a chance to all. Here, again, the religious difficulty has been the lion in the path. France has been

driven by the force of circumstances to resist the clerical claim to supremacy in education. The starting-point of this movement of revolt was the law on the composition of the superior council of education. The famous Article VII. of that measure declared that no one belonging to a "non-authorised" religious congregation should take part in the management of public or free education. At that time, the public schools were in the hands of over 30,000 members of a teaching brotherhood of the Church entirely free from secular supervision. The new law brought the lay teachers into the work, and established training colleges in each department.

France has not escaped a "feminist" question, though her difficulties have not reached the same acute stage as our own. One reason is that socially the French woman holds a position with which she is fairly satisfied. She keeps much more in her class, and shares the class sentiment, and the class ideals. She is fully occupied, and with the substantial aid she gives her husband in business—and is expected to give—she escapes all risk of becoming the inhabitant of a doll's house.

This state of things can hardly be said to apply to the purely industrial classes. Here we find that, while the women count something more than as one to two of the men in numbers, they are paid something less than as two to one. It was a professional humorist rather than a strict logician who pleaded that, although he came to business later, he invariably went away earlier than his brother clerks.

The most satisfactory note of progress for the foreign observer is that the country is now wedded to the idea of peace. It has not lost the old spirit of resistance to aggression, but it has unquestionably parted with the old love of fighting for fighting's sake. The embarrassments of the French Government in Morocco have

really been due far less to German diplomacy than to the extraordinary unwillingness of the French people to enter into a war of adventure. The yearning for peace is shown by the very excesses of the demand for it, for some fanatics would abolish the army altogether. M. Jaurès, however, who best represents the entire French democracy, has declared that a war in defence of the country would unite all Frenchmen able

to bear arms. He draws the line at aggression, and he would go so far as to compel all governments to submit disputes to arbitration, at the peril of being regarded as enemies of the human race.

Enough has been said to show that France is strong, prosperous, bold in experiment in literature, science and the arts, alive in every sense.

RICHARD WHITEING.

LATER EVENTS IN FRANCE

SERIOUS political opposition from Royalists or Imperialists has long ceased to threaten the stability of Republican Government in France, but the religious question and the social question remain unsettled, and have been a source of danger to the internal peace of the nation in the twentieth, as they were in the nineteenth century. The religious question has turned mainly on the education to be provided in the schools, and the anti-clerical majority in the Chamber has steadily supported the Government in its policy of complete lay control. All the Socialist groups in France, however strongly opposed to the Government on other matters, agree with the Radicals in the demand for complete secular education, and as the Socialists secured over a hundred members in the Chamber at the elections of 1914, and thus became the strongest of all the parties, the Government, relying on their support, safely continued its campaign against the teaching of Christianity in the schools. In fact, the anti-clerical policy has been the one policy the French Government has been able to pursue without coming into conflict with the Radical financiers in the Senate who so largely influence and control the direction of politics in France. At the same time, even so prominent an anti-clerical as M. Combes has suggested that the time has come to call a halt in the attack on religion, and more than one public man has expressed a doubt whether the suppression of religion in the schools is not responsible for the increase of juvenile crime in France.

The social question has been aggravated indirectly by the anti-clerical campaign, for by the Government neglecting or failing to carry all legislation for social reform, and concentrating on the struggle with the Catholic Church, the belief has gained ground amongst numbers of workmen that Parliament is impotent to change things for the better where the working class is concerned. This belief is mainly responsible for the growth of revolutionary Syndicalism, and the popular advocacy of "direct action" by strikes and sabotage

in place of political action by legislation. France is indeed the cradle of Syndicalism, and while the revolutionary tradition dates from the great Revolution of the eighteenth century, it is the France of recent years that has brought Syndicalism to the front and made it a living faith amongst thousands of workmen in France, Italy, and Spain.

Philosophically, Syndicalism insists on the ever-changing character of human life and all its institutions, denies permanency in the social order, and insists that the future of society must be developed as it will on the break up of the existing fabric, and cannot be guided by the past or foretold. On the last point comes the difference from the Socialist philosophy of Marx and his disciples, the Socialist prophesying the coming ownership and direction of all collective industry by a democratic State. Practically, the Syndicalists enjoin industrial action in place of political action, and look to the trade unions to cease from taking part in politics and to devote themselves to becoming guilds owning and guiding each particular industry—the old idea of Robert Owen, and in its peaceful form bearing fruit in co-operative enterprise.

But the real danger to society from the Syndicalists, especially in France, where revolutionary violence has a tradition, is the essentially anarchist doctrine at the root of their propaganda. Proclaiming the destruction of existing institutions as a necessity for the freedom of the labourer from wage service, and insisting on the reality of "the class war" between capital and workmen, Syndicalism deliberately encourages in France all attacks on the property of capitalists that may diminish their possessions or alarm them into yielding to working-class demand. The strike, according to the Syndicalist, is a weapon of offence, to be sprung suddenly on the capitalist, to be extended indefinitely and to culminate in a general strike of all labour for the coercion of the rest of the nation. The strike is also to be accompanied by any damage to property (sabotage) that may help to weaken the

THE PRINCIPALITY OF MONACO

employer's position or arouse attention in the country.

The Confédération Générale du Travail has been more than once the exponent of Syndicalism in France in recent years. The popularity of Syndicalism has fluctuated. The Socialists increased their strength in the Chamber at the General Election of 1914, and the trade unions of Catholic workmen in France have also added considerably to their membership. Besides the religious and social questions, the passing of an Act in 1913, restoring the three years' service in the Army

—given up in 1905 in favour of two years—is notable as a reply to the German Military Laws of 1911, 1913. In January, 1913, M. Raymond Poincaré, then Prime Minister, was elected to the Presidency of the Republic, and his accession to this office was regarded as a victory for moderate principles and stable government. The State visit of King George V. and Queen Mary to Paris in the spring of 1914 was an occasion of the friendliest demonstrations, and further evidence of the cordial friendship existing between France and Great Britain.

THE PRINCIPALITY OF MONACO

GEOGRAPHICALLY, this tiny principality, with its area of eight square miles, and resident population of some 22,000, is at present an "enclave" of France, as the French Department of the Alpes Maritimes surrounds it on all sides, except to the south, where it borders on the Mediterranean. It may be said to owe its present political existence and independence to the goodwill of France, though its language and traditions are Italian. In the days of the French Revolution it actually did belong to France, but its independence was restored by the Allies in 1814, who, in the following year, placed it under the protection of the King of Sardinia. Up till 1861 the principality included Mentone and Roquebrune, but in that year the

reigning prince, Charles III., ceded his rights over them to France for nearly £200,000. The present ruler, Prince Albert, came to the throne in 1889, and in 1911 established a National Council of 21 members elected every four years by manhood suffrage. There is also a Council of State. The principality consists of three towns—Monaco, Condamine, and Monte Carlo. It is through the last named that Monaco is known to all the world, for Monaco simply means Monte Carlo, and Monte Carlo simply means gambling.

Monte Carlo, which is a few miles from Nice, the beautiful town on the Riviera sprang into notice with the building of its famous—or infamous—Casino in 1858, though gambling had begun there two years



MONTE CARLO, THE BEAUTIFUL PLEASURE RESORT

earlier. In 1861 Charles III. granted a concession for fifty years to run the place as a gambling concern in a highly elaborate way, the concession eventually passing into the hands of a joint-stock company, taking care at the same time to do everything that was possible to add to the great natural attractiveness of the site; for there is no doubt that Monte Carlo is one of the most charming and delightful spots in Europe, with an almost perfect winter climate. The company, which is called the Société Anonyme des Bains de Mer et du Cercle des Étrangers de Monaco, was given an extension of its privileges in 1898, and this new contract does not expire until 1947.

Practically the whole cost of the government of the principality is borne by this organisation, which, in addition, pays

Prince Albert an annual sum of £70,000 up to 1917, when the sum will be increased to £80,000; in 1927 it is to rise to £90,000, and in 1937 to £100,000. Besides these sums, the company paid a bonus to the prince in 1899 of £400,000, and another bonus of the amount of £600,000 in 1913. The company has a capital of £1,200,000, and its shares are valuable. These facts are eloquent testimony that the "tables" pay their proprietors, but nobody else, save the prince and a few others; yet there is little or no diminution in the volume of gambling from year to year. The truth is that the principality is a vast gambling hell, and it is this, and not its beauty, that mainly attracts to it many thousands of visitors every year.

ROBERT MACHRAY

THE REPUBLIC OF ANDORRA


PERCHED amongst the high mountains of the Eastern Pyrenees, with one foot in France and the other in Spain, this small commonwealth—for that term really describes it better than republic—has existed for something like a thousand years. Its area is no more than 175 square miles, and its population about 6,000; it has never been any larger or more populous; yet for all this length of time it has been an independent and autonomous state, undergoing practically no change—a fact which finds no parallel in history save in the somewhat similar instance of the Republic of San Marino, in Italy. It is a patriarchal and even primitive little country, with only one good road through it, and that available only in fine weather, the other means of communication being mere hill tracks more suitable for goats than human beings. The most exciting event which has occurred in Andorra since the days of Charlemagne, who is said to have given it its first charter of freedom, was its connection with France by a line of telegraph in 1893, an innovation to which not a few of its inhabitants were bitterly opposed.

Though independent, Andorra is under a sort of joint suzerainty of France, whose influence is steadily increasing in the country, and of the Bishop of Urgel, a Spanish ecclesiastic, in whose diocese it was once included; the frontier of Andorra is some sixteen miles from the town of Urgel, in Spain. The republic

consists of six parishes, each of which sends four members to a council; the council elect from themselves two syndics to preside over the destinies of the land. There are two criminal judges, called *viguier*s (vicars), one of whom is appointed by France and the other by the Bishop of Urgel. A civil judge is also elected alternately by France and the Bishop of Urgel. The Andorrans, however, remain indifferent to these symbols of authority, and imperturbably preserve their immemorial independence; but of late years the children of the better classes are being sent to France for their education. The postal and telegraphic arrangements, too, are under French control. On the other hand, the money in circulation is Spanish, and the language is Catalan.

The people themselves are a cheerful and sturdy race of mountaineers, chiefly concerned with their flocks and herds—when they do not happen to be engaged in smuggling, for which Andorra affords unique opportunities. Taxation is, to all intents, nil; but a sum of £40 is paid for "protection" each year to both France and the Bishop of Urgel, and the raising of this sum constitutes the main feature of the Andorran Budget. Perhaps nothing could more clearly show just what the country is than to say that while the first floor of its Palacio is occupied by the Council Chamber, the centre of its government, the ground floor is a stable for the horses of its executive and members of Parliament.

ROBERT MACHRAY



SPAIN IN OUR OWN TIME

THE NATION'S NEW ERA OF PROGRESS

By Martin Hume, M.A.

THE revolution of 1868 in Spain, profound and disintegrating as it looked for a time, was almost purely political in its direct results. The already recognised right of private judgment in religion was, it is true, slightly extended, but in every other respect the national life was barely affected by the violent outburst which expelled Isabella II. from her throne and country. There was no radical change effected in social relations, in the organisation and compensation of labour, in the basis of taxation, or in the relations between Church and State.

The entire rearrangement of political parties, which was the principal outcome of the revolution, prepared the way for far-reaching changes which are now operative or impending. The accession to the revolutionary ranks of the "Union Liberal," or Moderate Liberals, ensured the success of the revolt, but it also involved the disappearance of the party itself as a separate entity; and on the restoration of Alfonso XII., in 1875, a new division of political parties was practically complete. The old purely Conservative party, had disappeared as a governing factor, and the new Conservatives, who had brought about the restoration, were evolved as a separate political group from the moderate elements of the revolution itself. Thus Spain turned her back upon the past, and since then has been governed by parties, which, whether

postponing its convictions, both on religious and social problems, to the need for consolidating the throne of the child-king by the support of Spaniards of all opinions. The attitude of the official Liberal party led finally to the formation of a strong new group of Democrats pledged to far-reaching social reforms and

to antagonism to the influence of the clergy, but on each occasion that this Democratic party—led with conspicuous ability by Señor Canalejas—coalesced with the traditional Liberals under Señor Moret for the purpose of forming a government, the coalition was unable to withstand the strain imposed by divergent opinions, mainly on the question of the Church and the conventual orders.

The accession to effective kingship of Alfonso XIII., amidst the universal goodwill of his people, did not to any considerable extent alter the situation created and fixed by his wise and prudent mother during her long regency. The political parties alternate in power as before, the real differences between their respective policies in office being extremely slight, however democratic may be the professions of the Liberal party when in opposition, since both groups of politicians have agreed to rule constitutionally and accept the principle of popular government.

Both parties, it is true, are equally ready to manipulate the elections in the most unblushing manner in order to secure power and office for themselves; but to the people at large it matters little which political combination rules them, since the effect in either case is practically the same. The main aspirations of the country, indeed, are less towards political than towards social change, as the people have already lost faith, as a result of experience, in the efficiency of political convulsions to remedy the ills of which

Queen
Christina as
Regent

they call themselves Liberals, Conservatives, or Democrats, are all essentially Liberal in their dependence upon popular

sentiment and their acknowledgment of the supremacy of the national will. For many years of the long regency of Queen Christina, 1885-1901, politicians of both parties chivalrously abstained from action likely to disturb or excite the public mind, the Liberal party especially

they complain. In the meanwhile the Socialist party in the country has increased enormously, especially in Catalonia and Biscay, where the manufacturing activity is most marked; and, as a consequence, projected legislation, under the guidance of either of the two great political parties, has mainly taken the

form of Factory Acts, the limitation of the hours of labour, the restriction of the industrial employment of children, and other measures directed towards the social amelioration of the working classes. A remarkable instance of this is given by the Act for the compulsory Sunday closing of all business establishments, except those devoted to the sale of prepared food, and the legal enforcement of a weekly day of rest in all trades.

In this both Socialists and Clericals have co-operated, although it forms a revolution in the traditional habits of the people, and has only been rendered operative at the cost of considerable friction. Another demand persistently made by working-class politicians, but hitherto unattained, owing to party dissensions, is the regulation of the monastic establishments with the object of suppressing the unfair industrial competition with regular workmen arising out of the extensive manufactories carried on by some of the conventual houses.

The most striking change, however, in the position of Spain in the last few years is to be seen in the re-entry of the country into active participation in the concert of European nations. This had been traditionally difficult, as the mutual jealousies of France and Britain had usually stood in the way of a close co-operation between Spain and both of those countries simultaneously. The exigencies of European politics having drawn together Britain and France, the principal obstacle to the resumption by Spain of an important part in international politics was removed,

and the situation, particularly as regards Mediterranean problems, was profoundly affected thereby. It had been an article of faith with Spaniards for centuries, and especially since their successful war with Morocco in 1860, that when the inevitable break up of the Moorish Empire in North-West Africa should take place Spain must inherit a considerable share of the country opposite her own shores, in addition to the places of arms she already held at Melilla

and Ceuta. Unfortunately for her, when the Anglo-French agreement was signed on April 8th, 1904, recognising on the part of Great Britain the future preponderating influence of France in Morocco, Spain was unready and badly served diplomatically, and her traditional interests were to a great extent ignored, as indeed were those of England. But the subsequent Act of Algeciras to some slight extent recognised Spain's right to take part in the civilisation of the neighbouring Moslem country, by conferring upon her jointly with France the mandate of the Powers to police the ports in the interests of the world generally.

Spain has therefore had to sacrifice many of her hopes and dreams in this direction; but it is evident that however much French dominion may in time extend over Morocco, the proximity and long-standing intercommunication between the latter country and Spain will ensure that the predominating ethnological and civilising element will be Spanish. Nor has the sacrifice been entirely without compensation. The cordial friendship both with Britain and France, cemented in the former

case by the auspicious marriage of King Alfonso XIII. with an English princess, not only ensures, as far as is humanly possible, Spain's own immunity from attack, but very greatly increases the probability of continued European peace. The reconstruction of the Spanish navy, destroyed in the Spanish-American War, has in the opinion of Spaniards become a necessity of the new international importance of their country, and several proposals with that object have been made to successive Parliaments. The financial sacrifices necessary for the purpose, however, prevented the adoption of any large naval scheme until late in 1908, when the difficulties were overcome and a large shipbuilding programme was definitely adopted. On the fulfilment of this, in the course of three or four years, Spain will once more enter into the circle of important maritime Powers.

Although the agricultural and viticultural districts of the country are still suffering much poverty and hardship, Spain has in several unexpected ways greatly benefited by the loss of her great colonies in the West Indies and the Philippines, in addition to the relief afforded by the cessation of the drain of men and money which had continued for so

many years in her effort to hold them. The sudden disappearance of the protected colonial markets for Spanish goods threw the Catalan manufacturers into a panic of fear for the very existence of their numerous industries, but matters in this respect have righted themselves in an extraordinary manner. The adoption of a protective fiscal policy, in 1892, by Spain had caused a great increase of activity in Spanish manufactures for home and colonial consumption; but it also resulted in a restriction of foreign trade and heavy liquidations, causing a depletion of currency with the issue of quantities of small paper money, the international exchange being thereby raised to the ruinous rate of thirty-three pesetas (£1 (s. 1½d.) to the pound sterling, instead of twenty-five, which was the par value. Although this entailed great hardship upon those, including the Government, who had to pay sums of money abroad, or who consumed foreign goods, and it made the cost of living considerably higher than it had been, it greatly stimulated Spanish manufactures, especially for export, since the low value of the Spanish currency caused the productions of Catalonia and other manufacturing centres to appear very cheap when compared with their foreign gold value. In 1899, for the first time in fifty years, the balance of trade turned slightly in favour of Spain; and in 1906 the exports considerably exceeded the imports, the former having been 1,018,387,000 pesetas, £40,735,180, in value, and the latter 884,800,000, £35,392,000. Though this is producing an improved exchange, and a nearer approach to the long projected rehabilitation of the gold currency and equalisation of international exchange, it tends in the near future to bring its own antidote in a restriction of exports when money values in Spain and abroad are the same.

In the meanwhile, the purchasing power of wages being much reduced, and the demand for the commoner wines being diminished by the French protective duties, the condition of the

working classes generally in Spain is deplorable to the last degree. This is seen in many ways, especially in the great growth of mendicancy, and in the constant increase of emigration to South America, which is fast draining whole districts of their best peasantry. The number of emigrants from Spanish ports in 1900 was 63,000, and in 1904, 87,300; whilst in 1905 no less than 126,000 Spaniards abandoned their homes in search of better conditions of life abroad, and in a recent voyage the present writer saw sixty Spanish stowaways on a single steamer. This poverty amongst the peasantry is contrasted sadly with the enormous increase of luxury and expenditure of the higher classes in the towns, and especially in Madrid, owing in great

measure to the return to Spain of rich colonials when Spain lost her dependencies, and also to the large fortunes made by the manufacturers and capitalists since the protective tariffs were re-imposed in 1892.

Throughout the history of Spain the predominating desire of the people has been for continued separate provincial existence, and most of the unrest of the country has had this desire for its origin. The demand for continued or increased local autonomy

was in times past the principal support upon which the hopes of the clerical Don Carlos depended; but in the last few years the cause of provincial home rule for Catalonia, Biscay, Galicia, etc., has turned from Carlism, which is recognised as a dying force, and has largely allied itself to the advanced Socialist party. In Catalonia, where the demand for complete autonomy has always been strongest, the cry for home rule, now almost unanimous, is bound up with the powerful provincial interest in maintaining a protective policy for the whole of Spain.

The Catalan party in the Cortes are united, active, and able, but they have naturally against them the whole of the representatives of the poorer agricultural provinces—the greater part of Spain. In the direction of literary activity



KING ALFONSO AND HIS HEIR

The posthumous son of Alfonso XII., he was proclaimed King on the day of his birth, May 17th, 1880; ascending the throne in 1902, he married Princess Ena of Battenberg in 1906, and in the following year the heir was born.

Spain has shown a remarkable change of tendency in the last few years. The more serious writers are directing their attention almost entirely to studies of sociology in its various forms, with a view, apparently, to discovering the causes and remedies of Spain's continued adversity. This constant introspection on the part of

Cause of Spanish Unrest Spaniards at the present time to some extent provides a solution to the problem they set themselves. Whilst they are minutely discussing their national shortcomings and peculiarities, other nations are working; whilst they are doubting and despairing, other peoples are pushing ahead in hope; whilst they are waiting upon Providence, others are forcing Providence to wait upon them. The national character is a strange mixture of exalted idealism and utilitarian worldliness, and it has become so much afraid of its own ideality, which it calls Quixotism, as to shrink from enterprises that demand a measure of imagination and faith in the future.

A great deal of the listlessness which characterises Spanish life springs from this national lack of faith in action, unless the result to be attained is visible and immediate; and although the sociological experts, who for the last few years have written of little else in Spain, formulate many diagnoses of the maladies of their country, there is a general consensus of opinion that the main evil that afflicts the body politic is Spain's want of that ardent belief in her own destiny which in the days of her greatness constituted the secret of her success amongst nations. The introspective note is manifested as much in the works of the modern writers of fiction in Spain as in those of the professed sociologists. The school of romantic writing which flourished in the mid-nineteenth century and drew its inspiration from France and England has now disappeared, and the modern Spanish novel deals almost

Spain's Literary Activity invariably, in an analytical and psychological spirit, with the contrast between the fervent religious belief of old Spain and the rationalistic tendencies of to-day, between the proud Spanish traditions of grave deliberation and the bustling activity of the present age, between the patriarchal conservatism of the soil and the vociferous demands of labour for a due share of the richness and sweetness of life. The education of the people of Spain

still lags behind that of other European nations, although compulsory education was decreed as far back as 1857. The schoolmasters have always been wretchedly underpaid, and too often not paid at all, by the provincial and town councils, upon whom they depended, and the compulsory clauses have been almost entirely disregarded. Recently, however, a distinctly better spirit is being manifested in this respect, a special Ministry of Public Instruction having been formed, and the State having assumed authority over the schools. The present percentage of total illiterates is about 65 per cent. of the population, as against 75 per cent. fifty years ago. The total cost of primary education is not less than £1,000,000 sterling per annum, mostly falling upon the local authorities, the whole country being divided into ten educational districts for purposes of inspection and control of the 25,340 primary schools, the number of scholars upon the books being 1,620,000, whilst the whole population of the country is approximately 19,500,000. Spain still suffers from the lamentable

Madrid's Rapid Advance lack of enterprise of its rural and provincial populations outside of the great industrial centres of Catalonia and Biscay.

The land is still cultivated listlessly and on methods long since obsolete elsewhere. The area planted with vines is about 3,600,000 acres, the produce of which, in 1905, was 3,079,925 tons of grapes, yielding 389,482,116 gallons of wine. The area under olive trees is about 3,250,000 acres, producing on an average 39,500,000 gallons of oil; these two products, with mineral ores and fruit, form the bulk of Spain's exports to foreign countries, England being now by far the largest consumer of Spanish produce, and the largest supplier of merchandise to Spain.

The change that within the last few years has brought Spain once more into the family of European nations of the first class has also profoundly affected the social life of the capital. Madrid has grown enormously both in size and population, the inhabitants now numbering nearly 600,000, and some of the thoroughfares and trading establishments are as handsome as any in Europe. The attachment of the present king for everything English, and the natural influence of an English-born queen, have greatly increased the adoption of English manners, fashions, sports and

taste amongst the upper classes, by whom the English language is being studied very widely; whilst the large number of English visitors and the ever-growing relations between the two countries, are already to a great extent leading Spaniards of the middle class to adopt new standards of comfort, well-being and hygiene.

The last few years, moreover, especially since the accession of Alfonso XIII., have seen a considerable diminution in the social and political power of the clergy, and Spain can at the present time in no sense be called a priest-ridden country. In the great industrial centres, and particularly in Catalonia and Valencia, free thought in religion to a great extent accompanies the advance of political Socialism, and a perfect freedom of expression on matters relating to religion is indulged in.

The bulk of the population, nevertheless, in Castile and the south, are faithful in their observance of the dictates of the Church, and an unsuccessful attempt of the Liberal Government in 1907 to pass a measure for regulating the monastic orders led to the fall of the Ministry and the accession of the Conservatives under Señor Maura to power. The number of religious houses now existing in the country is 3,253, of which

Spain's Religious Problems

597 are for men, and the rest for women, there being still over 10,000 monks and 40,000 nuns in the cloisters. The relations between Rome and the Spanish Church are still those settled by the concordat of 1851, and all attempts to rearrange them in a more liberal spirit have failed before the strong Catholic feeling still prevalent in the country and Parliament. Similarly, the scanty concession granted to Protestants and other non-Catholic religious bodies after the revolution of 1868 is still the largest measure of liberty granted, non-orthodox worship being licit, but no outward sign or announcement of it being allowed.

The constitution which rules the country is still in substance that which was adopted in 1876, after the restoration of Alfonso XII., with some modifications of secondary importance. The main principle of this charter is contained in the formula: "The power to make laws resides in the Cortes and the king," the Cortes consisting of two co-legislative bodies of equal power. The popular Chamber, or Congress of Deputies, consists at present of 406 unpaid

members, representing one for every 50,000 of the population of the country, the election being by secondary vote of boards elected on manhood suffrage in one-member districts, with the exception of 98 deputies, who are chosen by twenty-eight large districts where minorities are represented. The Upper Chamber, or Senate,

How the Country is Ruled

consists of 180 elected members, and a lesser but indefinite number of nominated and ex-officio members. Of the elected senators, 130 are chosen by 49 provinces, the electoral body being co-opted from the provincial councillors, town councillors, and largest taxpayers, whilst the remaining thirty elected senators are chosen by Archbishop Chapters, universities and chartered learned and philanthropic societies.

The Senators nominated by the Crown must fulfil certain stringent conditions of position, age, and annual income, whilst those who sit by right are grantees of Spain, possessing an income of at least 60,000 pesetas, £2,400, per annum—field-marsals, archbishops, sons of the sovereign, and the presidents of the Councils of State, Navy, and War, and of the Supreme Court.

The machinery of government is, as will be seen, democratic, as befits a nation in which social distinction is less marked than in any other in Europe; but the invariable corruption of the elections, and the apathy of all those who are not politicians, place in the hands of the executive almost unrestrained power. That, as a rule, they do not abuse it greatly to the detriment of the governed is due mainly to the tolerant democratic spirit which pervades all classes of Spaniards, and so long as the members of each political party can in alternation enjoy the privileges and profits of power there is no danger of any attempt at oppression of the people who pay. On the

The Hard Lot of the Spaniards

other hand, the mass of the population go their way with little regard for politicians of either persuasion, content if the powers that be will improve the well-being of those whose hard lot it is to live for ever on the brink of want, forming the great majority of the nation, ill-housed, ill-paid, ill-fed, ill-taught, a patient, hopeful and long-suffering people, who deserve a better fate than misgovernment in the past has brought to them. MARTIN HUME



PORTUGAL IN OUR OWN TIME

THE FATEFUL RISING AGAINST THE MONARCHY

By Martin Hume, M.A.

PORTUGAL of to-day presents a typical example of a state wherein, the representative institutions being in advance of the general standard of enlightenment, a comparatively small class of politicians has been able, owing to the apathy and ignorance of the mass of the people, to corrupt and stultify a governing machinery ostensibly democratic. As happened in Spain, the dynastic rivalry led to the granting of a constitution on modern lines to Portugal in 1836 by Dom Pedro IV., who immediately afterwards abdicated in favour of his infant daughter, Maria da Gloria, with his Conservative and Clerical brother, Dom Miguel, as regent.

Such a combination could offer no permanency, and the dynastic struggle that ensued followed the same course as in Spain, the young queen representing the parliamentary party, and Dom Miguel the reactionaries. As a consequence of the final triumph of the former, the extremely guarded constitution of Dom Pedro was reformed on several occasions in a democratic sense; and, although the royal prerogative was maintained in legislation and administration to an extent unexampled in other modern parliamentary states, the ostensible form of government became in the end essentially democratic.

Up to the year 1884 the House of Peers, whose legislative rights were equal to those of the elected Assembly, consisted entirely of nobles unlimited in number, chosen for life by the sovereign, and this in conjunction with the operative right of veto by the king gave to the latter practically uncontrolled power over legislation, no matter how democratic the Lower House might be. The constitutional struggle therefore turned for many years past upon the attempts of Democrats to reduce the royal prerogative over legislation, administration, and finance, the last

subject being that which appealed most strongly to an overburdened, poor, and laborious agricultural people. In the course of the struggle the sovereign was, of necessity, brought into opposition with the more advanced section of his subjects; and, as a consequence, a very powerful Republican party steadily developed, and the relations between the Crown and the nation at large often became strained, notwithstanding the personal popularity and earnest good intentions of the king, Dom Carlos himself. The complete apathy of the mass of the population allowed the rival political parties to alternate in office mainly for the benefit of their partisans, and with little regard for the public interest; the late king, Dom Carlos, being made, with lack of magnanimity, the scapegoat for each party in turn whilst it was in opposition.

His own patriotism and desire to serve the best interests of his country were unquestionable; but his position became intolerable in view of the corruption of the administrative and electoral machinery by politicians, and the ungenerous attitude of each parliamentary opposition towards him. He had abstained from exercising to the full the powerful prerogatives he possessed under the constitution, and interfered as little as possible with the acts of his administrators.

He had acquiesced in the considerable extensions of the suffrage, and in the strict limitation, and provisions for the eventual extinction of, hereditary legislative peerages; but, unlike other constitutional sovereigns, he found the political parties unwilling to present a bulwark between him and the popular discontent aroused by oppressive taxation and administrative corruption, for which he was not responsible. Upon the king, most unjustly, was cast the onus of unpopularity

Rise of Republic- anism

Unlimited Power of the Peers

PORTUGAL IN OUR OWN TIME

caused by the inevitable submission of Portugal to the British ultimatum with regard to the encroachments in East Africa in 1890. The accusation was levelled against him that he had allowed his Anglophil tendencies to override the interests of his own country; and when, as a sequel to this agitation, a dangerous Republican revolt was suppressed in Oporto early in 1891, the king was again held personally responsible for the repressive measures that followed, and for the delay in granting an amnesty to the revolutionaries.

The main source of discontent has always been financial. Portugal, being in the main agricultural, is a poor country, and past mal-administration and present-day jobbery have burdened the people with a taxation out of proportion to their means. It was found that however great were the promises made by politicians in opposition, no relief to the taxpayer was afforded by either party when in power. In this respect, too, the king was made the scapegoat. The whole administration was wasteful and corrupt; but upon the

The Royal Family Criticised

expenditure for the royal establishment most of the criticism was directed. The Civil List amounted to about £112,000 per annum, and although this was comparatively modest for a nation whose annual revenue was some £13,000,000, it formed the basis for constant attacks upon the sovereign and his family, who found it quite insufficient for their needs, and the king had consequently incurred heavy indebtedness to the State.

The position had thus become intolerable. The elective Chamber of Parliament was unblushingly manipulated by both parties in succession, and was representative only in name, notwithstanding the existence of universal manhood suffrage limited only by the ability to read and write. The public offices were crowded by idle parasites of politicians, and the pension list was full of scandalous abuses. In these circumstances a coup d'état was effected by the Prime Minister, Senhor João Franco at the end of 1906, with the co-operation of the king. Representative institutions were suspended, and the king and his dictator declared that until an uncorrupted and independent parliament could be summoned they would govern Portugal by royal decree.

The bold step naturally aroused the violent opposition and protest of all classes of politicians, thus deprived of their

unholy gains. Protest was met by prosecution and further measures of repression, and the country was deprived of all pretence of representative government, both in national and local affairs. The avowed policy of Senhor Franco and the king was to purify the administration and establish economy of the national resources, and

King in Debt to the State

the new broom swept with devastating effect into the dark corners of the government service. Unfortunately, the maintenance of such an open violation of national rights and traditions, however salutary this might be, entailed the keeping of the armed forces in a good humour, and money that was saved in one direction was squandered in another.

The Civil List, whilst ruthlessly reduced in some of its items, was increased in the aggregate to some £137,000, and the indebtedness of the king to the State, a sum of £154,000, was extinguished by a piece of financial jugglery which reflected little credit upon either the sovereign or the Minister. The great mass of the people had long since lost faith in the efficacy of political action to redress the evils of poverty and backwardness under which they suffered; the king personally was genial, kindly, and popular, and, although politicians of all shades denounced the dictatorship in unmeasured terms, the country at large went on its laborious way without audible or visible protest against the deprivation of its liberties—liberties which they recognised had not to any extent remedied the hard conditions under which the majority of the people lived.

Attempts were made by the regular dynastic parliamentary parties to use for their ends the heir apparent, an amiable young prince, called after his great grandfather, the King of the French, Luis Philip, and in his name to form a parliamentary cabal against King Carlos. The queen, also, a gifted and popular lady of singularly noble character, was

Intrigues Against the King

understood to be opposed to the dictatorship, which she considered endangered the stability of the throne and the life of her husband. The young Crown Prince Luis Philip was removed for a time from the intrigues of the constitutional parties by sending him upon an extensive tour of the Portuguese African colonies, and after his return to Portugal he stood aloof from all attempts to estrange him from his father.

Thus matters stood in January, 1908, when the royal family passed a few weeks at the ancient Braganza possession of Villa Viçosa, in the Alem-Tejo, east of Lisbon. In their absence from the capital the opposition to the dictatorship became more pronounced and active, especially amongst the Republican party, always ready to profit by the dissensions amongst the dynastic groups. The Press organs of Senhor Franco, the dictator, announced that a widespread republican conspiracy had been discovered, and a great number of arrests of political opponents of the dictatorship were effected as a precautionary measure on the eve of the king's return to Lisbon, whilst on the day previous to his expected arrival, January 31st, 1908, a decree was published suspending the personal guarantees, and declaring the right of the Government to imprison or expel citizens without form of law.

The state of affairs was known to be critical on the day fixed for the arrival of the royal family in Lisbon, February 1st, 1908, but Senhor Franco was confident of being able to preserve order, as the army and police were known to be faithful, and the great mass of the population were

apathetic, knowing, as they did, that the king meant well by the nation, and that the evils that he and Senhor Franco were endeavouring to remedy by unconstitutional means were real and great.

It was in the waning light of early evening when the king and queen, with their two sons, Luis Philip and Manuel, landed at the quay on the Praça de Commercio at Lisbon from the railway station on the other side of the Tagus; and in an open carriage they traversed the great

square at a foot pace between the lines of respectful and loyal people assembled to greet them. The way of the cortège towards the Necessidades Palace on the face of the hills overlooking the river lay by the Street of the Arsenal, a somewhat narrow thoroughfare turning sharply out of the end of the Praça de Commercio towards the left. Just as the horses of the king's carriage were about to take the turn, a signal shot was discharged in the crowd, and there leapt from behind the pillars of the arcade that forms the footway several assassins, who precipitated themselves upon the royal family. One miscreant, mounting the back of the carriage, shot



THE ASSASSINATION OF PORTUGAL'S KING, DOM CARLOS, IN THE STREETS OF LISBON
The dastardly act pictured in this illustration occurred on February 1st, 1908, when the king was driving through the streets of his capital to the royal palace of the Necessidades. Seated in the carriage with the king were the queen, the Crown Prince, and Prince Manuel, and when the fatal attack was made Queen Amelle heroically threw herself in front of her sons. But her brave act was too late, as both the king and the Crown Prince had received fatal wounds.



The Crown Prince

Dom Carlos

Ex-King Manuel

THE MURDERED KING AND CROWN PRINCE OF PORTUGAL, AND THE EX-KING MANUEL

the king in the neck, whilst another shot, which was mortal, struck him in the spine, and Dom Carlos sank bathed in blood upon the floor of the vehicle. The queen, standing and striking at the murderers, sought to protect her husband and elder son at the risk of her own life, and, although the target of many bullets, she miraculously escaped. The heir-apparent, a youth of twenty-one, was mortally wounded by two shots, and died within a few minutes when the carriage had been driven for shelter into the gates of the arsenal near by. A

Fate of the King's Assassins cry of horror and grief went up at this unparalleled crime, and the murderers, or such of them as could be identified, were cut to pieces by the police and the onlookers. The dynastic opposition parties, which had led the protest against the dictatorship of Franco, were as much dismayed as his friends at the turn of affairs, since the agitation which they had stirred up had thus gone far beyond their calculations or desires, and they at once rallied unanimously to the throne, now to be occupied by Prince Manuel, the younger son of the murdered king.

The Republican party, the extreme members of which were generally accused of the regicide, found no public support to the crime. The populace, struck with

detestation of so dastardly an act, were deaf to all appeals to them to rise against the new king, a young sailor lad of eighteen, whose unaffected geniality had already made him popular. But when it was said in Lisbon, the day after the crime, that the shots that had killed Dom Carlos had killed the republic, too, the prediction was not fulfilled.

A coalition Cabinet, chosen from moderate men of all parties, was formed. Franco for a single day only endeavoured to stand firm by the aid of the armed forces he had conciliated; but, finding now everyone against him, he incontinently fled into hiding, and eventually to foreign lands; whilst the Government that replaced him abrogated most of the decrees of his dictatorship, and provided for a prompt return to a constitutional government. Time alone would show whether the spirited but rash attempt of the lamented Dom Carlos and his minister to remedy by unconstitutional means a great constitutional evil would bear fruit, notwithstanding the terrible crime that cut short the experiment.

Portugal could hardly, after what had passed, revert entirely to the bad old system of party alternation of political plunder; but it was to be feared that, as in the case of Spain, no great

and permanent improvement could be expected by legislative action alone. In each case the statute books contain most of the enactments needed for the prosperity and happiness of a progressive state.

It is not the laws that are in fault so much as the general lack of a sense of responsible citizenship and the lamentable prevalence of illiteracy

Portugal's which render possible a lax ad-
Ample ministration and corrupt eval-
Resources uation of laws of themselves good

and sufficient. Portugal, though naturally a poor country, has nevertheless ample resources to ensure the comfort and prosperity of its citizens, if the government were economical and honest. The people, especially in the north, where the land is mostly held by peasant proprietors, live hardly, it is true, but not miserably. They are laborious, frugal, honest and sober, and it is safe to say that when the present proportion of complete illiterates—78 per cent. of the population, notwithstanding so-called compulsory education—is reduced, as it might be considerably, no peasants in Europe will have more of the elements of happiness at their command than the Portuguese.

The revenue of the country had steadily increased from £7,000,000 per annum in 1889 to £14,000,000 in 1907; but the wasteful finance and political corruption caused the expenditure to exceed the revenue in each recurring year. The funded debt has also grown with depressing regularity from £148,000,000 sterling in 1896 to £160,000,000 in 1905; and after a declared suspension of the payment of interest in 1892, an arrangement was arrived at with the Council of Foreign Bondholders in London by which the service of the debt was to be managed by a council sitting in Lisbon, to whom special funds were allocated to cover the three per cent. then being paid. The political constitution in 1908 consisted

Political of the sovereign, whose veto
Constitution upon legislative enactments is
of the State fully operative if notice be

given on his behalf within thirty days of the submission of a Bill, of a House of Peers consisting of a strictly limited number of nominated peers alone, with a few hereditary survivals, the elective element having been eliminated, and a Congress of Deputies elected on practically universal manhood literate suffrage. The deputies were unpaid, but

were disqualified unless they possessed a small minimum private income. The country, which covers an area on the continent of 90,000 square kilometres—34,254 square miles—with a growing population of over 5,500,000, is divided for local government purposes into twenty-one districts, of which seventeen are in Portugal proper and three in the islands. These are subdivided into 306 arrondissements, and again into 3,961 parishes. A governor appointed by the Ministry presides over each district; the arrondissements being also presided over by an administrator appointed by the central government, aided in each case by elected councils.

Both in national and local administration the principal evil is the multiplicity of underpaid and often corrupt officials appointed in turn by rival political parties; and the lower ranks of the judiciary are similarly afflicted, there being no less than 142 *juizes de dereito*, civil magistrates, besides the judges of the high courts and court of appeal, in

The Nation's addition to 800 elected justices
Wealth in of the peace, thus bringing
Agriculture up the number of judicial
authorities to nearly a thousand for a population not much larger than that of London.

Possessing a climate unsurpassed in Europe for beauty and salubrity, and a soil in many districts of great richness, the future wealth of the country must depend principally upon agriculture. The methods of cultivation are still almost as primitive as in the times of the Romans, especially in the south, which is more backward than the north in all respects; and the great need of the population is that the national resources, instead of being squandered, as at present, upon unnecessary armaments and useless functionaries, should be employed in promoting national education, improving means of communication, and lifting the burdens from industries now sorely oppressed.

Of purely intellectual movement there is little of native Portuguese origin since the death of Herculano the historian and Almeida Garrett the poet. The novels of Eça de Queiros, which promised much, have unfortunately ceased with his premature death, and beyond a few historical and sociological studies there is now little produced by the Portuguese presses but translations of foreign works.

MARTIN HUME

THE REPUBLIC OF PORTUGAL

FALL OF THE MONARCHY AND REPUBLICANISM ON ITS TRIAL

THE youth of King Manuel II. may be pleaded for his inability to deliver Portugal from a corrupt Government, but it did not save him the throne. In the two short years of his reign the Republican movement became increasingly powerful, till in 1910 it was strong enough to overturn the Crown. The revolution of 19 was accomplished with comparatively little bloodshed. The Army and Navy had their own reasons for discontent, and led by the majority of their officers, went over to the Republic without making any fight for the Monarchy. The King, deserted by his troops, chose flight in preference to the assassination that probably awaited him, and thus exchanged the doom of his father for a pleasant residence in England. The Republican leaders then sought out Senhor

Théophile Braga, an accomplished and high-minded man of letters, with the opinions of a French Positivist, for provisional President; and Senhor Braga accepted the post, and in exalted speech promised a reign of justice and an "austere morality" for




THÉOPHILE BRAGA
Provisional President, 1910-11

the new republic. (It is remarkable that both the revolution of the Young Turks at Constantinople in 1908, and that in Portugal in 1910, were the work of men inspired by the free-thought of Paris, and largely influenced by the political freemasons of France.) President Braga's sincerity has not been questioned, but a literary philosopher with distinguished university attainments could be but the merest figure head of republican Portugal.

The managers of the revolution in possession of the Government, and with the authority of the army to enforce their rule, impressed favourably the constitutional governments of Europe when they

selected Senhor Braga for their mouth-piece, but the promised justice and morality were quickly seen to be as far off as ever under the new régime as they had been under the monarchy. The Provisional Government arranged for a general election in 1911, and the formal endorsement of the Republican Constitution. The electors duly returned a republican majority. Senhor Braga retired into private life, having done the part allotted to him; and Dr. Manoel Arriaga, also a man of letters, of the University of Coimbra, and a gifted writer and eloquent speaker, was elected, August 1911, first President of the Portuguese Republic. Under the new Constitution of 1911, two Legislative Chambers—a National Council and a Senate—were set up. All men over twenty-one years of age who could read and write,



Dr. MANOEL ARRIAGA
Elected First President in 1911

or who maintained parents or relatives, were entitled to vote. But as nearly three-quarters of the population were illiterate, and this in spite of the fact that education is by law compulsory, the electorate is a good deal smaller than in most European countries where manhood suffrage prevails. And it is still further narrowed by the exclusion of all soldiers on the active list, all resident foreigners, naturalised Portuguese, bankrupts, and proscribed persons. The wholesale proscriptions of royalists which followed the revolution got rid of any political danger at the election from the supporters of King Manuel. The payment of members of the National Council is 17s. for each sitting, and magistrates, soldiers, priests and government contractors are not eligible for membership. The Senate is elected by the Municipal Councils, and half its members retire every three years. The

Senate and National Council together form the Congress of the Republic which elects the President, whose term of office is limited to four years. The President must be thirty-five years of age—thus making it impossible for fourteen years for King Manuel II. to seek election, as Napoleon III. did in France after 1848—and cannot be re-elected to the presidency a second time. * He chooses his Ministers, though the Ministry is responsible to Parliament; but he is forbidden to be present at debates in the National Council or Senate. The Civil List of the President is fixed at £3,900.

On the establishment of the Republic, the Government at once directed its activities against the Roman Catholic Church, and in 1911 a law was passed for the separation of Church and State. Under this law the Government claimed all the property of parish churches and religious orders, but allowed the use of the churches to the clergy, and undertook to pay salaries to all beneficed priests, while all religious orders were to be expelled. The enforcement of this law was attended with grave disorders, and Catholic Royalists from time to time in 1912 and 1913 attempted risings near the Spanish frontier. The fact that the political leaders who were associated with the corruption under the monarchy quickly hastened to profess adherence to the Republic was evidence that the Royalist cause could count on scanty support amongst those who arranged the elections. On the other hand, it gave little hope that a new and better era had been inaugurated in public affairs in Portugal. The workmen in the towns, organised in trade unions largely Syndicalist and social-revolutionist in character, supported the political republicans at the first, but finding no improvement in industrial conditions, soon went into opposition to the Government, and in 1913 organised big demonstrations in protest against Government policy. But the Government, by the aid of the army, was able to put down these demonstrations, and the disturbances that accompanied them, as it put down the attempted monarchist risings, and the prisons soon held as many disaffected republicans as royalists. The horrible overcrowding in the prisons, and the large number of prisoners arrested on suspicion and never brought to trial became a grave scandal in

1913, and provoked remonstrances from friends of Portugal in Great Britain.

At the end of its first three years of existence the Portuguese Republic was still threatened by the followers of King Manuel II., but the menace of revolutionary socialism, and of anarchist propaganda by bomb and assassination, was a far greater danger. But with the army at its back, the Portuguese Government could count on beating down all enemies within its borders, and the electorate could be so managed—as it is managed in certain South American Republics—that a Republican majority was returned to the National Council and the Senate. The need for a stable and honest Government was strongly felt in Portugal in 1914, especially in the face of an increasing national expenditure and grave working-class discontent. But political rivalries amongst various sections of republicans have hindered the establishment of such a government, and time alone can show whether the Republic is capable of producing the public men the service of the State demands.

For the Republic is on its trial. It is in vain for its political champions to utter glowing rhetoric concerning justice and noble sentiments in favour of freedom while the prisons are overcrowded with untried persons suspected of political offences. If the great mass of the working class suffered under the corrupt and arbitrary régime of the Monarchy, and consented without a murmur to its overthrow, they will be equally ready to allow a restoration of monarchy on finding the Republican Government no less tyrannical.

Next to the problems of good government and wise social legislation, the problem of emigration has to be faced by the Republic. The stream of emigration from Portugal, mainly to Brazil, is a terrible drain on the industrial resources of the country, and the Government in 1913 expressed its concern at what was taking place.

But the best prevention of emigration from a country not over populated is good government, security of life, an assurance of personal liberty, and a sure means of livelihood. It is these things the Portuguese people still sought in 1914, as they had sought them before the Republic displaced the Monarchy.



THE SCANDINAVIAN STATES IN OUR OWN TIME

LIFE IN NORWAY, SWEDEN AND DENMARK

By William Durban, B.A.

OF the three Scandinavian territories, it seems natural first to speak of Norway. No country is regarded with greater pride by its people than the glorious Norse Land, on which, to describe its various attractions, a great variety of epithets has been bestowed. It is fondly styled by its loving sons "Gamle Norge" (Old Norway), for its civilisations claim a mighty antiquity. It is the "Land of the Midnight Sun," the "Land of the Vikings," the "Land of Fosses," or stupendous cascades in immense number, and the "Land of Eternal Snow." It presents with its wonderful fjords the most magnificent coast scenery in the world, and its mountains in imposing splendour approach the Swiss Alps themselves; while its glaciers know no rival, except in Alaska.

Its lakes are countless, and the sportsman finds it a veritable paradise with its salmon rivers, its elk, wild reindeer, lynxes, bears, wolves, foxes, grouse, and ptarmigan. "Beautiful everywhere!" is the frequent exclamation of enchanted visitors. Romantic "dalen," or valleys, pine-clad mountain slopes, and immense juniper-covered plateaux, like the wild Dovre Fjeld, are elements of indescribable beauty in the whole landscape right up to the North Cape. The grandeur of aspect of the Lofoten Isles cannot be surpassed. The gigantic falls—the Voringfoss, the Rjukanfoss, the Skejgedalfoss, the Vettisfoss, etc.—are tremendous torrents leaping from immense heights into the grand fjords, and some of these sublime gorges run up into the interior between the mountain precipices to distances of from 200 to 300 miles, carrying Atlantic tides right into the far centre of the land. The beautiful Hardanger, the grand and gloomy Geiranger, the sublime Sör, and

the romantic Nörd fjords are amongst the most marvellous of these inlets on the coast. It is impossible to become acquainted with the Norwegian people without learning to admire and even to love them. They are to-day, as they have ever been,

A Country of Scattered Villages simple and unsophisticated, clinging with passionate fidelity and attachment to the primitive customs of Viking ages, are given to delightful hospitality, are indefatigably diligent, and are charmingly courteous, with a natural refinement. They are not "degenerate Vikings of to-day," as some have attempted to characterise them. There are hardly half as many people in all Norway, with its vast area of 124,000 square miles, as in London alone, and of its population of 2,391,000 only about 400,000 dwell in towns; so that the country is mainly one of scattered villages, dotted along the feet of the fjords, or on the lonely wilderness jelds, or in the clearings of the immense forests.

Norway has only 740 square miles of ploughed land, so that the actual agriculture is comparatively insignificant. But immense quantities of valuable hay are cropped during the brief, hot summer on the great "saeters," or meadow farms on the broad slopes. The Norwegian landscape is of two varieties—slopes and precipices, and most ingeniously the people adapt their pursuits to natural conditions. The greatest of all industries is, as might be supposed, fishing; for Norway has a coast of 3,000 miles, and the fishermen are perhaps the sturdiest on earth.

But the backbone of the population is bucolic, consisting of the splendid rustics known as the "Bonder," or peasant farmers. Domesticity and social life in this wildest north are delightful, and the

Natural Features of Norway

people are as happy as any in the world. The nights of the very protracted winter are spent in study, in courtship by the young folk, in wood carving, in tending the sheltered cattle, in hunting game, in visiting, in sledging, and in the glorious sports of racing on snow-shoes and of ski-jumping, in which recreation the athletic

Norway's young Norsemen are the finest
Intellectual experts existing. Many a fear-
Standard less leap on skis is achieved from a height of 150 feet. The social life of the people intimately mingles with their fervent religious cult. As in all Scandinavia, the national Church is Lutheran, and the quaint and pretty wooden churches are always filled, the country sanctuaries on Sundays along the Hardanger and other fjords presenting a singular spectacle, for the costumes are truly picturesque. There are comparatively few dissenters; and though theological controversies are of course not unknown, they are not acute.

The intellectualism of Norway stands high. Indeed, the people proudly claim that in proportion to the population they have in our time produced more geniuses than has any other nation. The names of Grieg, Nansen, Ibsen, Björnson certainly suggest influences that have of late years potently affected the thought of the world in poetry, music, and geographical research. Elementary education is universal in Norway.

The political conditions in Norway are altogether unique, and have, since the dawn of the twentieth century, been cast by an abrupt and startling revolution into a shape which has marvellously materialised the democratic aspirations of the people. Since the union with Sweden never really satisfied the patriotic sentiments of the Norwegians, a constant agitation was sustained for separation. The dissolution took place by decree of the Storting at Christiania on June 7th, 1905. The overt cause of the rupture was a pro-

Separation tracted dispute between the
of Norway two nations as to their foreign
and Sweden diplomatic representation. The late King Oscar of Sweden refused to entertain the offer of the Norwegian crown to one of his own family, but the details for the repeal of the Union were amicably settled by the Karlsbad Convention. A plebiscite was held, after which the crown was offered to Prince Charles of Denmark, who accepted it under the title of Haakon VII., thus greatly

gratifying the national sentiment of his adopted subjects by honouring the venerable Norse traditions. On July 22nd, 1896, he had married Princess Maud Alexandra, daughter of King Edward VII., so that the British and Norwegian royal houses are closely allied. The heir to the throne is Prince Alexander, born July 2nd, 1903, whose name was, on his father's accession, changed to Olaf.

It was a remarkable fact that though Nansen and Björnson are Republicans in principle, as all the nation well understood, they exerted a leading influence, through their speeches and letters during the separation and plebiscite campaigns, in favour of a King of Norway. Norway being a land of peasants, the town life is not so interesting as that of the country. Christiania is a quiet and even dull metropolis, but it is beautifully built, stands at the head of its own lovely fjord, and is the centre of intellectual culture, being the seat of a great university. By far the most important town is Bergen, which is also the prettiest, a rare thing for a busy commercial city. And Trondhjem, the

The Drink ancient historic capital, is attractive
Trade with its curious quaintness.
in Norway Deeply interesting is the operation of the famous Norwegian company system for controlling the liquor traffic, which is very similar to the Gothenburg system in Sweden. Licences for the sale of ardent spirits are entrusted to a company formed, not for profit, but for the benefit of the citizens. The latest legislation on the principle of local option gives all men and women over twenty-five years of age the right to vote for the exclusion of retail bar traffic in spirits from the community in which they reside.

The profits of the companies, after the shareholders have received five per cent. dividend, are distributed amongst objects of public utility, such as planting parks, sanitary improvement, industrial education, waterworks, sewers, libraries, theatres and other amusements, charities, and religious institutions. High duties are imposed on the high-grade liquors imported, and it has become very difficult for foreign distillers to sell their commodities. Formerly, in Norway and Sweden, all owners of the soil had liberty to brew and distil, and the result was that these countries had a *per capita* rate of consumption of spirits higher than that of any other nation. Sweden, with its 173,000 square miles,

THE SCANDINAVIAN STATES IN OUR OWN TIME

and its hardy population of 5,521,943 is absolutely unique in its scenery and in the manners and customs of its inhabitants. The beautiful Göta Canal, a marvel of engineering; the romantic lakes, of which Wener and Wetter are fine inland seas with noble spruce-clad islands; the magnificent forests; the glorious Trollhättan Falls; the entrancing summer landscapes; the grand mountains of Norrland—the great Arctic section—with its noble rivers; the sweet pasture-lands of Svealand, the middle region; and the romantic seaboard of Götaland, the old southern territory of the Goths, form factors in the make-up of one of Europe's most interesting lands.

No nation is prouder of its metropolis than the Swedes have reason to be, for Nature has given them an incomparable site on which they have erected a superb city. Stockholm reigns easily without a rival as Queen of the Northland. Rising gently from the many islands of the little archipelago between Lake Maelar and the sea, this city has been styled the Venice of the North, but is, with its 342,000 inhabitants, palpitating with that modern

**Stockholm,
Queen of the
Northland**

life which fails to touch the city of the Doges. Gothenburg, intersected by huge canals and doing a fine trade, reminds the visitor of a Dutch port, excepting that its quays are boulevarded with trees. With her immense forests Sweden is the greatest timber exporting country in the world. Having nearly fifty million acres of forest area, covering close on half of the land, she can and does contribute enormously to the needs of other nations in this respect. But the most valuable recent development is the manufacture of paper from wood pulp. A great factory, worked by the lovely Trollhättan Falls, makes paper from pulp. The other chief export is the famous Swedish iron. Most of the estates consist half of forest land, and saw-mills are ever at work in every section of the country. Through these grand woodlands of oak, pine, beech, and birch run fine rivers, which are one secret of the activity of the lumber trade, for they facilitate the floating in summer of the timber felled in the winter.

The Swedes are fortunate in inhabiting the healthiest country on earth, the death-rate being only 16.49 per 1,000, the lowest in the whole world, and longevity is a national characteristic. Sanitation is assiduously attended to by the municipali-

ties under central government supervision, and the salubrious climate and absence of overcrowding contribute greatly to the felicitous condition of the national health. The habits of the people, especially during the last and present generations, are exceedingly conducive to the conservation of their physique. The old

**Sweden's
Advanced
Culture**

and disgraceful inebriety has been successfully fought by the famous "Bilag" control of the drink traffic, known as the "Gothenburg System," already alluded to in the reference to the modification adopted in Norway. The people are intensely attached to their Lutheran National Church, in which nearly all the clergy are university graduates, their minimum collegiate course being five years. The elective system regulates the appointment of the prelates, for the clergy choose the bishops. Under the late King Oscar II., who died on December 8th, 1907, Swedish royalty was identified with the most accomplished culture, for that beloved monarch was one of the most scholarly of kings.

King Gustavus V. married Princess Victoria of Baden, a first cousin of the German Kaiser. The union was very popular, because she is a descendant of the old and revered family of Vasa. In June, 1905, the king's eldest son, Prince Gustavus Adolphus, married Princess Margaret of Connaught. There are two other sons, one of whom, Prince William, married the Tsar's cousin, the Grand Duchess Marie, in May, 1908. Sweden and Denmark took a very prominent part in arranging with Russia and Germany the momentous Baltic and North Sea agreements for the preservation of the status quo in the Baltic, Britain and the Netherlands also sending delegates to the convention at St. Petersburg. The Baltic Agreement was signed at the Russian capital on April 23rd, 1908, and a parallel North Sea

**The Land
of the
Sea Kings**

Agreement afterwards at Berlin. The documents declared that the nations concerned were firmly resolved to preserve intact the respective rights of those countries over their continental and insular possessions in the regions in question.

Denmark, so often called by foreigners who have learned to love the country and its people "dear little Denmark," has special interest for England, because of the close affinity of the people of the two

countries and the intimate alliance of their royal families. A celebrated letter written by Lord Nelson is enshrined in the archives of the Foreign Office at Copenhagen. This missive is addressed to "The Brothers of Englishmen, the Danes." Naturally, the "Land of the Sea Kings" must appeal to Anglo-Saxon hearts. Pro-

Denmark verbally the little nations are
Rich and the happiest, and Denmark,
Contented one of the smallest, is one of
the happiest of all. Though

she has been shorn of much of her outlying territory, she has never lost her integrity, never having known subjugation, and so high a place does she hold in the esteem of other nationalities, that the representatives of mighty dynasties have been proud to enter into matrimonial union with the Danish royal family.

A late King, the octogenarian Christian IX., who passed away on January 29th, 1906, was often alluded to as "father-in-law of half Europe." Denmark is a notable example of the way in which a little kingdom, surrounded by powerful rivals, can be equally prosperous in her smaller way. Her progress in our own time is a phenomenon which has astonished the world. This cold and bleak peninsula jutting into the North Sea, with its group of insular satellites, is the home of a people who have shown the world that a little nation can become rich, contented, happy, and progressive. Year by year the sturdy Dane is taking greater advantage of the opportunities afforded by a fertile soil.

Copenhagen, the "Athens of the North," is a metropolis of which any nation might be justly proud. Its population of over 500,000 is year by year increasing, and the city grows in importance. Much of the old town has passed away, and the aspect for the most part is modern. It is a city to linger in, and its very atmosphere enchants the visitor, while its people are amongst the most courteous on earth. The famous Vor Frue Kirke—Our Saviour's Church—is

The Country's
Pre-eminence
in Agriculture

one of the sights of Europe, for it contains Thorwaldsen's majestic statue of the Risen Saviour, with the marble statues of the twelve Apostles by the same consummate artist. Copenhagen, being not on the mainland but on the island of Sjaelland, on the Sound, possesses a unique charm from its wild and romantic outlook on the northern sea. The beautiful city is filled with treasures of art.

Three modest animals have mainly founded the modern prosperity of this interesting kingdom—the cow, the pig, and the hen. Denmark produces an immense quantity of butter and cheese, bacon and hams, and sells them with countless dozens of eggs to Britain and other neighbours. Many of the Jutlanders, from starting as swineherds, have become large dealers and merchants. The nation has set the pace for the modern world in agricultural co-operation. This applies specially to dairying. There are over a thousand co-operative dairies in Denmark, with nearly 150,000 members, receiving milk from nearly a million cows. The State has done everything possible to promote the system. The aim has been to secure a high degree of perfection in the system of handling milk so as to ensure cleanliness and a properly controlled supply.

This system is one of the romances of modern industry. And now, as a result of the encouragement given to the creation of small holdings by the famous Act of 1899, there are fully 100,000 of these farms. The Danish "small holdings men" are singularly well-trained, capable, and

Political larly well-trained, capable, and
Situation in enlightened, and are steadily
Denmark becoming more so. Another
beneficent measure, passed

shortly before the close of the last century, was the Old Age Pension Act, received now by 2½ per cent. of the population. The present political position in Denmark is that of a broad, genial, practical democracy, of which the king is the popular figurehead. King Frederic VIII. died in May, 1912, and was succeeded by his son, Christian X., who fulfils his promise to reign in accordance with his father's example. Political conflicts in Denmark are restrained by the moderation and sturdy common-sense of the people, reforms being promoted in a democratic, progressive spirit, in spite of the efforts of the Social Democrats to expedite extreme radical measures. The fine system of national education is sustained under the joint influence of State, Church, and municipality, under the special supervision of the Minister for Church and Education, through local committees, in which the clergy and magistrates play the chief parts. Education is elaborately and perfectly organised. The municipal schools, the Latin schools, and the high schools cover the whole land with a complete network, and the opportunities are appreciated by all classes.

THE SCANDINAVIAN STATES IN OUR OWN TIME

While in Denmark a tendency to develop manufactures has been noticeable in recent years, it was estimated in 1914 that 37·8 per cent. out of the population still lived by agriculture, as against 31·5 per cent. by manufactures, and 15 per cent. by commerce and transport. The fact that about five-sixths of the land is in the hands of small owners or peasants—the law prohibiting the amalgamation of farms into large estates—may also be accepted as evidence of the important part that agriculture plays in the national life. Attachment to the ideas and forms of political democracy in Denmark has been further demonstrated by the Reform Bill of 1913, which gave the Parliamentary franchise to all men and women of 25 in the country who were possessed of certain modest residential qualifications.

In Norway, too, democratic principles of Government have been steadily enlarged in recent years, and in no European country is there greater political and social equality between the sexes than in Norway. The first Act in the direction of this equality was passed in 1888 when the wife was given equal rights with her husband as to their common property, the right to own her own property separately, and to have a separate income. The following year women were made eligible for school boards. In 1894 they were given the right to vote on the question of licensed liquor shops. In 1900 they became eligible for juries. In 1904 they were admitted to full practice as lawyers, and in 1907 they received a limited parliamentary vote. In 1910 full municipal suffrage was granted, and in 1913 an Act was passed unanimously and without debate giving the parliamentary vote to women on exactly the same terms as it was given to men. So that in Norway every man and woman over 25, not being a pauper, a bankrupt, or an ex-convict, and having resided not less than five years in the country can vote at parliamentary elections and is eligible for a seat in the Storting or Norwegian Parliament. This Storting is divided after every election into two bodies, the Odelsting and the Lagting—the latter, chosen in the full Storting and consisting of one quarter of the members, forming a sort of Second Chamber. Any bill rejected twice by the

Lagting after passing the Odelsting by a two-thirds majority becomes law on the Royal Assent. The King has the power to veto any measure, but if three successive Stortings are against him his veto is automatically removed. The growth of Socialist opinion, though very recent in Norway, is as noticeable in that land as it is in other European countries. In 1906 the Socialists polled 73,100 votes and returned ten

members to the Storting; in 1912 the vote had risen to 128,455 and returned 23 members. The Norwegians claim that their country has been more alert to the needs of higher education and the demand of modern commerce since their separation from Sweden. Yet the increase of population has been very slight in the twentieth century. For in 1900 the total population was 2,240,032, and Christiania had 233,373 inhabitants in 1904; while in 1912 the total population was only 2,428,500, and in Christiania in that year the inhabitants were 250,000.

In Sweden also the increase of population has not been startling. In 1905 the total population was estimated at 5,337,055 and in 1910 at 5,521,943. Nearly one-half of this population was engaged in agriculture in 1914, and this figure includes 298,000 owners and 50,000 tenants. Proportional representation and manhood suffrage were established in 1909 and an Old Age Pensions Act was passed in 1913.

The reorganisation of the Swedish Army, which began in 1901, has been steadily effected, but the great increase in military expenditure involved encountered strong protests from the Socialists in 1914. The King of Sweden, in whom considerable executive power is lodged, has insisted on the importance of an armed nation, and the majority of his people have supported him. The menace of Russia has driven Sweden to this course; for the Russians have not only placed huge forces in Finland and constructed military lines to the north of Finland, by depriving Finland of its old constitutional self-government, they have abolished the safeguard that existed when Finland was a free and friendly state. The Swedish Navy in 1912 consisted of 92 vessels of war—438 guns—including 23 ironclads.

**The
Socialist
Advance**

**Sex-equality
in
Norway**

**The
Russian
Menace**



Photos by Messrs. Thomson, Grovenor Studios

KING GEORGE V. AND QUEEN MARY



UNITED KINGDOM IN OUR OWN TIME

A CONTEMPORARY SURVEY OF ITS POLITICAL AND SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS

By Arthur D. Innes, M.A.

THE British Empire to-day is a unique phenomenon in the history of civilised mankind, differing in essential particulars from every contemporary empire as from all that have existed in the past. In the course of 300 years the people of these islands have taken possession of vast tracts of the earth's surface. The ancient empires held their conquests by force of arms, but in her great dominions on two continents our state has no garrison at all. Wherever Rome ruled, her government was of the military type; practically it is only in India that ours falls under that category. Neither our colonial nor our Asiatic dominion presents close resemblances to the empires of other European states, except so far as Russia in Central Asia and France in North Africa hold positions more or less analogous to our own in India.

The states of which the empire is composed offer—subject to the ultimate authority of the central state, to which they stand in varying relations—examples of almost every conceivable type of polity: absolute monarchies in India, where the British raj itself is that of a racial aristocracy; while all the greater colonies are democracies. Or, if we follow the territorial method of classification, the empire will supply us at one end with federated countries in Canada and Australia, and at the other with something not far removed from the Greek idea of the city-state in the Isle of Man and in the Channel Islands. In the course of this work we have watched England developing politically far in advance of all Continental states, while Ireland remained a subordinate, half-controlled province, and Scotland held fast to a somewhat lawless independence;

until, 300 years ago, the three kingdoms were united under one crown, and then, at intervals of a century, under one legislature—theoretically, at least, on an equality. Three hundred years ago, the only over-sea territory possessed by the people of these islands was the embryo colony of Virginia, which had existed precariously for years.

Britain's Colonial Expansion

The seventeenth century saw a British expansion which was not itself permanent, because the colonies then established afterwards broke away from the mother country. But it also saw, on the one hand, the confirmation of British supremacy on the high seas and of parliamentary supremacy in the British polity.

In the eighteenth century Great Britain completely distanced all rivals in the competition for colonial expansion, in spite of the loss of the group of communities which formed the United States, and this supremacy was confirmed by the Napoleonic wars. In those wars Napoleon himself chose commerce as the field in which he would come to death-grips with the British, with the result that, after Waterloo, there was no competitor within measurable distance of them, and the lead thus gained was increased progressively during the nineteenth century. During that century, also, the colonial expansion continued; the whole of one continent was appropriated. In India the British passed from being merely the dominant power to being lords of the whole land between the mountains and the sea; and finally the most valuable portions of the Dark Continent fell also under their dominion. The expansion was accompanied by a change in the internal polity. The supremacy of parliament was unchallenged; but the gradual extension of the electoral

body transferred the control of parliamentary majorities first from the landowners to the manufacturers and the middle class, and then from the middle to the labouring classes.

A further characteristic has to be remarked on in order to understand the position of the British Empire in the world

at the present day. Until the stadtholder of Holland became king of England, these islands never played a part much more

than insignificant in the struggles of Continental states. In mediæval times England had fought with France on her own account; later, still on her own account, she had fought Spain, and later still Holland.

The new dynastic association with Holland, coupled with her own dynastic question, forced her into the European arena; but even then it was not the size of her armies, but the genius of her great general, Marlborough, and the wealth which supplemented the exhausted treasuries of her allies, which made her alliance valuable; and, *mutatis mutandis*, the same principles applied throughout the whole series of wars which were finally brought to an end in 1815. To divert the energies of her enemies she did not fight them on land, but helped her neighbours to do so. For her own hand she fought them on the sea.

It was only in the Peninsular War that she took rank as a military power, and there she was only enabled to do so because Napoleon wanted the bulk of his legions for Moscow. Moreover, in the same connection it has to be observed that, with the possible exception of 1793, Continental interests have never been the motive of her wars. In nearly every case she has fought because the interests of France collided with her own in extra-European regions. With hardly a variation, her rulers have systematically declined to intervene in foreign quarrels otherwise than through diplomatic channels.

That rule has been broken, or is insidious danger of being broken, only in one corner of Europe: she would fight to prevent Constantinople from falling into the hands of Russia. We may say, then, that viewing the United Kingdom of to-day as the product of the forces which we have observed moulding its history, it forms the central state of an empire whose distinguishing characteristics are an immense transmarine colonial

system, such as no other European Power possesses; an immense lead in commerce; an established maritime supremacy, both mercantile and naval; the smallest of "regular" armies, outside of India, on the historic ground that no state has ever been able continuously to maintain both army and navy in the front rank, while to the British the navy has always proved the more effective instrument both for offence and defence. Further, this state has evolved its own polity—the system of parliamentary government—as an organic growth, without revolution and without copying the institutions of other states, except in occasional matters of detail; whereas her own institutions have been consciously adopted as models, though with appropriate modifications, in the constitution of most civilised countries.

Socially, as well as politically, her people have been, and continue to be, distinguished by the combination of a marked acknowledgment of class distinctions with exceptional facility in passing class barriers; in other words, social ranks are recognised, but are not permitted to stiffen into castes, as they did stiffen

in most European states. Hence "labour movements," all the movements which are apt to be labelled "Socialistic" by those who disapprove of them, are accompanied among the proletariat by a much less virulent antagonism to the well-to-do than is frequently the case in other lands.

In the intellectual field, the British Isles claim great names in science, both in its theoretic realms, such as Bacon, Newton, and Darwin, and in its practical application. In pure literature it is somewhat curious to remark that the greatest achievements of a people which prides itself on practical common sense have been in the region of imagination, of poetry, where it is not only insular prejudice that claims a supreme position for Shakespeare. Like the Shakespearian period, the hundred years which opened with the period of the French Revolution were rich in great literary names; but it cannot be said that either in literature or in science the United Kingdom in the twentieth century is showing any marked superiority to European and American rivals.

Aspects of this empire external to the United Kingdom itself remain to be treated at length hereafter; in this chapter we are concerned with our own islands.

THE UNITED KINGDOM IN OUR OWN TIME

The condition of affairs to-day is the product of the past, the outcome of organic development; and development means both continuity and change. Can we, then, analyse the elements which tend to change and to continuity respectively?

In the nineteenth century the United Kingdom became the great, almost the one, manufactory and carrier of the world. Among the various causes of this supremacy, the most decisive is probably to be found in the Napoleonic wars—partly because they devastated Europe and drained off the best human material for fighting, instead of manufacturing; while the people of these islands were, comparatively speaking, able to devote a much

trade that Free Trade was universally acknowledged to be the cause of the expansion, and the advocacy of Protection was regarded as at best a "pious opinion."

But it has not proved impossible either for European states or for America to develop manufactures on their own account which can compete with British goods in the market. It is, perhaps, difficult to realise from the figures produced that our commercial ascendancy is vanishing; but the monopoly is ours no more; and it is by no means clear that the country will not attempt to recover it by a reversion to pre-Cobdenite methods.

It is curious to observe that Germany's commercial advance in the last forty years



MEN OF THE ROYAL ENGINEERS CONSTRUCTING A SUSPENSION BRIDGE

larger share of their energies to peaceful pursuits; partly because the Berlin Decree practically involved that the British should either monopolise the carrying trade or lose it altogether.

Apart from the war, the British already had a long lead in the carrying trade, and were in front of other countries in the development of machinery and the application of steam. But the practical monopoly was the outcome of the artificial conditions created by Napoleon, and made it supremely difficult for any other nation to enter into competition. The development of the Free Trade programme by Sir Robert Peel and by Mr. Gladstone was attended by so marked an expansion of

is often attributed with equal confidence to her adoption of Protection for her manufactures. It is not probable that Tariff Reform, if it does come, will ruin either our own commerce or, alternatively, that of our competitors, who at present rely on a Protectionist policy. Perhaps from the point of view of the historian, whose business is largely with the analysis of causation, the most remarkable feature of the economic problem now dividing the country is that it was brought out of the regions of cloud-cuckoo-land into practical politics by the action of a single individual—that but for Mr. Chamberlain the merits of Protection would probably receive to-day as little public recognition as they did in that




THE SEAFORTH HIGHLANDERS ON PARADE



SQUADRON OF THE CITY OF LONDON SHARPSHOOTERS



THE ROYAL FUSILIERS ON PARADE



THE ROYAL IRISH VOLUNTEERS AT CAMP

Gale and Polden

SOME TYPES OF BRITAIN'S FIGHTING FORCES



THE 14TH-KING'S-HUSSARS PROCEEDING TO MANŒUVRES



A COMPANY OF SCOTS GUARDS

Gale and Polden

SOME BRITISH SOLDIERS ON THE MARCH

statesman's "Radical" days. Whatever school of economists prevails, it may be safely prophesied that commercial ascendancy will remain with this country so long as she holds the maritime supremacy, and will pass as soon as she loses it. That supremacy is as yet unchallenged. The practical unanimity with

**Unchallenged
Mistress
of the Seas**

which the doctrine of a two-Power standard for the Royal Navy is accepted—at least, as concerns the fleets of European states—would be a mere absurdity for a country not already in possession of a decisive preponderance over any other, or lacking the means to maintain such preponderance. There is no Power which dreams of challenging the mistress of the seas single-handed on her own element, though there is one which is popularly credited with having inherited Napoleon's pre-Trafalgar programme.

Have the conditions, then, so changed that what Napoleon found to be impracticable a century ago—what had been almost unthinkable since the destruction of the Spanish Armada—is practicable to-day? Fortresses reputed impregnable have been captured through an unsuspected entry; before Wolfe scaled the Heights of Abraham, Quebec seemed secure against any possible attack. The chances that an attempt to invade these islands would result only in the annihilation of the invader appear to be no less overwhelming than in the past; but the condition of security is vigilance, as the condition of successful attack is secrecy.

It can only be said that there is no present sign either that vigilance is lacking or that the secret concentration of an invading force is possible. The historic position is unaltered. Now, as always, it is the fleet which makes invasion impossible. Now, as always, a Continental army operating in this country would not find our military forces organised to offer resistance as it would on

**Is England
Liable to
Invasion?**

invading a Continental state. Parma in 1588, or Napoleon in 1805, would have found their veterans opposed by the same half-drilled and half-trained amateur soldiery which would form the bulk of our defence at the present day. But there is no more likelihood of a Continental army getting the chance of operating in England than there was in the days of Parma or of Napoleon. Wisely or unwisely, the nation is content with

that position; or, at any rate, shows no greater inclination than in the past to adopt the alternative policy of universal military service. It is at least probable that the recent reorganisation—with modifications which experience of its working will suggest—will produce the maximum of efficiency attainable under the purely voluntary system.

As regards the security of these islands, then, the historic position appears to be unchanged. But the United Kingdom is responsible for the defence of the empire, and here we must note that the conditions to-day are not quite what they have been in the past. Our frontiers are not, as they were, exclusively oceanic. In the eighteenth century, the possession of America and India depended entirely upon sea-power; when our supremacy on the sea was decisively established, our rivals' successes in either continent could only be temporary.

But now the advance of Russia in Central Asia has made possible a conflict which would have to be fought out on land; and although the idea of a war with the United States is scarcely less

**Britain's
Place Among
the Powers**

unnatural than that of a civil war, the possibility, however remote, involves the question of the defence of the Canadian frontier. The conditions of our rule in India demand the presence, under all circumstances, of a large white garrison within the peninsula. At the present time, indeed, nothing is less likely than a war with Russia, except a war with the United States; but either contingency would seem to call for military operations, as distinct from naval, on a much larger scale than we have hitherto been involved in by European complications. As concerns Europe itself, as with the defence of these islands, the historic position holds. Any conceivable combination of Powers would hesitate to challenge us by sea; combined fleets have always proved even more difficult to handle successfully than combined armies. But no Power would be greatly perturbed by the prospect of a British invasion.

The British alliance to-day, as in the past, would be coveted where British subsidies would be desirable; the aid of British fleets would be useful, or the hostility of British fleets would be feared; not for the sake of the battalions that could take the field. It is to be remarked, however, that the mere fact of our naval ascendancy is, and always has

been, a source of irritation ; it is probable that all Europe would regard any extensive development of our military organisation as indicating not a defensive, but an aggressive intent, precisely as we are disposed to interpret the expansion of the German Navy. We are so free from aggressive desires that we can hardly believe such charges to be made in good faith ; nevertheless, foreign nations find it exceedingly difficult to believe that we have annexed so large a proportion of the globe merely in self-defence.

At the present time, however, thanks largely to the consistency of a foreign policy, which has been maintained without regard to party for a quarter of a century, the United Kingdom has been almost cleared, in the eyes of its neighbours, of the charge of fluctuating between peace-at-any-price and blatant jingoism. The Japanese War has deprived Russia aggression of its immediate terrors, and the political reformation of Turkey which astonished the world in 1908 has minimised the danger of an Anglo-Russian quarrel over the Eastern Question. Hence our relations with the great Slav

Germany the Bogey of Britain Power have become almost cordial. With France we have reached a happy stage in which

the respective spheres of interest of the two nations have become so definitely delimited that no rational cause of quarrel arising is imaginable, and a friendliness of feeling has been developed which is the best possible safeguard against a sentimental explosion.

The rôle of bogey has been transferred to Germany. The situation emphasises the fact that the historian may go a great deal too far in insisting on a logical statesmanship as the primary factor in political action. Germany is our bogey, chiefly because she has erected us into a bogey ; and that she has done so is due largely to her historians and professors, many of whom suffer from a conviction that England designs to crown a career of cold-blooded spoliation by seeking the ruin of Germany. That is to say that, mutatis mutandis, the present German view of England is very much like what has been the normal English view of Russia. German hostility to England is based on a wholly irrational fear of English designs, but while it exists it forces upon England an attitude which is easily interpreted as one of hostility to Germany. In neither country is the actual hostility shared either

by the controlling statesmen or by the mass of the population, and the mutual suspicion will probably wear itself out in course of time. Commonsense, the absence of any antagonistic interests, the futility of a struggle between a military and a naval Power, and the growing inclination to pay deference to the public opinion of Europe,

King Edward the Consummate Diplomatist should suffice to prevent any momentary panic driving two great nations into a struggle which would

injure both and could benefit neither. But it would be vain to deny that such an atmosphere of mutual suspicion as now exists under the fostering care of a solid portion of the Press of both countries is eminently adapted for the cultivation of the microbe of international rabies.

Here, however, we have a very notable illustration of the invaluable services which may be rendered to the state by the crown, in the unique position which it holds to-day. A visit to the German Emperor by the consummate diplomatist who occupies the British throne has had an immediately pacificatory effect, which goes far to confirm the conviction that Anglo-German antagonisms are in no sense fundamental, but are the outcome of misunderstandings, which may be eradicated by the persistent application of commonsense.

Within its own borders, the United Kingdom presents a singular complex of nationalities. The Englishman, the Irishman, the Scot, and the Welshman, are each of them emphatic in asserting their distinct nationality, though the Englishman is somewhat apt to overlook the claim on the part of the other three when they are acting in conjunction with him, and credits their vices to themselves, and their virtues to their English connection. Except in the case of Wales, the distinction is historical rather than racial, for the Irish Kelt is not more emphatically Irish than are the descendants of Norman, English, or

Britain's Complex Nationalities Scottish settlers ; and the Scot of the Lowlands is as much a Sassenach to the Highlander

as the Englishman. England, wealthier, more fertile, more populous, if not larger in actual area than the other three put together, has been the "predominant partner" ever since partnership of any kind existed ; but a difference in her historic relations with the three remains apparent at the present day. Scotland,

an independent state for centuries, which successfully defeated repeated attempts to subdue her, voluntarily joined England to form the single state of Great Britain, in 1707, under guarantees that her national institutions should not be altered. She has so far, at least, remained in the position of managing her own

What Wales concerns that it is recognised
Claims as impracticable to introduce
from England material modifications without the assent of the majority

of her representatives in the Commons. Wales, treated to some extent as a subject province from the conquest by Edward I. till the accession to the English throne of a Welshman in the person of Henry Tudor, in 1485, has formed an integral part of England since her admission to full parliamentary representation in the reign of Henry VIII., but of recent years has been claiming distinctive treatment on the ground that her people are distinct from the English in race, customs, predilections, and to some extent language, the Welsh tongue being still in popular use.

The Irish position differs from that of the Scots or Welsh. Nominally subject to the English Crown since the reign of Henry II., Ireland was treated for centuries as a subject province in which English law was more or less enforced spasmodically, and English government could hardly be described as definitely established till the beginning of the seventeenth century.

Before that time, and still more afterwards, large appropriations of the soil to Protestant English and Scottish settlers, coupled with the political disabilities attaching to Roman Catholicism—the creed of four-fifths of the population—kept the bulk of the people in constant hostility to the Government; which was intensified by the tyrannical use of their power by the Protestant oligarchy through the greater part of the eighteenth century. The Act of Union in 1800 theoretically placed Ireland on an equal

Ireland's footing with England and Scot-
Place in land in the United Kingdom,
the Union but the maintenance of the

Catholic disabilities for another quarter of a century intensified the hostility between the Catholic peasantry and the Protestant landlord class. Hence English and Irish agree in recognising the necessity of distinctive treatment for Ireland, but from fundamentally different points of view. For the securing of justice as between landlord and tenant the economic conditions

would make the establishment of the English land-tenure a quite futile course. What is justice from the tenant's point of view, is robbery from the landlord's; and the solution England offers is to impose upon both what she considers justice, and Irishmen do not. The solution offered by the great majority of Irishmen is that they should settle the matter for themselves without English intervention—that the "distinctive treatment" should be controlled by the Irish democracy, not by the English.

The abstract justice of this claim appeals the more readily to the foreign spectator, because under the existing conditions it appears that, unlike the position of Scotland and Wales, the wishes of the Irish democracy—that is, of the majority of their parliamentary representatives—are apt to influence the judgment of the majority at Westminster in inverse proportion to their intensity—unless the Irish happen to hold the balance between the two great parliamentary parties. The process, however, of extending large powers of self-government to local bodies has recently been applied, in the hope that

The Irish it may remove the urgency of
Demand for demands for a separate legisla-
Home Rule ture. It may be affirmed with satisfaction that the virulence of


popular Irish hostility to the Government has greatly abated, though the same can probably not be said of the persistence of the demand for Home Rule; just as the personal hostility between English and Irish Members of Parliament has disappeared.

In any case, it seems certain that the increasing congestion of work in the Imperial Parliament will make it more and more necessary for parts of that work to be delegated to local bodies, and it is not improbable that a solution of this difficulty will ultimately be found in the recognition of Nationalist—not Separatist—aspirations by the establishment of Nationalist legislatures with limited powers, in subordination to the Imperial Parliament. The practical difficulties of evolving such a scheme are, however, so great that there is no present prospect of such a change being introduced.


The political party in the Imperial Parliament, which, under the leadership of Mr. Gladstone, committed itself to approval of the abstract principle of Home Rule for Ireland, is retarded from taking active steps towards its realisation by the consciousness that such plans as have hitherto been formulated might create


TYPES OF BRITISH BATTLESHIPS

In this and the following pages we give a series of drawings illustrating the leading types of vessels which constitute the strength of the British Navy, including those of the much discussed "Dreadnought" class.



H.M.S. "KING EDWARD VII" AT SPITHEAD.





Lord Nelson

Dreadnought


Bellerophon

Hindustan


GREAT BRITAIN'S FIRST LINE OF DEFENCE: FOUR OF THE EMPIRE'S FIRST-CLASS BATTLESHIPS




TYPES OF BRITISH CRUISERS: H.M.S. INDOMITABLE, IN FOREGROUND, MAKING HEAVY WEATHER



IMPROVED TYPE OF SUBMARINE, SHOWING FULL HEIGHT OUT OF THE WATER



SUBMARINES ATTACKING A WARSHIP WITH DUMMY-HEADED TORPEDOES



A No. 2 SUBMARINE OF THE HOLLAND TYPE

THE SUBMARINE IN NAVAL WARFARE

Photos: Cozens and Stephen Cribb

fresh causes of friction no less serious than those they were designed to remove; while the demand for "Home Rule all round" has not hitherto been expressed by any portion of the electorate. The conception of the empire as a congeries of self-governing states, associated into federated groups according to their geographical

The United Kingdom of the Future

position, having as their apex or formal bond of union the Crown and the Imperial Parliament, in which all shall be represented—this conception has not yet passed from the theorists to the practical politicians. If ever it does so, it may be assumed that the United Kingdom will be transformed into one of the federated groups, like the Dominion of Canada or the Commonwealth of Australia.

At the present day, however, the United Kingdom has one Parliament only; and the Parliament of the United Kingdom is also the Imperial Parliament—that is to say, that in conjunction with the Crown—not independently of it—it is legally recognised as the ultimate sovereign authority, not only in the United Kingdom, but throughout the empire. Whatsoever is done or ordained by the authority of the king in Parliament is lawfully done, and is legally binding in every portion of the empire to which the ordinance applies. By this authority every colony or dependency of the empire has received its present constitution, and might lawfully be deprived of it, just as by the same authority murder might be legalised and playing bridge be elevated into a capital offence.

Its own commonsense and the moral sense of the community set a practical limit to its powers; commonsense forbids it to exercise those powers in a manner opposed to the spirit of the constitution—it will be in no hurry to repeat the blunder which gave birth to the United States of America; but the law sets no limit and recognises none. Such authority has always in Eng-

Authority of King and Parliament

land been recognised as residing in the Crown and the National Council, whether that Council was the Saxon Witan, the Magnum Concilium of the Normans and early Plantagenets, or the Parliament in which the Commons appeared by their representatives. The authority of king and Council acting together has never been in dispute except by doctrinaire maintainers of the divine and inalienable right of succession to the throne, who deny that

even the king in Parliament can alter the course of the succession. The constitutional struggles have been fought round the question how far the Crown can act independently of Parliament, by prerogative, and sometimes how far Parliament can act independently of the Crown.

The king in Parliament—the Crown and the two Houses of Parliament—are the ultimate authority. For the sake of brevity we shall use the term "Parliament" for this complete body, speaking of the Crown and the Houses when its component parts are referred to distinctively. The Houses would be fully described as the House of Peers and the House of the Representatives of the Commons, the latter being alternatively spoken of as "the Representative House," or "the Commons." While Parliament is the ultimate authority, it discharges directly only a part of the sovereign functions. Moreover, Parliament itself is subjected to a certain degree of external control, partly because the members of the Representative Chamber are dependent on the electorate for the continuity of their membership, partly from the influence of a public

Predominance of the House of Commons

opinion which may be external even to the electorate. Thus, members will hesitate to take in the House a line which will endanger their seats at a general election, and a steady demand for the franchise by a solid body of persons excluded from the electorate is tolerably certain to be met if its existence is really indubitable. Of the three powers which, united, make up Parliament, the Commons' House is theoretically predominant.

The electorate has for half a century been constructed on a democratic basis. The House of Commons expresses the will of the electorate. The Peers and the Crown must yield to the emphatically expressed will of the Commons, as also must the Executive which is responsible to Parliament though not directly conducted by it. That is the theory which locates the effective sovereignty of the United Kingdom with the democracy; a theory which does not altogether correspond with the facts.

In theory, again, the British Constitution has these two leading characteristics: it distributes political power between the Crown, the aristocracy, and the people; and it separates the exercise of the three functions of sovereignty, the legislative, the administrative, and the judicial; while the necessary unity is



SIR JOHN FISHER



SIR PERCY SCOTT



LORD CHARLES BERESFORD



SIR WILLIAM MAY

LEADING ADMIRALS OF THE BRITISH NAVY IN OUR OWN TIME

Photos: Russell, Dinham, Gale and Földen, and Russell, 1907

secured by enabling the people in the long run to dominate the Crown and the aristocracy, and the legislature to dominate the Executive and the Judiciary. The people, it must be observed, means in any case only that portion, large or small, of the whole community which composes the electorate.

The relative political weight of the Crown, the aristocracy, and the people, has varied very greatly; with a general tendency to reduce first the preponderance of the Crown, which the Normans established, then the preponderance of the aristocracy, and then to acquire a preponderance for the Commons. It may be said that for two hundred years the Crown has exercised not control, but only influence, greater or less according to the monarch's personality. The actual control vanished when a German king of Great Britain found that his position depended on the good will of a party over whose discussions his linguistic deficiencies made it impossible for him to preside. The preponderance remained with the aristocracy, because a large proportion of seats in the representative chamber was virtually

Relations of the two Houses of Parliament in the gift of peers, although the House of Commons carried more weight than the House of Lords. This ascendancy of the aristocracy disappeared with the Reform Act of 1832, which created a new antagonism between the Houses which has continually been intensified with the democratising of the Commons.

The character, however, of both Houses has been so materially modified since that date that our conceptions of the character of Parliament—largely derived from Burke—require readjustment. Exponents of the constitution, so recent even as Walter Bagehot, wrote before the democratic forces called into play by the second Reform Act had had time to show how they would operate. Until then the weight of the electorate had still been controlled by the propertied classes, and though the peers had lost their pocket boroughs, a large minority among them was still in accord with the advanced party in the House of Commons. But that Reform Act, that "leap in the dark," has made that advanced party much more advanced than it was before, since the electorate is no longer dominated by the propertied classes; a fraction only of the peers is in sympathy with it, since its principles involve considerable modifications in the theory of

property; and when the advanced party has a majority in the Commons, it has to reckon on the consistent antagonism of the great majority of peers to its projects.

At the same time, the House of Commons has lost its preponderance in Parliament. That preponderance was won from the Crown in virtue of the power of

The Power of the House of Lords the people; it was assured as against the peers so long as it was practically possible to bring pressure on the Crown for the creation of a sufficient number of peers to convert a party minority into a party majority. The mere threat to do so was effective when the peers were a sufficiently patrician body to feel that their social, even more than their political, character would be lost by the creation of forty new peers. The creation of forty peers would hardly affect the character of the House to-day—neither would it affect the party majority. To swamp the majority would involve swamping the House, and would make the constitution of the Second Chamber an absurdity. Hence, that method of compulsion could only be applied by a party determined either to abolish the second chamber or to construct it *de novo* on a basis already specified and accepted. On the other hand, the still older method by which the House of Commons enforced its will—the refusal of supplies—was efficacious only when the Commons were in opposition to the administration.

The effect is that the House of Lords can refuse to pass any measures distasteful to it, however emphatically endorsed by the Commons, until it feels that its refusal will ensure the decisive support of the electorate to a specific measure for its abolition or reconstruction. Whereas it can always count on the existence of a very strong predisposition, in the electorate, in favour of a Second Chamber of some sort, a conservative preference for the maintenance therein at least of an aristocratic or

Problem of the House of Peers hereditary element, and a distracting division of opinion among reconstructors as to a practicable basis of reconstruction.

Human ingenuity would never have deliberately devised such a second chamber as the House of Peers; but it has the enormous advantage of being a natural growth, not deliberately devised at all; and to dispossess it would be an experiment in constitution-making from which the political genius of the people of the

United Kingdom has an intense aversion. Thus, the constitutional position which the United Kingdom has reached to-day would seem to be this: The House of Commons—as we shall presently see—has a control over administration, and the peers, as a House, have none. The peers cannot carry legislation against the Commons; but

The Peers a Check on Hasty Legislation

they can set the legislative desires of the Commons at defiance, so long as they do not thereby rouse the electorate to an overwhelming determination to be rid of them at any price. They fulfil the theoretical function of a Second Chamber as a check on hasty legislation, but only when the legislation is democratic, not when it is reactionary. Whether, and when, the democracy will discover a satisfactory solution of the problem thus presented is becoming a somewhat acute question; but it can only be said that no solution hitherto propounded has commanded anything more than the doubtful acquiescence of a very large body of reformers.

In the legislative capacity of Parliament which we have had under consideration, the third element, the Crown, has ceased to have more than a formal importance. The technical right of veto remains in the background, but no one imagines that it will ever be exercised, unless conceivably in the case of some flagrant violation of constitutional practice by the Houses—in itself a sufficiently improbable event.

We come now to the relations between Parliament, the Judiciary, and the Executive. The Judiciary need not detain us long. The judges became independent two hundred years ago. A general guarantee of fitness is provided by the fact that they are removable on an address to the Crown by both Houses, but their independence is secured by the corresponding fact that it is only on such an address that they are removable. Their appointment rests nominally with the Crown, actually with

How Judges are Appointed

the Crown's legal advisers, and security against grossly partisan appointments is assured by the presumption that such appointments would provoke retaliation. The real seat of the Government of the country is to be found only by examining the relations between the Parliament and the Executive, in "party" and "cabinet" government, affecting legislation as well as administration. The whole administration is controlled by officers technically

appointed by the Crown as the head, the Crown acting through Ministers. But the will of the people is expressed through Parliament. Before the "glorious revolution" of 1688 the king might, and very often did, choose Ministers who were antagonistic to Parliament, and Parliament could get rid of them only by the process of impeachments, or by refusing supplies—a double-edged weapon at the best of times.

The problem was to secure harmony between Parliament and the administration; which, in effect, meant the majority of the House of Commons and the administration. The solution was found in the selection of Ministers exclusively from the party which had a majority in the Commons; and the actual selection was very soon transferred, on the accession of the Hanoverians, from the Crown to the chief of the dominant party. The Crown, indeed, continued to exercise, on occasion, the technical right of declining the services of distasteful Ministers and of placing the selection in the hands of someone who was not the recognised leader of the majority; but in practice that

Collective Responsibility of the Cabinet

technical right was gradually eliminated. The principle had already been established that Ministers themselves were personally responsible for their acts, and could not take shelter behind orders from the Crown; and the further principle was gradually established that the whole group of Ministers are responsible for the acts of each individual Minister, a system expressed by the phrase "collective responsibility of the Cabinet."

It became the practice that Ministers should be selected from members of one or other of the Houses of Parliament, in which connection it is curious to note that there was for a long time a dislike to their appointment from among the Commons, on the ground that, as the king's servants, they would exercise a dangerous monarchical influence in the House. It required an extended experience to show that their membership of the House increased the power of the House itself instead of curtailing its independence.

The group of the principal Ministers selected by the chief formed the confidential committee, which came to be known as the Cabinet, meeting in secret conclave to decide the course of the policy which is to be adopted and the legislative measures which are to be submitted to

Parliament. There is no technical bar, it may be remarked, to the initiation of legislation which does not emanate from the Cabinet, but such legislation has very little prospect of being carried unless the Cabinet choose to adopt it as a Government measure; so that practically and normally the initiative lies with Ministers.

In a sense, however, the control of Ministers lies with the House of Commons, because if it is dissatisfied with their conduct, it can demand their resignation—such a demand formulated by the House of Lords would either be ignored or met by an appeal to the Commons for a vote of confidence. It has not hitherto been admitted that a Ministry supported by the representative Chamber can be dismissed by the peers; but it could not venture to defy an adverse vote in the Commons, since, inter alia, Ministers are human enough not to be anxious to retain office if they are deprived of salaries. On the other hand, the Crown, though having the technical authority to dismiss a Minister or a whole Ministry, would not venture to do so without being absolutely

When a Ministry Resigns

sure that its action would be endorsed by an early appeal to the electorate. In practice, therefore, it is to the Commons that Ministers are responsible, and the Commons have the power of dismissal. Up to a certain point it is the Commons, also, that have the power of appointment. An adverse vote in the Commons on a fundamental question will compel Ministers either to resign or to advise a dissolution.

In the former case the retiring chief recommends the Crown to "send for" the official leader of the Opposition, who holds that position by the choice of his party, which now is presumably—on the hypothesis that the House is composed of two parties—in a majority, or can command at least the provisional support of a majority. In the second case, the Ministry remains in office till it meets with an adverse vote in the new Parliament, when it will resign, and a new Ministry will be formed by the leader of the Opposition. In either case the Minister who constructs the Cabinet is the man whom the party which commands a majority has chosen as its leader. If he does not command a majority, he will accept office only with a view to an early dissolution. The Minister will construct his Cabinet, and select his colleagues, in

general accord with the wishes of his party; and so far it is true that the Ministry or Cabinet, the executive body, is appointed by the House of Commons—meaning thereby the political party which commands a majority in that House. Yet the real control of the House over the administration is limited. The system


The System of Party Government is workable only on the basis of party government, the hypothesis that there are two main parties, to one or other of

which all minor groups will attach themselves with some consistency. It is possible under the system for a Ministry to carry a series of measures, no one of which has the actual approval of an actual majority of members. If one of those measures is defeated, the Ministry will resign, and the Opposition will assume the government. A group of members who dislike one measure but are bent on a second, will give their support to the first rather than have the second shelved by the resignation of the Cabinet. Another group will reverse the process; and the Government will successfully carry both measures, though each would have been lost if the reluctant supporters of the Government had given their votes exclusively on the merits of the particular measure.

What is true of the House of Commons is still more true of the electorate. The electorate chooses its party, not its specific measures. The prospect of Tariff Reform or of Local Option, of Land Reform or of an Education Bill, may decide which party shall predominate in Parliament; but the electorate does not endorse beforehand all the measures which that party may see fit to adopt before another General Election. Different projects may be the decisive factors in the choice of different constituencies which unite to bring the same party into power; and it is possible that neither project has the direct approval of a majority of constituencies, or of a majority of mem-

Decisive Factors at Elections bers, and may yet both be part of the avowed programme of the Ministers whom the victorious party will support in passing both.

It may be noted in passing that the resignation of the Cabinet does not necessarily involve the formation of a Ministry from the Opposition. If it is the outcome of dissensions within the Cabinet, the leader of the revolt, or someone in sympathy with the revolt, may be given the opportunity of reconstructing the




INTERIOR OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS, AS SEEN FROM THE THRONE




INTERIOR OF THE CHAMBER, LOOKING TOWARDS THE THRONE

GREAT BRITAIN'S UPPER HOUSE OF PARLIAMENT



INTERIOR OF THE HOUSE, LOOKING TOWARDS THE STRANGERS GALLERY



INTERIOR OF THE HOUSE, LOOKING TOWARDS THE SPEAKER'S CHAIR

SCENES IN THE BRITISH HOUSE OF COMMONS

Government. But the fundamental fact is that the House of Commons will not formally attack Government measures or administration merely because it disapproves in particulars, so long as it sees in the defeat of Ministers the prospect only of an alternative Government, of which it disapproves more strongly in general.

How the Party System Works

Hence we arrive, not at the predominance of the House of Commons as a whole, nor exactly at a predominance of the Cabinet, but at a balance between the Cabinet and the majority of the party from which it is drawn. Unless some such vital question arises as Home Rule or Tariff Reform, the minorities of the party will support the majority, and the majority will support the Cabinet. The Cabinet can go its own way so long as the threat of resignation will keep its majority solid; but the Cabinet cannot defy a majority which is ready to demand its resignation if it does so. But beyond the House of Commons there is the House of Lords, which can render the legislation—though not the administration—nugatory so long as it does not endanger its own existence by so doing. The peers have been not infrequently threatened, but threatened men live long. It cannot well be maintained in the circumstances as expounded that a supremacy can be definitely located.

The will of the majority of the House of Commons is not necessarily, at least in particulars, that of the electorate. The vote of the majority does not necessarily express the wish even of that majority. The Cabinet is powerless unless it can command that vote, and the vote itself may be rendered nugatory by the peers. It may be seen that the system is decidedly remote from any logical ideal, and this will be further emphasised by two considerations. The first of these is the structure of the Cabinet, which conducts

Paradoxes in the State Departments

administration. The logician would set an expert at the head of each Department of state; the system provides in each a board of expert advisers, but sets at the head someone who, as often as not, is entirely without experience in the work of that department. We may have a bookseller at the Admiralty, a metaphysician at the War Office, a war-correspondent at the Board of Trade, a country gentleman in charge of Finance,

and an untravelled attorney in charge of India or the Colonies. Experience teaches that the practice has very high merits, but it is supremely paradoxical.


The second point is that the whole system rests on the theory that one or other of two parties can always command a majority in the Commons. Yet there is nothing in the nature of things to ensure that this shall always be the case; on the contrary, a third party has been in existence for many years, and once at least neither of the two great parties could have conducted the Government while the third party refused its support. A fourth party has already come definitely into existence; it can no longer be regarded as in any way certain that one party will be able to command a majority of the House.

It will be necessary for two, or possibly for three of the parties to come to terms of alliance, and the programme, or part of the programme, of a small minority may be forced on Ministers as the condition on which their own particular programme can be carried through. Our point is that democratisation seems

Britain's Destiny in the Future

to tend of itself to the multiplication of parties, and the multiplication of parties tends to produce legislative deadlock and extreme instability of administration. And it appears at the present moment by no means improbable that the group of questions here indicated may be rendered additionally complicated at an early date by the appearance of the women's franchise in the sphere of practical politics.

Nevertheless, we may take heart of grace. Our political constitution has always and everywhere presented an abundance of paradoxes and inconsistencies, which ought by rule to have prevented progress by locking the machinery; yet the machinery has never been brought to a standstill, nor have the works been kept going by destroying the old machinery to replace it with a brand-new article. It has always been found possible to adapt the old machinery to the new work it had to do; and we may confidently expect that the process of adaptation will continue, the machinery will still work without revolutionary reconstruction, and the population of these islands will not cease yet awhile to hold a foremost place among the free nations of the world, of which nations not a few will be our brothers of the British Empire. A. D. INNES



The Prime Minister, Mr. H. H. Asquith, introducing the Home Rule Bill in the Session of 1914.

LATER EVENTS IN THE UNITED KINGDOM

THE preceding chapter has explained the working methods of the British Imperial Parliament ; here we may rightly sketch the application of those methods to certain problems in recent years. The relations of the House of Commons and the House of Lords had long been unsatisfactory when a Liberal Government was in power, for the simple reason that while the Lords invariably passed any Government Bill when Conservatives held office, it

was their common practice to reject, or revise drastically, important Bills sent up by a Liberal Ministry. It was in vain that Liberal peers were created in large numbers from 1830 to 1909 by every Liberal Prime Minister—with but few exceptions the receipt of a peerage sent the recipient over to the Conservatives. In 1909 a crisis was reached when the House of Lords rejected the Finance Bill, which Mr. Lloyd George, the Chancellor of the

Exchequer, had seen safely through the Commons. Now all questions of national finance were from of old in England the special province of the House of Commons, and to admit the right of the Lords to interfere in any way with financial measures seemed to the Liberals a dangerous precedent. The House of Lords rejected

General Election 1910

the Finance Bill—on the ground of its revolutionary character—on November 30th, 1909, and Mr. Asquith appealed to the country early in January, 1910, at a General Election. The result of this election brought the Liberals back—with their allies the Irish Nationalists and the Labour party—with a majority of 124 over the Conservatives; the main issues of the election having been the Lords' veto over House of Commons Bills and Mr. Lloyd George's Budget; while the Conservatives had put forward Tariff Reform as a counter programme. In April Mr. Asquith brought in his Parliament Bill for the restriction of the veto of the House of Lords. By this bill the House of Lords was disabled from rejecting or amending any financial measure sent up from the Commons, and it further declared that any Bill that had passed the House of Commons in three successive Sessions, and had been sent up to the Lords at least one month before the end of each Session, having been rejected by the Lords in each of these Sessions, should become law without the consent of the House of Lords on the Royal Assent being declared, "provided that at least two years shall have elapsed between the date of the first introduction of the Bill in the House of Commons and the date on which it passes the House of Commons for the third time."

The Bill also limited the duration of Parliament to five years. The death of King Edward VII., in May, 1910, and the accession of George V., had a moderating influence on the dispute, for the leaders on

Death of Edward VII.

both sides were averse from involving the new King in a grave constitutional controversy before he was fairly settled on the throne. Conferences took place between Mr. Asquith, Mr. Lloyd George, Mr. Birrell, and Lord Crewe representing the Liberals, and Mr. A. Chamberlain, Mr. Balfour, Lord Cawdor, and Lord Lansdowne representing the Conservatives, in the summer and autumn of 1910, with a view to arriving at some basis of agreement between the

two Houses, and on the failure of these conferences Mr. Asquith again appealed to the country at a General Election—December, 1910—and was once more returned to power, this time with a coalition majority of 122. The Parliament Bill was at once, in 1911, re-introduced and passed through the House of Commons, and in August the Lords accepted it, Lord Morley—formerly Mr. John Morley—announcing that if the Bill was rejected "his Majesty would assent to the creation of peers sufficient in numbers to guard against any possible combination of the different parties in opposition by which the Bill might again be exposed to defeat."

To preserve the Upper House from the addition of 500 new Liberal members, the Conservatives agreed to let the Bill pass, though it meant an end to their long exercised veto over Liberal legislation. The full effects of this Parliament Bill were not seen till May, 1914, when both the Home Rule (for Ireland) Bill and the Welsh Disestablishment Bill passed through the House of Commons for the third time, and were sent to the Lords in the knowledge

Lords' Veto Ended

that their rejection by that House could no longer delay their passage into law. Thus the Home Rule Bill and the Bill for the Disestablishment of the Church in Wales, after a delay of twenty years from the time of their first acceptance by the House of Commons, were ensured a place on the Statute Book. This bill for the Disestablishment of the Church in Wales was a measure for dispossessing the Church of England of its property in the Welsh speaking counties—while leaving the actual ecclesiastical buildings to their present occupiers and making provision for present incumbents—and placing that property in the hands of the secular and local authorities. As the majority of the Welsh members of Parliament had for over twenty years been advocates of this disestablishment, and as the Nonconformists in Wales were a particularly active body in politics, the Liberals, on their principle of respecting the rights of nationalities, felt obliged to pass such a measure. If there was but little enthusiasm for Welsh Disestablishment outside the ranks of Liberal Nonconformists, there was still less interest in the opposition to the proposal, save amongst members of the Church of England. The passing of the Home Rule Bill did not promise a final settlement of


LATER EVENTS IN THE UNITED KINGDOM

the Irish question. For in 1912 that section of the Ulster population which is aggressively Protestant and violently hostile to the Roman Catholicism of the majority of the rest of Ireland began a vigorous campaign against Home Rule. Led by Sir Edward Carson, M.P., a distinguished lawyer, the Ulster Unionists signed a covenant, of September 28, 1912, that they would not recognise an Irish Parliament if it were established in Dublin. Although the Government asserted they had taken every precaution in the drafting of the Home Rule Bill to ensure protection of a Protestant minority from possible hardships at the hands of an Irish Parliament with a Catholic majority, and while both Mr. Asquith and Mr. John Redmond, the leader of the Irish Nationalists, expressed a willingness to meet the demands of the Ulster Unionists, Sir Edward Carson and the covenanters continued their campaign, and organised a large body of volunteers for the purpose of resisting by force of arms all attempts at coercion. In this declaration of forcible resistance they were supported by the English Conservatives, but the Liberal Government ignored the enrolling and drilling of Ulster volunteers until May, 1914, when the landing and distribution of a large quantity of arms for these volunteers convinced Mr. Asquith that the covenanters were in earnest in their determination to resist the authority of an Irish Parliament in Dublin. In order to avoid any violent disturbance in Ireland and to prevent the possibility of civil war, Mr. Asquith, though he denounced the importation of arms as an outrage, not only refrained from all prosecution of Sir Edward Carson and from all attempts to interfere with the drilling of the Ulster volunteers, but promised that before the Home Rule Bill became law an Amending Bill should be introduced for the purpose of allowing those counties of Ulster that desired to be exempted from the authority of the Irish Parliament to contract out of Home Rule. Besides Home Rule and Welsh Disestablishment the Liberal Government was responsible for an Act passed in 1911 setting up for the first time in Great Britain a system of national insurance, and, in addition to a large number of other laws enacted since 1910, the House of Commons passed a bill allowing trade unions, under certain con-

ditions, to spend a portion of their funds on political purposes—an expenditure deemed illegal by certain judges a few years earlier.

The question of Parliamentary votes for women, which had been discussed from time to time with academic interest since John Stuart Mill's advocacy in 1870, suddenly became acute shortly after the return of the Liberals to power in 1906. By considerable majorities a Women's Suffrage Bill passed the House of Commons in 1910, 1911, and 1912, but on each occasion the Government refused to allow any facilities for the further progress of the measure, Mr. Asquith announcing that a Reform Bill would shortly be introduced by the Government and that such a bill could be amended by a women's suffrage clause. When this Reform Bill was about to be introduced, in January, 1913, the Speaker stated that such an amendment would be out of order, and the Reform Bill was dropped. While the great body of supporters of women's suffrage continued to conduct their agitation on strictly constitutional lines, a comparatively small but extremely desperate society, known as the Women's Social and Political Union, led by Mrs. Pankhurst and her daughter, Miss Christabel Pankhurst, adopted what were called "militant" tactics. These tactics consisted at first in deputations to Parliament, which were refused admission, and resulted in many hundreds of women being sent to prison. In 1911 and 1912 window smashing, first at Government offices and then at important West End shops, were carried out. In 1913 and 1914 the attack on private property was extended, and empty mansions, racecourse stands, and other erections were burnt to the ground.

The chief difficulty in the way of women's suffrage becoming law was the opposition of Mr. Asquith to the proposal. While the majority of the Liberal and Labour parties supported the claim for the Parliamentary enfranchisement of women—a claim also supported by many Conservatives, including Mr. Balfour—the Liberal Prime Minister remained its steadfast opponent, and the loyalty of his followers prevented their pressing for legislation in the matter.



BRITAIN'S MARITIME ENTERPRISE: MAP SHOWING THE ROUTES TAKEN BY THE EARLY VOYAGERS

To the sailors who left her shores on voyages of discovery, beginning at the close of the fifteenth century, Great Britain owes a heavy debt of gratitude, for in most cases their discoveries increased the nation's territory and laid the foundations of the world-wide empire of the present day. The routes followed by these early voyagers are illustrated in the above map.



THE BRITISH EMPIRE

FROM EARLIEST TIMES TO THE PRESENT DAY ITS EFFECT ON WORLD HISTORY

By Sir Harry Johnston, G.C.M.G.

THE EMPIRE IN THE MAKING AND THE WONDERFUL PROGRESS OF TWO CENTURIES

BEFORE considering in detail the evolution of the British Empire, and the effect of that empire on the British people and on the world at large, it may be as well to glance at the elements which have formed the present tribes of English and Keltic-speaking people of Great Britain and Ireland, who from the point of view of the extent, population, wealth, and civilisation of their empire in Europe, America, Asia and Africa have been up to the present the first among ruling races.

The people now inhabiting the British Islands are, so far as investigations go in history, archaeology and palæontology, the result of many layers of humanity, belonging in the main to the white, or Caucasian, sub-species, which have inhabited England, Wales, Scotland and Ireland for the last hundred thousand years or so. Man, of a Neanderthaloid type, that is to say, a creature resembling most, of all existing races, the black Australians or the Veddahs of Ceylon, probably entered England when Great Britain, and even Ireland, were eccentrically shaped peninsulas attached by isthmuses one to the other and to the north of France and Belgium. A calvarium—

**The First
Inhabitants
of Britain**

upper part of the skull—has been exhumed in Sligo, North-west Ireland, and is now in the British Museum of Natural History, which offers some resemblance to the Neanderthaloid crania found in Belgium, the Rhine Valley, and the Carpathians.

This early and generalised type of humanity, which some anthropologists think should be classified as a separate species of humanity, was, at any rate, near the basic stock of *Homo sapiens* before this last became differentiated into the Negro, Mongol, or Caucasian sub-species. The Man of Neanderthal, I believe, bore a strong resemblance to the lower types of black Australians of to-day, and these last offer considerable analogies in skull form and in culture to the early palæolithic men of Britain. Whether man continuously inhabited the British peninsulas during the changes of climate which marked the Pleistocene period, with its glacial interludes of Polar conditions, is not yet clearly established. The recurring cycles of extreme cold which covered Scotland, Northern England, and the greater part of Ireland with an ice sheet may have killed out the Australoid men of the Early Stone Age; or these latter may have gradually accustomed themselves to the cold and have survived to more genial conditions.

Or the Palæolithic people, with their projecting brows, retreating foreheads, long arms and shambling legs, were perhaps exterminated not by climatic changes, but by the inrush of the first definitely "white" people of the Caucasian stock. These, it is surmised, were more or less akin to the Iberian people of Mediterranean Europe, Western (and far

North-eastern) Asia and North Africa—white men with dark hair and brown eyes. Then parts of Europe, and perhaps Great Britain, were invaded by a round-headed people, probably of Asiatic origin, who seem to have brought with them a greater number and variety of domestic animals and improved arts. Mongoloid tribes of short

Britain heads, or long-headed
Three Thousand types like the Eskimo, may
Years Ago also have reached Great Britain from the north-east across the ice sheet, and have penetrated to Ireland. The Iberians of prehistoric days probably spoke a language allied to modern Basque or to the Berber tongues of North Africa. Some three or four thousand years ago our islands were conquered and overrun from the East by the first Aryans—long-headed Northern Europeans, with red or blond hair and blue eyes; early Kelts, in fact, who grafted their Aryan speech on to the Iberian stock, and so brought into existence the Keltic languages of the two very distinct modern branches—Scoto-Irish (Goidhelic), and Welsh (Brythonic).

This amalgam of people—the earlier tribes of which resembled very much, no doubt, the modern Ainos of Japan, the Lapps of Northern Europe, the Auvergnats of Central France, the Finns, and the modern Belgians—warred, intermarried, compromised, and co-existed in innumerable tribes under petty chieftains, quite outside the history of the civilised Mediterranean world—though not out of touch with its commerce—until some five hundred years before Christ; when the coasts of Southern England may have been reached by Phœnician trading ships, who later brought back some news of Britain and even Ireland to the Greek geographers of Alexander's day and kingdom.

Then came the extension of the Roman Empire, the invasion of England by Cæsar—because the Brythonic Kelts made common cause with their Gallo-

Cæsar's Belgian kinsmen—and the
Invasion of beginning of the historical
England period in Britain. Still, our

countries continued to receive, and not to export, humanity. In the centuries that followed the Roman Conquest a few Irish missionaries, or British refugees, found their way into Northern France, where the Bretons constituted the first of British colonies. But the islands of Great Britain, Ireland and Man still attracted colonists from the outer

world. Hordes of Germanic people occupied England and Eastern Scotland, coming from Scandinavia and the Western and North-western parts of modern Germany. Denmark and Norway between the ninth and thirteenth centuries must have contributed quite two millions of immigrants—tall, fair-haired, blue-eyed, but also occasionally tall and dark-haired (from Denmark, where an anterior Iberian people had left its traces)—to the population of Eastern England, Eastern and Northern Scotland, the Isle of Man, and all the coast regions of Ireland.

The Norman Conquest brought in its train and as its results several thousands of Frenchmen—tinged with Norse blood. The French kings of England, the Plantagenets, planted many colonies of Flemings from Belgium, or Germans from the lower Rhine; also occasional settlers from South-west France. A few Spaniards came and remained with Philip II. of Spain, or were stranded on these shores as prisoners during the wars of the sixteenth century. Gipsies had crossed over to England at the close of the fifteenth

Britain's century and had rapidly pene-
Age of trated, several thousand in
Maturity number, to the wilder parts of East Anglia, the Welsh Borderland, and Lowland Scotland, contributing a picturesque attenuated element of the Dravidian to a populace mostly pink and white and blond-haired.

In the wonderful Tudor period, the sixteenth century, the great race movements which had colonised these islands ceased for a time; and Britain, having reached maturity, was ready to send its superfluous and, above all, its adventurous sons to seek new homes and found new nations. It is true that in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries there came a few thousand French refugees from religious persecution—invaluable as individuals; and that in the nineteenth century there has been an immigration of Germans, of Jews from Eastern and Northern Europe, and of Italians. These aliens—most of them desirable, a few undesirable—though not reaching to the sum total of a million, still have made and will make their mark on the future type of the British population, especially in the towns. But for the purposes of our survey it may be stated that the colonisation of Great Britain and Ireland ceased at the end of

the fifteenth century; and that at this period began the wonderful outpouring of energy which was to create not only the largest empire that the world has ever known, but probably the biggest congeries of states under the rule of one monarch that the world will ever know until the complete federation of mankind under one earthly head is accomplished.

This résumé of the race elements in the British Islands has been necessary in order that we may arrive at some appreciation of the type of humanity which has conquered and colonised the British Empire. It is a breed retaining strains of the Iberian, even of the earliest of the prehistoric peoples of Northern Europe, but is nevertheless an amalgam in which the blond Aryan type predominates; the type which is chiefly associated at the present day with the speaking of Low German dialects. To this group English belongs. The people who founded the British Empire in the days of the Tudors and Stuarts were mainly Teutonic and Scandinavian in descent, though tinged with the Iberian in the seamen of Devon

and Cornwall. The British colonisers and adventurers of the fifteenth, sixteenth, seventeenth, and eighteenth centuries were almost entirely drawn from Southern Scotland, England and Wales. Ireland during these centuries was itself a "champ d'exploitation" on the part of our ruthless ancestors of the larger island, though occasionally in the seventeenth century some hundreds of rebellious Irish were deported to the West Indies.

It was not until the nineteenth century that the union of Ireland with England—however unjustly it was brought about—threw open to the sons of Ireland all the advantages of the British Empire. Since then, during the nineteenth and the first few years of the twentieth centuries, the Irish, proportionately, have done more in colonising the daughter states of the empire and in administering India and the Crown colonies than the people of Great Britain.

England was the first amongst the arbitrary sub-national divisions of the now United Kingdom to think of colonising. This movement began after the European revival of learning, known as the Renaissance. As already mentioned, however, the English were not the first colonisers to leave these islands; for in

the period that immediately followed the extension of Roman civilisation in Britain, the Irish—who, though they were never actually under the sway of Rome, had become, through the Church, one of the most Romanised peoples of Western Europe—had been stirred by a strange spirit of adventure, which first took the

Ireland's Seafaring Pioneers form of missionary travels in Scotland, France and Germany, and then linked on with Norse maritime discovery; so that from Ireland came one of the first mysterious hints of a New World beyond the Atlantic. It is doubtful whether the seafaring monks or fishermen of Western Ireland ever reached the North American continent, even by following the Norse route to the Faroes, Iceland, Greenland and Newfoundland; but it does seem possible that the Irish may have sailed south-westwards past the coasts of Portugal to the Azores or Madeira, or even as far to the north-west as the once larger island of Rockall. Their more than half legendary adventures deserve mention, since they became the germ that inspired the English and Welsh raiders of the Plantagenet centuries with the idea of oversea discovery.

The Danish and Norwegian invaders of our islands were colonisers of the most successful type. They were looking for homes beyond the inclement lands of Scandinavia—inclement under ancient conditions—and they brought to the Anglo-Saxon civilisation of Alfred much knowledge of Northern geography. Through these, and through the civilised Franks of France, Alfred, the Saxon king of Southern England, was linked up (Rome helping) with the Byzantine Empire; and there is an actual tradition of Alfred having despatched, in 883, Sighelm of Sherborne as a pilgrim, via Rome, to the shrine of St. Thomas, in "India." Though Sighelm may have got no further

England's Commerce with Venice than the Nestorian churches of Mesopotamia, still even a journey to India was quite possible in the days before the Seljuk and Ottoman Turks had raised barriers of fanaticism between Christian Europe and Mohammedan Asia.

Commerce brought the England of the Plantagenets into touch with Venice—Venice which had already revealed to the world, through such travellers as Marco Polo, the existence of Asiatic

kingdoms, islands and peninsulas as far as China, Sumatra and Java. Venetian maritime explorers turned their attention to the discovery of Ultima Thule, possibly as the result of some news having reached Venice of the Norwegian settlements in lands across the Northern Atlantic, also because of the important fisheries in the far North-west. In Plantagenet times, however, the British lust for conquest and colonisation was slaked by the attempts to conquer and settle Scotland, Ireland, Northern and Western France. The idea of maritime adventure did not dawn on the English people till after the Wars of the Roses and the establishment of the Tudor dynasty; in fact, until the very end of the fifteenth century. Even then the mass of the people thought of no such thing. The impulse was first given by the far-sighted though stingy monarch, Henry VII., the father-in-law of an Aragonese princess, through whose relations he had heard of the conquest and settlement of the Canary Islands and Madeira, and of Spanish, Portuguese, Majorcan and Genoese adventures along the West Coast of Africa.

Beginnings of Maritime Adventure

To the court of Henry VII. came an adventurous but disappointed Venetian mariner, John Cabot, whose famous son, Sebastian, was probably born at Bristol. In the minds of this and other Venetian navigators may have lingered the semi-legendary voyages of Nicolá and Antonio Zeno in the fourteenth century—perhaps founded on Norse traditions—which led them to habitable lands on the other side of the North Atlantic to the Vineland (Rhode Island), where grew wild grapes in profusion. Henry Tudor committed himself as grudgingly to maritime discovery as did the father-in-law of his son, Ferdinand of Aragon. John and Sebastian Cabot, however, led British crews to the discovery of Newfoundland and other points of North America, with no very immediate results. But when the Englishmen of Devon and Cornwall, of London, Bristol, Pembroke, Cardiff, Swansea, Poole, Southampton, Tilbury, Lowestoft, and Yarmouth built better and bigger ships in imitation of, or under the teaching of, the Norman French—who, in all probability, had sailed to West Africa as early as the middle of the fourteenth century—the Dutch, Venetians, Genoese, and

Spaniards; and when, disdaining further foreign pilotage, they started forth in their own bottoms, guided by their own navigators and financed by their own capitalists, they did not for the moment turn their attention to America, but devoted themselves eagerly to the West African trade.

As I have related in other chapters, it was the longing for pepper, the desire to make money by carrying slaves, and finally the thirst for gold, that drew the British to West Africa during the reigns of Edward, Mary, and Elizabeth. At first the British adventurers hired themselves as mariners to the Portuguese, and so found out their way to the Guinea coast. Later, they would engage a Portuguese as captain or supercargo. But by the year 1554 they were sufficiently sure of themselves to undertake an all-British venture to West Africa under the command of Captain John Lok, with whom travelled Sir George Barn and Sir John York. The two ships under Captain Lok's command visited the coast of Liberia and reached the Gold Coast in 1555. In 1585 and 1588, Queen Elizabeth issued two

Royal Patron of English Trade


patents, or monopolies, for trade with the Atlantic coast of Africa. The earlier dealt with Morocco; the second with the region between the Senegal and the Gambia. A third charter, or patent, issued in 1592, covered the Guinea coast between the River Nunee and, approximately, the Sherbro district.

The transportation of negro slaves from West Africa to the West Indies and Spanish America—first undertaken by Captain (afterwards Sir John) Hawkins in 1562—initiated the British into the wonders, the wealth, and the attractiveness of these lands of the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea.

Though they never lost their grip on, or their interest in, the West African coast, the national enterprise of England during the last third of the sixteenth century and the hundred years that followed was mainly directed to the New World. Whilst Elizabeth was on the throne they snatched at many an isolated city, here and there at a promontory or an islet. But though they possessed inconceivable daring and courage, they had not the means or the national force with which to hold on to their conquests. Elizabeth, before the unsuccessful attack of the Armada, feared to take any direct government action for the founding

The Early Voyages of Discovery

points of North America, with no very immediate results. But when the Englishmen of Devon and Cornwall, of London, Bristol, Pembroke, Cardiff, Swansea, Poole, Southampton, Tilbury, Lowestoft, and Yarmouth built better and bigger ships in imitation of, or under the teaching of, the Norman French—who, in all probability, had sailed to West Africa as early as the middle of the fourteenth century—the Dutch, Venetians, Genoese, and



THE ACQUISITION OF NEWFOUNDLAND BY SIR HUMPHREY GILBERT, IN 1583

In 1578, Sir Humphrey Gilbert, a soldier and navigator, received from Queen Elizabeth a charter for discovery, to plant a colony, and be governor; but, owing to the difficulties which beset him, it was not till 1583 that he achieved his purpose, taking possession, in the queen's name, of the harbour of St. John's, and two hundred leagues every way for himself, his heirs and assigns for ever. The illustration shows Sir Humphrey among the rough fishermen and sailors.

From the drawing by R. Caton Woodville

of British colonies which might give umbrage to Spain, but had no wish unduly to check British maritime adventure so long as it cost her nothing but documents, messages of good will, or gilded figure-heads.

Accordingly, Sir Humphrey Gilbert—an elder stepbrother of Raleigh, who had distinguished himself by his valour in

Gilbert's Ill-Fated Expeditions one of the wars for the subjugation of Ireland—received a vague charter for the discovery and colonisation of lands beyond the seas in North America “not already in the possession of any other Christian prince.” This was granted in 1578, but the expeditions, financed mainly by Gilbert and Raleigh, proved to be ill-starred. Even before the first of them started, a certain Knollys, who should have served under Sir Humphrey Gilbert, treated his commander with insulting contumely, alleging that he, Knollys, being of the blood royal by descent, could not be invited to dinner by Gilbert, a simple knight.

The defection of Knollys crippled the expedition, which, though it reached the coast of Virginia, left behind a poorly equipped little colony to be starved out or killed by Indians in the course of twelve months. Sir Humphrey Gilbert made a fresh attempt in 1583, on the return from which he was drowned at sea, his vessel foundering during a gale. In the interval between the two expeditions Raleigh, with his characteristic optimism, concluded that his brother would found a great state which, in anticipation, he named Virginia, a name which was to be revived and permanently affixed to the map twenty-four years later.

As a matter of fact, Sir Humphrey Gilbert was an unsuccessful Columbus. Like Columbus, he had great ideas, but he was no coloniser or administrator. Gilbert was really bent on discovering a trans-American route to India. India, as I shall show later, was behind most men's ventures at this period as the

English Trade Expansion ultimate goal in all oversea adventure. The idea of a chartered company to deal with the trade of India arose

at the end of the sixteenth century, born of Elizabeth's notion of monopolies. Companies had been formed to trade with the Levant and Turkey; that Turkey which had opened up friendly relations with the Virgin Queen, to the great, and perhaps legitimate, disgust of the Catholics of Southern and Western Europe, who felt,

all too truly, through Pope, emperor, knightly orders and the descendants of crusading kings, that Turkey was blasting civilisation and wrecking the fairest portions of the Mediterranean world.

By 1579, Thomas Stephens, a Catholic priest of New College, Oxford, afterwards rector of the Jesuits' College at Salsette, near Bombay, had visited India, and by his letters home had excited a great interest in England in the commercial possibilities of trade with the Far East. Trading adventurers—thanks to Turkish protection—in spite of Hispano-Portuguese opposition, had reached India overland in 1583. By 1600, the English East India Company had been incorporated by Elizabeth's Royal Charter as “the governor and company of merchants of London trading to the East Indies.”

Early trade relations with India had grown out of Elizabeth's alliance with the Turk, and followed an overland route through Egypt or Syria; but it was obvious that they could only be continued on a grand scale and at great profit by taking the all-sea route of the Portuguese round

Founding the East India Company the West Coast of Africa, the Cape of Good Hope, and Madagascar. The Dutch mariners led the way in 1596,

and from 1601 onwards the great sea route was followed in preference to that of the Mediterranean and Red Sea. The Dutch, after three years' undisturbed monopoly of the Indian trade, 1596-9, had raised the price of pepper against us from three shillings to six, or even eight, shillings a pound. This was the immediate cause of the foundation of the first (and chartered) East India Company.

Although the Stuarts have been much and justly censured by historians for the defects of their home policy and the deceit which characterised their foreign dealings, they cannot be accused of indifference to the creation of an empire abroad; indeed, in this respect they showed themselves much more imperial than the vaunted Elizabeth, cautious and mean as she was in her dealings and ventures. It was really under James I., the beheader of Raleigh, that the transmarine empire of the British Crown was actually founded. Our first and oldest colony, so far as continuous possession goes, is the West Indian island of Barbados, taken by an expedition in the ship *Olive Blossom*, in 1605, though not really occupied till 1625.



THE BRITISH IN BERMUDAS: SIR GEORGE SOMERS WRECKED ON THE ISLANDS IN 1609
One of the chief promoters of the South Virginian Company, Sir George Somers sailed in 1609, with a body of settlers, and was wrecked on the then little known islands in South America called after Juan Bermudez. In the name of King James I., he took possession of the islands, which he at once colonised, and died there in 1610.
From the drawing by R. Caton Woodville

The next oldest is the state of Virginia, definitely founded in 1607 by the building of Jamestown on May 13th of that year. The Bermuda Islands were accidentally rediscovered and occupied in 1609; the Bahamas in 1629. In 1606 an important charter was granted for the eastern coast-lands of North America, between North Carolina, Maine, and Nova Scotia. This allotted to a London company of adventurers the regions between 34° and 38° N. Lat.; to the Plymouth Company of Devonshire, the area bounded north and south by the 45° and 41° of N. Lat.; while the intervening space was to be open to the operations of either company. It was this hesitancy about the fate of the North American coast between 38° and 41° which made it easier for the Dutch to come in a little later—1609-1621—and create a colony on the site of New York. A portion of Newfoundland was first settled in 1623; in that year, also, was first occupied the little Leeward island of St. Christopher, which was to be the point of departure and the rallying place of so much British colonising enterprise in the West Indies during the seventeenth century.

In 1610, Henry Hudson, a navigator who, two years previously in the Dutch service, had sought vainly for a direct sea-passage to China round Siberia or across North America, was despatched by a strong joint-stock company, in which Prince Henry of Wales interested himself, to search for the China passage and incidentally to annex territories of value. Hudson penetrated through the Hudson Straits—really discovered twenty years earlier by John Davis—into Hudson's Bay.

A mutiny on board his ship on his return caused him to be cast adrift by his crew in the Hudson Straits, and he was never more heard of. But his work of exploration was continued by William Baffin and other English seamen-adventurers in the three succeeding years. The

The Fate of Two Great Discoverers marvellous energy and ubiquity of Elizabethan and Jacobean seamen are exemplified in the fate of John Davis—the great Arctic explorer and discoverer of the Falkland Islands—and William Baffin, the discoverer of Baffin's Bay and Western Greenland. Davis was one of the officers serving under the piratical Sir Edward Michelborne in the Malay Archipelago (China Chartered Company), and was himself killed by Malay


pirates off the modern British colony of Malacca; and Baffin was killed at the siege of Ormuz, when an allied Anglo-Persian force took that island from the Portuguese. Owing to the death of Prince Henry, the work of the nascent Hudson Bay Company was not vigorously prosecuted for some years, though the growing whaling and fur-getting industries kept British interests in these regions alive.

So much for Jacobean America; the Asiatic enterprise of the British people under the same monarch was simply marvellous. In 1603 a factory had been founded at Bantam in Java, near the exit from the Sunda Straits. By the following year, the British had got possession of the Banda and Amboina Islands on the very verge of New Guinea, a foothold from which they were dislodged by the Dutch in 1623 by that "Amboina massacre" which so long rankled in the minds of the English, and was only atoned for under the reign of Cromwell. In 1606, James granted a licence to a company of merchants to trade with Cathay, China, Japan, Korea, and Cambaya—probably the first time

Portuguese Defeated by the British that Japan and Korea were ever mentioned in any British official document. This China company came to grief very rapidly through its leading commander, Sir Edward Michelborne, turning pirate in the Chinese seas. In 1612 the East India Company founded by Elizabeth had established a post and fort at Surat, near the coast of Western India.

The Portuguese objected violently to this infringement of their monopoly—they had already fought with a British fleet in 1611 and been worsted—and attacked the British trading fleet off Swally, at the mouth of the Tapti River in 1615. The result of a terrific naval battle was an absolute victory for the British, whose right to navigate the Eastern seas was never afterwards seriously contested by the Portuguese. This victory, coupled with the diplomatic mission despatched by James I. under Sir Thomas Roe, 1615-1618, to the court of the Mogul emperor, Jehangir, obtained for the British company a special and an officially recognised position in the dominions of the principal ruler of the Indian peninsula.

In 1609 the right to trade at Aden had been obtained from the Arab sultan of that place, and thenceforth British ships entered the Red Sea, and in 1618 established a



ADRIFT IN THE HUDSON STRAITS: THE FATE OF A FAMOUS NAVIGATOR
Henry Hudson, a famous English navigator, who had in vain sought for a direct sea-passage to China round Siberia or across North America, was despatched, in 1610, by a joint-stock company to search for the China passage; his crew rising against him in mutiny, he was cast adrift with his son in a small boat in the Hudson Straits, named after him, and never heard of again.

British factory at Mocha. A post was founded at Jask, on the Baluchistan coast of the Gulf of Oman, in 1619. This once more roused the ire of the Portuguese, who were already on bad terms with Persia by their occupation of the islet of Ormuz and their overbearing demeanour in trying to close the Persian Gulf to all

Ormuz Lost but Portuguese trade. The British—no better in commercial ethics in those days—appeared to Persian ideas as less grasping in their ambitions, and, at any rate, as a rod with which to chastise the overbearing Lusitanian. British and Persian forces combined, and Ormuz was taken from the Portuguese. The British received as a reward the right to levy customs and to trade at the port of Gombrun, near Bandar Abbas, in 1622.

In 1611, the East India Company founded a post at Masulipatam, near the mouth of the Kistna on the east coast of India, and shortly afterwards a similar post at Vizagapatam. Agencies, commercial and political, were founded at Agra and Patna in 1620. Relations with Siam—there was an English post at the Siamese-Malay state of Patani as early as 1611—Celebes, the Moluccas, and Java ripened rapidly till after the Amboina massacre. By 1623 the Dutch had expelled the British from the Malay Archipelago and the Far East, which they did not re-enter till the late eighteenth century.

In 1618, James permitted or encouraged the formation of a chartered company to trade with the Gambia River on the West African coast, the charter being based on an old patent, 1588, of Queen Elizabeth. Although neither this company nor its immediate successors were successful—indeed, by 1664 they had lost £800,000—yet these enterprises commenced under James I. laid the foundations of our future West African dominion. James I., therefore, unworthy of regard as he may

James I. the be in some aspects, was the real founder of the British **Founder of the** Empire. Under his unhappy **British Empire** successor, despite home troubles—partly because of them—empire building still went on. The State of Massachusetts, in North America, was founded in 1620, and Maryland in 1632. The charter of the London company had been surrendered to the Crown in 1624, that of the Plymouth company in 1635. These surrenders made it easier for the

Crown to deal with the organisation of the new American territories. In the West Indies, Antigua, Nevis, Anguilla, and Montserrat were colonised—mainly from St. Christopher, and farther back still in time from Bermuda—and a charter was issued to the Earl of Carlisle for certain islands in the Caribbean Sea, among them Dominica. In the East Indies a foothold was obtained at Surat, which was displaced later by Bombay, in 1614. Madras was founded in 1639; Hugli, the forerunner of Calcutta, in 1642; and an attempt, afterwards abandoned, was made in 1647 to establish a rival East India Company's depot on the coast of Madagascar.

Jamaica had been eyed for half a century by British adventurers as a prize which might be one day snatched from Spain. They had become familiar with some of its conditions by carrying thither negro slaves for sale; they realised that the Spaniards had practically exterminated the native inhabitants, that not having found minerals they had lost interest in the island, and further that many of their negro slaves had rebelled and taken to the mountains. Accordingly, two

Charles II. "unauthorised" raids were **as Empire** made on the island in 1596 **Builder** and 1624. Both were repulsed

by Spanish valour. Cromwell, however, took advantage of a breach of relations with Spain to send to the Gulf of Mexico a naval expedition under Admiral Penn and General Venables to seize the large island of Hispaniola. Failing in this object the expedition occupied Jamaica instead.

Under Charles II. the empire attained a notable expansion. In North America the Dutch Colony of New Netherlands, with its two towns of Manhadoes and New Amsterdam, was acquired and turned into the English territory of New York. By the close of Charles II.'s reign, the nucleus of the original thirteen states of New England had been constituted: Carolina (North and South), Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, New Jersey, Delaware, New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Vermont, and New Hampshire. In 1670, however, Charles II. laid the foundations of a much vaster expanse of empire by granting a charter to Prince Rupert and seventeen others, incorporating them as the "governor and company of adventurers of England trading into Hudson's Bay." This was the outcome of the voyages of Davis,



THE ORIGIN OF MADRAS: THE FOUNDING OF FORT ST. GEORGE

To Francis Day, an officer of the East India Company, belongs the honour of founding Madras. In 1688 he was sent to India by that company to select a better site for their headquarters, and from the Rajah of Chandragiri he purchased a tract of land five miles long near the settlement of St. Thome, and thereon he built a factory and a fort, which he called Fort St. George, by which name Madras, which spring from this small beginning, is still officially named.

From the drawing by R. G. W. Woodville

Hudson, and Baffin, already alluded to; and the grant of this charter by Charles II. resulted in the creation of four-fifths of British North America. The company thus founded still exists; its charter—in one form or another—did not finally expire till 1859, and the bulk of its immense private territorial possessions was not

Dutch and British at War

finally incorporated in the lands of the Canadian people till 1870. In India, the island of Bombay and the mainland settlement of Salsette had been acquired in the dowry of Charles II.'s queen. In West Africa a new charter started afresh the British settlement at the mouth of the Gambia.

In 1672, the broken company of British merchants trading on the Gold Coast received a charter which created a new association, known by its short title as the Royal African Company. The outbreak of the Dutch War enabled the British forces to oust the Dutch from a number of strong places where they, in their turn, had supplanted the Portuguese. Thus were obtained the fortified posts of Dixcove, Sekundi, and Accra, the beginnings of the modern colony of the Gold Coast which is now nearly as large as the joint area of England and Scotland.

All this time British trade with the Mediterranean was steadily growing. Cromwell had made Great Britain a naval power in that inland sea, so that her ships were actually able to threaten the coast possessions of the grand duke of Tuscany and the Pope, who had countenanced attacks on British shipping by Prince Rupert, and to chastise most effectually the Turkish pirates of the Barbary States. With Morocco there were occasionally war-like episodes, but, curiously enough, British intercourse with that last independent fragment of the Arabian caliph's dominions had been of a more friendly and commercial character. Nevertheless, the Moorish rovers not in-

Spain's Opposition to Britain

frequently harried British ships engaged in the West African trade. Spain, through her vassal Portugal, which then held Tangiers and Ceuta, constantly attempted to close the Straits of Gibraltar to British ships, and thereby interfere with British trade in the Levant. Therefore, as early as the middle of the seventeenth century, there were vague longings on the part of our fellow-countrymen for some foothold in or near the Straits of Gibraltar

which might avail to secure a free passage into and out of the Mediterranean. When Charles II. was raised to the throne, Louis XIV. of France, for mysterious reasons of his own, decided to employ the sea power of Britain to support the Portuguese monarchy against Spain. He arranged the match between Charles and Catharine of Braganza. Taking advantage of this overture, the British Ministers of the day were shrewd enough to satisfy the national longing for control over the Straits of Gibraltar by exacting as part of the princess's dowry the city and territory of Tangier.

Having gained possession of this foothold on the coast of Morocco, the government of Charles II. showed itself too frivolous, too wanting in statecraft and Imperial foresight to retain it. Had they acted more wisely as regards the Moors, it is possible that the history of North Africa might have taken a very different and a most surprising course. But, disheartened by the difficulties, and weakened by the frightful bureaucratic corruption which then prevailed in the departments of

Britain's Seizure of Gibraltar

public supplies, the Ministers of Charles II. abandoned Tangier in 1684. Then it was that other British statesmen or sea-captains fixed their eyes on Gibraltar as a more tenable position. The idea remained dormant until 1704, when advantage was taken of the War of the Spanish Succession to seize and garrison Gibraltar. This step was one of the most remarkable ever taken in the history of the world, and may rank in lack of moral justification with the Napoleonic descent on Egypt and the British seizure of Aden in 1839. Beaconsfield's romantic acquisition of Cyprus might have been classed with these episodes as among the great strokes of empire-building, had it not, by the subsequent trend of British public opinion, been rendered a policy of *non sequitur*.

In the course of the eighteenth century the increasing hostility of the Turks towards even British travellers passing through their Levantine dominions, made overland communications with India so precarious and profitless that increasing attention was turned to the all-sea route round the Cape of Good Hope. Just as the Levantine and the West African trade led us to seize Gibraltar, so the development of commerce with India, China, the Malay Archipelago, and the great and small

islands of the Pacific just coming within our ken, made a foothold at the southern extremity of Africa a matter of the greatest importance to the now unified kingdoms of England and Scotland.

An attempt in 1781—as unjustifiable in actual morality as the seizure and retention of Gibraltar—was made to snatch the Cape of Good Hope from the Dutch. The islands of Ascension and St. Helena—Ascension was not definitely occupied till 1815; St. Helena has been permanently in British possession since 1673—discovered by the Portuguese, and held intermittently by the Dutch, had been intermittently occupied by the British Navy or the East India Company. To the latter, in fact, St. Helena was of the highest importance as the resting place of its fleets during the eighteenth century, and longing eyes were cast on the French islands of Mauritius and Réunion, which to some extent lay midway between the Cape of Good Hope and India.

During the last half of the seventeenth century, the greed of territorial acquisition in West Africa, Eastern Asia, the South Atlantic and the West Indies, had brought Great Britain into violent conflict with the equally rapacious and, so far as enterprise-compared to—means goes, more wonderful country of Holland. The British secured a hard-won victory over the Dutch in the long run, not because they were braver or more skilled as fighting seamen, but because they had a larger and richer motherland from which to draw their supplies. Holland, however, had previously plundered the Portuguese to a magnificent degree, and, even with what she had to give up to the British in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, was still mistress of possessions in the West Indies, South America, the southern extremity of Africa, Ceylon, Bengal, Sumatra, the Malay Peninsula, Java, and Borneo, with a kind of lien over the scarcely known continent of Australia.

During the latter part of the eighteenth century circumstances forced Holland into a position of quasi-alliance with France, some of the circumstances being the territorial ambitions of Great Britain. Putting forward the plea that the Dutch settlement of the Cape of Good Hope served as a refuge and a rallying-point for hostile French ships, the British Government attempted by two surprise attacks in 1781 to seize Cape Town. But they were beaten

off. The idea, however, like that of Gibraltar, never left us, and when the French troops invaded Holland, in 1794, the British Government, in 1795, with the somewhat chary permission of the Prince of Orange, established itself in Dutch South Africa; and although for a few years our forces were withdrawn, just as the cat

The British Established in South Africa allows the crippled mouse a moment of illusory freedom. In 1806 we made another descent on these regions, and came there to stay. The eighteenth century, however, not only saw at its close the establishment of the British at the south end of Africa—an establishment which inspired the great Portuguese traveller-administrator of Mozambique, Dr. Lacerda, in 1796, with the remarkable prophecy of the ultimate Cape-to-Cairo ambitions of the British people—but in its early years witnessed the effectual foundation of Anglo-Saxon North America, by the extension of the British colonies from the North Atlantic seaboard to the Mississippi, by maritime explorations of Vancouver Island and Oregon, which sufficed to stop Russian descent from Alaska, and Spanish ascent from California, and finally by the conclusion of the great struggle between France and Britain for predominance in North America.

Newfoundland, the first aim of British aspirations across the Atlantic, became definitely a British colony in 1728, though by previous settlement it was more justly French. The French colonies of Canada—Ontario, Quebec, and New Brunswick, which then bore the prettier name of New France—were ceded in 1763; Nova Scotia had been acquired in its entirety in 1758, together with Prince Edward's Island; Vancouver Island was not settled till 1843.

Vancouver Island having been rediscovered by Captain Cook, and ear-marked as a future British foothold on the American Pacific, the close of the eighteenth

Outlines of the Canadian Dominion century saw the main outlines of the Canadian Dominion laid down. The Hudson's Bay Chartered Trading Company, with its four forts on the shores of Hudson's Bay and its far-reaching explorations, had established a prescriptive claim to all Arctic and sub-Arctic America except the coast of Alaska. Sir Alexander Mackenzie, the Stanley of North America and a servant of the Hudson's Bay Company, travelled overland



BRITISH SEIZURE OF JAMAICA IN 1655 AND THE SINKING OF THE SPANISH VESSELS

With sealed orders from Cromwell, in 1654, a fleet of sixty ships, commanded by Admiral Penn, and carrying about 4,000 men under General Venables, left Portsmouth on an expedition, and, sailing for the West Indies, captured Jamaica. But having failed to carry out their orders, Penn and Venables were committed to the Tower on their return.

From the drawing by R. Caton Woodville



THE BRITISH ACQUISITION OF GIBRALTAR: SPANISH TROOPS MARCHING OUT

Although regarded as impregnable, during the War of the Spanish Succession, Gibraltar was taken, on July 24th, 1704, by a combined English and Dutch fleet, commanded by Sir George Rooke, who raised the British flag and claimed the town in the name of Queen Anne. The above picture shows the Marquis de Salines marching out with the Spanish troops.

From the drawing by R. Caton Woodville

to the Pacific coast in 1789-1793, first sighting the Pacific Ocean at Cape Menzies, opposite Queen Charlotte's Islands.

Vancouver Island is supposed to have been sighted by Sir Francis Drake just two hundred years before Cook, in 1578. It or the opposite coast of Oregon was christened by Drake "New

Revolt of the United States

Albion." The island was more definitely placed on the map by Juan de Fuca, a Greek sea-captain in Spanish employ, in 1592. Cook's exploration of its coasts led to no immediate settlement. It was Captain George Vancouver, R.N., in 1792-1794, who really laid the foundation of British political rights to this important island. The Hudson's Bay Company did the rest, 1821-1843.

The revolt of the United States in 1777 did not perhaps make such a great impression at the time on the British mind, because it seemed the mere alienation of a portion of the Atlantic coast lands; it had the immediate effect of making the British still more rapacious and energetic as regards Canada. Had this revolt not occurred and been successful, it is quite possible that British energy might have languished and France have been allowed, from her tiny footholds of St. Pierre and Miquelon, and from her great possessions of Louisiana and New Orleans, to build up once again a French empire in North America. What Britain lost in the New England States she more than regained by founding the Dominion of Canada, which, in her intentions and aspirations, even before the expiry of the eighteenth century, extended from the Atlantic to the Pacific, and dwarfed the contemporaneous ambitions of the United States, baulked as they were by a Spanish Florida, Texas and California, and a French Mississippi.

With their thoughts bent on the discovery of a north-west passage which would establish an all-British route across

America's Struggling Republic

America to China, and the intention to seize the analogous southern maritime route from Atlantic to Pacific—marked by the British exploration of the Straits of Magellan, the occupation of the Malouines, or Falkland Islands, in 1765, already half-occupied and settled by France in 1763, when the celebrated Bougainville, the great French navigator of the Pacific whose name is for ever commemorated by a lovely flower, settled on West Falkland

some of the unfortunate dispossessed Acadians of Nova Scotia—and, finally, the attempt to seize Buenos Ayres during the French alliance with Spain, the existence of the struggling American Republic of the sixteen united states must have seemed to the Britain of the eighteenth century a factor of merely local importance, not more serious in a project of universal American Empire than the intermittent independence of the Transvaal was in the scheme of South African dominion.

During the eighteenth century England, in her colonial enterprise, had been powerfully reinforced by the sister kingdom of Scotland. Since the union of the two crowns, Scotland of the Lowlands had thrown herself energetically into overseas adventure. It is true that the English Government spitefully enough had baulked the attempt of the Scots—in 1698-1699—to establish themselves on the Isthmus of Darien, there perhaps to found a Central American State; but the bitterness resulting from this was soon forgotten, and Scots and English, without much national distinction, flung themselves energetically into the

Building the British Empire

building up of a great British dominion in the West Indies and Northern South America. At the close of the seventeenth century Britain had only possessed in the West Indies Jamaica, the Bahamas, Barbados, and three small islands of the Leeward group.

But by the end of the eighteenth century Dominica, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Grenada, Tobago, and Trinidad were added by conquest from France or Spain, while intermittently Cuba was held, attempts were made to take the great island of Hispaniola, the foundations of a British interest in Honduras and on the Nicaraguan coast were laid, and a swoop was at last made on Guiana, with perhaps a notion of extending that dominion later on over the adjoining Spanish province of Venezuela. So, far from the eighteenth century marking the defeat and retrogression of the British in the New World, it might more fitly be styled the American century, the second of the four great eras of the British Empire, three finished and the fourth commencing. The nineteenth century has been par excellence the age of Asian Dominion. It is quite possible that our Asiatic Empire has reached its apogee in extent, if not in population or power. The twentieth

century may possibly witness the African culmination. But in the years between the death of Queen Anne and the Peace of Amiens our grandest struggles, our greatest gains, and our keenest ambitions were centred in the New World between the Straits of Magellan and the Arctic Ocean.

The desire to know more about the Pacific coast of North America, on which Russians were beginning to encroach from Eastern Siberia, while the power of Spain was obviously waning, led the British Government to send out Captain Cook to the Pacific Ocean via the Cape of Good Hope and the Malay Archipelago, and thus led to the definite discovery of Australia, New Zealand, and most of the Pacific archipelagoes, and, finally, at the end of the eighteenth century, in 1788, to the establishment of a British settlement on the coast of New South Wales—a settlement which was to be the germ of a vast Australian Commonwealth, destined to grow some day into mighty nationalities of Anglo-Saxon stock. Spanish, French, and Dutch navigators of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries had surmised the

Discovery of the Australian Continent

existence to the south of New Guinea and the Malay Archipelago of an island-continent, variously named in imagination Greater Java or even "Terra Australis." The actual name "Australia" was applied in the first instance to the largest island of the New Hebrides group by Quiros in 1606, in the belief that it was the promontory of a great southern continent.

Luiz Vaez de Torres, second in command of the Spanish exploring expedition led by De Quiros, the discoverer of the New Hebrides, as they were afterwards named, had passed through the "Torres Straits," discovered, and aptly named, New Guinea, and had "felt" the proximity of the real "Terra Australis." His indications were followed up ten, seventeen, and twenty-two years later by the Dutch navigators Hertoge and Carstenz, who actually located points and named features of the North and West Australian coasts.

In 1642, the Dutch navigator, Abel Janszen Tasman, skirting the western coast of Australia, penetrated so far south that he actually discovered Tasmania, which he called Van Diemen's Land, after the then governor of Java; and New Zealand—"Staaten Land." Tasman, on his return to the eastward of Australia,

derived enough information, no doubt from Malay seamen on the coasts of New Guinea, to forecast dimly the locality and area of this southern continent, "Groote Zuidland," which was soon afterwards definitely named "New Holland," Staaten Land being at the same time styled "New Zealand." In 1689 and 1699 the pirate-


What Captain Cook did for the Empire

explorer William Dampier paid two visits to the North-west coast of New Holland, and brought back some account of its peculiar peoples and products. But nothing like systematic exploration or definite discovery was accomplished in these directions until the three voyages of Captain James Cook, 1769-1777, revealed the actual coast of South-eastern Australia, and the definite outline of New Zealand. Cook also placed on the map such archipelagoes of the Pacific as had not been already made known to the civilised world by the Spanish, Portuguese, and Dutch navigators of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries.

British exploring enterprise in these regions between the Western Pacific and the Indian Ocean had been baffled during the early eighteenth century by the rivalry of the Dutch and French. We had been obliged to fight France for pre-dominance in India, and a fierce though unofficial warfare had been waged with Holland to keep the Dutch out of Bengal. By the middle of the eighteenth century the French had completely lost any chance of building up a great Indian empire, but the Dutch, defeated in Hindustan, still clung to Ceylon, and successfully competed with us in Java, Sumatra, Borneo, and the Moluccas.

The eighteenth century decided the fate of India, possibly for several centuries to come: but, compared to our present Asiatic dominions, British rule in Hindustan was by no means universal, and we had but a slight foothold on the Malay Peninsula (Island of Pinang, acquired 1786), and in the Malay Archipelago, Natal, Fort Marlborough, or Bencoolen, in Sumatra, and a doubtful tenancy of one or two islets off the coast of Borneo. But at the end of the eighteenth century, which, for a logical sequence, one must place at the Peace of Amiens, in 1802, the British Empire, scattered and patchy as it was, had almost the outline—the skeleton—of the empire of to-day, and was

Britain's Rule in India



BRITISH TROOPS MARCHING THROUGH THE SWAMPS OF BRITISH GUIANA

This colony, on the north coast of South America, once a Dutch trading outpost, was held by the British from 1781 till 1783; they again held it from 1796 till 1802, and from 1803 till 1814, when the present colony was formed.

From the drawing by R. Caton Woodville



SIR GEORGE SIMPSON ESTABLISHING HIS FIRST COUNCIL OF SETTLERS IN 1835
Justly considered one of the architects of the present Canadian Dominion, Sir George Simpson had the entire management of the Hudson's Bay Company in Canada, and the rise of British Columbia was contemporary with his administration.
From the drawing by R. Canton Woodville

vastly different from the empire over which William III. was ruling in 1702. At that date this monarch, if he had called for a map of the British Empire beyond the seas, which he probably never thought of doing, would have noted a few English "plantations," or settlements, on the Atlantic seaboard of North America between Boston,

Britain's Overseas Dominions 200 Years Ago

New York, and the Savannah River. Other names in clumsy writing across the Caribbean seas would have

reminded him that James I. had given a charter for the Bermudas, that Charles I. had permitted the settlement of Barbados, that Cromwell had annexed Jamaica, and that under Charles II. most of the British Leeward Islands had been acquired.

In Southern Asia he would have noted the Island of Bombay—an undoubted British possession. There should also have been marked on the map factories and forts—more or less identical with political footholds—at some point on the coast of Sind, at Surat, Broach, and Ahmedabad, in Western India; at Calcutta, Tegna-patam, Vizagapatam, Madras, and Masulipatam, on the eastern side of the Indian Peninsula; while in the interior there were agencies at Agra and Patna. Along the shores of the Persian Gulf there were factories at Basra, Bandar Abbas, and Jask; and, despite Dutch hostility, the East India Company still held on to trading posts at Bantam, in Java; Macassar, in Celebes; and Achin, in Sumatra. On the West African coast the Royal African Company possessed forts at the mouth of the Gambia, and along the Gold Coast, from Dixcove to Accra, and at Whyda, on the coast of Dahomeh. The East India Company, moreover, had seized the island of St. Helena.

That was the extent of the British Empire in 1702, at which time Ireland still lay a depopulated, desolate, half-conquered country which was being settled on the

The Nominal Surrender of Cape Colony

east and on the north by Protestant English, Welsh, and Scotch settlers. Scotland herself was a separate kingdom.

acknowledging only partially the direct rule of William III. The Isle of Man was a feudal kingdom under a British noble; the Channel Islands were semi-independent piratical settlements. At the Peace of Amiens, in 1802, Great Britain, it is true, had nominally surrendered Cape Colony to the Dutch, but

had made every preparation for reoccupation, and had made that reoccupation a matter of certainty and legality by the establishment of her sea power and an understanding with the Prince of Orange.

In America she possessed the whole of the vague and vast territories of Canada, which were at any rate conceived of, under the charter of the Hudson's Bay Company, as stretching from the Atlantic to the Pacific; besides the West India Islands already owned, she had seized and has since retained Dominica, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Grenada, Tobago, and Trinidad, and had established a lien on the coasts of Honduras and Nicaragua.

British Honduras began in the seventeenth century as the fortified establishments of piratical British traders and timber—mahogany—cutters. Though frequently attacked by Spain, and frequently ceded to Spain by England, the British settlers held on steadfastly till, in 1786, a definitely British administration was established. She had occupied British, French, and Dutch Guiana. Far away towards the southern extremity of that

The French Ousted from Egypt

continent the British Government had already earmarked the Falkland Islands, but had been repul in its attempt to

seize Buenos Ayres. In the Mediterranean we held, legally or illegally, Gibraltar, Malta, Sicily, and the Ionian Islands, while British naval and military action had just turned the French out of Egypt.

Here an almost unconscious intimation had been given of an intention some day to occupy that halfway station towards our growing Indian Empire. In East Africa, Britain had opened up relations with Abyssinia and Zanzibar, as also with the tribes of South Arabia and the Persian Gulf. In West Africa her forces had occupied the French colony of Senegal, and strengthened the hold over the mouth of the Gambia. As the first result of British anti-slavery enthusiasm, the colony of Sierra Leone had been founded. The forts along the Gold Coast, already mentioned, continued to be garrisoned by the Royal African (Chartered) Company. Even at the close of the eighteenth century Great Britain was beginning to think about the Niger, the upper course of which river had, in 1796, been discovered by the Scottish explorer, Mungo Park, in the direct service of the British Crown. British trade with West

THE STORY OF BRITISH EXPANSION

Africa at that time had extended to the rivers which form the delta of the Niger, and even to the mouth of the Congo.

In 1796, as already mentioned, the great Portuguese traveller, Dr. José Lacerda, had predicted that the British would attempt to found an empire stretching from the Cape of Good Hope to Egypt. If Mungo Park discovered the main course of the River Niger, another equally distinguished Scot, an explorer of really advanced scientific attainments, James Bruce, had, in 1768-1773, rediscovered and definitely mapped the course of the Blue Nile from Abyssinia to Egypt. He was despatched on this aim by a British Secretary of State, Lord Halifax, and there is little doubt that this journey provoked a special British interest in the affairs of Egypt.

In Asia the British possessions in 1802 included a general sway 'over Hindustan between the Himalayas on the north and Cape Comorin on the south, between the Bay of Bengal on the east and the Indus River on the west. The actual possessions in India of the Honourable East India Company at this date over which it ruled directly were Bengal and the Bombay and Madras provinces; a portion of the Central and North-west Provinces; parts of Rajputana. Indirectly the company controlled the affairs of Oudh, Haidarabad, and Mysore. We had even during the eighteenth century taken our first political step towards establishing British influence over Tibet; our political explorers had penetrated through Afghanistan to Bokhara, and we had acquired some influence at the court of Persia. In the Malay Archipelago we replaced the Dutch in Java and Sumatra, as also at various points on the Malay Peninsula. In North Africa, though we had no actual foothold, nevertheless, by Nelson's victories and the British occupation of Malta, we were so predominant in Tunis and Tripoli as to exercise a kind of suzerainty over those Turkish feudalities.

In 1908 the British dominions have attained an enormous area, even compared to what they were in 1802. In North America the small colonised areas of Newfoundland, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Upper and Lower Canada, Ontario, and the few forts of the Hudson's Bay Company, have grown into a belt of continuous colonisation and cultivation extending from the coast of Labrador to

the Pacific and right up to the Arctic Circle and the eastern limits of Alaska; while the political dominion of Canada (British North America) reaches to the Polar regions, and comprises nearly half the North American Continent. In the warmer regions of the New World, vague British rights on the coast of Central

Territories Under the British Flag America at Belize have grown into the definite colony of British Honduras, while the Colony of Demerara, taken over from the Dutch, has become the large State of British Guiana, 90,260 square miles in extent. In the far south, the Falkland Islands have been definitely organised as a crown colony, and the British agis has been thrown over the large island of South Georgia, annexed by Captain Cook in 1775. These possessions were definitely occupied and administered in 1833, because of their importance to the whaling industry in the South Atlantic.

Within the limits of Europe, though we have given up the islet of Heligoland off the German coast, we have acquired, for all practical purposes, the large island of Cyprus in the Eastern Mediterranean. The Ionian Islands, which France snatched from the dying Republic of Venice, enjoyed a British protectorate in every sense of the word for sixty odd years, and were then made over to the Kingdom of Greece. Malta, already occupied in 1802, had been definitely ceded to the British Crown in 1815.

On the continent of Asia, the large red patches of British dominion (through a chartered company), which gave to Great Britain the practical control of the peninsula of Hindustan, have grown in a hundred years to our existing Indian and colonial empire in Southern Asia. This begins almost in Africa, on the far west, with the port of Aden, the islet of Perim at the mouth of the Red Sea, and the island of Socotra off the North-east

British Rule in the Orient African coast. It extends eastwards through the British protectorate over the Aden hinterland and protectorate, or sphere of influence—established by treaty—over the whole south coast of Arabia to the vicinity of the Persian Gulf. The south-west coasts of that inlet and the Bahrein Islands are a British protectorate, and in common with the Arabian regions already referred to are attached to the vast Indian dominions, which begin on

the west at Baluchistan, near the entrance to the Persian Gulf. By the recent agreement with Russia, the South-east Persian coast commanding the entrance into the Persian Gulf is a British sphere of influence. From Baluchistan the Indian Empire extends continuously eastwards to the frontier of French Indo-

World-Wide Range of British Power China, and northwards to Tibet—a portion of which is actually British—and to Afghanistan, a Central Asian state in very close relations with the British Empire. Ceylon has been acquired from the Dutch, 1796-1815, and British influence now reigns supreme, directly or indirectly, over the whole Malay Peninsula from Burma to Singapore. The northern third of the island of Borneo is also under British protection.

In Australasia, and in the archipelagoes of the Pacific, the gains have also been enormous—a third part of the vast island of New Guinea with the adjacent archipelagoes of the Louisiade and the Solomon Islands, the whole inland continent of Australia, the large islands of New Zealand, the clusters of Fiji and of Tonga, the Gilbert, Santa Cruz, Ellice, Phoenix, Union, Fanning, Malden, and Hervey group, and a lien over the New Hebrides.

The last quarter of the nineteenth century has witnessed enormous accretions to the British dominions in Africa. Prior to 1875 we had possessed and built up, since 1806, the colony of the Cape of Good Hope about as far north as Kimberley, and the then small colony of Natal, founded 1824-1842. There remained unclaimed areas between Natal and Cape Colony, and there was no hold over Zululand, the Orange Free State, or the Transvaal. On the West Coast of Africa there was a patch at the mouth of the Gambia, and a few patches on the coast of Sierra Leone, a strip of coast country between the Volta River and Assinie on the

Growth of British Africa Gold Coast, and the little island of Lagos, once a great headquarters of the slave trade. In the Atlantic Ocean we possessed the islets of Ascension and St. Helena; in the Indian Ocean, Mauritius and the Seychelles. That, in 1875, was the utmost extent of British Africa.

By 1909 these patches and strips have grown into colonies, protectorates and spheres of influence which now in their united bulk exceed the possessions of any


other European Power on the African continent, and include the occupation of Egypt, the administration of the vast Egyptian Sudan, the protectorates or colonies of Uganda, East Africa, Somaliland, and Zanzibar, the protectorate or sphere of influence of British Central Africa between the Great Lakes and the Zambesi, and all British South Africa from the Zambesi to the Cape of Good Hope, and from the outskirts of Damaraland to the Portuguese province of Mozambique. In West Africa there are the territories of Nigeria, which extend from the delta of that river to Lake Chad and the borders of the Sahara Desert—a much enlarged colony and protectorate of the Gold Coast—some 82,000 square miles in area—a protectorate over the hinterland of Sierra Leone, and both banks of the lower course of the Gambia River.

The British Empire may not even yet, in 1909, have touched its apogee of extent, and indeed if it be wisely governed and directed so as to enlist with it, and not against it, the sentiments of the backward races, it may develop into a league of

The Coming South African Confederation peace and mutual co-operation of still more surprising vastness. It may come to include an educational protectorate over Southern Arabia and the

shores of the Persian Gulf, an alliance, almost feudal, with Abyssinia, Afghanistan, Tibet, and Siam; it may assist Australia to arrange with France and Holland on equitable terms for extended sway over a small portion of Dutch New Guinea and of the New Hebrides archipelago. In Africa, the coming South African confederation of Boer and Briton may eventually include the cognate German state of South-west Africa; and it may also, by arrangement with Germany, link up the Uganda protectorate with the north end of Tanganyika, and thus establish the last link in the Cape-to-Cairo route.

Or, if it increases in such directions as these, it may shrink in others, yielding here and there a little to France in Western Africa, to Germany an islet or two in the West Indies, or an establishment on the Persian Gulf. But for the most part it is more likely that these extensions or roundings off of the British Empire will be balanced by our standing out of the way of other ambitions in Eastern Europe and Nearer Asia, or in the Congo basin.



BRITISH TRADE AND THE FLAG

THE PIONEERS OF COMMERCE AS MAKERS OF THE EMPIRE

THE causes and motives which have provoked the creation of this vast empire have been numerous and sometimes conflicting. The first incentive and the last have been the desire to find profitable markets for trade wherein British products or manufactures could be exchanged for foreign wares sufficiently valuable to meet the risks and expenses of sea-transport. Coupled with this has been the desire to grab at whatever good things might be going in the way of animal, vegetable, or mineral wealth not already in the possession of a nationality strong enough to defend it. Then the restless, dissatisfied or persecuted, or even criminal among us have hoped to find a happier and less trammelled existence in regions beyond the British Isles yet under the British flag. Honest commerce, eager greed for gain, naïf love of adventure, and the search for marvels—these were the provocative impulses which drove daring seamen, merchants, and soldiers of fortune beyond the seas of Britain to new worlds, new hemispheres, and strange climates during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries.

In the seventeenth century there was superadded the desire to flee from religious or political oppression: in the seventeenth century real colonisation took place. But in that which followed—the eighteenth—the dominant impulse once again was commerce and the rapid making of wealth in exploitable lands. This was the century of the slave trade's greatest development.

Emigration for Religious Freedom The first familiar instance of emigration for religious freedom is that of the 102 dissidents from the Church of England who emigrated in the *Mayflower*, in 1620, and founded Plymouth, U.S.A. The first Quakers arriving in North America, 1652–1666, were hanged, flogged, or expelled; but from 1671 to 1681 hundreds came to America and colonized New

Jersey, Delaware, and Pennsylvania. In the nineteenth century the causes of empire extension were more complex. Commerce, exploitation, the possibilities of mineral discoveries were no doubt the most powerful inducements to extend the area of British occupation; and increasing social pressure in England and Scotland, and misery in Ireland, brought about such a rush of colonists for the vacant healthy lands in America, South Africa, and Oceania—some 16,000,000 persons in the last hundred years (of this number about 5,000,000 left between 1815 and 1850)—as our history had not yet known, the movement being enormously aided by the development of steam navigation. But there was a third factor at work in empire-building from the very beginning of the nineteenth century to its very end: sentiment—a sentimentality almost sardonic in some of its manifestations.

In the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries we built forts and founded colonies on the West Coast of Africa for the purpose of carrying on the slave trade in an efficient manner: in the nineteenth century we seized important vantage points, annexed or protected enormous areas in order to suppress the trade in slaves.

The eagerness of commerce to go in front of the hampering restrictions of a regular government led to the creation of chartered companies—and chartered companies have always ended in the foundation of colonies, dominions or empires—in the seventeenth, eighteenth, and nineteenth centuries. Greed of gain was coincident with the glamour of India. India has been the mainspring of our empire, the magnet which has drawn us by such strangely devious routes that our pioneers have halted by the way, have started off at a tangent on other quests, or have become involved in the solution of other problems

widely separated from those of Hindustan. The search for a quick sea route to India through North America—analogueous to the Magellan Straits on the south—led Sir Humphrey Gilbert across the Atlantic, to found that Virginia which was occupied twenty-five years afterwards and which was the germ of the United States

The Days of Maritime Enterprise

of America. The same stimulus led to the journeys of Frobisher, Davis, Baffin; and the last-named was actually killed in an attempt on the part of the East India Company's ships to found in the Persian Gulf that British sphere of influence on the approach to the Indian markets which has only become an accomplished fact in the twentieth century. Drake's attempt to find the Pacific outlet of these northern Magellan Straits, this water route across North America—which, after all, does exist, only it is too much in the frozen zone to be of any use—led to the discovery of Oregon; and, three centuries later, the same motive of research on the part of Captains Cook and Vancouver brought about the rediscovery and annexation of Vancouver Island.

Failing to find an easy way across the North Atlantic to the marvels of Cathay and the Middle East, the diplomacy of Queen Elizabeth was directed to an overland route through the Turkish dominions. As this proved insecure and uncertain, attention was turned towards the sea route round Africa. This led in time to the acquisition of Tangiers as a calling-place, to the settlement of St. Helena, the seizure of Gibraltar as an alternative to Tangiers, the occupation of the Cape of Good Hope, and of Mauritius.

Bonaparte, thinking to strike at Britain in India, where she was wealthiest and weakest, landed in Egypt, and may be said to have opened the overland route. From the days when the French capitulated and quitted Egypt, England could not take her eyes or thoughts off that country. The splendid private enterprise of Lieutenant Waghorn having started the overland route in 1837-47, in connection with the newly introduced steamer traffic, Great Britain found herself compelled to occupy Aden, in 1839, at the southern exit of the Red Sea, and ultimately also Perim Island. Bonaparte's action in Egypt, indeed, had far-reaching results he could never have foreseen: it brought Great Britain as a

fighting power into the Red Sea. Even Abyssinia and the vaguer Ethiopian and Zanzibar regions were "looked up" at the beginning of the nineteenth century because of the bearing their alliance might have on a life-and-death war between France and Britain for the lordship of Southern Asia.

If the overland route led to an increased interest in Egypt and the turning of the Red Sea into a British lake, what was not the effect of the Suez Canal? It made a British occupation of Egypt a matter of national necessity, a foregone conclusion to all but short-sighted British statesmen.

This last came about in an odd manner, and at an unexpected juncture, and by degrees dragged us into the Sudan as far as the Congo water parting, and compelled in time the annexation of Uganda. Indian affairs were by this time much mixed up in commerce with those of Zanzibar. Consequently, with the flanks of Egypt to be guarded, no other Power but ourselves must occupy Mombasa—already, for Indian reasons, declared a British stronghold in 1823—or the main route to the Nyanzas and the Upper Nile. Hence arose the vast British possessions in Eastern Equatorial Africa. By 1898 and 1906 the fortified harbour of Aden had grown into a protectorate or sphere of influence over the whole of the south Arabian coastlands, including the Kuriya-Muriyan Islands, from the Straits of Bab-el-Mandeb on the west and the frontiers of Oman on the east. From similar motives also has arisen the British protectorate over the Bahrein Islands in the Persian Gulf. In South Africa we could not occupy Cape Town and remain indifferent to questions of European colonisation and to the welfare of the natives within three hundred miles of the Cape Peninsula. So, in time the British flag crept along the south-east coast till it conflicted with Portuguese claims at Delagoa Bay.

**British
Flag in
Africa**

The Mediterranean route to Egypt, moreover, required other calling stations than Gibraltar. Minorca had once been ours, but it lay rather off the direct route to Egypt; moreover, it belonged to Spain, and Spain had become our ally. Sicily would have been too large to retain and control. Napoleon had indicated just what we required then in seizing Malta. It was easy to succeed him, for the Maltese, who had little or no affection for the corrupt rule of the Knights of St. John, voluntarily offered the sovereignty of their



BRITISH OFFICIALS INSPECTING THE CISTERNS AT ADEN, BUILT IN 1700 B.C.

The story of how Aden came into possession of the British is one of some interest. In 1837, a British ship was wrecked near Aden, the crew and passengers being severely maltreated by the Arabs. On the Bombay Government demanding an explanation, the sultan agreed to make compensation and to sell the town and port to Britain, but the Turkish ruler's son, who administered the government, declined to implement the bargain, and in consequence the place was reduced by a naval and military force on January 10th, 1839. Aden, which then became an outlying portion of the Bombay Presidency was fortified and garrisoned and its ancient water tanks were partially restored.

From the drawing by R. Caton Woodville

little archipelago to the King of Great Britain. Beaconsfield believed he was completing the chain of naval stations and military halting places on the Mediterranean route to India by adding Cyprus, with the intention that a British dominion over Syria and a railway thence to the Euphrates valley and India should follow.

Britain's Expanding Empire Whether his successors were wiser in preferring the sea route, *via* the Suez Canal and the Red Sea, time alone can show. The affairs of India involved us, commercially first, and then politically, in those of China. This necessitated military and naval stations in Chinese waters.

Hence the acquisition of Hong Kong and eventually of Wei-hai-wei. From the desire to prevent a Russian descent into Tibet and Mongolia, and thence a march towards the Himalayas—in fact, a Russian dominion over the Chinese government—arose the Japanese alliance, with all that it may yet entail. Singapore was required to safeguard the sea route between China and India; the occupation of the Straits Settlements has led to a sphere of exclusive influence over all the Malay Peninsula and a protectorate over the northern coastlands of Borneo. Burma has been annexed to obviate any other intrigues or ambitions in that quarter; while, at the risk of war with France some fifteen years ago, Siam has been maintained as a buffer state.

India has been the chief pivot of our foreign policy from the closing years of Elizabeth's reign to the rapprochement with Russia in 1907-1908: that Russia which was discovered commercially in the reign of Edward VI. by British maritime adventurers who were seeking for a north-east passage to India. The principal attraction which India and the Indian trade had for British minds in the Tudor period lay in its production of spices and pepper. It is true that many of these spices were actually derived from distant parts of the Malay

Commerce the Motive of Expansion Archipelago or from Ceylon, but these regions were considered part of India in a generalised statement, and as some of the Southern Indian ports were depots in the spice trade between Arabia, Persia, and the Farthest East, the confusion was very natural. It would be an interesting study in human history to discuss the diet of Western and Southern Europe in the later Middle Ages and down to the sixteenth century, and discover the reason of the

desire which arose for spiced food, and especially the strenuous demand for pepper. It was the desire to obtain unrestricted quantities of pepper which not only founded the East India Company—and thereby the British Indian Empire—but which first drew Britishers to West Africa: first pepper, then slaves, then gold.

Cinnamon, cloves, ginger, sandal-wood, silks, muslins, indigo, ivory, pearls, guns, carpets, and precious stones, were among the other principal Indian products which attracted the attention of European merchants from the fifteenth to the eighteenth century. The rock formations of India were believed to be excessively rich in precious stones down to quite recent times. But this natural wealth was exaggerated by Arab writers and credulous Europeans. Golconda, little more than a suburb of the modern Haidarabad, whose Mohammedan ruler was one of the first Indian princes to give the British company a trading concession, was not so much a place that produced diamonds as a centre for diamond-cutting, such as Amsterdam has since become. The sandstone region of the Northern Deccan certainly produced diamonds; indeed, in the sixteenth century, the Emperor Akbar received an annual royalty computed at £80,000 from the diamond mines of Panna, in Bundelkhand, on the northern edge of the ancient island of Southern India.

India's Vast Store of Wealth These mines are still worked, but are now of inconsiderable importance. Emeralds to a limited degree, rubies, sapphires, cats' eyes, and other precious stones, were to be obtained from India or the adjacent countries, besides which the accumulation of the labour and wealth of forty centuries had amassed in this wonderful peninsula—the matrix of the human race—a vast store of wealth in gold, silver, and precious stones; and this possible plunder was one of the most potent attractions to Portuguese, Dutchman, Englishman, and Frenchman to found an empire over these patient, placable, thrifty, toiling millions of Aryanised Dravidians.

The pearl fishery was certainly one of our inducements to occupy Ceylon, one of the most notable additions to the British Empire in the early nineteenth century. Eighty years later, the ruby mines of Burma accentuated the impatience felt at the ineptitude of the native Burmese government and its intrigues with France



THE BRITISH IN CYPRUS: THE BASHI-BAZOUKS EVACUATING THE ISLAND

In terms of the Anglo-Turkish Convention, devised at the Berlin Conference, Cyprus was occupied by the forces of Great Britain on July 10th, 1878. The island is now administered as a Crown colony by a high commissioner.

From the drawing by R. Caton Woodville

and Italy. Rubies and teak forests prevailed to decide the immediate political fate of Burma. The location of gold in Australia and New Zealand came too late to be a provocative cause in the annexation of those islands, a deed already accomplished from other motives; though it is quite possible that the early discovery

Gold the Creator of Colonies of copper in Australia may have rendered the Imperial Government more determined to secure for Great Britain the exclusive political hegemony over Australasia. Gold, however, was the creator of British Columbia, which otherwise might have slid from the feeble hold of the Hudson's Bay Company into the possession of the United States. Conversely, gold in the Yukon valley and sealskins from Alaska have been the principal reasons why the American Government has shown itself so curmudgeon in the settlement of the North-western frontier of the Canadian Dominion, so resolved not to allow Canada to achieve her natural destiny and extend to Bering Strait—an event which I predict will some day come to pass by friendly arrangement.

Diamonds in South Africa, discovered amid the sterility of the Orange Free State borderlands, suddenly changed our attitude of tolerant indifference towards the fate of the South African hinterland into one of eager unscrupulousness. Advantage was taken of the uncertain nature of the Orange State boundary and of native claims, which were assigned to Great Britain, to extend the British ægis over all the known diamondiferous territory. This opened up the route to Bechuanaland and thenceforth to the Zambesi.

We let the Transvaal go back to independence in 1881, and even waived our suzerainty in 1884. In 1886 the Johannesburg and Barberton districts were found to be rich in gold. The attitude of the British Government towards the Transvaal

South Africa's Attractive Gold-Fields immediately changed, or, more strictly speaking, was changed for it by the rise to wealth and power of Cecil Rhodes, and his British, German, French and Afrikaner business associates, who, between 1889 and 1905, controlled and dominated the British Government. Lord Salisbury, in the sad autumn of 1899, may have spoken for himself in disavowing the attraction of the gold-fields as being the reason why we then found ourselves

at war with the Boers, but his colleagues must have found it difficult to preserve solemn faces as he uttered those memorable and rather pathetic words of a weary statesman of lofty ideals, aloof from the vulgar rush for wealth and a little ashamed of his yoke-fellows' greedy jingoism.

Yet to Continental critics never must British hypocrisy have seemed so needlessly patent. Of course we wanted the gold-fields, and the territory too; but for the gold, would Jew and Gentile, Briton and German, American and Frenchman, Indian, Greek and Portuguese have flocked into the prematurely named South African Republic, or have decided rapidly—and truly—that the unadulterated government of uneducated and greedy Boers and a few peevish reactionary Hollanders was not good enough for very modern, clever, hard-working settlers, who wanted the best type and the least obstructive of existing governments—that of Great Britain?

But for gold and diamonds—and missionaries, of whom more anon—the hinterland of South Africa might still be the undisputed appanage of Boer and Zulu; there would be no railway to the Zambesi; no British Influence in South Africa British Central Africa; but there might also be, by this time, the outline of a great German colonial empire. Possibly Afrikaner children now born and getting ready for school may, in their old age, say it was lucky for the fate of the great South African nation that the passing wealth in precious metals and precious stones—perhaps by that time no longer precious—induced Great Britain as a government, but more through a few British individuals, to lay her hands on South Africa from the Vaal and the Orange rivers to the Zambesi and Tanganyika. Our intervention, though it may have been influenced by temporary greed of gain, has moulded a great nationality, the future united states of South Africa, an analogue to the fusion of Frenchman, Scot, and Englishman which will some day form the great Canadian nation.

The desire to obtain an ample supply of mahogany, logwood, and rosewood without paying toll to Spain created the British colony of Honduras. Gold and diamonds, again, enlarged the boundaries of British Guiana. Palm oil drew the British Government into a protectorate over the Niger Delta and Old Calabar

Cloves were not without their influence on the fate of Zanzibar. Tin made it possible to develop the resources of the Malay Peninsula and impossible to brook the ingress there of any other Power. The cultivation of the sugar-cane attracted us to the West India Islands.

Codfish and lobsters have imparted an interest in the fate and prosperity of Newfoundland which might otherwise have been lacking; cotton possibilities in Nigeria are making a chancellor of the exchequer less grim on the subject of subsidies for railway construction, especially with the happy results of the Uganda railway before his eyes; the chance of cotton-growing in the Zambesi territories was the motive in the minds of the Ministry which despatched Livingstone and Kirk to what is now British Central Africa. The charter of the Hudson's Bay Company was the eventual outcome of Frobisher's voyages of nearly a hundred years before, when Frobisher and Queen Elizabeth, his patroness, believed he had discovered ore containing gold on the verge of the Arctic circle.

Founding of Hudson's Bay Company For more than three centuries commentators referred to this idea as a strange delusion, but the discovery of gold in the Yukon valley shows that Frobisher and Elizabeth's Italian metallurgists may not have been so very much in error. Frobisher may have picked up gold-bearing rocks on the shores of "Meta Incognita," or Baffin's Land, and the inhospitable regions of Eastern Arctic Canada may yet become as valuable as are those of the North-west.

The Hudson's Bay Company, however, was formed under Charles II. more with the object of discovering and dominating a water route to the regions of China and India across North America. But the company soon found its *raison d'être* and its claims for military and diplomatic support in the vast numbers of fur-bearing mammals which swarmed over Arctic and temperate North America. Canadians of to-day owe to the bear, fox, wolverene, lynx, marten, musquash, and mink, the political unity of their vast dominion. Nor have whales—toothed and toothless—been without their influence on the development of the empire. The Basque people of Northern Spain and South-west France seem to have been the

first race in Europe or anywhere else to pursue whales on the open sea and attack them with harpoons. No doubt, at first the exploit most desired was to drive the whale on shore. The Basques seem to have had the monopoly of this pursuit from the ninth to the middle of the sixteenth century, when the whalebone whale of the North Atlantic had become almost extinct. **Whaling in the Arctic Seas** Latterly, indeed, the Basque fishermen had been wont to pursue their search for whales as far as Newfoundland, and with the growing demand for oil and whalebone the British seamen had taken up the same quest, hiring frequently the Basque pilots and harpooners to assist them. When Henry Hudson returned in 1607 from his first search for a North-west passage, he spread the news of the enormous quantities of whalebone whales and walrus which were to be found in these Arctic seas. The result was that the Arctic Ocean between Greenland, Labrador, Spitzbergen and Nova Zembla was thronged for twenty or thirty years with British whaling ships, a pursuit which not only added to our stock of hardy, resolute seamen, but increased British interest in the regions of Arctic America.

In the middle of the seventeenth century, however, whaling was almost abandoned on the part of the British, owing to the zeal with which it had been taken up by the Dutch, who became as quarrelsome and as jealous of any competition as they were in the equatorial Spice Islands.

Repeated attempts were made in the early eighteenth century to revive the whaling industry of Britain in the northern seas, and in 1725 the South Sea Company endeavoured to promote the search for whales—whalebone, introduced into English industries a hundred years before, having become an increasingly important article—by offering a subsidy. The matter

Government Bounties to Whaling Ships was eventually taken up by the Government, whose bounties granted to whaling ships had created by 1749 the first Scottish whaling fleet, sailing from Peterhead. In the second half of the eighteenth century the spread of learning and the love of reading caused an increased demand for lamp-oil and candles. Wax was too expensive, tallow too evil-smelling; palm oil and other vegetable fats for candle-making had not yet entered the

scope of commerce. The voyages of Anson and Cook had drawn attention to the abundance of sperm whales in the south seas. In 1775 the first British whaling ships entered the Pacific round Cape Horn or through the Magellan Straits.

Discovery of Falkland Islands

The pursuit of the sperm whale in the Southern seas, and the growth also of world-commerce on the east and west coasts of South America, drew the attention of navigators of several nationalities to the Falkland Islands, situated off the coast of Patagonia, so near to the extremity of South America.

These islands had been discovered by John Davis, the Arctic explorer who was killed on the coast of Malacca in 1592, and again by Sir Richard Hawkins two years later. In 1598 the indefatigable Dutchmen—led by Sebald de Wert—paid them a visit and named them the Sebald Islands. In 1690, or a little after, they received the name of Falkland Islands from Strong, a British captain.

In 1763 the French attempted to found a colony on Berkeley Sound. But by this time the Spaniards of South America considered that these islands came within their jurisdiction, and they expelled the French by force. In 1761 they had been annexed by Commodore Byron on behalf of England on the ground of their having been discovered by Davis, Hawkins and Strong; but the Spanish Government contested the British claim as vehemently as the French attempt, and prepared to go to war on the subject. Nevertheless, in 1771, the British claim to the islands was recognised by Spain in a formal convention. Either they proved to be of less importance to the whaling industry than was expected, or the distractions of the

Napoleonic Wars caused them to be forgotten, for their formal cession by Spain was not followed by any attempt at British settlement other than the chance visits of whaling ships. So much so, that in 1820 the new republic of Buenos Ayres laid claim to the Falkland Islands, and established a colony on the site of the old French settlement at Port Louis.

As no protest was made by Great Britain, the islands might have 'apsed into an appanage of a South American republic had it not been that they had become a rendezvous for American whaling ships from the United States, and the masters of these ships fell out with the newly established Argentine authority. American war vessels seem to have intervened in the quarrel, and between them the Argentine settlement was destroyed. Then the British Government awoke to the importance of this forgotten outpost, with the result that the British flag was again hoisted in 1833.

The whaling industry flagged some twenty years afterwards, and was succeeded by the pursuit of the fur-bearing sea-lion. But for many years subsequently the Falkland Islands have been valued, not as a resort for whaling or sealing-ships, but as a wool, tallow, and mutton producing colony, in which a very vigorous white race is springing up which may some day play a part in the politics of South America.

Whaling's Service to the Empire

The whaling industry also caused the annexation by Captain Cook in 1775 of South Georgia, a large island—the size of Cheshire—in the South Atlantic, about 950 miles to the E.S.E. of the Falkland group. Whalers have also caused the annexation, or the retention, of numerous tiny archipelagoes in the Pacific, and of Tristan d'Acunha in the South-east Atlantic.



THE TOTAL POPULATION, NUMBERING EIGHTY-ONE, OF TRISTAN D'ACUNHA



THE SLAVE TRADE AS A FACTOR IN COLONIAL EXPANSION

SLAVERY UNDER THE BRITISH FLAG AND THE SUPPRESSION OF THE EVIL

THE earliest and strongest inducement to acquire territorial possessions on the West Coast of Africa was the facility for carrying on a trade in slaves with America. The search for pepper—cardamoms, grains of paradise, the seeds of the Aframomum plant—was a temporary allurements; and there was always the trade in gold dust between Assinie and the Volta River.

But although "Guinea gold" was exported to England steadily from the time of Charles II. onwards, it was never in such large quantities as to give a serious bias to Imperial policy. The rivers and estuaries between the Senegal, Gambia, and Sierra Leone, together with a small portion of Liberia, Hwida, Dahomeh, and Benin: these were the principal resorts of British slave-traders during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. In the eighteenth and nineteenth the trade spread to Lagos, the Niger Delta, Calabar, Kamerun and Congo. The rapid conquests of the Spaniards and Portuguese in Central and South America had, in the course of fifty odd years, revealed one negative quality of the New World.

These lands, rich with obtrusive mineral wealth, endowed with magnificent timber, a hundred useful vegetables, and many delectable birds and beasts, were either very sparsely populated with indigenous races of man, or the Indians had not the requisite toughness of fibre to withstand the

hellish slavery to which they were subjected by the conquistadores. So that, by the middle of the sixteenth century, the problem which is now exercising many minds in the development of tropical Africa worried the Spanish rulers of America: where was the labour force to come from that could toil unremittingly in a tropical climate?

The Portuguese had anticipated the question before the New World had been discovered. Indeed, the theory of slave labour had been in vigour in the Mediterranean world from a most remote period, and had received a considerable

fillip during the Crusades and the consequent wars between the Moslems of North Africa and the Christians of Portugal, Spain, France and Italy. Moorish pirates captured Christians, fair and dark, from off the coasts of the Mediterranean and Western Europe, from Ireland to Greece, and the captives were then set to work to row the galley, build the mole, raise the fortress, decorate the palace, and make themselves generally useful in employments not always palatable to the free Moslem.

It was the great desire of the Christian to do likewise, a desire which only began to have its fulfilment when Spaniards and Portuguese first conquered the Moors within the limits of their own peninsula and then victoriously carried their crusading conflict into Morocco. Prince Henry the Navigator did not discourage his Genoese, Majorcan and Portuguese adventurers from making slaves of the Moors on whom they could lay hands in their exploring expeditions. But they soon detected the difference in servitude between Moors and Blackamoors, though generically the two were lumped together.

The captives brought back from the north of the Senegal River were found to be of noble stuff, to whom slavery meant heartbreak. The black people, trafficked in by the very Moors themselves to the south of the Senegal River, were ideal servants, accepting readily both the Christian faith and a mild form of domestic service. In fact, historically, it was the captured Moors who obtained their own

freedom by offering to show the Portuguese where they might obtain slaves of the material required by them

As soon as the British seamen of Bristol, Devon, London, and East Anglia began to venture far afield in sailing ventures under the instigation of Venetian navigators, they were very curious as to the regions from which the Portuguese obtained spices and muscular black servants; and even in the discouraging days of Edward VI. and Mary I., when much of English capital and enterprise were fettered by religious troubles and the throttling hand of Spanish diplomacy, merchant adventurers set forth to discover West Africa for themselves.

At first seamen shipped with the Portuguese and kept their own counsel till they returned; or, later, some Portuguese commander, unfairly treated at home, would come to England to find a market for his knowledge. The excessive jealousy and hostility of the Portuguese towards any other adventurers in the West African field were somewhat tempered where the English were concerned by Portuguese rivalry with Spain, and the feeling that in the struggle that was coming, Portugal, to avoid absorption by the power of Spain, might find assistance in an alliance with the English. Moreover, in spite of religious differences, which did not really arise until the reign of Elizabeth, and of a dog-in-the-manger policy as regards overseas adventure, there had been from the twelfth century onwards the growing up of an unwritten alliance, even of written pacts, between Angevin England and Burgundian Portugal.

It may even be said that prior to the sixteenth century the rulers and the aristocracy of Portugal and England were much more nearly akin in blood, ambitions, and even speech, than they are to-day. The influence of Portugal

on the historical development of the British Empire has been so important as to excuse this disquisition. By the beginning of Elizabeth's reign, though the Portuguese did not like the entry of British seamen into the West African trade, they did not treat this intervention with such hostility as might have nipped it in the bud. Consequently, Sir John Hawkins, as he subsequently became, was in a position in 1562 to tender to the Spanish rulers of

America. Imperial or Viceregal, for the supply of cargoes of West African slaves, or Moors, as they were still called.

The ventures proved profitable to the English, and so satisfactory to the Spaniards in the West Indies that the supply continued to be carried on even during periods when Spain and Britain were officially at war. Hawkins, having enriched himself over a business in which he saw no more iniquity than has been felt by many a nineteenth century purveyor of Kanaka, or negro contract labourers, was knighted by Queen Elizabeth, and assumed as his crest a "demi-Moor in bondage."

The British trade in slaves from the West African coast might have progressed much more rapidly and prosperously between 1560 and 1660 had it not been for the rivalry and ambition of the Dutch. The inhabitants of Holland and Friesland are so near akin to us in blood and language, have so many of our own virtues and faults that we need not affect surprise that a country, small indeed, but nearly as large as the England that counted

in the days of Elizabeth, when Wales and much that lay to the north of Lincoln were savage and sparsely populated, should

have achieved the marvellous things it did in the seas of Africa, Asia, and America during the time when its people were fighting on their very thresholds against all the power of Spain and Austria. Such surprise at the achievements of big-minded men out of a tiny country savours of a complete ignorance of history. What Holland did is as wonderful, but not more so, than the staggering first successes of Portugal or the civilisation of Greece.

The Dutch, finding that they were twice as good at ship-building, ship-sailing, and ship-fighting as the Portuguese, who had become the subjects of Spain—the Spaniards, except the small Basque population in the north, were indifferent navigators—grasped at transmarine empire everywhere with a greed admirable in its stupendous character. They intended to conquer the whole of Brazil, and wished to supplant Spain in Venezuela and the West Indies. At one time they took nearly all Angola from the Portuguese, and even made an attempt at the subjugation of the Congo kingdom. They usurped the place of the Portuguese in Senegambia—the island of Goree in the

SLAVERY AND COLONIAL EXPANSION

harbour of Dakar to this day bears the name of a small island off the Friesland coast, and on the Gold Coast. They occupied the island of St. Helena, discovered and named by the Portuguese, and probably by their maritime attacks checked any intentions on the part of poor paralysed Lusitania to occupy the Cape of Good Hope. They several times took away the island of Mozambique from the Portuguese, occupied and named Mauritius, and exterminated the Dodo. They conquered the coasts of Ceylon, established themselves in Eastern India and ousted the Portuguese flag from almost every part of the Malay Peninsula and archipelago, where it had been so proudly hoisted and so cruelly maintained by the almost superhuman valour of the great conquistadores.

Imitation has constantly been the sincerest, if most unconscious, form of flattery on the part of the British. During the Saxon period they copied the religion, arts, manners, customs, and costume of the Frankish Roman Empire. From before the Norman Conquest they had begun to watch and imitate the Flemings, Picards, and Bretons. Every fashion in dress that came from Italy ran with a rapidity, astonishing without a coach or carriageable road, through England up to Edinburgh.

From the middle of the fifteenth to the end of the sixteenth century our seamen sedulously copied in shipbuilding, in the art of navigation, and in the use of nautical terms the maritime enterprise of Italy, Portugal, and Spain, while during the seventeenth century they devoted the same spirit of assimilation to all they could learn from the Dutch. Indeed, it was not until the second half of the eighteenth century that England began to teach other nations.

Therefore, where Venice, Genoa, Portugal, and Holland led in matters of maritime discovery, and later in the slave trade, Britain followed unquestioningly. In the last-named pursuit she had anticipated the Dutch, but towards the close of the sixteenth century the Dutch took the lead, and kept it for some fifty years. It was a Dutch ship that brought the first supply of negro slaves to British North America, Virginia, in 1619, as soon as we began to get the upper hand of the Dutch in maritime warfare, or,

to put it more fairly, as soon as Dutch enterprise slackened, the British turned the temporary trading stations established at the mouth of the Gambia, in the estuary of Sierra Leone, and on the Gold Coast, into permanent fortified posts. In fact, under Charles II., James II., and William III., the British Empire in West Africa began mainly with the intention of supplying black slaves to the sugar-growing West Indies, where, under Cromwell, Britain had obtained a splendid installation by the conquest of Jamaica. By 1670, we not only desired to obtain contracts for supplying Spanish America with negro labourers, but we required them in thousands for our own American possessions. Sugar was being planted everywhere in the more tropical of the West India islands, and tobacco in Virginia.

There was a growing demand for rum made from sugar. We were approaching the two centuries, the eighteenth and nineteenth, which, amongst a thousand other remarkable characteristics, good and bad, will probably be known in the perspective of history as the centuries of distilled alcohol: the two hundred odd years in which civilised and uncivilised man attempted to poison himself and his progeny, body and mind, with rum, gin, brandy, arrack, kirsch, absinthe, schnapps, and whisky. Rum, the aguardiente of the Spaniard, got a good start in the infamous race, and vastly promoted the cultivation of the sugar-cane, thus causing the British to establish at least fourteen slave-trading depôts on the West Coast of Africa during the eighteenth century, and Liverpool, London, Bristol, and Lancaster to maintain between them a fleet of nearly two hundred slave-ships.

In 1713, the Treaty of Utrecht imposed on Spain the transference from Dutch to British merchants—in the syndicate or combine, as it would now be called, Queen

Anne had a fourth share—of the contract for the annual supply of 4,800 negro slaves to the Spanish Indies. This privilege was to last for thirty years; but for some good reasons the Spaniards repudiated it when it had only run for twenty-six. For this and other "wrongs" the British Government declared war on Spain. The long War of the Austrian Succession that followed—and later, the Wars of the Family Compact and of the American revolt—

**Traffic
in Slaves
and Rum**

**Britain the
Pupil of
Other Nations**

**Britain's
Share
in Slavery**

stood in the way of the resumption of the purveying of slaves to Spanish America in British ships. The Spaniards obtained them through the French and Portuguese, and finally made arrangements with Portugal for the cession of the West African island of Fernando Po and an establishment on the African mainland at Corisco

Negroes in the British Colonies Bay, so that Spaniards could do their own slave-buying and running. But this was little loss to the British slave-traders, because, as the eighteenth century advanced towards its middle, the British-American and West Indian colonies became more and more prosperous and in need of labourers.

In the closing years of the seventeenth century rice from Madagascar had been introduced into South Carolina, and rapidly became an article of profitable culture in the sub-tropical states of British America, provided there was a sufficiency of negro labour. Between 1700 and 1776 about 2,000,000 negroes had been conveyed to the British colonies of Eastern North America by British ships, and in this same period quite 600,000 to the British West Indies—1,000,000 before the century's close.

With the American revolt the slave-market, in what were now the United States, was practically closed to Great Britain. Moreover, coincidently with this revolt arose the first determined movement against slavery in North America. The Quakers, who played such a great part in the settlement of the original States of New England, had from the first disapproved of slavery. The State of Pennsylvania practically abolished slavery within its limits in 1776, and Vermont in 1777. Slavery, in fact, would have never been recognised by the constitution of the United States but for the insistence of Georgia and South Carolina. It was possibly cotton which gave a ninety years' extension to the institution of slavery in America.

America's Cotton-Growing States The cultivation of cotton, curiously enough, though the best wild cotton-plants are indigenous to Southern North America, did not begin in Georgia and the Carolinas until 1770. After a few miscarriages of samples at Liverpool, in 1764, it became an astonishing success. Previous to this discovery of the special value of the climate of Georgia as a cotton-producing country, the small

supplies needed by the modest manufacturing of cotton goods at London, Nottingham, and in Lancashire were obtained from Cyprus, Asia Minor, and the West India Islands of Barbados, Anguilla, and St. Christopher. But a simultaneous provocation to the continuous retention of slave labour in the United States arose from England itself.

From 1750 onwards a series of splendid inventions—Kaye's fly-shuttle, Hargreave's carding-engine and "spinning-jenny," Arkwright's spinning-frame, mule, and throstle—revolutionised the cotton industries of England, the whole history and development of Lancashire, whither cotton manufacturers were being removed from London because of the greater cheapness of labour and the peculiar qualities of the Lancashire climate, and even the social fabric of England. Cotton spinners, American and West Indian merchants became enormously wealthy and influential, and their sons entered Parliament. Thus were founded the careers of the great Sir Robert Peel and of Gladstone. These wonderful developments of British industry caused

Growth of the Cotton Industry an enormous demand for the raw material. It was before the days of steamships, though the machines with steam power invented by James Watt applied to cotton spinning were the origin of the application of steam-power to locomotion; and the sailing voyages from Turkey through a war-devastated Mediterranean, were too uncertain as a means of a large and constant supply. In the West Indies the area under British control suited to cotton cultivation was too small. As soon as the war with the American colonies could be brought to a conclusion, a trade in cotton, cultivated by slave labour, sprang up between the United States and Liverpool so enormous as to preclude for a long while any serious movement on the American side for the abrogation of the slave status.

But the prohibition of the foreign slave trade by the United States in 1794-1808, and the similar prohibition by Britain in 1808—strengthened by the provisions of the Treaty of Ghent in 1814—effected a great improvement in the position and happiness of the slave in America and in the British West Indies. Hitherto the wastage of life had been terrible. There were about 800,000 negro and mulatto slaves



BRITAIN'S PROTEST AGAINST SLAVERY: CLARKSON PRESIDING AT A CONVENTION OF THE ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY
The British Anti-Slavery Society, founded under the presidency of Thomas Clarkson, had as its object the universal extinction of slavery and the protection of the enfranchised population in the British possessions. Chief among those associated with him was William Wilberforce, whose labours on behalf of the cause did much to arouse public sympathy and to bring about its final triumph in 1833, when the Emancipation Act was passed, thus putting an end by gradual steps to slavery and arranging for the payment of £20,000,000 to slave-holders.

See also illustration in the National Portrait Gallery

in the British West Indies in 1791, but it required annual drafts of about 30,000 to maintain the labour force at its sufficient quota. In 1780 there were about 600,000 negroes in the Southern United States. This figure had risen in 1790, under the stimulus of cotton-planting and increased demand for slave labour—perhaps also

**Great Britain's
Solicitude
for the Negro**

to a more careful census—to 757,000. By 1800 it exceeded a million, of whom, however, more than 100,000 were already free. By 1820 there were 233,000 free negroes in the United States, to whom the ordinary franchise of free citizens was practically denied. The embarrassment thus caused was met by the foundation in 1822 of Liberia, on the West Coast of Africa, to receive back in Africa the descendants of freed slaves whom America rejected as voting citizens.

Great Britain had already felt this difficulty of conceding political rights to the freed slaves of the West India Islands, and further had to find homes for the loyalist negroes who had fought on the British side during the American War of 1777-1783. These had first been moved to Nova Scotia; then they were conveyed to London, and finally to the Sierra Leone peninsula, which had been acquired by a philanthropist chartered company for the repatriation of negroes.

The foundation of the future Colony and Protectorate of Sierra Leone, in 1787-1792, was the first episode in a new order of empire building; sentiment or sentimentality was henceforth to rank with other more practical reasons for annexing countries, large and small, to the British Crown.

The alleged philanthropic origin of some of our possessions is an explanation, which, down to a few years ago, would have called forth the snort or the sneer from home or foreign critics of the empire. But although Great Britain is rightly famed for keeping an eye on the main

**Sentiment
in Imperial
Policy**

chance in her Imperial policy, it is a fact that several of her investments in Africa and Asia in their origin have been

undertaken for motives of sincere philanthropy, and not with the immediate prospect of gain. Thus, Sierra Leone was first started as a chartered company, and then grew inevitably into a crown colony. Lagos was conquered and annexed in 1861 because it remained obstinately a

stronghold of the slave trade. British intervention in the affairs of Nyassaland was largely the outcome of Livingstone's denunciation of the Arab slave trade. British missionary propaganda was in the first place the only motive in Bechuana-land and Central Zambesia.


The same may be said for the beginning of British interest in Uganda, in all probability antedating the anxiety concerning the sources of the Nile water-supply and the irrigation of the Northern Sudan and Egypt. Philanthropy—of a rather sickly kind—started the creation of British commercial and political claims over the Lower Niger, and ranged public opinion behind the vacillating British Government of the 'nineties—it would equally have stood behind them in the 'eighties—in the last century, when Lord Kitchener was allowed to undertake the reconquest and resettlement of the Egyptian Sudan. In no region of the British Empire was philanthropy more justified in urging on a conquest than in these regions of the Central Nile valley. The uprising of the bastard

**British
Influence in
the Sudan**

Arab element in this region was in all truth a revolt in favour of the reinstitution of the slave trade in its most extravagantly cruel and infamous aspects. The Mahdi's revolt had blasted and depopulated a region of the earth's surface which, under proper administration, should have been the home of populous tribes of dark-skinned people engaged in rearing large herds of camels, cattle, asses, horses, goats, and sheep, and in cultivating millions of acres of wheat or of date palms.

Its previous government by Egypt had been undertaken first of all on a purely slave-trade basis, and secondly as a speculation very much on the lines of King Leopold's rubber empire on the Congo. The British conquest, occupation, and reorganisation of the Sudan has been a very great gain to civilisation and human happiness.

Whether such a verdict shall be pronounced on all other extensions of British rule is discussed in greater detail in this survey. But it is noteworthy that many a British conquest, in order to excite the philanthropic motive in the British people, has been preceded by a blackening of the character of those about to be conquered.



COLONIES GROWN FROM CONVICT SETTLEMENTS

EFFECT OF THE OLD TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM ON THE EMPIRE'S EXPANSION

ANOTHER inducement to acquire overseas possessions should not be overlooked, as it has contributed powerfully, if at first unhappily, to the formation of British and French colonies from the early part of the seventeenth to, in the case of Britain, the last half of the nineteenth century: the transportation of criminals or political prisoners.

The fact that several of our proudest, most prosperous colonies began in this way, or were reinforced in population by these means, we need have no scruple in admitting or regret in recording, for in all the period of English history previous to the reform of the criminal laws in 1826, 1832, 1837, persons not hanged, drawn and quartered—allowed to survive their trial—could not have been so very wicked, since the death penalty in those days was frequently imposed where now three months' imprisonment would be considered ample to meet the requirements of justice, to say nothing of the enormous frequency of false witness, of miscarriages of justice, wherein a humane judge or Minister would give the prisoner the benefit of the doubt by sentencing him or her to transportation for the enforced colonisation of new lands.

Given the shocking social condition of England and France in the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, this plan was really a blessing in disguise. The wretched criminal, often more sinned against than sinning, was removed from a rut of hopeless social disqualification, and from incessant temptation to run counter to local laws, to a region where muscle, pluck, endurance, resourcefulness—the brigand's instincts, moderately curbed—were the essentials required in empire building. At home he or she would have eventually ended a miserable career on the gallows or in the workhouse prison. In the

American States, the northern West Indies, Australia or Tasmania, the transported developed in many cases into healthy, happy, virtuous, prosperous fathers, or mothers of sturdy colonists, themselves to be the ancestors, perchance, of such as shall found the mighty independent states of the future. Some of the finest of Australian citizens, I have been told, can trace their descent

Two Sides of the Australian Picture

from stalwart English poachers, whom the iniquitous game laws of a pre-Victorian Britain condemned to transportation. Similar poachers nowadays, unprosecuted or mildly punished, might develop into successful and very respectable professional cricketers, football players, or golfers; or enter the army, rise to be sergeants-major or inspectors of police, and endow their not-sufficiently-grateful country with families of ten to twelve healthy children.

There was, of course, another side to the picture in Australia and, above all, in Tasmania. A proportion of the convicts were really wicked men and women, and the partial liberty they attained on reaching the southern hemisphere enabled them to spread their wickedness like a subtle moral contamination. The special and isolated penal settlements in New South Wales, Tasmania, Norfolk Island, Moreton Bay, West Australia, became—according to writers of that and a later day, in pamphlets and in novels—"terrible cesspools of iniquity." But the ex-convicts and ticket-of-leave men became prosperous and outspoken citizens: it has been stated in reports on the transportation question that by 1835 some of the New South Wales ex-convict citizens possessed incomes of between £20,000 and £40,000, derived from houses, lands, ships, cattle, and land transport. They advocated on the platform and in the local Press views that were

described as "unprincipled," but in many respects seem nowadays merely Socialism of a respectable and accepted type. The vicious members of the penal settlements mostly died out from their evil courses and left no offspring to perpetuate their moral obliquity. For the rest, the open air, the sunshine, great spaces, necessity for

Britain's Policy of Transportation

physical exertion, effected a bodily and mental purification. The Australia and Tasmania of the twentieth century bear no more traces in their 4,200,000

wholesome people of the sorrows, tortures, crimes, and privations of a certain section of the original colonisers than do the modern New Englanders who are in part descended from a similar recruitment.

Penal colonies or settlements of outlaws or mutinied soldiers were not unknown in the polity of ancient Egypt, the Greek or the Roman worlds, and here or there in legend and in history are quoted as the seed of subsequently prosperous communities. In the evolution of the British Empire the policy of transporting law-breakers to lands beyond the sea was foreshadowed by the Vagrancy Act of Elizabeth's reign, on the strength of which her successor, James I., directed that "a hundred dissolute persons" should be sent to Virginia. In 1660 and 1670, Acts of Charles II. prescribed the transportation of offenders against the laws, which then included many who were merely "lewd, disorderly, or lawless persons," or who were dissidents in religion; and from this time onwards men and women were regularly drafted to the plantations in New England.

In 1718, an Act of George I. ordained that criminals guilty of grave offences, who escaped the death penalty, were to be farmed out to labour-contractors for transport to the American colonies. The contractors were thus enabled to sell the labour of these white slaves—men at about £10 a head, and women at £8 or £9—for what-

Fate of the White Slaves

ever term the judge had attached to their transportation, say, from seven to fourteen years.

At the end of that period the labourer became free, theoretically, and although in many instances, no doubt, a wicked master kept his "convict" at work beyond the term of his sentence, in many others he became a free colonist long before or settled the question himself by running away to the "backwoods, or joining the Indians and becoming the father of

vigorous half-breeds. Convicts were also sent to Jamaica, Nova Scotia, the Bermudas, Barbados, and other islands of the British West Indies. But with the revolt of the American States, the transportation of British law-breakers across the Atlantic came to an end. The simultaneous revelation by Captain Cook of the vast Australian territories suggested a far better outlet for the energies of those unhappy convicts in whom the great philanthropist Howard was forcing his fellow citizens and government to take an interest.

The first fleet of convict settlers left England for New South Wales in 1787, and, after a voyage of seven months, landed its consignment on the site of the modern Sydney in January, 1788. In the same year another convict station was established at Norfolk Island, about 400 miles to the north-north-west of New Zealand. In 1804 the first settlement was effected in Tasmania, when 400 convicts, many of them Irish political prisoners, were established on the site of the modern Hobart. The next year the Norfolk Island convicts were removed to Tasmania, and established on the banks of the Upper Derwent.

British Criminals in Australia

As early as 1832, however, protests began to reach England from the reputable section of the Australian society against the principle of transporting thither the criminals of Great Britain. There had always been alongside the deported prisoner of the State a steady influx of free colonists. Some of these came to Australia with a view to farm, by means of cheap convict labour; and no doubt by this association of white and black sheep, not a few among the latter regained their former spotlessness of fleece. It is at any rate certain, though enough emphasis has never been placed on this happy fact, that a proportion of nearly, if not quite, half the convicts sent out to Australia found their way back into the life of decent, self-respecting men and women.

It must also be remembered that between 1800 and 1820 a large number of the prisoners were political: Irish rebels or English rioters, fighters for freedom merely, and often high-minded, pure-minded men. On the other hand, after the first reform of the terrible English criminal code in 1826 and 1832, the persons deemed to have merited transportation were more certainly thorough-going law-breakers than under the former and harsher

COLONIES GROWN FROM CONVICT SETTLEMENTS

laws. So it came about that all the respectable elements of Australian society—from whatever source recruited matters not, for their lives and exploits were sufficient testimony to their character—struck at the dumping of any more convicted criminals on Australian soil. Their protests were endorsed by their judiciary, and after 1840 no more state prisoners were sent to the eastern half of Australia.

A good many of the irreclaimable convicts of New South Wales and Queensland (Moreton Bay) were removed to Norfolk Island, which continued to be a convict station till 1854. Tasmania received all the output of British convicts until 1846, when, in consequence of protests from its Government, the supply was stopped until 1848. Then it began again, especially with regard to Irish and English Chartist political prisoners. This was in 1850, when an attempt to land 250 convicts in the previous year at the Cape of Good Hope provoked almost an insurrection. After 1850 no more convicts were sent to the beautiful island of Tasmania, which, in 1825, had been thrown open to free emigrants. In Tasmania the worst

Troublesome Convicts in Tasmania

features of convict colonisation were certainly manifest. The indentured or assigned criminals, who were subjected to but little supervision, frequently escaped into the bush, and between 1804 and 1830 the island was terrorised by bushrangers. This precipitated trouble with the black indigenes, whose treatment, active and passive, at the hands of British officialdom will always be one of the blots on the empire's record, from the point of view of science as well as philanthropy. The worst type of convicts were herded at the penal settlement of Port Arthur, on Tasman Peninsula, under conditions graphically described by the late Marcus Clarke in his powerful novel, "His Natural Life."

Western Australia had been founded as a colony in 1829, but for many years it languished in growth owing to the superior attractions in rapid fortune-making offered elsewhere in the island-continent. It needed cheap labour above all for the development of its resources, so that when the other states of Australia were indignantly repudiating the principle of convict immigration, the legislature of the Crown Colony of West Australia actually proposed to the Home Government, in 1846,

the sending out annually of a limited number of British convicts. The proposal was eagerly accepted by the British Government in 1849, at a time when they were placed in a very awkward dilemma by the outbreak in Cape Town against the landing of convicts. Accordingly, transportation of criminals was resumed

The System of Transportation Abolished

Australia-wards, and the prisoners, released on ticket-of-leave for the most part, were sent annually to Fremantle and Albany until 1865. Many of these so-called convicts were little more than boys from the reformatory prison at Parkhurst, Isle of Wight. But later the Imperial Government began to develop a plan of regular penal establishments in Western Australia for the using up of British criminals in the mass, and this contemplated procedure offended the growing national pride of Australia.

Moreover, it was complained of by the colony of South Australia, which had never been associated in its foundation with convict immigrants, but which now witnessed a permeation of its settlements by escaped criminals from West Australia. In 1865, therefore, the system of transporting convicts to Western Australia, or to any region beyond the limits of Great Britain and Ireland, came to an end for ever.

There is nothing to gird at in this record. Transportation was a plan which in the circumstances of the time, of home institutions, and colonial needs, served a purpose that in the main was beneficent. At any rate, whether or not displeasing to British pride, it must be ranked among the principal causes which led to the colonisation of North and South Carolina, Virginia, and Massachusetts; of Jamaica, the Bahamas, and the Leeward Islands; of Australia and Tasmania.

But for the need to find a dumping-ground for offenders against the criminal laws or for political prisoners, Australia and

Colonies that were Lost to France

Tasmania would have become French possessions; no doubt New Zealand as well.

France, with the gold and copper of Australia and the magnificent climate of New Zealand as baits for French emigrants, might have played a very different part in the world's history. It is curious to reflect on the partly forgotten causes and personalities of this movement towards Australia. After the middle of the eighteenth century there

were British Ministers who took an interest in science for the mere love of knowledge. Lord Halifax, in 1768, had despatched James Bruce, British consul in Algeria and Tunis, to Egypt, to discover the source of the Nile. In the same year, partly through the influence of the same Secretary of State—who died in 1771—Captain James

The Beauty and Wonders of Australia

Cook was sent with a small naval expedition to the South Seas to observe from the longitude of Tahiti the transit of Venus. On his homeward journey he discovered, or re-discovered, New Zealand and Australia. His landing at Botany Bay, near Sydney, at the beginning of the Australian autumn, when there was a renewed outburst of leaf and blossom under the influence of the rains, caused him to give, on his return to England in the summer of 1771—besides the reports of his scientific staff, among whom was Sir Joseph Banks—such a glowing account of the beauty and wonders of Australia as fascinated the attention of arm-chair geographers in England. Amongst this type of useful and enthusiastic students was a Mr. Matra, afterwards British Agent at Tangiers, who had access to the ear of Lord Sydney, the Minister then in charge of Colonial affairs.

The philanthropist John Howard, in 1777-1770, had been agitating for prison reform. The American colonies were now closed as places to which criminals could be transported. The prosperous West Indian Islands rejected this labour material, not half so useful as negro slaves; where, then, was a harassed administration, just awaking to the impulses of modern philanthropy—largely created by the Quakers—to send the wretched beings it was too humane to slaughter and too ignorant to reform? Some suggested a penal settlement at

Gibraltar; others, with more sardonic intent, the Gambia River, where the climate was reported to kill one in six among the Europeans landed there. But Mr. Matra espoused the suggestions of Sir Joseph Banks that the beautiful country of New South Wales should receive a British settlement; and afterwards shaped his plans so as to incorporate Lord Sydney's suggestion that the Botany Bay colony should comprise a scheme for the transportation of large numbers of convicts. Mr. Matra seems to have been a Corsican, the relation or descendant of a Corsican patriot who sometimes fought with, sometimes against, Paoli, in the Corsican struggle for independence which preceded the French Revolution by twenty to thirty years. Matra had become domiciled in England, and, as far as can be ascertained, never was in Australia, but merely became interested theoretically in that country's possibilities and in colonisation generally. Lord Sydney, as Sir Thomas Townshend and later as a peer, was at the Foreign Office between 1782 and 1791.

Then, owing to the disgust occasioned by the issue of the American War, the Ministry of the colonies had been abolished and the oversea possessions of Great Britain were dealt with by the Foreign Department. Matra, with his knowledge of French and Italian, was useful to Lord Sydney, no doubt in Mediterranean questions. His own chief pre-occupation at this time, 1783, seems to have been to found a new home for the American loyalists. Lord Sydney's aim was to select a suitable portion of the globe for the reception of transported criminals. From this curious conjunction of plans and enthusiasms sprang British Australasia.

The Birth of British Australasia

Matra, with his knowledge of French and Italian, was useful to Lord Sydney, no doubt in Mediterranean questions. His own chief pre-occupation at this time, 1783, seems to have been to found a new home for the American loyalists. Lord Sydney's aim was to select a suitable portion of the globe for the reception of transported criminals. From this curious conjunction of plans and enthusiasms sprang British Australasia.



AUSTRALIAN ABORIGINES RECEIVING BLANKETS FROM THE GOVERNMENT



THE WARS OF THE EMPIRE, JUST AND UNJUST

HOW BRITAIN'S OVERSEAS DOMINIONS HAVE BEEN EXTENDED BY FORCE OF ARMS AND THE LOSS OF THE AMERICAN COLONIES

THE participation of England in the Crusades, and, indeed, all the wars carried on by Norman, Angevin, and Plantagenet kings outside the English realm, with the exception of the conquest of Ireland, Wales, and Scotland, can hardly be called wars for the foundation of the British Empire. The campaigns of Henry II., Richard I., the first three Edwards, Henry V. and VI., were undertaken as the attempts of French princes to reign in France, while their work in the Crusades was really a lingering vestige of the Western Roman Empire, a continuance of that work of Rome which was really resumed after the Saxon interregnum.

For a brief period after the Anglo-Saxons had done much to destroy Roman civilisation in Britain, Ireland may have been more civilised and prosperous than England or barbaric Caledonia. Were it not, however, for the vestiges of an undoubted and very beautiful art, the early mediæval civilisation of Ireland might be questioned, seeing how much invention and exaggeration have accumulated in the monkish legends. [Students of this part of British history would do well to read "The Elder Faiths of Ireland," by W. H. Wood-Martin; and "The Making of Ireland and Its Undoing," by Mrs. Alice Stopford Green.] With the

Roman Civilisation in Britain influence of the Romanised Franks on the Saxon courts, Roman civilisation soon raised its head again in the realm of the Anglo-Saxon from Edinburgh to Southampton, and the new English civilisation began to infiltrate Iberian Wales and Cornwall. The necessary preliminary to a British Empire abroad was the political consolidation of Great Britain,

Ireland, and Man into a single great power with a central government. Until that could be brought about in deed, if not in word, there could be no motive, no security for an empire beyond the seas of the British Archipelago. The first wars of the empire,

England at the Time of the Normans

therefore, were those which the Norman and Angevin kings, incited by the Pope, with his desire to unify the Western Christian Church, undertook for the subjugation of Ireland and Wales. For Imperial purposes, the conquest of Ireland was sufficiently achieved in the reign of Henry II. The Danes had largely prepared the way for the English. They had slain the last Keltic king of all Ireland, Brian Boru. Ireland was then, as now, composed, in a different proportion, of much the same racial elements as England, Scotland, Wales and Cornwall.

It is probable, however, that at the time of the Norman invasion Danish was a good deal spoken on the coasts of Ireland, and from that to the English of Henry II.'s period was no very difficult step. But it was really the Roman Church that kept Ireland under English control until such time as the English infiltration had grown too strong for a national resistance.

Wales had been brought into the English hegemony at the conclusion of the reign of Edward I. Anglo-Saxon, Norman, and Danish influence combined, had, between 700 A.D. and the reign of Robert Bruce, settled the question whether Scotland was to be an independent Keltic kingdom with a predominant Keltic language, or a country ruled by the English speech, by Roman and Norman ideas of law and custom, although for two centuries more she remained a power more often hostile

than friendly. The Isle of Man had come within the English sphere of influence in 1344 and 1406, when it had ceased to be ruled by a Norwegian dynasty, and had been finally wrested from intermittent Scottish occupation. The Hebrides and outer islands of West Scotland were secured from Norway, and, later, from

Scotland's independent rule — by the
Union with "Lord of the Isles"—in 1264
England and 1427. The Orkneys and Shetlands were also pledged

by Norway (Denmark) in 1469 as the security for the dowry of Margaret of Denmark, who married James III. The pledge was never redeemed. Thus the kings of Scotland, mainly by war prowess, between 844 and 1470 brought the entire mainland and adjacent islands of North Britain under one rule, and in 1603 united it with the Crown of England, Wales, Ireland, and the Channel Islands, and the suzerainty over Man.

Though the nominal independence of Scotland continued until the fusion of the two crowns in the person of James VI. (I.), Scotland had no Imperial policy of her own after the Battle of Flodden Field, except the unfortunate Darien expedition of 1698-1700 to the Gulf of Uraba at the southern beginning of the Isthmus of Panama, and did not actively participate in the Imperial schemes of Britain till after the Act of Union in the reign of Queen Anne. It was likewise not until the middle of the eighteenth century that Irishmen born in Ireland are found taking any prominent part in colonial expansion.

The war-worn Henry IV. had dallied with Imperial projects of trade in the Mediterranean, and had even received embassies from the Moors of North Africa ; but his death at the early age of forty-seven cut short his plans of expanding English influence. The eighty years of turmoil that followed distracted men's thoughts from any questions but those of

The Seeds England, Scotland, France, and
of Imperial Burgundy. Thus the great
Desires stirrings of the Southern English—for at first all Imperial

enterprise came from south of the latitude of Lincoln—towards oversea adventure and acquisitions did not make themselves felt till the reign of Henry VII. The growing relations of trading Britain with the Low Countries, with Venice, Portugal, and the Hanseatic towns, which became very marked in the reign of Edward IV., sowed

the seeds of Imperial desires. We were prompted to found an empire by giant minds of Venice and Genoa, who, eager to take their inspirations to any monarch with the power of executing them, and often thwarted or maltreated by Spain or Portugal, came to England, and attracted the inchoate desires of this people—emergent from civil wars, safe at home, and fermenting with the new learning—towards the discovery and conquest of lands across the Atlantic Ocean.

The first war undertaken for an empire beyond the shores of Britain did not occur till the early part of Elizabeth's reign, and then for a long time it was an unofficial war, waged by gallant men whose status was little superior to that of pirates. Drake and his comrades, incensed by the attempts of the Spanish monarchy to retain all America within the limits of a Spanish monopoly, boldly attacked the colossus in detail, and by surrendering to the greedy Elizabeth much of the wealth thus acquired, escaped being hanged as pirates. But after their exploits had provoked the despatch of the Spanish Armada,

The Bold Elizabeth took a bolder line.
Line of Queen She afforded a somewhat
Elizabeth churlish and treacherous

assistance to the struggling people of the Netherlands, and waged a war here against Spain—not by any means crowned with honour—which was probably intended, if she saw her way clear, to add the Netherlands to the dominions of the British Crown—still claiming the kingdom of France. The Dutch, after the disgraceful behaviour of Leicester, were by no means minded to pursue their original invitation to Elizabeth to become queen over the Low Countries. Outraged at the treachery displayed by Elizabeth's generals, they resolved to lean on the House of Orange and its German connections, and to pursue an independent and even a rival course to that of England.

This divergence of paths between the people speaking two Low German dialects in the deltas of the Rhine and the Ems, and the people speaking another language of the same stock in Great Britain, Scotland and Eastern Ireland, was to culminate seventy years later in some of the toughest of our colonial fights, and reverberated to its last echo, it may be hoped, in the South African War of 1899-1902. James I. probably permitted rather than encouraged the foundation of a British

THE WARS OF THE EMPIRE, JUST AND UNJUST

Empire beyond the sea, firstly because it was difficult to check the impulses in that direction which had grown up under Elizabeth, partly because these enterprises were encouraged by his gallant eldest son, Prince Henry, who died untimely in 1612; and lastly, because the promoters of these colonial schemes had only to bribe James's favourites to get what charter they desired. James's own colonial or Mediterranean wars were unfortunate, and resulted in no advantage. He beheaded Raleigh to please Spain, and because Raleigh had discovered no gold or silver mines in Guiana.

Cromwell's first colonial war was with Holland. The effect of the massacre at Amboina in 1623 of a number of Englishmen and their followers—nine Englishmen, one Portuguese, nine Japanese, and about ninety Malays—in order that the Dutch might retain the monopoly of the spice trade, had taken some time to reach England, but had never been forgiven or forgotten. Internal troubles had prevented the exaction of any indemnity until the establishment of Cromwell's power in 1652.

Cromwell's Revenge on the Dutch

The Dutch had taken full advantage of the paralysis of England at home between 1630 and 1652, Prince Rupert aiding on behalf of Charles II. to chase British ships from the carrying trade in the Mediterranean, Atlantic and Indian Oceans.

They had, of course, added to their offences in Cromwell's eyes by receiving an envoy from Charles II. after the death of his father. Therefore, in 1651, the Commonwealth Parliament devised the extraordinary Navigation Act; which obliged all colonial or Indian produce to be carried to Great Britain in British ships only, or foreign goods to be brought in ships of the country producing those goods. Thus they dealt a severe blow at the Dutch mercantile marine, which had become the common carriers of the world.

They wished also to check the free use of British fisheries by the Dutch fishermen, and demanded as a royalty the tenth herring of every catch. They also required—which was less defensible—that the Dutch should salute the British Fleet first whenever the two squadrons met in the Channel. The results of the naval war which broke out in 1652 were very favourable to Britain, and the position of the British in the East Indies and on the east coast of North America

was materially strengthened. As regards Spain, which was covertly harassing the British settlers in the Bahamas and Leeward Islands, who for their frequent raids on Hispaniola and Jamaica no doubt deserved such reprisals, Cromwell sent an expedition, 1654-1655, under Admiral Penn—the father of the founder of Pennsylvania—and General Venables to Barbados. At this island they opened their sealed orders, and found they were to attack and occupy the large island of Hispaniola. Besides the 4,000 soldiers they had on board, they were to recruit a further force from among what we should nowadays call the convict settlers of Barbados, and were further to take up more fighting men at St. Christopher.

With 10,200 men they proceeded to attack the port of San Domingo in a most blundering fashion, and at length were beaten off by the Spaniards and the results of great sickness among their men. Ashamed—or, rather, afraid—to face Cromwell with no better results than this repulse, they proceeded to Jamaica, never very strongly garrisoned by Spain. Their seizure of the island, in May, 1655, met with but a feeble resistance on the part of the Spaniards. The folk who seemed most annoyed at the arrival of the British were the negro slaves of the Spaniards who had replaced the exterminated Arawak Indians, slaves probably brought to Jamaica originally in British vessels. These fled to the mountains, and long remained recalcitrant to British rule.

A small proportion of these descendants of the Spanish slaves claim still a certain independence and peculiar privileges of their own in the bush country of Eastern and Western Jamaica. The Spaniards nicknamed runaway negroes who took refuge in the interior mountain ranges "Cimarrones," from "Cima," a mountain peak. This term was shortened

and corrupted in West Indian English into "Maroons." This attack on a Spanish possession in a time of peace, and when a Spanish ambassador had been accredited to Cromwell and to the Parliament for the purpose of arriving at a settlement of all outstanding disagreements, and even of the conclusion of an alliance between the two nations, can only be described as a dishonourable and unscrupulous action which, if it had been committed against England

by Spain, British historians would never have ceased denouncing. As it is, I cannot find a word of disapproval in the work of any British historian; only expressions of regret that the drunken squabbles of the leaders of the expedition caused it to fail humiliatingly in the original purpose entertained by Cromwell—the conquest

England of Hispaniola. After this out-
at War rage Spain declared war. Crom-
With Spain well had already (1655-6) despatched a British fleet to the Mediterranean under Blake simultaneously with the expedition under Penn and Venables to the West Indies. Blake was to punish the Barbary rovers for their attacks on British shipping, and to strike terror into the courts of Tuscany and Rome for their having given harbourage to the recusant English war vessels, the remains of Charles I.'s navy, under Prince Rupert.

Blake threatened to bombard Leghorn, but finally agreed to accept from Rome and Tuscany an indemnity of £60,000. He then proceeded to Algiers, but the Turkish dey of that country promised reparation. The dey of Tunis refused satisfaction, so the castles of Goletta and Porto Farina were battered by Blake's artillery and the shipping they protected was destroyed. Tripoli was afterwards threatened, but submitted. Blake followed up the Spanish declaration of war in 1656 by blockading Cadiz and burning a Spanish treasure fleet at Santa Cruz (Teneriffe, Canary Islands). The alliance with France which followed the outbreak of war with Spain led to the capture and retention of Dunkirk by the English. Dunkirk was then a town of the Spanish Netherlands. In 1658 Charles II. sold the place to Louis XIV. for £200,000, which he spent on his mistresses.

In 1664-1667 the war with Holland was renewed, owing in part to Charles II. reviving the Navigation Act of the Commonwealth. But hostilities were further

Unofficial provoked by the unfriendly
Warfare in the attitude of the Dutch towards
Far East the newly founded Royal


African Chartered Company, which was attempting to establish itself on the Gold Coast in order to take a share in the slave traffic and in the export of gold. Out in the Far East, indeed, there was constant bickering between Dutch and English, and many a spell of "unofficial" warfare between their land or naval forces occurred sometimes when the two

nations were at peace with Europe. This went on until the latter part of the eighteenth century, and had for its general purpose the expulsion of the Dutch from Bengal and the driving away of the English from Ceylon and the Malay Archipelago. An example of one of these local wars was the arrival in 1759 of a Dutch flotilla in the Hugli to assist Mir Jafar to turn out the victorious English. Clive and Colonel Forde turned fiercely on the Dutch and captured or destroyed the whole flotilla. During the eighteenth century it was France rather than Holland that we had to fight for the extension of the British Empire in America, the Mediterranean, and India.

We made use of the War of the Spanish Succession at the beginning of the eighteenth century to seize Gibraltar and Minorca. The holding of Gibraltar had been once or twice suggested as the alternative to the surrender of Tangier in 1684, and the question of a secure harbour of refuge at the outlet of the Mediterranean had become more urgent to British naval policy after the defeat of Sir George

Gibraltar Rooke by the French off Cape
Captured by St. Vincent in 1693, and the
the British capture of the British merchant fleet from Turkey, and, later, during the subsequent operations of Admiral Russell off Cadiz. But the actual capture of Gibraltar was effected rather as a side issue, and not entirely by British valour.

In the third year, 1704, of the war, Sir George Rooke was despatched with a force of German and English soldiers under the Prince of Hesse Darmstadt to seize Barcelona. Here, however, they were repulsed by the Spaniards, who held the place for the Bourbon King Philip. They, therefore, sailed back towards England, but on their return surprised Gibraltar, which was not expecting any attack. The importance of Gibraltar was, at all events, not yet fully realised, though at the Peace of Utrecht, signed on April 11th, 1713, it was, together with Minorca, ceded to Great Britain by King Philip of Spain. Five years afterwards, the Prime Minister, Lord Stanhope, thought Gibraltar of no consequence, and proposed to retrocede it to Spain in order to pacify Cardinal Alberoni. Minorca, the second largest of the Balearic Islands, had been captured by an English force under General and Admiral



BRITAIN'S FIRST FOOTING IN CANADA: THE FRENCH SURRENDER OF QUEBEC

Making his first voyage to Canada in 1603, Samuel de Champlain founded Quebec in 1608, and subsequently became French governor of Canada. In 1629, he was compelled to surrender Quebec to British adventurers under Admiral Kirke, but the captured territory was restored to France, peace having been arrived at between the two countries.

From the drawing by R. Caton Woodville

Stanhope in 1708. It remained as a British possession till 1756, when it fell to a French attack after the defeat of Admiral Byng. At the peace of 1763 it was restored to Great Britain, again lost to the Spaniards in 1782, seized once more by British arms in 1798, and finally restored to Spanish rule in 1803, the British deciding to retain Malta

as an alternative "padlock" on the Mediterranean. The results of the War of the Spanish Succession—1702-1713—also strengthened the British position in the Hudson's Bay territories, Newfoundland, and in the West Indies; and by the Treaty of Utrecht the "Asiento" for the supply of slave labour to Spanish America seemed to the eager British to carry with it the right or the excuse to evade the jealous Spanish monopoly of trade with South America. On such a pretext as this the South Sea Company was founded to trade with the Pacific coasts of Spanish America.

But the powerful Prime Minister of Spain, Cardinal Alberoni, had no intentions of allowing this misreading of the rights obtained under the Asiento. His hostility was accentuated by the interference of George I., in 1718-1721, with the disputes between Spain and Austria as to the division and allotment of Italian territories. The ill-feeling smouldered for years, breaking out in 1727 into a four months' Spanish siege of Gibraltar, a siege which led to assistance being afforded to the British by Morocco, and to the beginning of friendly relations with that empire never since interrupted.

In 1739 war was definitely declared on Spain, the war of "Jenkins's ear," over the interpretation of the Asiento, and was not brought to a close until 1748. During this war—largely concerned as it was with the defence of the Netherlands and Rhineland against the ambitions of France, and the counter attempts of

France to restore the Stuart dynasty—no additions were made to the British Empire; but the raiding voyage of Commodore (afterwards Lord) Anson round the world again drew British attention to the possibilities of the Pacific containing unexplored lands of value.

The peace signed at Aix-la-Chapelle in 1748 was of brief duration. The territorial ambitions of France and Britain in North America were already becoming

acutely hostile. The quarrel really centred on a very important principle. Were the British settlers to be allowed by France to penetrate across the Ohio River, and thus break through the ring of French forts and claims of sovereignty stretching from the St. Lawrence to the Mississippi? If the British accepted this confinement, then Anglo-Saxon America would at most have been limited to a small portion of Eastern North America, and perhaps to Newfoundland, which had been ceded to Britain at the Peace of Utrecht in 1713; though it is doubtful whether the victory of the French (in a struggle which reached its climax in the British attack on Quebec in 1759) would not have ended in the eventual supremacy of France over the whole of North America.

This American war began unofficially in 1754 by skirmishes and serious fights, in which George Washington, at the age of twenty-one, was engaged, between British and French colonists and regular soldiers along the Ohio River; and by naval combats and raids between British and French naval forces off the coasts of Newfoundland and in the

British Channel. In those pre-telegraph days an unacknowledged state of war could continue, in a condition strongly resembling piracy, for more than a year before it was thought necessary to issue a formal declaration of belligerency.

This war, declared in 1756, lasted until it involved Spain, besides Prussia, Russia, and Austria, and became the "Seven Years War" of the "Family Compact." Its results, ratified by the Peace of Fontainebleau, or Paris, on February 10th, 1763, led to most momentous issues: to the establishment of a vast Anglo-Saxon North America—France only retained the two little islands off the Newfoundland coast and a small portion of Western Louisiana, and Spain gave up all territory east of the Mississippi—to the empire of British India through the victories of Clive and Eyre Coote; to the enlargement and consolidation of that Prussia which was to grow into the great modern empire of Germany; to the British acquisition of Senegal, which first turned our thoughts towards the Niger; and, lastly, to the beginnings of British Honduras and the acquisition of Dominica, St. Vincent, and Tobago in the West Indies. The Seven Years War, that began in

THE WARS OF THE EMPIRE, JUST AND UNJUST

1756, moreover, was remarkable for a fighting element on the British side which has never since been absent from our land forces in times of need—the Highland regiments, the “Berg-Schottische” that delighted and surprised the King of Prussia when they served with Hanoverian, Hessian, and Brunswick soldiers to defend the electoral dominions in Western Germany.

It was the idea of the great Pitt, derived from a suggestion made eighteen years earlier by a Scottish statesman, Duncan Forbes, to enlist in the British Army for foreign service warlike Highlanders, who only eleven years before had been invading England under Charles Edward. From this time forward dates the complete fusion of Scottish and English interests in the conquest and administration of the British Empire.

Attention should also be drawn to the very important part played in all our Imperial wars of the eighteenth century, from 1704 to the struggle with Napoleon, by the German soldiers taken into British pay. It must be remembered that in the early eighteenth century there was practically no standing army in Great Britain,

merely a militia. A good deal of British fighting was done at sea. Warfare was carried on in America much more by armed colonists than by means of imported British soldiers. Some thousands of British soldiers were enlisted for the wars carried on by Marlborough, the Duke of Cumberland, and George II. in Flanders and the Rhenish Provinces; but a large proportion, also, of the troops under British generals were Dutch, Hessians, Hanoverians, Westphalians, Brunswickers. Even under Queen Anne, Hessians, commanded by their own prince, were subsidised to do the work of the British Army; and we have already noticed that it was with a force of this kind, largely composed of Germans and commanded by the Prince of Hesse, that Gibraltar was captured. When George I. and II. were on the throne, German troops were not only employed with British subsidies to defend Hanover, but were imported into England, used in Ireland, and sent over to America, just as in the latter part of George III.'s reign they were employed to garrison South Africa. Men thus employed seldom returned to Germany. They usually married English or colonial wives, and, when disbanded, remained in or migrated to

British colonies, forming in time one of the best elements in the British Empire, physically and mentally.

In 1763, France ceded to Great Britain all the French possessions in North America except Louisiana. Canada was thus united to Newfoundland, the thirteen colonies of New England, and to the

Floridas. Three years afterwards, the Stamp Act was passed by the British Parliament. This assertion of the principle that Britain might tax her American colonies without their giving consent to such contributions either by elected representatives at Westminster, or at any provincial assembly of their own, produced serious disturbances in Massachusetts, New York, Virginia, and other of the New England “provinces”; and, although the Stamp Act was repealed in 1766, and in 1770 all the American Imperial import duties were removed, with the exception of the duty on tea, this last was insisted on in a way which brought the conflict between Mother Country and colonies to a head. A state of war with the colonials began in 1775 with the Battle of Lexington, near Boston.

France joined in this unhappy war in 1778, after the capitulation of Burgoyne's troops at Saratoga. French money, men, and the diversions caused by the French Navy, which took away from Great Britain several of the recently acquired Windward and Leeward Islands, ultimately decided the American struggle in favour of the colonial forces under George Washington, Gates, Sullivan, and Greene. But for the French, it is highly probable that Sir Henry Clinton, who succeeded Sir William Howe as chief in command of the British forces in North America, would eventually have got the better of the colonists, who lacked money, stores, and munitions of war. But the ultimate result would have been much the same. During the Napoleonic wars the United States, as they became from 1776, would probably have effected a completion of their independence, and might by then have won over the French Canadians, and not have left to Great Britain any foothold on the North American continent.

Spain, smarting from the losses she had sustained at the Peace of Paris in 1763, hastened to join France in attacking

**Britain's
Wars on Sea
and Land**

**The Mother
Country at War
With America**

**France and
Spain Against
England**

England over the American question. She devoted her efforts chiefly to the great siege of Gibraltar (1780-1782) and to recapturing Minorca, in neither of which enterprises she succeeded. Nevertheless, at the end of the war in 1782, England retroceded to Spain the Island of Minorca and the two Florida provinces in North

Dutch Jealousy of Britain

America, thus renouncing, in Florida, one of the most important gains of 1763. Russia showed marked unfriendliness in 1780, combining with Denmark and Sweden in the League of Armed Neutrality. Holland went farther and declared war. At this period the Dutch were much under French influence, and were bitterly jealous of the British successes in India.

The reply to the Dutch declaration of hostilities, besides the destruction of Dutch shipping in home waters, was the despatch in 1781 of a powerful squadron under Commodore Johnstone to seize the Cape of Good Hope. Owing to the treacherous communication of the British plans by a spy the French Government was enabled to forestall Johnstone. He was attacked at the Cape de Verde Islands by the great French Admiral Suffren, and his squadron was seriously crippled. Suffren then went on to South Africa, and landed men at Cape Town to assist in driving off the British, whose second attempt, in 1782, likewise failed.

After Lord Cornwallis had capitulated to the French and Americans at Yorktown in October, 1781, this war of seven years' duration drew to a close, and was concluded by the Peace of Versailles in January, 1783. It is true that during 1782 the siege of Gibraltar had been brilliantly terminated by the heroic bravery and enterprise of the besieged force under General Elliot (Lord Heathfield), and that Rodney had smashed the French fleet under De Grasse in the West Indies; but this war of the American revolt nevertheless imposed

A Set-back to the British Empire

severe losses and humiliations on the British Empire, and it is difficult to understand why the settlement at the Peace of Versailles is alluded to by British historians with complacency. As a matter of fact, it has been so far the most serious set-back that the empire has sustained. Besides the recognition of the independence of the thirteen states of New England, we retroceded the Floridas to Spain. We gave up Minorca; restored Senegal to

the French; abandoned all stipulations concerning the non-fortification of Dunkirk, and ceded to France the West India Islands of St. Lucia and Tobago, besides several posts in Eastern India.

In 1790-1794 there was nearly an outbreak of war with Spain over the question of Nootka Sound, Vancouver Island, in reality the question whether the British territories of Hudson's Bay and the Canadas should have a Pacific coast. Spain had already occupied California (called by Drake New Albion); Russia, under Catherine II., was establishing fur-trading stations in Alaska. Alaska was discovered in 1721 by the Danish navigator Behring, in the employ of the Russian Government.

The Emperor Paul, in 1799, issued a charter to a Russian fur-trading company to occupy Alaska. Spain was desirous of extending northwards along the Pacific coast until she met the Russian flag. She dreaded the proximity of the English. The expeditions of Cook in 1778, and of Vancouver in 1791-1792 excited her apprehensions, and perhaps for this reason as much as others she was willing, as soon as

Additions to Britain's Dominions

the first horror of the French Revolution was over, to join France in 1796 in the renewed war against Great Britain.

In 1793 was the beginning of those long Napoleonic wars which lasted, with the very brief interval of the Peace of Amiens, till 1815, and which enabled Great Britain to add to her dominions Heligoland, the Ionian Islands, Malta, Cape Colony, Mauritius, the Seychelles, Ceylon, Guiana, Trinidad, the remainder of the Windward Islands, and British Honduras; besides Minorca, Java and Sumatra, Senegal, the French West Indies and Cayenne, and the Island of Reunion; all of which were restored at the Peace of Amiens or at the Congress of Vienna.

Attempts to capture the Canary Islands, Uruguay and Buenos Ayres had failed, the last-named, undertaken in 1806-1808, causing much disappointment in England. The value of temperate South America as a horse and cattle-breeding country had already been appreciated. The monopolist policy of Spain had for generations disgusted and alienated the Spanish and Portuguese colonists, and it was believed that the road lay open for the creation, through Uruguay and Buenos Ayres, of a possible British empire over the non-Portuguese part of South America.



THE SURRENDER OF MAURITIUS TO THE BRITISH IN 1810

Formerly called the Isle of France, Mauritius was discovered by the Portuguese in 1507, it being at that time without inhabitants and unknown to Europeans. Its name was changed on coming into the possession of the Dutch in 1598; they abandoned it about a hundred years later to the French. The British captured it from the French in 1810, and when hostilities ceased, in 1814, the holding of the island by Britain was one of the provisions of the Treaty of Paris.

From the drawing by R. Caton Woodville

But though the South American Spaniards had been alienated from their selfish metropolis and its new Napoleonic dynasty, they were still sufficiently Roman Catholic to loathe the supremacy of a Protestant Power, of a nation which still oppressed its own Catholic subjects in England and Ireland. Therefore they showed such a

**Landmark
in British
History**

dogged resolve to resist to the death that in 1809 the British forces under General Whitelock finally abandoned the attempt to conquer the city of Buenos Ayres, and withdrew from South America, a result which covered Whitelock with altogether undeserved obloquy.

With these exceptions, by the end of the Napoleonic wars the outlines and starting points of the British Empire of to-day in America, Asia, Africa, and Oceania were pretty clearly indicated. From the fact that we have had no "colonial" war with any European or American Power since 1815, that date becomes an important landmark in the history of the British Empire; but to some extent in Imperial warfare the division between ancient and modern should rather be placed at 1763. Up to that period the share in the conquest and defence of the empire fell almost entirely on England and Wales, and more on the navy than on the army. After that date, first Scottish and then Irish soldiers took a notable part in the land warfare of Great Britain, while the Army as a whole began to play a great part in Imperial conquest and maintenance. Indeed, since 1815, the rôle of the Navy has been almost entirely a subordinate one, an unknown quantity.

It has been there to serve as a means of safe transport for the army and as a warning to other Powers not to interfere and not to transgress on British claims, and as an effective security against their attempting to do so. The Napoleonic wars, so far as Great Britain was concerned, began with the murder

**Britain
Envious of
the Dutch**

of Louis XVI., and with the ebullition of the French Republic and its propaganda outside the limits of France. But they were waged very soon for directly Imperial purposes. Statesmen of that time saw the enormous advantages Great Britain might derive from the general upset of affairs contingent on the French Revolution. The position of the Dutch had long excited British envy. Their attitude

towards us in Bengal, Java, and the Spice Islands had never been forgotten or forgiven. Their dogged tenacity and colonising genius in South Africa, which may some day be paralleled by the work of the Scottish planters in Nyassaland — the Scottish and Dutch are singularly alike — showed Great Britain of what vital importance Cape Colony might become to the Mistress of India as a half-way house for the provisioning and repair of squadrons and as a home for British emigrants.

The strength and the situation of Trincomali, in Ceylon, and the menace to India which it would prove in French hands decided the British to seize Ceylon in 1795-96. We also took possession then or later of the Dutch settlement in Java and Malaya. Our morality in these actions was no worse than that of the Dutch who, 200 years before, had taken advantage of poor little Portugal being in the grip of Spain to rob her of nearly all her oversea possessions, some of which the British sea-eagle has made the Dutch osprey disgorge, though they were once in the pouch of the Portuguese gannet. No colonial war has been waged

**Britain's long
Immunity from
Colonial Wars**

with a European Power since 1815. But war for the extension or maintenance of our empire has often been so close that ultimatums have been tendered, though subsequently replaced in diplomatic tail-pockets. Wars between France and Britain over colonial questions or ambitions in the Eastern Mediterranean or Pacific Ocean were very near in the 'forties of the nineteenth century. At that period, also, began an embittered feeling between the nascent power of the United States and successive British administrations relative to the growth of Canada and of British ambitions in North America. Several times the questions of the Oregon frontier and the amount of seaboard due to British Columbia brought us to a snarling match with the government at Washington.

There were also questions as to the northern frontier of Maine, which projects inconveniently into eastern Canada. The great Russian possession of Alaska was bought by the United States in 1867 more to annoy Great Britain than for any other reason, and long before the existence of Klondyke gold was suspected, or seal-skin jackets had become the reward of virtue or the solace of vice. But for the threats of the United States,

THE WARS OF THE EMPIRE, JUST AND UNJUST

Great Britain would now be in occupation of Haiti and a good deal of the disorderly republic of Venezuela. The Crimean War, as to the wisdom or unwisdom of which we cannot as yet pronounce a definite decision, was only slightly colonial, in the idea which prompted Great Britain to defend the rotten empire of the Turks.

The Turk was still the suzerain of Egypt, and Egypt, through the British-established overland route, was becoming the main road to India. What, in those days of absolute non-scruple regarding "native" rights, withheld Great Britain from accepting the proposal of the Emperor Nicholas that she should annex Crete and Egypt, and in return offer no objection to a Russian occupation of Constantinople, it is difficult to understand; unless statesmen of those days were so far-sighted, an assumption which it is not easy to deduce from their memoirs, as to feel that the abandonment of Constantinople to Russia would mean a future overwhelming impact of the Russians against the British Empire in India. It may have been an impression that France would resist à outrance a British Egypt. Yet, not long afterwards, the Emperor Napoleon himself proposed that France should occupy Morocco, Sardinia (Italy) should take Tunis, and England Egypt. Neither can this reluctance be ascribed to a period of Imperial lassitude, for whilst Russia was suggesting the division of the Turkish Empire Britain was absorbing vast territories further east.

In the opinion of the writer, the general policy of the Crimean War was right, so far as any war can be right, since it imposed a pause on European ambitions. Both Turkey and Egypt obtained a respite, during which, under wiser sovereigns, these important Mohammedan states might have developed firm and progressive governments. Probably we shall one day see Constantinople the capital of a free and civilised Balkan confederation, in which the Turk, regenerated in his civil estate, will play a leading part, in close alliance with the Bulgarian, Roumanian, and Greek states—a new quadruple alliance whose compact strength will contribute to the maintenance of the world's peace and the restoration of civilisation to the lands of the Macedonian and Byzantine Empires. There

was some menace of trouble with Spain towards the close of the 'fifties over the question of Morocco, which had just been invaded by a Spanish army (1859). Great Britain for a long time regarded Morocco as a possible protectorate, and as a means of controlling access to and egress from the Mediterranean. During

Britain's Preparations for War the 'sixties of the last century, when the Suez Canal was, in spite of the predictions of the late Lord Palmerston, approaching achievement, the British Government wobbled between a policy that should keep Spain and France out of Morocco and one which should give Great Britain a definite share in the control of Egypt.

The next menace of war on Imperial causes was again with Russia, when the internal disorders of the Turkish Empire furnished a pretext for the Russo-Turkish War. A seriously directed Russian attempt to occupy Constantinople would certainly have precipitated a fight in 1878. As it was, the Russians, the collapse of whose military power against Japan was foreshadowed by their defects of army organisation in 1877-1878, drew back from a struggle in which they would have had no ally, and Great Britain received as compensation for the £6,000,000 sterling she had spent in war preparations the lease of Cyprus, and a vague protectorate over Asia Minor, which she subsequently abandoned.

Again, in 1884-1885, the danger of war with Russia arose, this time over the safety of the Indian Empire. This was the slow-match of Russia's revenge for her enforced departure from Constantinople. The great success, administrative more than military, which had attended the extension of the Russian power over the Mohammedan sultanates in Central Asia inspired ambitious Russian soldiers with the belief that they might similarly lay hands on Afghanistan, and from this point

Extension of Russian Power of vantage win over the people of India to a preference for the supposed easy-going Russian as a ruler in place of the vexatiously interfering, moralising, educating Britisher. But Russia's belief and interest in the matter were half-hearted. Already, in 1885, her ambitions were returning towards Asia Minor and extending over Tibet and the Chinese Empire. Famines and plagues had begun to take the gilt off the Indian gingerbread.

Russia was so splendidly unattackable over the matter of the Central Asian khanates that she worried Indian officials about Afghanistan more *pour le plaisir du taquinage* than for any greater purposes. Moreover, she was already feeling her way towards a French alliance, and knew that this annoying intervention in Afghanistan

Great Britain's Differences with France would effectually stop the immediate reconquest of the Egyptian Sudan. From the close of the 'eighties of

the last century British relations with France in regard to Egypt, the extension of French domination over Nigeria, and French aggression on Siam, brought us almost to the deliverance of an ultimatum in 1893.

We were probably then nearer to war with France over Imperial questions than even some five years later over the question of Fashoda. France, however, knew better than to go to war with Great Britain over affairs on which we were always ready to compromise. She knew that she had no chance against the British Fleet. On the other hand, she was equally aware that since 1884 a new factor had come into the colonial field—that Great Britain nourished a deep-seated dislike to Germany for having ousted her from the Kameruns, taken Damaraland under her very nose, and snatched at other portions of South Africa; wrested from Great Britain a vast East African dominion, previously controlled by the potent personality of Sir John Kirk, founded a German state on the flank of the Gold Coast; threatened the Lower Niger; and occupied or bombarded Pacific archipelagoes which were only not British because we had not thought it worth while to hoist the flag. France knew that Great Britain did not wish to push her too far, lest a Franco-German alliance should menace the British position in Egypt.

So, between 1893 and 1899, France gave in on this point, and on that principle, and Britain surrendered some undefined claim, swallowed some disappointment, or abandoned a vague project. All danger of a conflict between the two Powers on questions of colonial policy disappeared with the withdrawal of Marchand from Fashoda, and the dropping of any intention on the part of Great Britain to maintain the independence of Morocco.

All things considered, Great Britain had got the better of Germany over the rush for empire in East and Central Africa.

Bismarck had indicated the 11th parallel of south latitude as the *ne plus ultra* of British extension from the Cape northwards, and he or his successors had hoped to secure Uganda and much of the Congo State for German expansion. This and that rapprochement, this and that consideration, not forgetting the serious Arab revolution in German East Africa, checked the German lust of empire over savages.

But as the German mind ruminated over the distribution of the spoil which followed the great European rush for Africa, a bitter feeling was engendered against the British. Partly to humour this, partly with an idea that it might lead to something, German Imperial policy dallied with a Boer alliance. It was felt instinctively that under their skins, Boer and North-west German are singularly alike. If the Boers could not stand alone against England, they might throw in their lot with the future of Germany, and become the nucleus of a great German-speaking dominion in the south of Africa. Hence the intrigues with the Transvaal which provoked the foolish Jameson Raid on the

Germany's Imperial Policy part of the passionate Rhodes, and in turn the rash telegram of the German Emperor. But it is doubtful, if all the secrets of

the chancelleries were known, whether there has been any serious menace of war with Germany over colonial questions since 1890, so far as the direct interests of Great Britain are concerned. There has been much more danger of an Anglo-German conflict over the position of France. Britain, in order to settle herself definitely in Egypt, "gave" Morocco to France, in the calm way in which we nations of higher culture, and consequently greater power, direct the fortunes of the backward or savage peoples. Germany at that time (1904) was giving her Imperial policy an altogether different bent.

Disappointed of dominion over Africa, choked off the conquest of China by the uprising of Japan, temporarily diverted from American enterprise by the ominous hints of the United States, she decided that the line of least resistance lay in the direction of the Balkan Peninsula, Constantinople, Asia Minor, and the Persian Gulf. For the moment, owing to the outcome of the war with Japan, Russia was helpless. France and Britain—France, for some reason, most of all—barred the way to Constantinople. Italy viewed with

THE WARS OF THE EMPIRE, JUST AND UNJUST

marked disfavour the unavowed German scheme, the *Drang nach Osten*. France was the pivot of this new alliance for the temporary preservation of the Turkish Empire. France was the easiest hit at. Thence arose the emperor's visit to Tangier, the open threat to France, and the nearest approach as yet in history to an armed conflict by land and sea between the forces of Great Britain and those of the German Empire, allied certainly with Austria-Hungary. This happily averted struggle would have been a colonial war, for it would have originated in the Egyptian question.

As regards Russia, it is doubtful whether we have ever been on the verge of war with her over Imperial interests since the Afghan settlement of 1885. We were annoyed, exasperated, bothered by the Russian designs on Northern and Western China. But had those designs been pushed to annexation of Chinese territory, and had Japan been powerless to resist, we

might have preferred to indemnify ourselves by the occupation of Tibet and a protectorate over Central China rather than by going to war with Russia. It was Germany, to a very great extent, that nipped in the bud our plans in regard to Tibet, and perhaps most of all as regards Central China.

It was by no means certain whether, in spite of our benevolent neutrality during the Spanish War, the United States would have given us any backing in regard to Chinese protectorates or spheres of influence. Consequently, finding this policy led to danger, the British Government revived the idea already suggested by Lord Rosebery of an alliance with Japan as a means of holding Russia in check and preserving the balance of power in China.

The outcome of the Japanese alliance may have momentous results, not, perhaps, in all directions palatable to Great Britain. These, however, are best discussed under another heading.



PIONEERS OF EMPIRE: THE HOME OF A BRITISH SETTLER IN THE SOUTH SEA.



LORD ROBERTS CROSSING THE ZAMBURAK KOTAL IN HIS FAMOUS MARCH FROM KABUL TO KANDAHAR IN 1880
From the painting by Louis Desingey



BRITISH CONQUESTS IN THE EAST

EXPANSION OF THE INDIAN EMPIRE AND THE OPIUM WAR WITH CHINA

WE have so far dealt with the wars undertaken against or narrowly averted with nations of white men in connection with British imperial interests. Wars of conquest waged with races that were black or yellow have been numerous since the middle of the eighteenth century. The wars with other Europeans were unmoral rather than just or unjust. Both parties quarrelled about the property of a third party, or lands that belonged to nobody worth consideration.

But the imperial wars waged in Africa and Asia have often been unjust, though there were instances of doing evil in order that presumed good might follow. On the American continent and in Australia the population has been too little in opposition to the incoming British settlers to have provoked any conflict worthy of record as a "war"; but the case has been otherwise in New Zealand and some parts of India, Burma, China, and South Africa.

Putting aside the conflicts of colonists with American Indians in Eastern-north America, our first imperial war with non-Europeans and non-Christians was the conflict against the Moors round Tangier conducted by British regiments, in the reign of Charles II. This fighting, however, was not altogether unjust. The Portuguese, two and a half centuries before, had taken Tangier from the

Moors, and transferred it by arrangement to Great Britain, probably because if Portugal had not done so the Moors would have taken it from her, as they had taken other Portuguese posts on the Atlantic coast of Morocco. Seeing, however, that our position in Morocco could only be maintained as the outcome of a practical conquest of that state, the British withdrew from the struggle and

surrendered Tangier to the Moors; and although they afterwards indemnified themselves by snatching Gibraltar from Spain, still, there is no unjust war to be laid to our charge in Morocco. The next fighting with native peoples of non-European race took place in India seventy

years afterwards. Here our merchants found themselves in the most splendid, thickly inhabited part of Asia. China

in her best provinces might vie with India in density of population, and in her total sum of inhabitants; but the glory of China was pale before the art, the science, the history of India, and its magnificent physical endowments of fauna and flora. India should be placed first in the list of the world's countries, for she is almost certainly the birthplace of man.

But the India of the middle eighteenth century was an empire to be had for the taking. The Mohammedan power, which had begun with the irruption of Arabs, Afghans, and Tartars in the eighth and eleventh centuries, had crumbled to feebleness. The power of non-Mohammedan peoples and principalities had revived. There was no universal national spirit in India. Each big or petty prince was as ready to ally himself with the power of the European for his own advantage as, in the days before 1870, each kingdom, duchy or principality of Germany was ready to take part with France against the power of Prussia or Austria. The wars waged in India by the East India Company during the eighteenth and the first half of the nineteenth centuries were in a measure wars waged with Indians against Indians.

As Sir William Hunter remarks in his great work on "the Indian Empire," the British won India, not from the Mohammedans—the Mogul dynasty—but from

**Tangier
Transferred
to Britain**

**India the
Birthplace
of Man**

the Hindus." In the early part of the eighteenth century the Mogul Empire, founded by the House of Timur, the Tartar, in 1526, was falling to pieces under the attacks of the reviving Hindu power. Though Arabs, and soon afterwards Afghans, had invaded North-west India between 711 and 828, Mohammedan rule

Revival of Hindu Power

over Northern India did not begin until the year 1000. For five hundred years afterwards there were constant compromises with the many millions of Hindus, whose religion co-existed valiantly alongside militant Mohammedanism. Down to the establishment of universal British domination, there remained Hindu kingdoms and dynasties which had never been conquered or ousted by the Afghans or the Moguls.

But in the middle of the seventeenth century a very definite revival of the Hindu power began in South-west India, in the hilly country to the south and west of Bombay. This was the confederation of sturdy Hindu peasant farmers, cavalry armed with spears, to be known subsequently as the "Mahrattas," apparently a corruption and shortening of Maharashtra. The Mahrattas' power was built up by a succession of warrior kings beginning with the great Rajput adventurer Sivaji. The power of this dynasty over the whole Mahratta confederation passed, early in the eighteenth century, into the hands of a Brahman prime minister—the Peshwa—and became hereditary in this form.

The French, under Dumas and Dupleix, governors of the French settlement of Pondichery on the coast of South-east India, had started the idea of interfering in the internal wars of nizams and nawabs, rajahs and wazirs. This had been carried on with such success by Dupleix himself, and by the Marquess de Bussy, that a considerable tract of Eastern India between Bengal and Madras had been made over to the French by the Nizam of Haidarabad,

India Free from the French

and the French had become the dominant power in Deccan and Southern India. But by 1761, in consequence of the brilliant military operations of Robert Clive, Colonel Forde, and Sir Eyre Coote, and the extraordinary lack of support afforded to their agents by the French Government, there was scarcely a French flag flying over any portion of India. Although at the Peace of Fontainebleau (1763) the sites of Pondichery, Chanderna-

gore, and two or three other trading stations were restored to France, after 1761 she had ceased to count seriously as an Indian power. The British were now face to face with the crumbling Mogul Empire—itself in the throes of a death-struggle with the new Mahratta power and its independent or semi-independent Mohammedan feudatory states, no other European nation intervening. Prominent among these independent Moslem princes, the descendants of former governors, or wazirs; under the Moguls, was the Nawab of Bengal, Suraj-ud-Daulah.

He succeeded his grandfather in 1756, and immediately afterwards quarrelled with the English of the Calcutta settlement. His capture of Calcutta, in 1756, and the episode of the "Black Hole" need not be further described here. Calcutta was recovered by Clive soon afterwards. Clive had first distinguished himself—in 1751—in surprising and afterwards defending Arcot, a native stronghold in the Madras Presidency. The series of surprising bold actions in Southern India on the part of the British had for result the complete

British Empire in India

breakdown of the French career of conquests. War having been already declared against France, Clive proceeded up country and seized the French post of Chandernagar. This action led to Suraj-ud-Daulah and the French making common cause. At the Battle of Plassey, in 1757, Clive, with 1,000 British troops, 2,000 sepoys, and eight guns, defeated the army of the nawab, which consisted of 35,000 infantry, 15,000 cavalry, and 50 cannon. Moreover, Suraj-ud-Daulah had with him some fifty French artillerymen.

This victory founded the British empire over India. After several other fights with the French and Dutch, and a series of battles with the nawab's forces, terminating with the decisive victory of Sir Hector Munro at Baxar in 1794, Clive was able to bring a good deal less than a quarter of India under British control, direct or indirect. In 1765 he became governor of Bengal, and took the Mogul emperor under the chartered company's protection.

Warren Hastings, who succeeded Clive as governor-general, lent British troops to a British ally, the wazir of Oudh, in order to check the invasions of the Rohilla Afghans, who were attempting to intrigue with the Mahrattas against the Mogul emperor and his feudatories.

BRITISH CONQUESTS IN THE EAST

British interference from Bombay in Mahratta affairs—the promotion of a British candidate for the throne of the Peshwa—precipitated the first struggle with the Mahrattas. This began in 1778 with Goddard's brilliant march across India from Bengal to Gujerat, which province the last home of the lion, he conquered almost without fighting. One of his subordinate officers, Captain Popham, captured brilliantly the rock fortress of Gwalior, which was restored finally to the native prince, Sindhia, in 1886. In the following year, 1779, the British forces were defeated at Wargaoon, and the first Mahratta War ended with the mutual restoration of all conquests, except Salsette and Elephanta Island, both near Bombay, which were retained by the British.

The two powerful Mohammedan states of the Deccan and Southern India Haidarabad and Mysore, next assumed a hostile attitude towards the aggressive British. Warren Hastings managed to detach the Nizam of Haidarabad and minor Hindu princes from this league, and the British strength was mainly directed against Haidar Ali of Mysore.

Napoleon's Scheme to Seize Egypt

whose son, Tippu Sahib, was to prove one of our most formidable enemies in India. The Mysore army had conquered nearly all the British establishments in South-eastern India, except the actual town of Madras; but by persistent fighting all these possessions were won back by 1784. The second Mysore War began in 1790, conducted by Lord Cornwallis. By this time diplomacy had arrayed on the side of the British the important forces of the Nizam and of the Mahratta confederation. Tippu Sahib, therefore, was partially conquered, and his kingdom was reduced by one-half.

He was also made to pay a war indemnity of £3,000,000. Enraged at this, he commenced a correspondence with the French Government, and his letters inspired Napoleon with the idea of seizing Egypt and attacking the British in India. The naval exploits of Nelson ruined that scheme, and in 1799 the British, under the Governor-General, Lord Mornington (Marquess Wellesley) and General (Lord) Harris fell on the isolated Tippu and captured his last fortress, Seringapatam, in the defence of which Tippu was killed. The second Mahratta War, of 1802–1804, resulted, through the victories of Sir Arthur Wellesley (afterwards the Duke of

Wellington) at Assaye and Argaum, in the Deccan, and those of Lord Lake at Aligarh and Laswari, in the removal of the Mogul emperor from the control of the Mahratta confederation to that of the East India Company, in the British control over Delhi and the North-west Provinces, and in enormous territorial gains in Eastern India.

Britain's Wars in India Unfortunately, it was followed by a disastrous retreat of the British forces and a repulse of Lord Lake at Bhartpur, during the war with Holkar, a member of the Mahratta confederacy, in 1804–1805. The Gurka or Nepalese Wars of 1814–1815 ended by a peace being signed after the victories of General Ochterlony, near the capital, Khatmandu, the terms of which confined the Gurkas to their present territory, recognised the British control over Sikkim, and secured for the Indian administration the hill stations of Simla and other Himalayan tracts, and the faithful alliance of the Nepalese people.

In Central India robber bands, rising here and there to the dignity of predatory states and known as the Pindaris, were ruining settled commerce and agriculture by their raids. They were partly formed by the debris of the Mogul Empire, and were to some extent supported by the Mahratta confederacy in their guerrilla warfare. They were finally crushed, and their leaders killed, imprisoned, or won over to allegiance by an army of 120,000 men wisely collected by the Governor-General, Lord Moira, Marquess of Hastings.

The reason for this overpowering force was the threatening aspect of the Mahratta confederacy. This attitude resolved itself into a rising—the third and last Mahratta War—in 1817. The Battle of Mehidpur (1817) and the magnificent defence of the sepoy garrison of Sitabaldi enabled the British administration to break up, once and for all, the Mahratta confederacy, and to make territorial arrangements

Mahratta Confederacy Broken up in the Bombay Presidency and in Central India, which have lasted to this day. The Peshwa, or president, of this great Hindu league surrendered and went to live near Cawnpore on a pension of £80,000 a year. His adopted son was the notorious Nana Sahib, who, in the Indian Mutiny of 1857, avenged on the bodies of English women and children the rage and disappointment he felt at not being allowed to succeed to all the

emoluments and privileges of his patron and adoptive father. Coincidentally with the rise of the British power in India proper, the Indian or Burmese states of Assam, Chittagong, Ava, Bhamma, Arakan, Pegu, and Tenasserim had come under the supreme control of the new Burmese dynasty of the Alaung-paya

The Two Burmese Wars

(Alompra). Elated with his victories over quasi-Hindu states like Assam and Tipperah, the Burmese monarch of Mandalay permitted or encouraged his soldiers or subsidiary chiefs to raid into territories more distinctly British. The eventual results were the first Burmese War of 1824-1826, followed by the annexation of Assam, Chittagong, Arakan, Tavoy, Mergui, and Tenasserim; and the second Burmese War, of 1852, which further added to the Indian Empire the delta of the Irawadi, leaving only to native rule two provinces of the short-lived Burmese Empire—Upper and Lower Burma.

In 1839 took place the first invasion of Afghanistan. On the face of it this action on the part of Lord Auckland might seem foolhardy and a reckless courting of needless difficulties, except that Britain, ever since she became responsible for the maintenance of peace in India, has been forced at intervals to oppose the Afghans, from Warren Hastings' loan of British troops to attack the Rohillas in 1773 to the Mohammed border warfare of 1908. Lord Auckland endeavoured to place a prince—Shah Shuja—friendly to the British on the throne of Afghanistan, because the usurping ruler of that country, Dost Mohammed, was endeavouring to regain Peshawar, then in the power of the Sikhs, and was entertaining suspicious relations with Russia and Persia.

The installation of Shah Shuja in 1839, after several battles, in which the British were successful, meant the garrisoning of Jellalabad, Kabul, and Kandahar by British troops. Two years

Disaster to British Forces

later two of the principal British political officers were assassinated, the Kabul garrison attempted to retreat, and 4,000 British and Indian soldiers with 12,000 camp-followers perished.

Only one survived to reach the garrison of Jellalabad. The British women and children and a few sick officers had been detained as hostages by the Afghans, and, on the whole, well treated.

This disaster was avenged by the remarkable marches across Afghanistan of Generals Pollock, Nott, and England. Coming respectively from Jellalabad and Kandahar, they met at Kabul, and there blew up the bazaar and recovered the prisoners. They afterwards left Afghanistan to its own devices and the rule of Dost Mohammed. In the following year, 1843, Sind was conquered by Sir Charles Napier, the crucial battle being that of Miani, in which a British force of 2,600 men defeated 22,000 Baluchis. The battle of Miani was a glory to the British arms and the discipline of the Indian army.

The little force under Sir Charles Napier consisted of 400 British soldiers—mainly Irish—of the 22nd Regiment under Colonel Pennefather. The 2,200 Indian troops included some Bengal cavalry. The bayonet in the strong arms of the Irish, the magnificent ride of the Indian cavalry against the cannon of the Sindi army, the accuracy of the British artillery, and Sir Charles Napier won the day against an enemy of almost dauntless bravery. In 1845, the Sikhs, governed by a committee of generals since the death of Ranjit

The Great Mutiny of the Indian Army

Singh, annoyed at the British annexation of Sind, crossed the Sutlej and invaded British India. They were defeated in the bloody battles of Mudki, Ferozshah, Aliwal, and finally Sobraon. A British protectorate over the Punjab followed. But, two years later, the Sikhs rose again, and the second Sikh War began with the terrible Battle of Chillianwalla, in which the British lost 2,400 officers and men, the colours of three regiments, and four guns. But less than a month later the conclusive victory of Gujerat destroyed the Sikh army and made it possible to annex the Punjab.

In 1857 broke out the great mutiny of the Indian army. In 1806 a mutiny of the native troops had occurred at Vellore in the Madras Presidency, which had commenced with a terrible slaughter of British soldiers, had been suppressed with the sternest reprisals, while discontent was afterwards appeased by concessions. The effects of this rising had been to some extent neutralised by disbanding the more tainted portions of the Madras army. In 1824 another mutiny nearly broke out in Bengal over the first Burmese War. The Hindu soldiers declared it would break their caste

BRITISH CONQUESTS IN THE EAST

to cross the open sea, and eventually the difficulty had to be compounded by marching them all the way round by the northern shores of the Bay of Bengal. It is not necessary here to review all the causes of the great mutiny of 1857-1858, which for a time partially extinguished British garrisons and power in the kingdom of Oudh and in a portion of North-central India.

It was in the main an insurrection of angry soldiers, who had some real and some imaginary grievances. But it was conjoined with the fury of the dispossessed princes or princesses and nobles of Oudh and Jhansi and the treacherous enmity of the adopted son of the last peshwa of the Mahrattas, Nana Sahib. Also there was much Mohammedan fanaticism and regret for vanished glories at the court of the aged Mogul Emperor at Delhi.

The credit for the military operations which suppressed the mutiny, and the dangerous national rising which it was beginning to create, lies with Sir Henry Lawrence, who defended the Residency at Lucknow, and so detained the rebel forces of Oudh; Sir Henry Havelock and Sir

Heroes of the Indian Mutiny James Outram, who saved the slender garrison after Lawrence's death; Sir Colin Campbell (Lord Clyde), who rescued the Lucknow forces under Havelock and Outram and finished the reconquest of Oudh and Rohilkund; Nicholson, the never-to-be-forgotten hero of the siege of Delhi; and Sir Hugh Rose (Lord Strathnairn), who defeated the principal native general of the mutiny, Tantia Topi, who recaptured Jhansi and who finished the insurrection in April, 1859, in the wildest jungles of Central India. Probably the greatest of all these dauntless soldiers, and certainly the most picturesque, was John Nicholson, of Delhi.

Nothing has so much justified the abnormality of India being governed by a hundred thousand warriors and officials from islands five thousand miles away in the North Sea as the conduct of the British soldiers of all ranks, the British officials, from governor-general to Eurasian telegraph clerk, during the stress of the Indian Mutiny. One may at this distance of time see and regret the stupid blunders that provoked the mutiny, and put one's finger to a nicety on the precise measures which might have nipped the mutiny in the bud; but once the catastrophe has occurred, one can only marvel

at the qualities of officers and men in that heroic handful of British troops which twice relieved a Lucknow besieged by thousands of well-armed fanatics; in those 8,000 men that fought their way inch by inch through the high, red walls and narrow lanes of a murderous Delhi defended by 30,000 desperate, drug-maddened sepoys,

Loyalty of the Sikh Soldiers better trained in the actual arts of war, perhaps, than the ill-educated English, Welsh, Scottish, and Irish soldiery who, by sheer force of character and strength of arm, became their conquerors. But in reviewing the history of this time of stress one must admit it was not only men born in the British Isles that crushed a revolt of savage sepoys and frantic people.

India might have been temporarily lost to us but for the co-operation of the splendid Sikh soldiers, men whose valour to the British cause was in no way inferior to the heroic behaviour of the British soldiers on their mettle. We received the loyal assistance of the great Mohammedan kingdom of Haidarabad, which had the effect of keeping Southern India out of the area of disturbance. At the same time the independent state of Nepal sent a force of Ghurkas, under Sir Jung Bahadur, to assist in restoring order in Northern India. A small war with the Himalayan state of Bhutan took place in 1864. With that exception, there was peace in India until 1878. Then once more the affairs of Afghanistan compelled attention.

Russia had despatched a mission to that country, which had been received with ostentatious honour. To have acquiesced in this situation would have been to give tacit permission to Russia to win over the country of Afghanistan to her influence, to make of it, perhaps, a vantage-point from which the invasion of India might be attempted with the Afghans as allies. Britain had nothing to offer Afghanistan

Evils of Afghan Raids but the somewhat barren privilege of isolated independence in a sterile land, with a climate of ferocious extremes.

The British arm had been interposed ever since 1773 to shield India from those devastating Afghan raids which have inflicted deep and shocking wounds on her civilisation since the days of Mahmud of Ghazni. Gradually, by British diplomacy or feats of arms, Afghan rule was pushed back across the Hindu Kush and the Suleiman Hills.

And there it would have been left unmolested but for Russian ambitions turning India-wards in the thirties of the last century. In 1878 a British army entered Afghanistan and rapidly occupied Kandahar and the roads leading to Kabul. Sher Ali, the amir, fled to Turkestan and died. His son was recognised by us in his

Afghanistan Under British Protection

stead, after a treaty, which practically placed Afghanistan under British protection. But the history of 1839-41 repeated itself almost exactly, except for the disastrous retreat. The British Envoy and Resident at Kabul, Sir Louis Cavagnari, and his insufficient escort were attacked and massacred, Sir Frederick (Lord) Roberts occupied Kabul with a British army, and the new amir, Yakub Khan, abdicated.

Abd-ur-Rahman was then recognised as amir over two-thirds of Afghanistan, and the remainder, with Kandahar as a capital, was erected into a separate state. But in 1880 a severe defeat was inflicted on a British force at Maiwand, between Kandahar and the Halmand river, by Ayub Khan, a younger son of Sher Ali, and an Afghan prince who in this contest played the part of national hero better than the Russian pensioner, Abd-ur-Rahman.

The position of the British in Afghanistan in 1880 was retrieved by the splendid march of Lord Roberts from Kabul to Kandahar, which led to the total rout of Ayub Khan's army outside the precincts of Kandahar. This place was subsequently abandoned by the British and reoccupied by Ayub Khan. Then followed a conflict between Abd-ur-Rahman and Ayub, which left the former master of Afghanistan until his death, in 1901, and led to Ayub's honourable captivity in India.

In 1885 the last Burmese War took place. It was really the advance of a very strong expedition under General Prendergast up the Irawadi River to

Rising of the Dacoits

Mandalay, which met with no opposition worth noting. The real Burmese War broke out afterwards in a prolonged and gallant resistance to British occupation on the part of the so-called "dacoits"—bands of irregulars commanded or inspired by Burmese nobles or princes. The distinct tribes of the Kachins and Shans took part in the four years of desultory fighting, which scarcely came to an end until 1889. The feeling of unrest produced in this

region led to an outbreak in 1891 in the adjoining state of Manipur, which was put down without much difficulty. In 1888 an expedition had to be sent against the Hazara Pathans to the north of Peshawar; and in the same year British authority was asserted over the important little state of Sikkim, which separates Nepal from Bhutan, which has been under British influence and protection since 1815, and which the Tibetans—inspired, perhaps, both by Russia and China—were endeavouring to conquer.

The definition of the frontiers between British India and Afghanistan in 1893 and the enforcement of its results amongst the turbulent border tribes led to the protracted Tirah campaign (1895-1898) against the Waziri, Swati, Mohmand, and Afridi tribes, and the clans of the Zhob valley between Quetta and the Indus. There was also some fighting in the north-west of Kashmir (Ghilghit and Chitral). Kashmir is an important country in whose government the British had taken a more direct interest since the approximate settlement of the various frontier questions of Afghanistan, Russia, Chinese Turkestan, and Tibet. In this campaign, the work of which is only half-finished, the British lost 1,050 men killed and missing, not to mention over 1,500 wounded; while the cost amounted to over £3,000,000. The prosecution of this frontier war was accompanied or preceded by some ominous signs of disaffection amongst the peoples of North-west India.

Russia had again been intriguing with religious notabilities in Tibet at the beginning of the twentieth century, partly, no doubt, to embarrass Britain, whose alliance with Japan—projected or accomplished—was barring her way in China. It was decided, rightly or wrongly, to put an end to these anxieties which form a pendant to those of Afghanistan, and to force on Tibet the assumption of intimate diplomatic relations with British India not far removed from a protectorate—China, the recognised suzerain, being unable or unwilling to restrain the Tibetans from entering into relations with Russia.

The expedition of 1904 started in March, and was obliged to fight its way, more or less, to Lhasa, which was entered on August 3rd, 1904. Here a treaty was made, fixing a war indemnity, arranging for future commercial intercourse, and

giving some recognition to British rights over the Chumbi valley, which projects into British India as a wedge between Bhutan and Sikkim. The British Government decided to submit this treaty to the sanction of the Chinese Government, and the latter, incited by the German Minister at the court of Peking, refused to agree to the conditions imposed on the Tibetans. Practically no results remain of the costly expedition to Lhasa, except a thoroughly accurate geographical survey of Southern Tibet. A treaty has been recognised by China, but it is a colourless document. To some extent, however, the Tibetan question has been settled for a long time to come by the 1907 convention with Russia. If this convention is faithfully adhered to, it will obviate any danger to India from the direction of Tibet.

In the year 1908 frontier warfare was resumed on the Afghan borders with the Zakka Khels on the south-west, and the Mohammedans on the north-east, both sections of hostile mountaineers being aided unofficially by an Afghanistan no longer efficiently controlled by the firm hand of an Abd-ur-Rahman Khan, but influenced by the fanatical dislike to the European conceived by the younger brother of the present amir, Nasir-Ullah Khan. To some extent Afghan hostility has been neutralised by the recent Anglo-Russian Convention, and a war with Afghanistan, followed by a permanent conquest of that land, which has been the source of so much woe to India, would present no serious difficulty to the Indian Government if the policy was one that commended itself to the views of the intelligent majority of Indian Mohammedans, who, if they read accurate history and profit by its lessons, must by this time be weary of Afghan treachery and rapacity.

Passing outside the political limits of the Indian Empire, the other wars in Asia undertaken by the British Government against native powers may be noted as follows. In 1838 an armed demonstration against Persia—by the despatch of a British expedition to the Persian Gulf—was rendered necessary because of an attempt on the part of the Persians to take Herat. For the same reason, in 1856, Great Britain declared war on Persia, and seized several ports on the Persian Gulf until the restitution of Herat to Afghanistan was effected. The reason of these stern measures was

that Herat was believed to be the key of India, and Persia was regarded as being merely the stalking horse of Russia. All these anxieties have been set at rest by the Anglo-Russian Convention; the British sphere in Persia suffices to maintain an orderly control over the Persian Gulf. Between 1795 and 1801 the island of Ceylon, so far as its coastal regions were

The British Occupation of Ceylon Great Britain as a war prize taken from Holland, a country then in the possession of France.

The British had been partly assisted in these operations by the forces of the king of Kandy, the representative of the extremely ancient Singalese dynasty. This monarch, however, died in 1800 without leaving direct issue.

Interior Ceylon was, like so many Oriental countries, really governed by a powerful Minister, the *adigar*. The British governor of the coast districts interfered in the matter of the succession with a view to securing substantial advantages for his own Government. An expedition to Kandy was undertaken, and a small garrison left at that capital—200 British troops and 500 Malays, under the command of Major Davie. But in those days the climate of the forest regions of Ceylon was extremely unhealthy to Europeans, and the bulk of Major Davie's English soldiers were incapacitated by sickness. Then they were attacked by overwhelming numbers of Singalese, and at last obliged to capitulate and retreat. The terms of the capitulation were not observed by the cruel king of Kandy, who gave orders to massacre the entire party on the banks of the Mahaveliganga, three miles from Kandy.

Scarcely a single member of the force survived except Major Davie, who was taken back to Kandy, where he dragged out a miserable existence for another seven years. This massacre of the Mahave-

Atrocities of the King of Kandy liganga was not avenged by the governor, whose policy in connection with Major Davie's abandonment had been most reprehensible. Consequently, the king of Kandy, encouraged by this absence of reprisals, sent armies to attack the coast possessions of the British. His forces were repulsed, and a truce was arranged which lasted for several years. But the king of Kandy gradually became ferociously cruel towards his own Ministers, nobility and people, besides causing native merchants

—British subjects—to be mutilated or killed outright. His own people rose against him in 1815, and invited and facilitated a British occupation of Kandy, which took place unopposed. The king was captured and sent as a political prisoner to Vellore, in the Madras Presidency, where he lived until 1832. The

**Ceylon's
Opposition to
Taxation**

occupation of the interior of Ceylon seems to have been characterised by some tactless procedure which offended the people's religious prejudices. In addition, the chiefs and priests were rendered inimical at the diminution of their power and emoluments. Consequently, in 1817, a serious insurrection broke out in the eastern provinces of Ceylon, which it took two years of hard bush-fighting to suppress. Two other insurrections occurred in 1843 and 1845, caused by the imposition of taxes.

In 1810, a British expedition, under Sir Stamford Raffles, landed in Java and attempted to wrest that island from the Dutch. At the same time other British expeditions seized the Dutch islands of Amboina and Banda. The Dutch, however, fought fiercely near Batavia, though they were ultimately defeated, and surrendered the island, which was restored to Holland eight years afterwards.

In 1826, British commerce with the Malay Peninsula and Sumatra having suffered much at the hands of pirates coming from the Malay state of Perak, and especially from the Perak River, it was arranged that the Pangkor and Sembilan Islands should be ceded to Great Britain as a base for naval action against the pirates. These settlements, somewhat enlarged, are now known as the Dindings. In 1873-1874, the large Malay state of Perak was brought into closer political relations with Singapore Government, and agreed to accept a British resident. The official appointed to this post, Mr. J. W. Birch,

**Malay
Sultan
Banished**

was, however, murdered, with the connivance of the Malay sultan, in 1875. A punitive expedition, composed of British and Indian soldiers under General Sir Francis Colborne, divided into two columns and crossed Perak in several directions, defeating the native forces in four or five stiff engagements, warfare in this land of dense forest being peculiarly difficult. Perak was in the end thoroughly subdued, and, in 1877, the sultan, who was accessory

to Birch's murder, was banished to the Seychelles Islands, another sultan being recognised in his stead. This effective piece of fighting sufficed for the assertion of the Pax Britannica on the Malay Peninsula.

The East India Company began to trade with the north of Borneo in 1609. At the end of that century they had transferred their attention to the south side of the island, whence they were driven away by the Dutch. In 1762-1775, the East India Company obtained a concession of the island of Battambang from the sultan of Sulu, together with Labuan and the territory which is now known as British North Borneo. A treaty was also entered into with the sultan of Brunei. But the people as a whole did not welcome the British, as the presence of Europeans interfered with their wide-spread piratical operations. The British were attacked and their posts demolished. The Dutch also were driven away.

The establishment of Singapore, however, in 1819, once more drew attention to the northern regions of Borneo. Trade was opened up with the sultanate of Brunei, which then included nearly all the

**Commerce
Hampered
by Pirates**

northern regions of Borneo, except the extreme north-east. Unfortunately, all this region was, on its coast line, the seat of a vast piratical organisation, in which not only Malays, natives of Borneo (Sea Dyaks), and Chinese were engaged, but also Arabs. These pirates preyed on the extensive commerce which passed through the China Sea. They were becoming a public nuisance, and even a danger to European trade with China. This was noted by a retired official of the East India Company, James Brooke, who, wounded in the war with Burma, was travelling to China for his health. Brooke visited parts of Borneo and the Malay Archipelago, and regretted that such rich regions should be infested by these pirates, many of whom took to piracy because they had nothing else to do.

Having inherited his father's property, Brooke resolved to fit out an expedition of his own and visit Borneo. He reached the present state of Sarawak in 1839, and found the uncle of the sultan of Brunei at war with a rebellious officer turned pirate. Brooke's intervention gave victory to the Brunei Government, and for this service the title of Rajah of Sarawak was conferred on him (1841-42). For six years Brooke, on land and sea, co-operated with

BRITISH CONQUESTS IN THE EAST

the British naval forces under Captain (afterwards Sir Harry) Keppel in attacking the Borneo pirates, who, it was found, really derived much of their strength and supplies from the town and sultan of Brunei.

Eventually the town of Brunei was bombarded by a British naval force, while the sultan's army was routed by Brooke. The sultan himself was restored to his throne after agreeing to give no more harbourage to pirates. At the same time he sold to the British Government the little island of Labuan as a base for naval operations in those waters. Sir James Brooke not only by degrees extinguished piracy along the north-west coast of Borneo, but he also, with extraordinary bravery and resolution, put down a Chinese mutiny and rebellion instigated by Chinese pirates in 1857.

He subdued two other risings, but since his death, in 1868, the peace and stability of North-western Borneo have not been seriously menaced. The British North Borneo Company, founded in 1882 as a government over North-eastern Borneo, has had to subdue several insurrectionary movements, under a leader named Mat Saleh, between 1901 and 1906. British trade relations with China began early in the seventeenth century by

Britain's Trade Relations with China

James I. chartering a company for the exclusive commerce with the regions beyond the Malay Peninsula. But this charter lapsed, and later on the trade monopoly with China was acquired by the East India Company, whose commercial relations with China, though very limited, were not much troubled by unfriendliness till the advent to power of the warlike Emperor Kin-lung. This monarch strengthened the Chinese hold over Tibet, and marched an army of 70,000 men into Nepal in 1792, the Chinese penetrating to within sixty miles of the British outposts.

At the same time the emperor allowed the agents of the East India Company to be badly treated by the viceroy and other officials at Canton. Consequently, it was deemed wise to send a special envoy to open up diplomatic relations with China, and Lord Macartney was despatched with a special mission to Peking, arriving there in 1793. But neither he nor his successor, Lord Amherst, in 1816, could obtain any alleviation of the severe disabilities imposed on European traders. In 1834, the East India Company's monopoly of the Chinese trade came to an

end, and there was a considerable development of British commerce with China—on the part of British Indian subjects, among others—which necessitated the establishment of a superintendent or commissioner at Canton to watch over the affairs of the British merchants, a superintendent who became the precursor of the present highly

China's organised and efficient Consular **Objection** Service. The hostility of the Chinese to British commerce **to Opium** was largely due to the importation of opium in large quantities from India. The Chinese officials, especially in the south of China, were becoming awakened to the serious effects of the abuse of this drug on Chinese manhood. They wished to prohibit its introduction altogether. In other directions they brought pressure to bear on British traders.

The latter, through their superintendent, agreed to surrender to the Chinese commissioner of Customs at Canton 20,283 chests of opium, which were forthwith destroyed. They also bound themselves to deal no more in this drug. Apparently, however, the semi-independent government of Canton gave no compensation for this voluntary surrender of opium, and took advantage of the superintendent's conciliatory behaviour to inflict further disabilities on British trade and even offer gratuitous violence to British shipping. The Home Government considered that the British merchants had a right to import opium; at any rate, that the other actions of the Cantonese officials were insupportable. Accordingly they sent a British fleet to China and a small military force.

War was declared in 1840, and in that year the Chusan Archipelago, to the south-east of the mouth of the Yang-tse-kiang was occupied. In 1841 the forts guarding the entrance to the Canton River were stormed and captured, and the island of Hong Kong was seized. The Canton viceroy then agreed to

The Opium cede Hong Kong and to pay **War** an indemnity of £1,200,000. **with China** These terms were, however, repudiated by the Imperial

Government at Peking. The war therefore continued. Sir Hugh Gough occupied Canton, Amoy, Ningpo, Chapu, Shanghai, and two other coast towns. He was about to take Nanking when the Chinese emperor sent commissioners to make peace. The treaty concluded by Sir Henry Pottinger in 1842 provided not

only for the cession of Hong Kong, but also for the throwing open to foreign trade of the ports of Amoy, Fuh-chau-fu, Ningpo, and Shanghai, and the payment of an indemnity of about £3,500,000. The original cause of the war—the claim to be able to trade in opium—was an indefensible one, of which Britain has since

The Policy of that Saved China felt ashamed; but the results of this forcible opening of China to European commerce have, on the whole, been the salvation of that vast empire from falling into complete senile decrepitude. But the Imperial Government at Peking—for two centuries the curse of China—did not appreciate the cruel kindness of Britain. It had yielded to urgent force; now it wished to have as little as possible to do with the red-haired barbarians and their Indian subjects. Russia was a different matter; the frontiers of Russia began westwards and northwards where those of China left off. Russia, therefore, was entitled to have a diplomatic representative at Peking. As to France and England, they were small nations of sea-pirates unworthy of a place at the court of the emperor. Russia, no doubt, in revenge for the Crimean War, encouraged this attitude of disdain.

On the other hand, a great revolt had taken place in Central China, which was eventually headed by Hung-Siu-tsewen, who proclaimed himself as Tin Wang, first emperor of the Tai-ping dynasty. This was an uprising which, one would have thought, might have appealed to all the generous instincts of Britain as the champion of liberty and reform. The recent Chinese emperors had been so shockingly licentious that their moral depravity had affected the tone of public morality. The Tai-ping revolt was greatly a protest at the iniquities of the imperial court. Then, too, Hung-Siu-tsewen was a Christian, to all intents and purposes.

Revolt in Central China The behaviour of himself and his followers was admirable. His liberal-minded measures

vastly encouraged foreign commerce at Nanking and Su-chau. Above all, the movement was a Chinese one, and might have led to the re-establishment of a national Chinese dynasty in the place of the Manchu Tartars, whose rule has, latterly, at any rate, done so much to arrest the growth of Chinese intellectual development and friendly, mutually-pro-

fitable intercourse with foreign nations. Yet Britain, after coquetting with the Tai-ping revolt, proceeded to lend officers—Charles George Gordon from the Royal Engineers, first and foremost—and support for its suppression, and the renewed fixing on the necks of the Chinese people of that Manchu yoke from which the more intelligent were trying to free themselves.

In 1856, the Chinese viceroy or commissioner at Canton seized, on an accusation of piracy, a sloop or "lorcha" from Macao whose captain was a British subject. It is very probable that the Arrow, as this vessel was called, was up to no good, but the Chinese commissioner, Yeh, seems to have been technically in the wrong. Sir John Bowring was then administering the government of Hong Kong and in charge of British interests in China. He decided to deal energetically with the incident of the Arrow, and requested the British admiral on the station to bombard Canton. This took place in 1857. Lord Elgin was despatched to China with a strong force to act as British plenipotentiary. He was diverted from his immediate object by the outbreak of the mutiny in India. The troops

he brought with him proved a most welcome reinforcement to the British in Bengal. Lord Elgin, however, reached Canton towards the close of 1857, and succeeded in capturing the commissioner or viceroy, Yeh, whom he sent as a prisoner to Calcutta, where he eventually died. In 1858, France joined Great Britain in demanding redress from China for injuries suffered by French subjects and in requiring that a French representative should be accepted at Peking. At the close of 1858 the Treaty of Tientsin was negotiated. This treaty was to have been ratified by the emperor early in 1859; but when, in June of that year, the British and French representatives attempted to proceed to Peking under a strong escort, their expedition was stopped before it could land, and the British lost three gunboats and 400 men in the action which followed at the mouth of the Peiho.

Lord Elgin and Baron Gros returned in 1860, and at the head of a very strong force occupied Peking. Here the celebrated summer palace was destroyed by Lord Elgin's orders, an action which has been deplored as an offence against the canons of art. Lord Elgin, however,

BRITISH CONQUESTS IN THE EAST

could think of no other means of abasing Chinese imperturbability, which was prolonging the negotiations, and, which was more serious, the sufferings of the English prisoners who had been treacherously seized by the Chinese in very bad faith.

The Treaty of Tientsin, however, was ratified in 1860, and from 1861 onwards Great Britain, France and other European Powers, besides Russia, have been represented at Peking by diplomatic Ministers. The third occasion on which we have found ourselves at war with China was in the last year of the nineteenth century. The war between China and Japan, concluded in the spring of 1895, had exposed the seeming helplessness of China.

After intervening to modify the terms of the Treaty of Shimonoseki in favour of China, Russia, France and Germany began to ask for concessions, leases, or admissions of spheres of influence; and Great Britain, not liking to be left in the cold, required her share. Out of this Chinese scramble we came successfully, with considerable additions to the prosperous little colony of Hong Kong, and the leasehold of Wei-hai-wei.

China's Spirit Aroused In fact, the course of events between 1895 and 1900 was thoroughly Chinese in its contrariety. We and the other land-hungry European Powers had our annexations first and our war afterwards. The national spirit of China was aroused, at any rate in the foreigner-hating Manchus of the north, and early in 1900 it broke out in the renewed murder of missionaries and native Christians, and finally in orders to the foreign representatives at Peking to leave the country.

Not wishing to trust themselves to the tender mercies of the Boxers, as the unofficial allies of the reactionary party were called, the foreign legations prepared to stand a siege in their "town-within-a-town" in Peking. The British, Japanese, Russian, American, and French authorities from their various Asiatic possessions despatched an urgency relief expedition, the British section of which was commanded by Sir Alfred Gaselee.

Peking was entered first by the British. It was found that of the 500 civilian, naval and military defenders of the different legations, 65 had been killed, and 131 were more or less severely wounded. When this trouble was over, the 20,000 German troops arrived under the com-

mand of Field-Marshal von Waldersee, but the British Government discountenanced any unnecessary coercion of China.

The acquisition of California, by the United States in 1848, led that branch of the Anglo-Saxon power to desire commercial expansion across the Pacific. In 1853-1855 a naval expedition under Com-

The Open Door in Japan mander Perry was sent to Japan to force that country to enter into commercial and political relations with the United States.

After some display of force Commander Perry succeeded in his famous mission—one of the turning points in world-history. In the year 1858 advantage was taken of Lord Elgin's presence in the Far East for the conclusion of a treaty between the British and the shogunate of Japan—ratified by the mikado in 1864—which obtained for Great Britain the same (limited) privileges as those granted to the United States.

But these concessions were detested by the military caste of the Samurai, by many of the Japanese nobility, and by the mikado himself when he came to hear of them. Indiscreet behaviour on the part of British traders provoked one or two outrages with loss of life. Finally, in 1863, a British naval force, under Admiral Kuper, appeared before Kagoshima and demanded redress for grievances from the shogun. Failing to receive this, Admiral Kuper reduced Kagoshima to ashes and destroyed three war steamers of the Japanese. This action brought to reason the Satsuma chieftains; but there was another potentate acting independently—what time the titular Emperor of Japan lived sequestered in his huge harem at Kyoto—and firing indiscriminately on foreign shipping passing through the straits of Shimonoseki. This was the Daimiyo, or Lord of Cho-shu or Nagato. After a preliminary chastisement at the hands of the United States, France and

Foreign Intercourse with Japan Holland, he, as he still declined to allow foreign shipping to enter the Inland Sea of Japan.

was attacked by an international squadron under the command of Admiral Sir Augustus Kuper in September—October, 1864, and utterly defeated on land as well as on the sea. The shogun's government agreed to pay an indemnity of about £700,000, and from that time onwards no serious hindrance was put in the way of foreign intercourse with Japan.



JAMESON'S LAST STAND: THE SURRENDER OF THE "RAIDERS" TO THE BOERS AT VLAKFONTEIN ON JANUARY 2ND, 1896
Prior to the South African War, the Uitlander—foreign—population, who were mostly British subjects, were refused their share of political rights, though they owned most of the property and bore the greater part of the taxation. Agitations for reform were widespread, and to enforce their claims an armed body under Dr. Jameson, at that time administrator of Rhodesia for the British South Africa Company, entered Transvaal territory on December 29th, 1895, suffering defeat by the Boers at Krugersdorp on January 1st, 1896, and again at Vlakfontein on the following day, when they surrendered conditionally. The leaders in the raid underwent trial in England, and were sentenced to terms of imprisonment.



BRITAIN'S CONTESTS IN AFRICA AND THE PACIFIC

THE LONG SERIES OF VICTORIES IN THE PROCESS OF EMPIRE-BUILDING

WARS of the empire undertaken against the natives of Africa, apart from conflicts in which we were really fighting European nations, may be said to have begun with Admiral Blake's chastisement of the Tunisian sea rovers of Goletta and Porto Farina in 1656. In those days, Tunisia was a kind of dependency of Turkey, having been recovered from the possession of Spain by Turkish and renegade Moslem adventurers in the employ of Turkey during the last half of the sixteenth century. Blake had also threatened Algiers and Tripoli and the Salli rovers of Morocco. The occupation of Tangier in succession to the Portuguese entailed such constant fighting with the Moors that the new possession was deemed unprofitable, and was surrendered to Mulai Ismail, sharifian sultan of Morocco, in 1684. The effective punishment of the piratical Algerine state by Lord Exmouth and the Dutch, in 1816, has already been described.

In 1808, the British Government, having thoroughly awakened to the importance of Egypt as a half-way house to India, and having regretted the easy terms which had allowed the French to withdraw, and a more or less Turkish Government to take their place, attempted, on a rather feeble pretext, to land in Egypt, with the obvious intention of never withdrawing. But

**Britain in
Conflict with
the Negro** their landing was opposed by the self-made governor, Mohammed Ali, with such spirit that the attempt was baulked and not renewed till seventy-four years later. We first came into serious conflict with the negro over South African questions. Petty skirmishes no doubt had occurred between the soldiers in the employ of the Royal African Chartered Company and the natives of the Gold Coast. Some show of force also had to

accompany the definite establishment of the Sierra Leone settlements, while prior to the annexation of Sierra Leone the British Chartered Company, which was to found a West African Utopia for freed slaves, had engaged in a good deal of fighting with the turbulent natives of Bolama (Portuguese Guinea), who did not

**The First
of the
Kaffir Wars** at all relish having an anti-slave-trade colony founded on their sea front. But the first Imperial war with the black man was undertaken in 1809 and 1811-1812 when, in order to defend the rights, or, at any rate, the claims, of the Dutch colonists, 20,000 Kaffirs were driven by British soldiers away from the "Zuurveld," and across the Great Fish River to its eastern banks. This was the first in the long series of Kaffir wars which was to culminate in the capture of Ulundi in 1880, and of Buluwayo in 1893.

In 1818-9, the second Kaffir War broke out. It originated in an internecine feud between two rival Kosa Kaffir chiefs, Gaika and Ndlambe. [Kosa is written by some South African authorities Xosa, the "X" expressing a side click. Another Kaffir name is often written Gcaleka, the "c" expressing another click. Likewise, the "C" in Cetewayo (Ketshwayo) is a click. The present writer prefers to render all these words with the gutturals, K, G, or Q]. For some reason the Cape Government sent soldiers to enforce the claims of the defeated rival, Gaika. The British force crossed the Great Fish River, and then, in revenge, the Kosa warriors under Ndlambe entered the colony and besieged Grahamstown. The Kaffirs were, of course, defeated, and their frontier was pushed farther to the east, to the Keiskamma River. The land in between the two rivers was to be regarded as neutral ground, though

actually belonging to the British Crown. The Keiskamma, as a matter of fact, had been the original boundary between Kaffir and Hottentot.

In course of time certain Kaffir chiefs were permitted to settle on this neutral territory; then they were ordered to move off again. For this reason, or more prob-

Kaffirs on the War-path bably because the Kaffirs thought they could drive the white man away altogether by attacking in force, 12,000 of them crossed the eastern frontier of the colony in December, 1834, and for a fortnight carried all before them, killing the white colonists, burning and destroying their homesteads and farms, and turning the district between Somerset East and Algoa Bay into a desert. The raid had from the white settlers' point of view been absolutely unprovoked, and there were loud cries for vengeance from Boer, German, and British colonists alike, nor did the missionaries attempt to defend the action of the invading Kaffirs. Colonel Smith, afterwards to be known as Sir Harry Smith, drove the Kaffirs back beyond the Keiskamma, and then beyond the Kei River. This was the third Kaffir War.

The Kosa Kaffirs then sued for peace. Their new frontier was drawn at the Kei River, and the land between the Kei and the Keiskamma was created a new province of the colony, and named after Queen Adelaide. But within this new province all the Kaffirs who had taken no part in the raid were allowed to remain, and, in addition, grants of land were given to the Fingo tribe, who had been enslaved and ill-treated by the Kosa.

But this settlement, approved alike by the European settlers and the missionaries, was set aside by the Colonial Secretary in England, Lord Glenelg, and Queen Adelaide province was restored to the Kosa Kaffirs, while Sir Benjamin D'Urban, the governor, was recalled. This unwise

Trouble with the Kaffirs action laid the seeds of much future mischief. It was one of the causes which sent the best of the Dutch farmers out into

the wilderness to carve out homes with their right hands and their guns—rifles had not come into general use—independent of the vicissitudes of a dual government wherein the man on the spot might have his policy reversed heedlessly by the man at home. The Kosa Kaffirs were not satisfied, and the Fingoes found themselves


handed over to the tender mercies of the Kosas. In 1846–1847, war—the fourth Kaffir War—broke out again, provoked by the Kaffirs themselves. At its close the former province of Queen Adelaide was reconstituted under the name of British Kaffraria. In 1850 began the fifth Kaffir War, chiefly with the Gaika clan of the Kosa Kaffirs living in the Amatola Mountains. It extended far and wide over the eastern border districts of Cape Colony, and was marked by not a few disasters.

One of these was not directly connected with the Kaffirs, though it added to the general uneasiness and dislike with which the war was regarded at home. The troopship Birkenhead foundered in a gale off Simon's Bay, and sank with 400 soldiers and many seamen on board. By 1853, General Cathcart had captured all the Gaika strongholds in the Amatola Mountains, and had deported the Kosa Kaffirs from that district, which was afterwards settled by Hottentot half-breeds, and became known as Griqualand East. In 1856 a terrible delusion seized on the Kosa Kaffirs through the crazy teaching of

Kosa Kaffirs Deluded by a "Wizard" a "wizard" who had received a smattering of Christian teaching at a mission school.

He predicted the coming of a millennium, in which the Kaffirs would be reinforced by their dead chiefs returning to earth with many followers, and further assisted by the Russian soldiers of the Crimean War. But to secure this millennium, the existing cattle and crops must first be destroyed.* This teaching led to a terrible famine, for the deluded Kosa Kaffirs slew their cattle and cut down their crops of growing mealies. The unhappy people were obliged to emigrate to the extent of nearly 100,000, some 25,000 dying of starvation. The restless movements of these desperate men among more settled tribes brought on the sixth Kaffir War, in 1858. After the war, large numbers of Fingo Kaffirs settled in British Kaffraria, and some of the Kosas returned thither or found a home in the adjoining new Transkei province. Others migrated into Pondoland.

In 1851 and 1852 there were fights with the Basuto (Viervoet and Berea), the first of which was a defeat for the British, the second a drawn battle. In the last instance General Cathcart, after conquering the Kosa Kaffirs, had attempted to seize Thaba Bosigo in order to compel the Basuto



THE FOUNDERING OF THE BRITISH TROOPSHIP BIRKENHEAD ON FEBRUARY 26TH, 1852
The disaster illustrated in the above picture occurred during a gale off Simon's Bay, South Africa, and will ever be memorable for the heroism exhibited in the face of death. On board the ill-fated steamship were nearly 500 officers and men, who stood calmly awaiting their fate while the women and children were saved. The then King of Prussia caused the splendid story of iron discipline and perfect duty to be read aloud at the head of every regiment in his kingdom.

From the painting by C. Napier Henry, by permission of Messrs. Henry Graves & Co.

king, Moshesh, to come to terms, the Basuto having been attacking the Griqua Hottentots and Boer trekkers. The issue was not a defeat to the Basuto, but Moshesh wisely came in and agreed to a peace which has never since been broken, so far as the Imperial Government is concerned, though the Basuto had somewhat

The Seventh and Last Kaffir War serious conflicts with the Cape Colonial Government in 1879-1880, conflicts which were eventually solved by their coming under direct Imperial control. In 1877-1878 occurred the seventh and last Kaffir War. After the terrible famine and migration of 1856-1857, a portion of the Galeka clan of the Kosa Kaffirs, under the celebrated chief Kveli, or Kareli, the son of Hintsa, who had surrendered to the British after the Kaffir raid of 1834, had been allowed, in 1865, to settle on the coast of British Kaffraria with the Fingoes and other Kaffir tribes behind them. They increased and multiplied, and in 1877 they turned round and fought the Fingoes. The British Government intervened, and the chief Kveli was deposed. Fighting spread into the colony, and was joined in by the Gaika clan under chief Sandile. This war was brought to a close in 1878 by the death of Sandile and the flight of the aged Kveli.

The impartial historian of South Africa must admit that though many good qualities are inherent in the Boer people, a scrupulous consideration for the antecedent rights of the negroes is not to be attributed to them. In their eyes the natives had no rights, though, at the same time, they were not harsh if Hottentot or Basuto, Bechuana or Zulu were willing to serve for board, lodging, and occasional blankets and Cape brandy. But wherever the Boer ruled he carried on a native policy, as regards land and products, so like that of King Leopold on the Congo as to make one think that in this respect the king of the Belgians may really have borrowed

Boers Leave British Territory his native policy from Dutch traditions. Soon after the discontented Boers left British territory, because the British Government would not evict native tribes legitimately settled on the soil in favour of incoming white men. The pioneers of the Orange River territory and the founders of the Transvaal State fell out with the warlike Basuto, the southernmost tribe of the wide-spread Bechuana stock. The British forces had repeatedly

to intervene, either to save the trekking Boers from extermination by the enraged Basuto, or later to save the Basuto from being wiped out by the land-hungry Boers.

Between 1836 and 1840 the emigrant Boers, whom Lord Glenelg's foolish policy—among other causes—had driven out of the eastern parts of Cape Colony, had brushed aside the Northern Basuto, defeated the Matabele hordes of the southern Transvaal, and broken the Zulu power in Natal. As regards Matabele and Zulu, impartial history will probably say that they got no worse than they deserved. They were treacherous, cruel, devastating, and not much earlier comers in the Bechuana countries than the Boers themselves. As to the Swazi, a northern section of the Zulu-Kaffir group, they were partially protected by the Transvaal Boers from Zulu cruelty.

But in regard to Sekukuni, the government of the Transvaal behaved badly. Sekukuni ruled over a section of the North-eastern Bechuana in the country just south of the Upper Limpopo. The Transvaal Boers from the early part of the sixties were constantly seizing Sekukuni's

A Blot on South African History land or people, and ignoring his rights. This chief established himself strongly in the Zoutspanberg Mountains,

and after 1870 the Boer Government of Pretoria launched against this unhappy people bands of conscienceless adventurers; one of the cruellest of these was an ex-Prussian officer, Von Schlickmann, whose atrocities were a disgrace to the Boer name and will be a permanent blot on the history of South Africa. But Sekukuni held out so stoutly that he wore out the energies of the Transvaal State. As the Boer dealings with the Swazis had drawn down on them the animosity of the Zulus, it was feared by the Imperial Government that the mishandling of native affairs in the Transvaal might set going a vast negro revolt against the white man. So Sir Theophilus Shepstone was despatched with a few military officers and twenty-five mounted police to investigate. He took the bold step of annexing the Transvaal.

The British had taken no great share in the fighting against the Zulu monarchy which had won Natal for the white man's rule. The Transvaal Boers had done that and had also installed Panda as king of the Zulus in place of the bloodthirsty Dingane. In 1873 the British Government had been represented at the installation

CONTESTS IN AFRICA AND THE PACIFIC

of Cetewayo as successor to Panda. The limit of the recognised Zulu kingdom then, on the west, was the Tugela River. Of course, the colony of Natal contained hundreds of thousands of Zulu-speaking natives, but these, for the most part, had been long dissociated from Zulu rule.

In the North-west of Natal, however, there was the Hlubi clan, originally refugees from Zulu and Basuto lands. These people, under their chief, Langalibalele, began to show themselves turbulent in 1873, and had to be brought to order by the despatch of a small military force. The operations against the Gaika and Galeka clans of the Kosa Kaffirs in 1877-78 sent a thrill of racial sympathy and disturbance through Natal and Zululand, and probably decided the ill-informed king of the Zulus to make a determined fight for Kaffir independence and dominion before the white man grew too strong. It must be remembered that there is very little linguistic difference between Kaffirs and Zulus. Kaffir is an entirely artificial name. It is simply an Arab term meaning "unbeliever," which was applied to the

British Forces in Zululand

pagan Bantu along the South-east African coast by the Arabs, and by them transmitted to the Portuguese, Dutch and English. Sir Bartle Frere saw the coming danger to Natal, and resolved to forestall it by calling on Cetewayo to disarm, after giving him full satisfaction in regard to territories in dispute between the Zulus and the former Republic of the Transvaal.

No answer was received to the ultimatum. On January 22nd, 1879, the British troops under Lord Chelmsford entered Zululand. The opening of the campaign was marked by two striking incidents. The capture of the British camp at Isandhlwana, the "Hill of the Little Hand," with a loss to the British of 800 white and 500 negro soldiers; and the defence of Rorke's Drift, on the Buffalo River, under Lieutenants Chard and Bromhead, and 120 British and Colonials against 4,000 Zulus, flushed with the victory of Isandhlwana. Another episode of this war, which has raised it in the interest of world-history far above other Kaffir wars, was the death of the Prince Imperial on a reconnoitring expedition. This sad event materially altered the course of modern French history. Zululand was conquered finally by August, 1879, in the battles of Ginghlovo,

Kambula, and Ulundi; and the king, Cetewayo, was captured and sent into temporary retirement. Sekukuni, of the Northern Transvaal, was then tackled and finally disposed of, while the Swazis were also brought under control. Between 1879 and 1893 there was peace, except mere police operations, between the

In Contact with the Matabele British and the natives of South Africa. All our attention was concentrated on a struggle for supremacy with the Dutch-speaking section of the white community. A British advance towards the Zambesi began in 1887-1888, a movement which brought us into contact with the Matabele power.

The Matabele were a section of the Zulus whom internecine quarrels had driven from Zululand and Natal into the Southern Transvaal. From this territory, where they had supplanted the Bechuana stock of the Bantu, the Matabele were driven by the Boers beyond the Limpopo. The Matabele in their turn, from 1840 onwards, became a predatory people, and made themselves masters of the lands between the Limpopo and the Zambesi. They enslaved more or less the pre-existing Makaranga, Mashona and kindred tribes of Nyanza stock, and were a sore affliction to the more peaceable Bechuana on their western flank.

Cecil Rhodes and his pioneers, however, had to deal with the Matabele as the effective masters of the country between the Kalahari Desert and the Eastern Portuguese dominions. Various far-reaching concessions were purchased from the greedy Matabele king, Lobengula, who was not very particular as to what he sold, because in his own mind he had determined exactly what the white men should do and what he would withhold from their scope.

But in Dr. Jameson he had a masterful person to deal with. Jameson had accurately gauged the Matabele strength, and, in a short but very brilliant campaign, conducted by himself and Major Forbes, and by Colonel Goold Adams—on behalf of the Imperial Government—Bulawayo was captured; and Lobengula driven towards the Zambesi, where he afterwards died. Out of a force sent in pursuit of Lobengula, a party of thirty mounted men under Captain Allan Wilson was cut off from the main body and killed by the Matabele after a heroic resistance. The Chartered Company's administration.

Dr. Jameson's Brilliant Campaign

which followed that of Lobengula, was not in all respects quite wise, and discontent arose among the natives, Mashona as well as Matabele. After the unfortunate issue of the Chartered Company's armed entry into the Transvaal, the Matabele rose against their white rulers, and though they never succeeded in taking Buluwayo or

Unrest Among the Natives any other fortified post, they inflicted much damage and some loss of life on the British settlers. Rhodesia was not

finally restored to order until the year 1897. Since the great South African War of 1895-1902 there has been a certain amount of unrest among the natives south of the Zambesi, more especially among the Hottentots on the German borders, the Basuto, the Kaffirs of Natal, and the Zulus.

This has been caused by a multiplicity of excitants. The movement originated with certain American negroes of the Ethiopian Church, a form of Christianity which was to treat the interests of the black race as quite distinct from those of the Caucasian; the spread of education, which imparted an honest pride and capability to Christianised Hottentot and Kaffir—so that dull, stupid, violent government at the hands of German or British-Colonial officials or army officers became intolerable; the resentment felt by Zulus and Natal Kaffirs at the alleged filching of their land; lastly, the abundance and cheapness of rifles and ammunition during and after the Boer War; all these were reasons, apart from a general awakening of the negro, why movements towards turbulence and independence necessitated much vigorous police work in 1906-1908—almost amounting to warfare—on the part of British and Colonial troops in Western Bechuanaland, Natal, and Zululand.

Amongst "native" powers which the British Empire has had to fight in South Africa must be enumerated the Boers of Cape Colony, Natal, the Orange State, and the Transvaal. This was a vigorous, emphatically "white" race of splendid physique, compounded for the most part

The Boers' Dislike of the British of men of Flemish or Dutch descent, mingled with some proportion of French Huguenots and German immigrants. The resident Boers, as distinct from the officials of the Dutch East India Company, never liked the British intrusion from the day of the first landing of British troops at Simonstown on July 14th, 1795, down to

the granting of self-governing constitutions to the different states of the future South African Confederation. In 1815 the Dutch farmers had risen against the government of Lord Charles Somerset because it interfered with their summary treatment of the natives; but they were surrounded, and laid down their arms at the place since called Slachter's Nek. In spite of their surrender five of them were hanged for high treason, an act inexcusably harsh on the part of the tyrannical governor, Lord Charles Somerset, whose name for the value of his work is too much commemorated in Cape geography.

Dissatisfaction with Lord Glenelg's fatuous intermeddling and with the, often well-founded, accusations of British and Moravian missionaries as to maltreatment of natives, impelled the migration northwards and eastwards, beginning in 1836, of large numbers of Boer farmers. This led to their wresting the Orange Free State from the Basuto, the Transvaal from the Matabele hordes of Umsilikazi, and Natal from Dingane and the Zulus.

Boer and Briton in Conflict Apart from the unfortunate rising of Slachter's Nek, Boer and Briton first came into armed conflict over Natal. The port of Durban had, it is true, been originally colonised by British and Americans; but the mighty power of the Zulus had been first broken by Boer valour. After the emigrant farmers had made themselves masters of the country now known as Natal, the intolerable shilly-shally of the home Ministers began. This was the cause in the past of many a war, large and small, and was the result of the old principles of party government and the placing of incompetent or ill-educated men for short and shifting periods at the head of great departments of state. Slowly, imperceptibly, this system has changed in favour of a trained bureaucracy—a rule of the permanent official, who shapes the policy which his temporary parliamentary chief endorses and adopts as his own.

The Natal "War" of 1842 resolved itself into a night attack by the Englishmen of Durban on the Boer position (which failed), and a siege of Durban by the Boers. This siege was raised by the arrival of a British expeditionary force. The Boers retired, and, a commissioner arriving from England in 1843, terms were arranged by which the Boers had a free hand to the north of the Drakensberg, whither



THE LAST STAND OF CAPTAIN WILSON: AN EPISODE OF THE MATABELE CAMPAIGN

During the British campaign against the Matabele in 1890, a party of thirty mounted men under Captain Allan Wilson out of a force sent in pursuit of Lobengula was cut off from the main body, and found itself surrounded by thousands of natives. All escape being cut off, the little party made its last stand on a small piece of rising ground, and died fighting like heroes.

From the painting by Allan Stewart, by permission of the Fine Arts Society, 148, New Bond Street

the bolder spirits betook themselves. After well-nigh intolerable vacillation, contradictory proclamations, flag hoistings and pullings-down, treaties with native chiefs or hybrid adventurers, restraining and loosing of the justly exasperated Boers, the British Government of the Cape declared the present Orange State

The Boers to be British territory in 1848. This action was resented by the
Rise in emigrant Boers, with Pretorius
Rebellion at their head. They rose in rebellion, but in meeting Sir Harry Smith—one of the great names in South African history—they met one of their own kidney. After a severe fight, the Boers were defeated at the Battle of Boomplaat, and Pretorius and his men fled across the Vaal River.

The recognition of the Transvaal as an independent state in 1852, and of the Orange River Territory in 1854, are episodes in the relations of Boer and Briton which have been described elsewhere. No further armed conflict with the Boers occurred until December 20th, 1880. In 1877, the Transvaal Republic, in great difficulties over its conflict with the natives, had been somewhat summarily annexed by Sir Theophilus Shepstone on behalf of the British Government. This measure was most unpalatable to the mass of the Boer farmers under the leadership of Krüger, Pretorius, and Joubert; and they never ceased petitioning against it.

At length, encouraged by the British lassitude which had followed the Zulu War, they rose in rebellion, and after the British defeats at Bronker's Spruit, Lang's Nek, and Majuba Hill, obtained eventually the recognition of the independence of their republic, with only slight modifications, modifications which were pared away to a transparency by the Convention of London in 1884. Though this convention established more or less clearly the boundaries of the Trans-

Expansion vaal, the Boers did not hesitate
the Aim of —any more than the British
the Boers would have done—to trespass beyond these limits as far as British forbearance would allow, and proposed to themselves, on the one hand, to seize and monopolise the road to Central Africa, and, on the other, to conquer Zululand and thus attain access to the sea. To stop both these movements an important armed demonstration was made by the British Government in 1885,

whereby Sir Charles Warren, with a force of 4,000 men, marched up into Bechuanaland and suppressed the infant republics of Stellaland and Goshen, and substituted for them the British Protectorate of Bechuanaland, which was ultimately extended to the Zambezi. Zululand was annexed, and ultimately, in 1887-1898, Amatongaland also. The southern and western boundaries of the Orange State had, by a piece of rather sharp practice, been clipped and defined in 1869, 1871, and 1876. From 1898 a final duel between the British and the Boers for the overlordship of South Africa became inevitable. The Boers were resolved to expand, the British determined to compress them within treaty limits, and even to strangle them in their own homes.

First came about the unofficial war, the abortive raid of Jameson at the head of the Chartered Company's forces into the Transvaal in December, 1895. Then ensued four years of preparations on both sides. Those of the Boers were directed to steady armament and training, with results which certainly "staggered humanity"; those

The Great of the British to sounding
War in France, Russia, Portugal, Italy,
South Africa America, and perhaps Germany as to their attitude in the

event of a South African War. The outbreak of this long contemplated struggle was precipitated by the two allied Boer States delivering an ultimatum on October 9th, 1899. It is not necessary here to recount the incidents and fluctuations of this great and lengthy contest; it is sufficient to record that the war began with a series of British defeats, retreats, and besiegements in fortified cities or camps. Then came Lords Roberts and Kitchener, and their march right into the heart of the Orange Free State, and thence by a series of successes, which went far to damp any thought of European intervention, to Pretoria, Lydenburg, Komatipoort.

By the autumn of 1900 the Orange River Republic and the Transvaal had been annexed to the British dominions, and President Kruger had fled to Europe. Most persons now thought the war at an end, but the Boers managed to keep up a guerrilla warfare for eighteen months longer, thus securing for their countrymen far better and more honourable terms of peace than would have been granted in the autumn of 1900. As military leaders, De Wet, De La Rey, Botha, Kemp, Lucas Meyer,

CONTESTS IN AFRICA AND THE PACIFIC

and other Boer generals covered themselves with glory, and taught the world new lessons in warfare. But in the meantime Central South Africa was being ruined. These same men who fought so well would not carry on a hopeless struggle after the offer of reasonable terms. To the great relief of all concerned, a peace was ratified on May 31st, 1902, which has left no sting behind it to either party in the struggle. The Orange State, under a slightly different name, and the Transvaal continue to exist as self-governing communities ready to take their part as equals in any future confederation of South Africa, with Cape Colony, Natal, and Rhodesia.

The question of war between the white and the black man in trans-Zambesian Africa is, I fear, not finally laid to rest. Contemporary and later historians have frequently described this, that, or the other Kaffir war as an unjust one. There is no doubt that we sometimes fought over a wrong issue, but there is equally no doubt in the mind of the present narrator that the British power has been a great deal more anxious to do the right thing and avoid injustice in its fights with the great Zulu-Kaffir congeries of peoples in the southern prolongation of Africa than it has shown itself elsewhere in the lands of Black and Yellow. In the first place, South Africa during two-thirds of the nineteenth century was not regarded as an extraordinarily valuable acquisition. The Dutch colonists, it is true, were perfectly ruthless in regard to displacing, dispossessing, killing or enslaving the black races that had preceded them.

They were no more scrupulous in this respect than the English who settled on the Atlantic coast of the United States, the Spaniards in South America, the Portuguese in India, or the Dutch in Malaya. They, the Boers, were "God's chosen people"; the yellow or black Hottentot-Bushman, or Kaffir, was a heathen, with no more claims to consideration than the beasts of the field, and both alike were shot down by the deadly accuracy of the Boer marksman. But British missionary enterprise was early afoot in South Africa, and, as I have said before, the country was not thought particularly worth taking away from its black inhabitants. No minerals of importance had been discovered prior to the diamond revelation in 1869. In many districts

horses and cattle could not live, and there European settlers could not thrive. It was a land of droughts and floods, of ice and sunstroke, of barren steppe more hopeless than the Sahara, of thorn jungle, and of man-eating lions. So far as anyone therefore is to blame for the unjustness of the Kaffir wars, it is the Dutch or Afrikaner colonists, who first picked a quarrel with the natives, and then dragged the British Government into the settlement of that quarrel. Whenever the treatment was just towards the native, it provoked a rising, a secession, or, at any rate, a severe disaffection amongst the white settlers.

It is true that in 1879 Sir Bartle Frere—a great and far-seeing viceroy—having annexed the Transvaal, largely because of the Boer mishandling of native rights, forced a war on the king of the Zulus. The alternative was to wait until the Zulu power, a little stronger, a little more reckless, launched itself on the colony of Natal, drowning it in blood, as Cetewayo's grandfather had done, pitiless alike to white and black, for no one has ever been so cruel to the negro as the negro.

The Chartered Company's war against the bastard Zulus of Lobengula, the descendants of the hordes led northwards by Umsilikazi or Mosilikatse, has been arraigned as unjust, except when argued on the basis of the Parable of the Talents. Lobengula and his Amandebele indunas desired to keep the white man out of the country as much as they could, except as an ivory hunter or purchaser, or possibly as one who should find minerals at his own risk and expense and hand over a handsome royalty to the king and his courtiers, who would spend it on the purchase of more oxen, more wives, and more guns and gunpowder, with which to carry out more extensive slave-raids to the north. The Chartered Company had not interfered

with the natives' rights over the land, nor had they attempted any assumption of governing rights. They were genuinely anxious—to the present writer can testify—to avoid any quarrels with the Matabele, partly, to cite no higher motive, because they had greatly over-estimated the fighting strength and capabilities of the Matabele. The quarrel really arose over the position of the indigenous tribes, Mashona and Makaranga, who were treated by the

The Boers' Treatment of the Natives

War Forced on the Zulus

Chartered Company and the Zulus

Matabele as their slaves. The Matabele theory was that if the white men wished the Mashona or other of their subject tribes to work for them as porters, labourers, or guides, their services must first be purchased from the Matabele chiefs. The Mashona and their congeners had been waiting for the white man's

Wars with the Matabele advent to shake off the Zulu yoke which had lain so heavily on them since about 1845.

Often, when pursued or plagued by the Matabele, they would fly for refuge to one or other of the white men's forts, and they were frequently followed by the Matabele and brought back. One or two episodes of this kind, though ending in bloodshed, were smoothed over by the company's officials; the Matabele warriors became more and more daring, and at last a stand had to be made. In July, 1893, a Matabele army entered the township of Victoria, and attacked the Mashonas residing there, slaughtering many before the company's police could intervene. A fight between the Matabele warriors and the mounted police ensued, resulting in considerable loss of life to the Matabele, and in an open war with Lobengula's forces, which ended in the Chartered Company becoming the government of the land in the place of these raiding Zulus who had preceded them by forty or fifty years.

In the second Matabele War, which followed in 1896, it is true that the Mashona joined hands with their former oppressors, but the discontent which provoked this war was largely caused by the company having employed an oppressive Matabele police, which, in a different uniform and with a new authority, continue to plunder the unfortunate tillers of the soil.

The foundation of the colony of Sierra Leone, in 1787-1807, for the purpose of repatriating liberated slaves led to very little trouble with the natives till Sierra Leone had been about eighty years in

Trouble on the Gold Coast existence as a British colony, mainly because little attempt was made to exercise British authority beyond the Sierra

Leone Peninsula and certain islands on the coast duly purchased from the native owners. The same may be said in regard to the Gambia. But as early as 1824 trouble arose on the Gold Coast with the powerful native kingdom of Ashanti. As related elsewhere, the British Crown had shirked as much as possible any direct

responsibility for the West African settlements, though these were amongst the earliest attempts at empire beyond the British Channel. The forts and settlements were held somewhat intermittently by chartered companies. But in 1824 the governor of Sierra Leone—the Gold Coast ports were brought under the Sierra Leone government from 1821 to 1850—Sir Charles Macarthy, was forced into a conflict with the Ashanti people in order to defend the coast tribes who were under British protection. He was killed in warfare (Ensimankao, January 14th, 1824), and the British Government was obliged to avenge his death and re-establish British authority; this was the first Ashanti War between 1827 and 1831.

A short war with Lagos in 1851 was the result of an attempt to put down the slave trade. On this pretext, and also to avenge wrongs done to British merchants, the Dahomeh coast was frequently blockaded or bombarded during the third quarter of the nineteenth century, and punitive expeditions were undertaken in the Niger delta, 1886-1906, and the Congo

British Victories in Ashanti estuary, 1875. The transfer of the Dutch possessions on the Gold Coast to Great Britain entailed another war with Ashanti

in 1873-1874. This was the first occasion on which West African warfare was taken seriously. Sir Garnet Wolseley, who had distinguished himself as the commander of the Red River expedition in Central Canada, commanded a British force of about 10,000 men, 2,400 British, and the remainder negro soldiers, which, together with native auxiliaries under Sir John Glover, entered Kumasi and imposed a war indemnity which was never completely paid. Ashanti was only finally conquered after two more expeditions (1896-1900). It is now directly administered by the British Government, and has consequently increased very considerably in prosperity.

The action of France about the sources of the Niger, beginning in the early 'eighties of the last century, obliged the British Government to concern itself about the hinterland of Sierra Leone; and the various attempts to impose British influence over the warlike Temne and Mende peoples entailed a number of armed expeditions or small wars, such as the Yonni war in 1886, in what is now the rather considerable territory of the Sierra Leone Protectorate. These culminated in a regular rising of the

CONTESTS IN AFRICA AND THE PACIFIC

Temne and Mende peoples, owing to the imposition of a hut tax, in 1898. The complete subjugation of the colony which followed, coupled with the building of a railway across a portion of the hinterland, brought about the most extraordinary changes in the prosperity of the natives. Sierra Leone is now one of the best governed, most prosperous, and generally successful of the British possessions in tropical Africa. Similar attempts to open up the hinterland of the Gambia, and to protect commerce along the British banks of that river, likewise occasioned a few armed expeditions against the Mandingo or Fulbe sultans of the interior. The last of these was the expedition against Fodi Kabba in 1900.

In the hinterland of Lagos, in the Ibo territories of the Niger Delta, there were punitive expeditions, enforced conquests of natives who would not let the Britisher or his native subjects alone. These occurred mainly between 1885 and 1905, including the expedition in 1897, which rapidly conquered the blood-stained kingdom of Benin, a feat thought to be almost

Conquest of Nigeria impossible owing to the physical difficulties of reaching Benin through leagues of forest-swamp.

But amongst notable exploits of warlike enterprise on the battle-roll of Britain, nothing in this direction equalled in importance of achievements the conquest of Nigeria. As usual, the British Government had turned over to a chartered company of merchants the first responsibility of laying the foundations of the Nigerian Empire. The original attempts of 1841 and 1858 to establish something like a British protectorate or control over the banks of the Niger had failed through the frightful mortality which attacked the naval expeditions. The Lower Niger was justly regarded then as a region so impossibly unhealthy that it could not profit the British Government as a means of reaching the Nigerian Sudan.

As related elsewhere, the foundations of modern British Nigeria were laid by Captain Goldie Taubman, afterwards Sir George T. Goldie. The Royal Niger Company, which he founded, soon experienced, however, enormous difficulties in carrying their charter into effect. It was relatively easy to keep order amongst the savage cannibal negroes along the banks of the Niger and navigable Benue; but immediately beyond these regions were

the Nigerian Sudanese—the Mohammedan Nupe, Fulbe, Hausa peoples under a general Fulbe suzerainty—hordes of cavalry permeated with Mohammedan bravery. These peoples in those days were possibly egged on to try conclusions with the British company by its French and German rivals; who, in the first place, resented the British appropriation of Eastern Nigeria, and in the second, disliked most of all that the government of the country should be entrusted to a commercial company. The company had to face the situation, conquer the amir of Nupe, and impose peace by a show of force on the Fulbe sultan of Sokoto. The expedition of 1897, practically led by Sir George Goldie, was to all intents and purposes organised by the British Government, and was commanded by Imperial officers. It achieved its object after one or two pitched battles, but ran the narrowest risks of failure and disaster owing to the difficulties of transport once it quitted the navigable waterway.

When the company was succeeded by the direct rule of the British Government, Sir Frederick Lugard found it quite impossible to cry halt until, with the forces under his command, led by Colonel Morland, he had conquered the Fulbe power and established British rule over the great Hausa cities of the Central Sudan. These campaigns of 1902 and 1903 were remarkable for the extent of ground covered, the relatively small fighting force at the disposal of the British, and the effect of the victories. It would be too soon to say that the Moslem peoples of Eastern Nigeria will never again raise the standard of revolt; but the surest way of turning their thoughts to better things, the cheapest way of maintaining our hold over this important region of Africa, is by the building of railways. As regards wars in North-east Africa within the memory of living men, the first

The Quixotic Abyssinian Expedition to record is the somewhat quixotic Abyssinian expedition of 1864–1868. Of all the episodes in the history of the British Empire, this will seem the most difficult to explain. Its analogue in our wars of the first class with European Powers is the Crimean War. Some well-meaning but over-zealous missionaries had offended the usurping monarch of Abyssinia, Theodore. This curious personality, who, like his immediate predecessors for

about seventy years back, had begun to get into touch with the civilisation of the outer world by commerce carried on through Indian traders, had invited to his court mechanics or industrial missionaries, and then, if he were capriciously displeased with them, would hold them as his captives. A British consul of Levantine

Theodore, the Mad King of Abyssinia or Armenian extraction, selected for his knowledge of Arabic and Amharic, was sent to get these captives out of Theodore's toils by negotiations. But Theodore, who was more than half a crank, and who had proposed marriage to Queen Victoria upon hearing that she was a widow, but had received no reply to his proposal, kept back the consul, too.

In a less sentimental age it might have been questioned whether, as Great Britain had at that time no desire to interfere in the affairs of North-east Africa, she was warranted in spending several millions of money, and perhaps in all about a thousand lives, in trying to rescue a few misguided Europeans who had accepted all risks in going to the court of a barbarous monarch. But there was the question of the British envoy, Mr. Rassam, and British prestige in the Eastern world.

So 16,000 (mainly Indian) soldiers, and some 15,000 non-combatants, marched through the mountains of Abyssinia till they had released the captives and captured Magdala, the last stronghold of Theodore, who committed suicide. Then, after furnishing their principal native ally, Prince Kassai, of Tigre, an Abyssinian prince of less doubtful lineage, with the means of aspiring to the throne of Ethiopia, the British forces marched back again to the Red Sea. In this achievement we were in far better circumstances than the Italians thirty years later, for the British protestations that they desired no territorial acquisitions were believed, and the mass of the Abyssinian people

British Army in Egypt was on the side of the British against the misconduct of the mad, though talented, usurper. British soldiers were not to set foot in North-eastern Africa again for fifteen years. Then, in 1882, a British force was landed at Port Said under Sir Garnet Wolseley of Ashanti, who was to become Lord Wolseley of Caigo. Here the immediate objective was the subjugation of Arabi's revolt and the reassertion of the power of the legitimate ruler of Egypt: the

khedive. The motive was absolutely not any desire to acquire more territory, but in reality to save the Suez Canal from falling under the exclusive control of France, of Turkey, or of a new Mohammedan nationality, fanatical and successful, which might be arising under the somewhat stupid colonel of artillery, Ahmad Arabi. Britain had seen between 1835 and 1840 a great military power arise in Egypt, which had conquered nearly the whole of Arabia, had wrested Syria from the Porte, and, unchecked, might have re-created from an Egyptian base a vast Mohammedan empire. It was quite possible such a thing might occur once more, with Arabi in the place of Ibrahim, the son of Mehemet Ali.

The British occupation of Lower Egypt was followed by the downfall of Egyptian rule over the Sudan, the futile despatch of Gordon, and the too-late expedition in 1884 sent to extract Gordon from a besieged Khartoum. Here, again, there was no other motive than the desire to retrieve Britain's honour, much as there had been in the case of Abyssinia. Nothing was

Gordon's Death at Khartoum desired less at that moment than the addition to the British Empire of the Egyptian Sudan.

The too-late expedition, only just too late, was recalled from its natural impetus to avenge Gordon by complications with Russia in Central Asia. Little collateral wars had been carried on with the fierce Hamitic tribes of the Nubian Desert between the Red Sea coast and the Atbara, but the British and Egyptian forces were withdrawn to Wadi Halfa and the walls of Suakin, and for some years confined their efforts to repelling the attacks of the Dervishes.

The deliberate attempts at conquest of the bastard Zanzibar Arabs, descendants of the fierce Omani seamen and merchants, whose assaults on the Zanzibar coast had extinguished the power of the Portuguese in the eighteenth century, had steadily pushed inland, and had developed the slave trade to such an extent that they had scandalised the British public through the revelations of Livingstone, Speke, Grant, Stanley, Thomson and others. Ideas of empire had come to them, and they had determined to found vigorous Mohammedan slave states in Central Africa. But they knocked their heads against harder ones—the dogged Scottish pioneers of Nyassaland. It was with the

CONTESTS IN AFRICA AND THE PACIFIC

African Lakes Company at the north end of Lake Nyassa that the war broke out first between European and Arab for the possession of Central Africa. Trade had a little to do with it. The Arabs had begun to interfere between the native seller and the European purchaser; but it is only fair to state that sheer horror at the atrocious cruelties of the Arab slave raids precipitated the fight on the part of such agents of the African Lakes Company as the late Monteith Fotheringham and the still living Moir brothers. The African Lakes Company hastily called for volunteers, and enlisted amongst others a Captain Lugard, bent on East African adventure, and a hunter of big game, Alfred Sharpe. The one became the subjugator of Nigeria and the province of Uganda, and the other is still governor of the British Central African dominions.

But the Arabs were too strong to be subdued by a rabble of undisciplined blacks offered by five or six brave English or Scotch. A drawn battle was practically the result. The slave-traders had to be attacked nearer to their base before the

Arab power could be dealt with effectually at the north end of Lake Nyassa. It fell to the lot of the writer of these chapters to head this next movement, which culminated in 1895-1896 by the defeat and death of all the Arab leaders, and the definite establishment of British dominion up to the south end of Tanganyika and the shores of Lake Mweru. A little campaign against the power of the Angoni Zulus, who had invaded Nyassaland in the early part of the nineteenth century, completed such conquests as were necessary to establish a British protectorate over the whole of British Central Africa from the upper waters of the Zambesi to the Portuguese possessions east of Lake Nyassa.

The British establishment at Aden, which was rendered necessary by the opening of the overland route to India through Egypt and the Red Sea, brought the British power into contact with the Somali coast. There had been British envoys to Ethiopia and Shoa as far back as the closing years of the eighteenth century. The coastlands and a good deal of the interior of the Somali country produced sheep, goats, camels, and even oxen, besides other commodities which were required to feed the British garrison at Aden, and also the ever-increasing

number of steamers which called at Aden on their way to and from India. Therefore, as far back as the early 'fifties of the last century Great Britain, by means of official and unofficial explorations, was taking a marked interest in the fate of the Somali coast. During the period of Imperial lassitude coincident with the 'sixties and early 'seventies, Great Britain looked on with a shrug of the shoulders whilst Egypt, which at any rate, in our eyes, was better than France for such a purpose, attempted to make herself mistress of Somaliland.

Fall of the Egyptian Power

When the Egyptian power fell, however, with the annihilation of General Hicks's army and the death of Gordon, it was necessary to do something, or else the coast opposite Aden might be jointly occupied by France and Italy. So the very oddly-shaped protectorate of British Somaliland came into existence, and, needless to say, the attempts of the British to become responsible for law and order on the Somali coast dragged them much against their will into an equal responsibility for the disorder of inner Somaliland.

A mad mullah, a robber-fanatic, beginning as so many of these Moslem leaders have done, in a very prosaic way as a disappointed store-keeper or a market gardener whose crops had been ravaged by locusts, and who in a vague way has attributed his grievances to the incoming of the British government, drew to a head the dissatisfaction of the turbulent Somalis at seeing their misgoverned country somewhat rigidly administered by the yellow soldiers and white officers of a Christian empire, or an empire synonymous in their eyes with an interfering Christianity. Had our African policy been wisely directed at the time, the mad mullah, beyond our repelling his attacks on settlements near the coast, would have been fought by a railway

instead of by armies of negro and Indian soldiers gallantly led by British officers into the thorny deserts over an area as large as England, in attempts, that were to a great extent vain, to grasp the mobile enemy by the throat. Troubles began in Somaliland in 1898. The operations against Sayyid Mohammed, the "Mad" mullah, now no longer regarded as mad, commenced in 1901 and did not terminate until 1904. In 1905 Sayyid

Mohammed was recognised politically by Italy and Britain as a native ruler over a defined sphere with access to the coast. So much bravery and endurance were not entirely thrown away; the Somalis received a drastic lesson. But in the light of later wisdom we now realise that the millions which this little war cost Great

Civilising Influence of Railways

Britain might have been far more profitably and conclusively employed in the construction of a railway. Perhaps this lesson has been brought home to the empire. In Nigeria, in Sierra Leone, in the hinterland of Lagos, the policy of railway building has now been thoroughly understood. It is realised that a railway is the best investment of British Imperial money in these and other undeveloped countries.

It is true that the construction of a railway cannot be undertaken without a force to guard the railway workers; but it is far easier to advance from the secure base as the railway progresses, and the process requires a far smaller armed force than risky expeditions on a large scale into the unknown. The trouble in all African warfare is not the fighting when it comes to close quarters, but the question of transport in a roadless country. If you rely on native porters, they are relatively defenceless, and may bolt at the first appearance of the enemy; if on beasts of burden, mules or camels, they may be stampeded, maimed or killed by an enemy used to making such procedure the first thought in warfare. On the other hand, the railway inspires interest, curiosity, amazement, and suggests the very sweet thought of profitable commercial relations. It offers well-paid work for vigorous men, and a certain market for all native supplies.

Not long after the Arab question was settled in South-central Africa in 1896, trouble was brewing in the equatorial regions of Eastern Africa. Echoes of the revolt against the Germans in Swahili

Rising in Eastern Africa

Africa amongst the so-called Arabs or Arabised negroes had spread to the British territories at the back of Mombasa. Here

was wont to resort an Arab prince who was by many Moslems of East Africa regarded as the rightful occupant of the Zanzibar throne, the descendant of an Arab dynasty that had been replaced by the Sayyids of Oman. Sidi Mubarak stirred up trouble for the British. Moreover, it had been necessary to conquer by

a naval expedition a small Swahili sultanate on the Ozo River. The question of slavery and the slave trade lay at the bottom of this disaffection against British rule. When these troubles were appeased came rumours of more serious disturbances further to the west, in the Uganda Protectorate.

Sir Frederick, then Captain, Lugard had imported into the Uganda Protectorate, in the days when it was no more than a sphere of influence, a number of Emin Pasha's Sudanese soldiers. These men were brave, but they were emphatically Mohammedans, and with a few of them the old Arab dislike to the rule of the Christian still lingered. Their first easy victories in keeping order in Uganda inspired them with a contempt for the pagan or Christian negroes of that region. They also had legitimate grievances in regard to the manner in which they had been handled by one or two officers in command.

Added to this source of trouble was the extreme dislike on the part of the king of Unyoro and his counsellors and the king of Uganda to the imposition of British control. The mass of people in Uganda, and their local chiefs or headmen, on the contrary, strongly desired a British protectorate, and were opposed to their disreputable monarch on many grounds. But the first attempts to crush the mutiny of the Sudanese soldiers provoked a formidable rising of the Banyoro and disaffected Baganda. The British force, mainly consisting of Indian soldiers and thousands of Baganda "friendlies," got the better of the mutineers in several very bloody engagements, and finally the two kings of Unyoro and Uganda were captured and deported from East Africa.

The Uganda mutiny ended, so far as serious fighting was concerned, in 1899, but a few further engagements with the remnant of the Sudanese followed, and in 1900 there was trouble with the Nandi mountaineers. In all these contests it was obvious—the writer naturally speaks as an eye-witness—that the bulk of the natives of all races and tribes of the large British Protectorate of Uganda were with the British in their attempts to introduce decent government and profitable commerce. Had it not been so, it would have required a force of 10,000 soldiers and an expenditure of ten millions of money to reduce these lands to obedience. As a

CONTESTS IN AFRICA AND THE PACIFIC

matter of fact, they were pacified by a force of some 400 Indians and 3,000 native soldiers, commanded by British officers and non-commissioned officers. Moreover, an important remnant of the Sudanese remained faithful throughout to the British Government.

After the British Government advised the khedive of Egypt to withdraw his troops and officials to Wadi Halfa or the walls of Suakin, about the year 1886, no further steps of a warlike nature were taken for the reconquest of the Sudan. The task was tacitly postponed till a more convenient opportunity. Meanwhile, the present sirdar and governor-general of the Sudan, Sir Reginald Wingate, was steadily collecting information through one of the best organised intelligence departments in the world.

Emboldened by this silence, after the mahdi's death, when the Khalifa Abdallah succeeded to supreme power, a fierce attack was made on Egypt; but the Anglo-Egyptian army—that is to say, Egyptian soldiers fortified by an admixture of British non-commissioned and commissioned

officers—assisted by British cavalry and commanded by General (Lord) Grenfell, inflicted on the Dervishes at Saras, about thirty miles to the south of Wadi Halfa, a defeat so overwhelming that it checked once and for all any further aspirations of the khalifa for the reconquest of the world. The battles and skirmishes with Osman Digma, between 1884 and 1897, round Suakin and in the Eastern Sudan, had no such conclusive or effective retort; but the enemy here was worn out by continual defeats, and Osman Digma abandoned the struggle and repaired to the khalifa's army on the Nile in 1897 to oppose Kitchener's main advance. He was subsequently captured in the hills behind Tokar, in January, 1900.

How long this stage of waiting and preparation would have continued it is difficult to say, had not the conclusion of the drama been hastened by the action of France and the misfortunes of Italy. French rancour against the British occupation of Egypt continued to increase during the early 'nineties of the last century. It was envenomed by the opposition offered on the part of the British Government to a French annexation of Eastern Nigeria, and perhaps by the barrier we erected against the absorption

of the kingdom of Siam. British inaction was mistaken for indifference or cowardice. The marvellously rapid way in which the French had opened up connections between the Atlantic coast and the Mubangi River, the great northern affluent of the Congo, and between the Mubangi and the regions of the Shari and Lake

Chad, inspired them with the idea, enhanced by the similar successes of the Belgians advancing from the Congo, that the power of the Dervishes was either greatly exaggerated or was on the wane. They found that they could enter the south-western regions of the Bahr-el-Ghazal by friendly understanding with the Niam-Niam sultans, and so they conceived the idea of opening up direct trans-continental relations between the Gulf of Guinea, Abyssinia, and Somali-land, thus carrying a band of French influence right across Africa from sea to sea. It was known to the British Government, and was noted in a historic speech by Sir Edward Grey, that a French expedition was advancing to the Upper Nile.

Italy, in the meantime, was aspiring to conquer and acquire the whole of Abyssinia. Her hopes were shattered at the Battle of Adawa, in 1896. The imagined consequences of this disaster at the time were probably exaggerated in the mind of the German Emperor, who strongly urged the British Government to retake the eastern portion of the Egyptian Sudan, and thus distract the Dervishes from joining forces with Abyssinia, and sweeping the Italians into the Red Sea.

Fortified by this hint on the part of a potent personage, whose moral support in Egypt counteracted the threats of French hostility, the British Government sanctioned the advance to Dongola, long prepared by Sir Herbert Kitchener, and carried into effect with a method, accuracy, punctuality, and economy which

filled the British Government with admiration, and encouraged high hopes in regard to a similar advance on Khartoum.

This, indeed, followed in the year 1898 as a necessary consequence of Dongola. It was the only way to prevent a French annexation of the Egyptian Sudan. Omdurman and Khartoum were retaken on September 2nd-3rd, 1898, and the episode of Fashoda followed. France bowed to the verdict of the stricken field, and

withdrew. But the khalifa and some of his principal lieutenants still remained at large. They had withdrawn into that ominous thorny desert of Kordofan, where Hicks's army had been lost—and the Sudan with it—in 1883. So long as they remained at large, gathering again reactionary forces for the attack, there

could be no rest for the British governor at Khartoum. Consequently, the third and last campaign that regained the Sudan for civilisation was entered upon by Sir Reginald Wingate, to the great anxiety of those who were watching afar off. A success, in its way as triumphant as that of Kitchener, settled the question once and for all. In the battle of Om Dubreikat on November 25th, 1899, the khalifa Abdallah and all his emirs were killed.

Colonel Hunter and Colonel Parsons, between them, had conquered the whole Eastern Sudan, from the Blue Nile to Kassala, in September, 1898: but this region required a small punitive expedition as late as 1908. The great cattle-breeding tribe of the Dinkas has elicited more than one display of Anglo-Egyptian force, and the Nam-Niams of the Western Bahr el Ghazal likewise.

The only "native" wars in Polynesia sufficiently important to be chronicled have been those which took place in New Zealand in two periods, from 1845 to 1848, and from 1860 to 1870. The indigenous New Zealand Maori population, of Polynesian origin, was certain, sooner or later, to come into conflict with the British colonists. Documents were drawn up, and received the crosses of unreflecting chiefs who thereby had disposed of large areas of communal land without realising the after effects. The unscrupulous actions of the European settlers were met by

reprisals. The usual muddle took place in dealing with the great war of 1860-70 in its first stages, and before it came to a final end a good number of British soldiers and settlers had lost their lives. But, as might be anticipated, it resulted in the definite conquest of the Maori; also in more conscientious settlement of their land questions.

No colonial war of recent years has taken place in any British American possession; but in 1865 there was a serious danger of a wide-spreading negro revolt in the island of Jamaica. The somewhat panic-stricken and illegal actions taken by Governor Eyre and the officers under his command cost that otherwise excellent colonial official his career.

The revolt in Upper and Lower Canada between 1835 and 1838 entailed a good deal of stiff fighting. It was finally extinguished by the evident determination of the British Government, through the work of such able administrators as the Earl of Durham and Lord Sydenham, to endow the Canadas with a complete and popular form of constitutional government. In 1870 the revolt of the French half-breeds in the Red River district, under Louis Riel, entailed a military expedition commanded by the present Viscount Wolseley, then a young colonel. But Louis Riel reappeared fifteen years later, and defeated a body of Canadian mounted police and volunteers. This success rallied round him the still recalcitrant element of French half-breeds and pure blood Indians. But a body of over 5,000 Canadian militia soon overcame Riel's resistance. He was captured, tried for murder—he was practically an outlaw, having fled from justice after the murder of Thomas Scott in 1870—and hanged at Regina in November, 1885.

**Fate of the
Rebel
Louis Riel**

and defeated a body of Canadian mounted police and volunteers. This success rallied round him the still recalcitrant element of French half-breeds and pure blood Indians. But a body of over 5,000 Canadian militia soon overcame Riel's resistance. He was captured, tried for murder—he was practically an outlaw, having fled from justice after the murder of Thomas Scott in 1870—and hanged at Regina in November, 1885.



BRITISH HAUSA TROOPS STATIONED ON THE GOLD COAST



THE FIGHTING FORCES OF THE BRITISH EMPIRE

NAVAL ACHIEVEMENTS FROM THE TIME OF KING ALFRED TO THE PRESENT DAY

THE British, or more strictly speaking, the English Navy began in the time of Alfred as a means of counter-attack against the Danes, and continued afterwards as a collection of armed merchantmen. After the Norman conquest and under the Plantagenets it served as a method of attacking Ireland, Scotland, France, Flanders, and Spain. But as a means to the end of founding a great empire beyond the seas it only began in the time of Elizabeth. Even then there were "Queen's ships" and the vessels of private adventurers whose proceedings were either licensed or winked at by the sovereign, and who were only to be distinguished from common pirates in that their hostile actions were usually limited to the property of such nations as were at war or on bad terms with England.

The first of such sea-fights under the national flag was the battle of an English fleet under Sir John Hawkins and Sir Francis Drake against the ships of the Spanish viceroy off San Juan de Ulua, on the coast of Mexico, in 1567. This ended in a decisive victory for the British, and was the beginning of the long series of attacks on Spanish America, which continued down to 1808, and even found their echo in the United States' war against Spain

England's Early Sea Fights

on account of Cuba and Porto Rico. This particular fight at San Juan de Ulua arose over the desire of the English to carry on a trade in African slaves between Guinea and America in defiance of Spanish monopolies of commerce and privileges.

Sir John Hawkins had begun the slave trade under the indirect permit—a sub-concession from Genoese and Portuguese concessionaries—of Spain in 1562, and it had proved so profitable that Queen

Elizabeth had put two of her ships and several thousand pounds into the business. This unofficial war between England and Spain, provoked by the Spanish and Portuguese monopolies of trade and communications between Europe and America, Africa, and India, was continued by Drake's piratical expeditions of 1572-1573

and 1577-1580, in the course of which he attacked and plundered the Spanish settlements of Santo Domingo, Florida, Cuba, and, most wonderful of all, Peru. He sailed round South America, attacked the Spaniards on the undefended Pacific coast, and then, first of all leaders of men, so far as we know, completed the circumnavigation of the globe. Magellan, the Portuguese navigator, died in the Spice Islands after discovering the Magellan Straits. His ships, not he, completed the first voyage round the world. In 1585, when Spain and England were at last at open war, followed Drake's Carthage expedition, and in 1587 was the raid on Cadiz, in which he destroyed or captured eighty Spanish ships which were employed in preparing for the great Armada.

The exploits or outrages of Drake were among provocative causes of the dispatch of the great Armada which was effectually to subdue this nation of Protestant pirates in the Northern seas. The resistance offered to this mighty Spanish fleet may be justly regarded as one of the earliest glories of the English Navy, but we should also not forget that it was equally Dutch valour which rendered the purposes of the Armada impossible and saved England from experiencing at the hands of Spain woes such as England herself had inflicted on Ireland. Frobisher, Howard of Effingham,

Drake and Hawkins, tackled this enormous and clumsy fleet of sixty magnificent vessels as soon as it had entered the British Channel, and followed it resolutely to the Straits of Dover. Here, whilst the Spanish naval commander-in-chief was awaiting the arrival of the Duke of Parma's army for England, which was to sally out

Fate of the Spanish Armada from the Flemish and Dutch seaports in shallow vessels, the brave Dutch mariners blockaded the coasts and deltas of the Netherlands, and prevented the Spanish soldiers from putting out to sea. During this hesitancy an English sea-captain, probably Winter, thought of the splendid idea—really originated some years earlier by an Italian engineer, Giambelli—of sending fireships to drift with wind and tide into the midst of the huddled and anchored Armada. This for the first time scattered the Armada. The decisive engagement and the complete rout of the fleet took place next day, though the chase was continued on the part of the English to as far north as the latitude of 56°.

The next great naval exploit was the capture of Cadiz in 1596, by Essex, Raleigh, Effingham, and Howard, followed by a raid on Spanish shipping in the Azores Archipelago. Then for a time Spain and England were at peace. The next enemy to be encountered on the sea was Holland. An English fleet under Monk, commissioned by the Lord Protector Cromwell, defeated the Dutch off the North Foreland in 1653, and destroyed much Dutch shipping in the Texel.

All this warfare with Holland, like that with Spain, arose over the question of commercial monopolies in the Colonies and the Eastern seas. Admiral Blake proceeded to the Mediterranean in 1656 and bombarded Porto Farina and Goletta on the coast of Tunis, to punish the dey of that Turkish principality for attacks on British shipping. In 1657

England's Glory in the Navy Blake's fleet won a victory over the Spaniards at Cadiz. The glory of the navy has been a peculiarly English one, and

perhaps accounts for the predominance of England over Ireland and Scotland. The Scandinavians, who colonised the coasts of Ireland and Scotland, did not implant there as strong a lust for a seafaring life as they did all round maritime England, from Berwick to Penzance, and from Dungeness to Lancaster. Of course, English navigation

was confined pretty much to home waters—to the shores of Scandinavia, Holland, France, Spain, and Portugal—during the Middle Ages, and the first great swoops of discovery and conquest under the early Tudors were made at the instigation of Venetian, Genoese and Portuguese pilots or captains; just as under the later Plantagenet kings the English marine learnt much from the Flemings and the Dieppoys. But by the time of Elizabeth's accession the English—equally with the Dutch—were the hardiest navigators and the boldest sea-fighters in the world.

Thenceforth, though they were not too proud to learn new methods of naval construction or of maritime warfare from Holland, Spain, France, Genoa, or from the Algerine pirates, the English needed no one to show them the way into strange seas, nor, in the long run, could any other navy prevail against them. They fought and beat the Portuguese off the coasts of Africa, India, and the Persian Gulf; they withstood the mighty ships of Spain in English and Irish waters, off the coasts of Spain and of the Mediterranean, in the

The Naval Triumphs of England Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea along the Pacific coasts of South America, amid the Spice Islands, and the archipelago of the Philippines. They won

final victories over the Dutch at the close of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries—since when, for unexplained causes, Holland has ceased to be a first-class naval power—and closed their chequered but generally successful duel with the French Navy by the battle of Trafalgar.

America fought with equal valour and address, but with infinitely smaller resources, in the war of 1812-1814, and since then, happily, has been at peace with us. Turkey received an occasional drubbing in the Eastern Mediterranean or the Red Sea between the seventeenth and the early nineteenth centuries. The Barbary rovers were finally settled by Lord Exmouth's bombardment of Algiers in 1816. Since 1806 Great Britain has held the world's championship on the open sea. And the glory till that date lay chiefly, though not entirely, with men of English birth.

In 1692, Admiral Russell defeated the French in a great naval battle off La Hogue, and thus hauled a most serious attempt on the part of Louis XIV. to restore the Stuart dynasty under conditions which would have materially crippled the British

THE FIGHTING FORCES OF THE BRITISH EMPIRE

Empire beyond the seas. The British Navy co-operated with an Anglo-German force in the capture of Gibraltar in 1704. In 1718, as a consequence of the War of the Spanish Succession and the disputes over Italy, Sir George Byng fought a successful battle which practically destroyed the Spanish fleet off the coast of Sicily.

In 1747 Admiral (Lord) Anson, Commodore Fox, and Admiral (Lord) Hawke, inflicted tremendous naval defeats on the French Navy between Cape Finisterre and Belle Ile, thus cutting off France from intervention in the West Indies and North America. In the war of 1756-63, the British Navy accomplished many noteworthy feats which atoned for the feebleness displayed by Admiral Byng over the relief of Minorca. It prevented all chance of reinforcing Montcalm in Canada, or Lally in India. Lord Hawke in 1759 destroyed the main portion of the French fleet off the mouth of the Vilaine on the coast of Brittany. In 1762, Lord Albemarle and Admiral Pocock led a naval force which attacked and captured Havana, and practically the whole island of Cuba; in the

The Navy in the War with America

same year Admiral Cornish and Sir William Draper, sailing from Madras, achieved the same result with Manila and the Philippines. Both these expeditions enriched the war-chest of the British Government with several million sterling.

The luckless War of American Independence was, in its earlier stages, marked by singular ill-success on the part of the British Navy, which proved unequal to the task of preventing the transport of large bodies of French troops to America, and failed to beat or evade the French, or to seize the Cape of Good Hope as a return blow to the Dutch for joining the coalition. But, in 1781, Admiral Parker, in the battle of the Dogger Bank, administered such a severe punishment to the Dutch fleet as disabled it for the remainder of the war.

In 1782, Rodney defeated the Comte de Grasse off Dominica, in the West Indies, and thus checked the very serious depredations which the French were making on British possessions and commerce in that quarter. Nevertheless, this period of the eighteenth century (1775-1785) witnessed the greatest ascendancy of French sea power. The British naval supremacy was never so seriously threatened as between 1770 and 1892. Lord Howe's victory off Ushant on the "Glorious First of June,"


1794, upset the plans of the French Republic for the invasion of maltreated, disaffected Ireland. In the battle of Camperdown, in 1797, Admiral Duncan destroyed the efficiency of the Dutch fleet, which was then under French orders, and in the same year Admiral Jervis rendered a similar service in regard to the naval force of Spain off

Cape St. Vincent. The year 1798 saw Nelson's marvelous victory over the French battleships and transports at Aboukir Bay, a defeat which hopelessly crippled the French plans for the permanent conquest of Egypt. A detachment of the British Fleet under Sir Sydney Smith, by its watchfulness along the Syrian coast and its defence of Acre, rendered impossible what otherwise might have still taken place—a conquest by Napoleon of the empire of the Nearer East. Similarly, the naval action of the British off Valetta made it possible for the Maltese to expel the French from their island. The same force prevented Napoleon's soldiers from capturing Sicily and Sardinia.


Calder's victory over Villeneuve off Cape Finisterre in the late summer of 1805, followed by Nelson's never-to-be-forgotten achievement of Trafalgar—when the naval strength of Spain and France was ruined till the close of the Napoleonic wars—fitly closes this amazing record of victories with a crowning grace so splendid, so complete, that for one hundred and four years no sea Power or group of Powers has thought it wise to challenge our supremacy. To Nelson, more than to any other hero on the roll of fame, the British owe the extent, the stability, the wealth, and the happiness of their empire.

Since 1805, the British Fleet has fought no action of vital importance, and has, consequently, no striking victory to record over the Great Powers of the world. If the navy has had no chance to add to its laurels since 1814, except in the bombard-


ment of Russian forts in the Baltic, the interference with Turkish and Egyptian squadrons over questions of Greek and Egyptian independence, the chastisement of Arab, Malay, Chinese and negro slave-traders, and the capture of piratical South American warships; its existence and readiness for action have been the chief mainstay of the imperial forces. Without this overwhelming fleet we could never have restrained France from fresh descents on



FLAGSHIP OF THE ENGLISH FLEET AT THE TIME OF THE SPANISH ARMADA




WARSHIPS OF THE TIME OF QUEEN ELIZABETH




THE ROYAL PRINCE: A WARSHIP OF THE TIME OF JAMES I.

BRITISH SHIPS OF WAR IN THE TIMES OF ELIZABETH AND JAMES I.




THE ROYAL GEORGE: TIME OF GEORGE II.

18TH CENTURY MAN-OF-WAR



H.M.S. AGAMEMNON, THE FIRST SCREW BATTLESHIP OF THE BRITISH NAVY



FAMOUS FIGHTING SHIPS, WITH THE VICTORY IN RIGHT FOREGROUND, OFF SPITHEAD

BATTLESHIPS OF THE GEORGIAN AND EARLY VICTORIAN PERIODS

Egypt and Syria in the middle of the nineteenth century, Russia from occupying Constantinople or Peking, Germany from armed intervention in South Africa, Portugal from annexing Nyassaland, or Turkey from resuming her sway in Egypt or absorbing the Imamate of Oman. But, as before stated, it has always been behind

Checking our land forces to ensure
the Algerine their victory sooner or later.
Pirates Nevertheless, in this record of achievements mention might be made of the various actions of the navy in the building up of the empire since 1815. In 1816, when the anxiety of the Napoleonic struggle was at an end, it was decided to put a stop once and for all to the insolence of the Algerine pirates.

Since Blake's appearance in the Mediterranean, they had been chary of interference with British shipping, but they still interfered with the Maltese and the Ionian Islands, and continued their piracies along the coast of Naples, Sicily, and Sardinia. Thousands of wretched Maltese, Greeks, and Italians were life-long slaves of the Turkish rulers of Tripoli, Tunis, Bona, and Algiers. Lord Exmouth was proceeding to attack Algiers, after freeing the Christian slaves of Tunis and Tripoli without recourse to force, when he was joined by a small but efficient Dutch fleet under Admiral van Capellen. Together the British and Dutch smashed the fortifications of Algiers, and destroyed the dey's warships, besides exacting ample reparation for past injuries.

In 1827 the British, French and Russian Fleets destroyed the Turco-Egyptian war navy under the Egyptian Ibrahim Pasha in the Bay of Navarino or Pylus, south-west coast of Greece, with a view to establishing the independence of Greece. Then ensued a long spell of peace on the seas, scarcely broken, if at all, by the police duties of the British Navy on the West Coast of Africa—where steam vessels

Britain's were first employed in 1827—
Naval Wars the Malay archipelago, the
with China West Indies and the Pacific.

In 1840, the British Fleet in the Mediterranean bombarded and captured that Acre which Napoleon could not take ; but this was when Britain was endeavouring to force Mehemet Ali, the viceroy of Egypt and vicarious conqueror of Syria, back into his subjection to the Porte. During the first conflict with China, British naval forces occupied the Chusan

archipelago and Hong Kong, destroyed the Bogue forts which protected the entrance to the Canton River, and eventually enabled British land forces to occupy Canton, Amoy, Shanghai and other coast towns. In the second Chinese War, the navy again occupied Canton after a bombardment. It also co-operated in the attempt to force the river access to Peking in 1859-1860, and in suppressing the Boxer revolt in 1890-1900.

The navy, in 1863 and 1864, conducted to a successful issue our only armed conflict with Japan. The dangerous Malay pirates of Borneo and the China Sea were dealt with between 1840 and 1857. A naval expedition, under Admiral Sir William Hewett, cleared out the pirates of the Congo estuary in 1875. Piracy in the Persian Gulf has also been suppressed by the patrolling of British war-vessels.

From 1826 until 1885 a detachment of our navy watched the east and west coasts of Africa to suppress the slave trade. A heavy toll of deaths from fever and climatic causes has been exacted from the west coast service, while on the east not a few lives have been lost in the attempts to board, inspect, or capture Arab slave-dealers. Occasionally, on the west coast, the measures taken to stop the sale and export of slaves have risen to the importance of small wars. Thus, the roadstead of Dahomeh was blockaded for seven years from 1876 to 1883. Lagos, a great slave-trading stronghold, was bombarded in 1851. Out of opposition to the slave raiding and trading, which were ruining interior Africa, arose the desire to combine a practical, honest commerce with philanthropic police work. It was, therefore, attempted in 1841, and later, in 1856-9, to open up the Lower Niger and Benue. In the first of these expeditions the Royal Navy and naval officers played a considerable part, while the second was also under naval supervision.

Gradually the navy, conjoined with a consular service, came to police the whole Niger Delta and the Kamerun. This state of affairs grew in the latter part of the nineteenth century into the British protectorate of Southern Nigeria. Before this protectorate possessed a properly organised police force, British war vessels inflicted salutary punishment on the eagerly commercial but very bloodthirsty negroes of the Niger Delta. There were

THE FIGHTING FORCES OF THE BRITISH EMPIRE

naval expeditions to deal with the turbulent people of Opobo (1887-1892), the cannibals of Brass (1895), while an expedition mainly naval, conducted with remarkable skill, under circumstances of the acutest difficulty, put an end for ever to the blood-stained rule of Benin (1897). Gunboats and naval detachments have also maintained or restored order on the Gambia and up the Sierra Leone rivers.

In Eastern Africa the navy has played a considerable part in the operations (1891-1895) against the slave-trading Arabs and Yaos of Nyassaland. Zanzibar was bombarded in 1896 when the reactionary party among the Arabs wished to place on the throne a candidate who was not the recognised heir. Earlier than this, in 1895, a naval expedition succeeded after an exceedingly tough fight under difficulties of swamp, forest and scrub, and native ferocity—resembling the expedition to Benin—in conquering the little independent Swahili sultanate of Vitu, which had so long defied attack from Muscat or Zanzibar Arabs, Germans or British. Our navy during the whole nineteenth century has policed the Red Sea, the Gulf of Aden, and the adjoining coasts of Somaliland and Southern Arabia, administering chastisement, when they could be got at, to Arab sheikhs and Somali tribes. It has more than once intervened to maintain the In'am of Muscat on the shaky throne of Oman.

Its services during the Egyptian War of 1882 were mainly the bombardment of Alexandria and the control of the Suez Canal. It contributed a contingent to the Gordon relief expedition of 1884-1885, and intervened effectually to prevent the Dervishes from capturing Swuakin.

In the New World, since 1814, its services to the empire have been mainly limited to supporting the civil arm at times of ebullition and threatened revolt among the negro population of the West Indies and British Guiana; or to exacting reparation for injuries to British commerce or British subjects on the part of the impulsive governments of Central America. Off the south Peruvian coast, H.M.S. Shah, of the British Navy, in 1877, pursued and sank the rebel gunboat of Peru, the Huascar, which had turned pirate on a large scale.

In Oceania the navy has never yet fought a great battle, but for a hundred years and more it has maintained a police

of ever increasing vigilance among the many Pacific and Papuan islands under independent chiefs or British protection. It has, since 1870, protected the South Sea Islanders against unscrupulous Europeans or has chastised them for unprovoked acts of aggression against each other or against the white man. Lastly, in that nobler war, the fight against ignorance, that struggle for the disinterested gains of pure science, the British Navy has for the last 150 years played a notable part. In 1768, Captain James Cook sailed for the Pacific in H.M.S. Endeavour (only 370 tons), in command of a scientific expedition to observe the transit of Venus across the sun's disc. The astronomical observations were completed at Tahiti, and Cook then directed his course for the scarcely known southern continent, re-discovering New Zealand on the way. The botanists and zoologists on board his ship had the privilege of first collecting and bringing back for the enlightenment of European science specimens of the extraordinary fauna and flora of Australia.

In 1773, the first directly naval expedition sailed from England for the Arctic regions, though seamen in the service of the Crown had figured much earlier in this field of research. Captain Phipps, R.N., proceeded as far north as 80° 48' N. Lat., with the ships Racehorse and Carcass, beyond Spitzbergen. Since then the share of the British Navy in Arctic discovery has been so gigantic as to be impossible of description in a few sentences.

Among many great names on the roll of Arctic exploration may be mentioned Sir John Franklin, Sir John Ross, Sir Edward Parry, Sir George Back, Admiral F. W. Beechey, Sir Leopold McClintock, Sir R. J. McClure, Captain Austin, Sir R. Collinson, Sir Edward Belcher, Sir Albert Markham, Sir Clements Markham, and Sir George Nares—all of the Royal Navy, in one category or another. Between them, and with the valuable assistance of the Hudson's Bay Company, served by such men as Hearne, Mackenzie, Simpson, Dr. Rae, and Sir John Richardson, they laid down on the world's charts the greater part of the coast-line of North America and its huge annectant islands between Pering's Straits and the coast of Labrador. The Antarctic regions were first explored by Captain James Cook,

**The Navy's
Services
to the State**

**Explorers
in the
Royal Navy**

1682



1700



1706



1704



1742



1799



1815



1832



1854



HISTORIC TYPES OF THE SCOTS GREYS. THE OLDEST CAVALRY REGIMENT



HISTORIC TYPES OF THE COLDSTREAM GUARDS, ONE OF THE OLDEST REGIMENTS

in 1773, in two ships of the Royal Navy, H.M.S. *Resolution* and *Adventure*. Captain James Ross commanded the greatest naval expedition directed towards the South Pole, that of 1839-1843. And the last explorations of these regions—English and Scottish, 1903-1904, 1908-1909—have been conducted by officers of the Royal Navy

The Historic Voyage of H.M.S. Beagle (Captain Scott and Lieutenant Shackleton). In 1821-1822, Lieutenant Beechey, R.N., surveyed the coasts and ruins of the Cyrenaica, then, as now, one of the least known parts of Africa. A landmark in the history of human knowledge will always be the voyage of H.M.S. *Beagle*, in 1831-1836, with Darwin as surgeon and naturalist. Captain W. F. Owen's great surveying voyages (1822-1827) all round the continent of Africa and Madagascar were truly remarkable in their enormous additions to geographical knowledge. For the first time in history, Africa was correctly outlined in detail in almost all the intricacies of its coasts; in the depths or shallowness, the rocks, shoals, sandbanks, deep channels, and creeks of its harbours, estuaries, river-mouths, bays, gulfs, and lagoons.

Owen's voyage was the forerunner of a general survey of the whole world of waters by the British Navy. There is not a mile of coast in the known continents and islands of both hemispheres which has not, at some time or other, been surveyed and sounded by a British ship. The charts of the Hydrographical department of the British Admiralty are in use all over the world as works of standard reference.

The four years' scientific researches carried on by the staff and crew of H.M.S. *Challenger* (1872-1876) were epoch-making in their results. All the great oceans were examined as to their depths, currents, temperatures, fauna (especially the living creatures of profound depths), and the conformation of their floors; the formation

The Navy in Scientific Research of coral islands was examined; the action of the sun's rays on sea water was studied; nor was the ethnology of the Pacific Islands overlooked, and the ornithology—the petrels, gulls, and pelicans—of the ocean wastes, or of oceanic rocks and atolls.

The Imperial army in its personnel and recruitment has not always been as English or as British as the navy. For example, the Foreign Legion recruited by the British Government for service during

the Crimean War—not including Turkish irregulars. Bashi-Bazouks—amounted to 16,559 soldiers—German, nearly 10,000, Swiss, and Italians. Until the close of the Crimean War the British Government did not hesitate to fight its land battles by means of foreign mercenaries. Plantagenet kings accomplished much of their conquests of England, Wales, Ireland, and of Scotland with French, Gascon, Flemish, Burgundian troops; though Henry VIII. was all English in his armed force. Mary I. employed Flemings and Spaniards abroad. Elizabeth more than once relied entirely on English valour for her incursions into the Netherlands and the American-Spanish dominions, and also for her ruthless and destructive conquest of Ireland. James I. supported his colonial seizures with English soldiers, a large proportion of whom were what we should now call convicts.

But in the times of the Stuarts—the early Stuarts especially—feudal instincts were still alive. Great nobles were still, to some extent, the rulers of shires or of smaller districts. When James I. or

Birth of a National Army Charles I. "sold" or bestowed or chartered any West India island or North American state to an English earl, baron,

or marquess, that nobleman in person or by deputy would proceed to arm and equip a number of lusty and adventurous young men from among his tenantry or hangers-on—Irish, as well as English and Welsh—and these became the first fighting force against interlopers, against Caribs, Arawaks, Mohawks, or Choctaws. Courtiers and peers who were financially interested in the East India Company furnished likewise the few fighting men, not actually sailors, who were required for the defence of the company's small forts, to defend which, later, large native armies of sepoy and Eurasians were employed.

It was really not till the struggle between king and parliament during the middle of the seventeenth century that the English national army came into being; and this growth was to some extent checked after the Restoration. But under Charles II. two of the regiments of Lifeguards (Coldstreams—the Coldstreams were the last vestige of Cromwell's and Monk's standing army—and 1st Lifeguards) began, which have been extended and continued as a corps d'élite to the present day; and in this reign the first regiments for foreign

THE FIGHTING FORCES OF THE BRITISH EMPIRE

service, the 1st and 2nd Tangier Regiments, cavalry and infantry, "Kirke's Lambs," nowadays known as the 1st Dragoon Guards and the Queen's, or Royal West Surrey Regiment, were recruited, at first mainly from amongst the rascaldom of London and Dublin. William III. employed a large number of Dutch and Danish soldiers in his fight for the British Crown, and for some time after his coronation kept his Dutch Guards in London. In fact, he really conquered Ireland—and thereby retained England—with foreign soldiers.

George I. and George II. brought German regular soldiers to England, and, although these were eventually sent back to Hanover, the principle of recruiting German, mainly West-German, mercenaries for service as, and with, the British Army abroad continued until 1857, having commenced under Queen Anne. To these German legions, their most faithful, uncomplaining service, their unswerving loyalty and unstinted bravery, the British Empire owes much. As elsewhere related, they became in many individual instances

the salt of our early colonial efforts in America, South Africa, and Australia. There was no standing or professional army in England for home or foreign service until the middle of the seventeenth century. There was a militia, and in feudal days and under the Tudors nearly all the vigorous males of the community of all ranks of life were trained to arms of some kind instead of wasting their time on fruitless athletic sports, the survival in some cases of actual crude efforts to attack or defend. The serfs, peasantry, and mechanics learnt to use the bow, wield the pike, sling the stone, discharge the rude musket. They were the infantry. The gentry, successors of the knights, were the cavalry, who wielded sword or battle-axe.

This cavalry came in time to include the enfranchised yeomanry, "the upper middle class" of to-day. When a war, internecine or foreign, was toward, the king called on his barons, and they in their turn on the lesser authorities below them, to furnish from out of their serfs or tenantry the requisite number of "men-at-arms." And thus an army was gathered together. But it was less easy to do this for foreign service. Men would have come forward readily enough to fight within a few days' or even weeks' march of their own homes ;

but when it came to embarking on board ship to leave for foreign parts, desertions were numerous among the militia. Moreover, the period during which feudal service could be claimed was limited, so that the English kings who carried on war in France were obliged by degrees to pay the soldiers whom they engaged to accompany them. Edward III. landed an army near Calais in 1346 which consisted of about 25,000 English, 4,450 Welsh, and 1,100 Irish. Their daily pay ranged from 6s. 8d. for the officers of highest rank to 3d. for the English soldiers. The Welsh, being less skilled in archery, received only 2d. a day. This was the force which won the battle of Crécy.

But, except for companies of archers, halberdiers, and showy men-at-arms, who formed part of the sovereign's household and were a guard about the palace, there was no standing army in England until the time of Cromwell's protectorship. Then there was a public force of 80,000 men.

When Charles II. came to the throne this had become in the main the army under Monk which practically suppressed the Rump Parliament and gave the throne to Charles. Nevertheless, the king made haste to disband it, only retaining out of all this force the Coldstream regiment, which became the Coldstream Guards, the oldest regiment in the British Army. He also received back to English service the Scottish soldiers who had migrated abroad after the downfall of Charles I.

After Charles II.'s marriage, however, it became necessary to raise a limited body of troops for the occupation and garrisoning of Bombay and Tangier. Men were recruited, therefore, from the wilder and more reckless remainder of Cromwell's army to form the Bombay Fusiliers—afterwards known as the 103rd Regiment—the first regular troops of the Crown maintained in India, and the two Tangier regi-

ments—one of cavalry (the 1st Royal Dragoons of to-day) and the other infantry (Queen Catherine's Regiment, afterwards the Queen's or the Royal West Surrey). When Tangier was restored to the Moors these regiments were brought to England, and formed part of the regular standing army, which at the end of Charles II.'s reign amounted to a total of 16,500 men. James II. raised this figure to 20,000. Much of this army went over

**First Standing
Army
in England**

**Military
Training under
the Tudors**

**The Army
of
Charles II.**

to William III. after his landing, but for a long time he preferred to surround his person with Danish or Dutch soldiers, whose fidelity he could trust, and Ireland was conquered by him in 1689 by an army composed of Dutch, Danish, and English regiments, besides contingents from the Ulster Irish. Twenty British regiments

**How the
Army
has Grown**

accompanied Marlborough to Flanders on the outset of his marvellous campaigns, campaigns which won us colonies and the outlines of empires as their ultimate results. In 1689 William succeeded in getting the Mutiny Act passed, which renewed every year makes the maintenance of a standing army legal, and subjects it, through its finance, to the constitutional control of the House of Commons.

Under Anne increasing bodies of regular soldiers were sent out to defend the American colonies and West Indies. By 1713 the British Colonial Army in America amounted to 11,000 men. The Home Army at this period was about 70,000 of all arms. After the Peace of Utrecht this force was disbanded, all but about 8,000, to which George I. added some regiments of German Guards.

In 1759 the 30th Regiment was raised and sent out to India to assist Clive and the forces of the East India Company. In 1793 the Home Army on a peace footing was only 17,013 men. In 1803, on a war footing, it had risen to 120,000 regulars,

78,000 militia, and 347,000 volunteers. In 1822 the standing army, home and foreign service, was only 72,000 in strength. By 1866 this total had risen to 203,500. At the present day the regular army of the United Kingdom consists of about 252,400 officers and men, of whom some 20,000 are non-combatants. Of this total about 126,000 are stationed in India (which has 80,000), and in the crown colonies, protectorates, and in South Africa.

Since the Crimean War, where European soldiery has been necessary to the situation our troops have been recruited mainly in England, Scotland, Wales and Ireland, Man and Channel Islands, Malta, Canada, Australia, and South Africa. Slowly, unwillingly, the truth is being realised that before long we must, in the United Kingdom and in all its white daughter-nations, submit to the yoke of universal, compulsory military service if we are to hold together the empire we won

**The Prospect
of
Conscription**


mainly with mercenaries. As a nation we English have always disliked extremely the idea of state Socialism. Individualism has in all things been our guiding principle. So we have rebelled at all effective arrangements of militia, volunteers, and citizen armies. But by one expedient after another, cautious statesmen are bringing us nearer and nearer to the option of conscription or abdication as a ruling power beyond the limits of the United Kingdom.



A DETACHMENT OF CANADIAN NORTH-WEST MOUNTED POLICE

OUTPOSTS of EMPIRE


Being a series of photographs taken in widely distant parts of the British Empire, selected for the purpose of illustrating the diversity of the countries and climes over which the British flag is flying.



ST. JOHN'S, THE CAPITAL OF NEWFOUNDLAND




GENERAL VIEW OF VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA



GENERAL VIEW OF ST. HELENA, SHOWING LADDER HILL ON THE RIGHT




VIEW OF PORT LOUIS, IN THE ISLAND OF MAURITIUS



IN THE SEYCHELLES: SCENE IN THE ISLAND OF MAHÉ

BRITISH ISLANDS IN THE ATLANTIC AND PACIFIC OCEANS



TOWN AND PORT OF ST. GEORGE'S. IN THE BERMUDAS




PUBLIC BUILDINGS AT BRIDGETOWN, IN BARBADOS

SCENES IN BRITISH ISLANDS OF THE WEST ATLANTIC



CHRISTMAS ISLAND, SHOWING THREE OF THE TEN EUROPEAN RESIDENTS




CAPE BATHURST IN THE PARRY ISLANDS OF THE ARCTIC OCEAN



WHANGAWA BAY IN THE CHATHAM ISLANDS

BRITISH TERRITORY IN THE FAR NORTH AND SOUTH



GENERAL VIEW OF HONG KONG AS SEEN FROM BOWEN ROAD



BHOTI ENCAMPMENT IN THE FARTHEST NORTH-WEST OF INDIA

SEA-COAST AND MOUNTAIN OUTPOSTS OF THE FAR EAST



ASCENSION ISLAND, WHICH IS "RATED" AS A BRITISH MAN-OF-WAR




TRISTAN D'ACUNHA: "EDINBURGH," THE ONLY SETTLEMENT ON THE ISLAND



PITCAIRN ISLAND. INHABITED BY DESCENDANTS OF MUTINEERS OF THE BOUNTY
LONELY ISLANDS OF THE OCEAN WHERE THE BRITISH FLAG FLIES




BAFFIN'S BAY, SHOWING NORTHERNMOST INHABITED HOUSE IN AMERICA



ALBERT HARBOUR, ALBERT LAND, IN THE ARCTIC REGIONS

POINTS OF BRITISH TERRITORY IN THE FROZEN NORTH



A TRADING STATION IN THE WESTERN SOLOMON ISLANDS



BUYING COPRA AT MARAN IN THE SOLOMON ISLANDS

BRITISH TRADING CENTRES IN THE SOUTH SEAS



COMPOSITION OF THE EMPIRE THE VARIED PEOPLES UNDER THE BRITISH FLAG THEIR CUSTOMS, LANGUAGES AND RELIGIONS

THE British Empire should be divided into two distinct sections—that which is governed from London, and that which governs itself. The first is the special appanage of Great Britain and Ireland, and the second is rapidly differentiating into a series of independent states—daughter nations—managing their own affairs, political, fiscal, commercial, with little or no concern for the requirements and interests of the metropolitan kingdom.

They are bound to us in some vaguely filial way; bound to us mostly at present by finance, by a remarkable community of race-feeling—except possibly in those rare sections where the nationality of origin and mother tongue were different—by the use of the same language, the same irrational weights and measures, the same literature and art, the same religious beliefs and prejudices, and by the acceptance of the same sovereign head. The countries of the first section, outside Great Britain, Ireland, Man, the Channel Islands, and the small Mediterranean possessions, are inhabited in the main by yellow, brown, or black men, essentially non-European in race, religion, civilisation, and languages; those of the second section are “white men’s lands,” where the preponderating mass of the population is in origin of the white European stock, mainly Anglo-Keltic, and where the climate and conditions are of a nature to permit of the white man raising a vigorous progeny, which shall become the real indigenes of the land.

The first section—the Inner Empire—includes, outside Great Britain, Ireland, Man, and the Channel Islands, Gibraltar, Malta, and Cyprus; the control of Egypt, and the protectorate over the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan; the Crown colony of the Gambia, the Crown colony and protectorate of Sierra Leone, the Gold Coast Colony, Lagos, and Southern Nigeria, the vast

territories of Northern Nigeria; the South Atlantic islands of Ascension, St. Helena, and Tristan d’Acunha; British Central Africa, including Nyassaland; the island of Mauritius and its dependencies, the Seychelles Archipelago; the protectorates of Zanzibar, British East Africa, Uganda, and Somaliland; the vast Empire of India, stretching from Aden and Perim at the southern entrance of the Red Sea and the large island of Socotra, off the Gulf of Aden, right across Southern Arabia to the Persian Gulf and Eastern Persia to Baluchistan, and thence through India proper to the frontiers of Siam and French Indo-China; the island of Ceylon and the Maldive Archipelago; the Malay Peninsula from Burma to Singapore (the Nicobar and Andaman Islands belong to India) and the northern third of Borneo; the island and peninsulas of Hong Kong, the leasehold of Wei-hai-wei, in Northern China; the Solomon Islands, the Fiji Archipelago, the Tonga group, and numerous other islands and islets in the Pacific. In the New World, Jamaica, the Bermudas, Bahamas, Turks, and Caicos islands; British Honduras, the Leeward and Windward Islands, Barbados, Tobago, Trinidad, and the large colony of British Guiana; and the Falkland Islands.

The second section, or Outer Empire, comprises, or will comprise before long, Newfoundland and the vast dominion of Canada; the commonwealth of Australia, the dominion of New Zealand; and British South Africa up to the Zambesi. The last; however, must, on the whole, be treated still as belonging to the first section. The Falkland Islands possess most of the conditions requisite to enable them to enter the category of the second section in course of time. There is no native race whose interests require to be safeguarded

**Territories
Under the
British Flag**

**Britain's
Vast Inner
Empire**

**Possessions
in the
Outer Empire**

by the Mother Country; the colony is now self-supporting. It is only a question of waiting till the population of this windswept but healthy dependency—as large as Wales, if its area includes the uninhabited South Georgia—reaches a sufficiently large number for it to be granted as complete powers of self-government as

The Future of South Africa Newfoundland. Considerable powers of self-government are already in the possession of British Guiana, Barbados, Bermudas, and Jamaica. The future of Guiana may, if the European population increases considerably, lie rather in the same direction as that of the dependencies of the second section—greater independence of its government from the strict control of the metropolis.

On the other hand, although it is certain and inevitable that British South Africa from the Cape up to the Zambesi will some day be a completely self-governing confederation of states, eventually including German South-west Africa and Portuguese South-east Africa—as independent of direct control from Great Britain as is Canada—that consummation cannot be completely effected till the position, claims, and rights of the aboriginal peoples have been settled to the satisfaction of Great Britain, their present protectress and guardian. Consequently, in some aspects, at the present day British South Africa does not altogether come within the second category of enfranchised daughter nations. She is not as yet entirely mistress of her own destinies.

It is very important that we should realise the distinction between these two categories. We are no longer directly responsible for what goes on in Canada and Newfoundland, in Australia and New Zealand, in Cape Colony, Natal, the Orange River State, and the Transvaal. On the other hand, we, the citizens of Great Britain and Ireland—ridiculously

Empire's Financial Burden enough, we allow no Imperial representation to Man and the Channel Islands—support alone the financial burden and the defence of the Inner Empire in the Mediterranean, Tropical Africa, Arabia, India, Malaya, Hong Kong, the Pacific archipelagoes, and Tropical and South America. We lay down the law, more or less, as to the fiscal and commercial policy in those regions, the relations between the different human races, legislation affecting

marriage and property, the maintenance or otherwise of a State Church. In fact, we are the complete masters of the destinies, down to the smallest detail, of the peoples dwelling within this first category of Imperial possessions. Their inhabitants have no independent diplomatic national representation in London similar to the agents-general of the daughter nations; the Crown colonies and protectorates are represented in the metropolis by the Crown Agents, a branch of the Colonial Office; the 300,000,000 of India and its dependencies are represented by the India Office; Egypt, the Egyptian Sudan, and Zanzibar by the Foreign Office. All treaties with foreign Powers affecting the fiscal or commercial interests of these lands of the first category must be negotiated through London.

The United Kingdom acts practically as paymaster, as ultimate treasurer, to all the Inner Empire, except perhaps to India. Even the Budget of India must in a sense be submitted to the inspection and criticism of the India Office, because the United Kingdom is, in the eyes of the world, responsible for the wisdom or unwisdom of Indian finance. India is governed by the Viceroy-in-Council, but that viceroy can at any moment be removed by the king on the advice of his responsible Ministers of the British Cabinet. The wishes and opinions of the British Government, to the veriest detail, are conveyed to the viceroy through the Secretary of State for India, who is aided by an advisory council. It is on this council that India might well be represented, not only by retired Anglo-Indian officials, the value of whose opinion is deservedly recognised, but by natives of India, representatives, more or less diplomatic, of Bengal, Burma, Haidarabad, Mysore, Rajputana, of the Parsees, the Sikhs, and the Punjab Mohammedans—a consultative body, at any rate, if not of the innermost council at present.

At the time of writing the Treasury of the United Kingdom, that is, the British taxpayer, finds annually about £800,000 in grants-in-aid to such Crown colonies and protectorates as cannot make both ends meet in balancing their revenue and expenditure. Besides this, occasional special grants out of British funds are made to such West Indian or African possessions as are temporarily overwhelmed

COMPOSITION OF THE EMPIRE

by unlooked-for disasters—earthquakes, famines, fires, floods or droughts. Private British benevolence, directly instigated by royal or municipal authority, transmits from time to time to India almost as much money as, spread over the years, is paid by the Indian taxpayer to the British Indian Civil Service. Moreover, all these Imperial possessions within the first category can borrow money for their public purposes far more cheaply in the world's financial markets because of their connection with the United Kingdom, which not only controls such incurring of indebtedness, but stands as the eventual guarantor of the borrower.

Lastly, for both categories of empire the British people of the United Kingdom keep up a magnificent fleet and a standing army for foreign service, and a Diplomatic and Consular Corps. It is true that Australia, New Zealand, Cape Colony and Natal contribute small subsidies to the cost of the navy, but at present these subsidies are so small that they make no appreciable difference to our annual financial burden. No country outside Great Britain and Ireland, except the Indian Empire, makes any contribution towards the cost of the army or of the Diplomatic and Consular Service. The Indian Empire pays for the 80,000 British soldiers serving in India, for the Indian Council sitting in London, and for a proportion of the cost of diplomatic and consular representation in Turkey, Persia, Siam, etc.

In the states of the first category no commissioned appointment of any importance is made except from London, and by the sovereign acting through the officers of the British Government. In the states of the second category all appointments to the public services are made by the sovereign through his local representative, as advised by the local responsible government. Therefore, although the Colonial Office and Crown Agents, the Foreign Office, India Office, War Office, Admiralty, Board of Trade, Trinity House, Office of Works, and other government departments may possess the power of filling all posts of any authority or emolument held by Europeans in India, Tropical Africa and America, Malaya, China, Ceylon, and the Mediterranean, they possess of right no such patronage over Australia, New Zealand, Canada, or South

Africa. As a matter of actual fact, even in these great self-governing states the Mother Country is often invited to select the persons to be appointed to most of the higher posts in the civil service, armed forces and marine. An unwritten rule directs that in the postal service the higher officials shall be selected by St.

The Making of Colonial Appointments Martin's-le-Grand; that great medical appointments shall be filled up on the advice of the Royal Society, the Crown Agents, the Royal College of Surgeons or Physicians, or the Army Medical Department; that the curators of museums, or of zoological or botanical gardens shall be recommended by the British Museum or Kew; judges and lawyers be selected from the British Bar; bishops and chaplains from the Anglican Church; customs controllers from the British Customs Service; commandants of police from the British Army, and port officers from the British Navy.

In this way, and in spite of local patriotism and that natural local clannishness which, unchecked, leads to the evolution of separate nationality, the veins of the empire—its principal arteries, at any rate—are kept flowing with British blood. Perhaps, however, it would be a happier simile to say that as yet a British brain directs the trunk and members of the British Empire.

The total land area under the ægis of the British Empire—including the Siamese portion of the Malay Peninsula, the British sphere in Persia and in South Arabia, also Egypt and the Egyptian Sudan—is approximately 13,138,900 square miles; without these last additions the area is 11,437,486 square miles. Of this sum about 3,140,900 square miles belong to the Inner Empire, and 9,998,000 to the outer or mainly self-governing division; 6,058,669 square miles lie within the temperate or Arctic regions, and 7,080,231 within the tropics.

Britain's Uninhabitable Territory About 1,700,000 square miles of land in British North America are subject to such arctic conditions as at present these regions are either uninhabited, or merely maintain a few thousand Eskimo. About 150,000 square miles of British Arabia, 100,000 square miles of British India, 200,000 square miles of British South Africa, 600,000 square miles of Egypt and the Sudan, and one-third of the area of Australia—say 1,000,000 square miles—are

at present uninhabitable by reason of the lack of rainfall and consequent sterility. These, however, are adverse conditions which the energy and works of man can abate, and even eventually cause to disappear. It is far more difficult, however, to grapple with the remains of the last Glacial Period—still holding North America and

British Areas and Populations Northern Asia in its clutches—than to draw up the rain water of the Miocene and Pliocene, stored for ages under the surface formations of Australia, and therewith create a verdure which of itself attracts and precipitates the fickle rain. Roundly speaking, when all deductions for present uninhabitability are made, we are left with 9,400,000 square miles of land under the British flag, which at present supports a population of about 405,000,000.

The proportion of population to area varies greatly. That of the United Kingdom (area, 121,390 square miles; population, 44,100,000) is 342.5 to the square mile; that of Malta and Gozo (area, 117 square miles; population, 206,690) is 1,766.8 to the square mile; of India, from Baluchistan to Siam (area, 1,766,517 square miles; population, about 297,000,000) is 179.5 to the square mile; of Australia (area, 3,065,120 square miles; population, 4,479,840) is only 1.3 to the square mile; of the Canadian Dominion and Newfoundland (area, 3,908,300 square miles; population, 6,216,340) is 1.6 to the square mile; of Trans-Zambesian South Africa (area, 1,091,770 square miles; population, 7,015,200) is 6.4 to the square mile; British Central Africa (Nyassaland and North-east Rhodesia: area, 150,000 square miles; population, 1,274,000) is 6.4 to the square mile.

In the West Indies it is 131 to the square mile; in Ceylon, 141; in British Malaya (less the Siamese Malay States and Borneo), 55; in Hong Kong, 1,121; Northern Nigeria, 62; Southern Nigeria, 101;

Mixed Races Under British Rule Mauritius and Dependencies, 453; Zanzibar, 245; Gold Coast, 12; and New Zealand, nearly 9 (area, 104,750 square

miles; population in 1906, 936,309). Of the total 405,000,000, 62,350,000 belong to the white or Caucasian race (say, 56,464,000 Germano-Kelt, and 5,886,000 Mediterranean, Iberian, Greek, Arab, Jew, Persian, Eurasian and Quadroon peoples); 282,000,000 to the dark Dravidio-Caucasic stock; about 14,500,000 to the Mongol type;

while there are approximately 1,213,000 Malays (including the Siamese Malay States); 4,000 Veddahs; 3,500 Negritoes (Malay Peninsula and Andaman Islands); 66,000 Black Australians; 550,000 Papuans and Melanesians; 100,000 Polynesians; 120,000 American Indians; and 15,000 Eskimo. In British America there are 1,901,000 Negroes and Negroids, and in Africa some 37,500,000. Of the African Negroes who are British subjects or under British control or supervision, about 29,000,000 are pure negro (Guinea, Sudanese, Nilotes, and Bantu); 8,500,000 are Negroid (Arab hybrids, Hamites, Somali, Gala, Fulbe, Mandingo, Hima, Creole half-castes); and 30,000 are Hottentot-Bushmen.

Under the British flag—somewhat imperfectly protected thereby in some cases—are the lowliest in development of all existing human races, and consequently the most interesting to students of anthropology—Veddahs in Ceylon, Australo-Papuans, Andaman and Malayan Negritoes, South African Bushmen, and Equatorial Pygmies. The same flag covers what we believe to be the handsomest people in the world to-day—English and Irish—who seem to have acquired by some mysterious process of transmission or of independent development the physical beauty of the old Greeks, possibly because they, like the extinct Greek type, are more purely Aryan in descent than the South and Central or extreme Northern Europeans of to-day. This physical beauty is equally shared by the men and women of Canada and New Zealand, if the ideal sought for is to be white of skin.

Types of Beauty in the Dominions If, on the other hand, a dark skin is not held to diminish beauty of bodily form, then unquestionably in no part of the British dominions are there more handsome men, from the sculptor's point of view, than among certain types of Nilotic negro or Negroid, Bantu, or Fulbe. But amongst almost every group of negro peoples the women are still in an ugly stage of physical development. On the other hand, in North-western India may be seen some of the handsomest human beings in the world, women as well as men, if the monotony of the yellow-brown skin and the sleek black hair can be accepted in lieu of the blue-grey iris, the golden-brown hair, and ivory-white, pink-tinted skin of the better-looking types of England, Ireland and Scotland.

COMPOSITION OF THE EMPIRE

As regards the range of intellectual development, the British Empire can offer the same extremes as in bodily beauty or ugliness. There are Pygmies, Negritoes, or Bushmen, who barely know how to originate fire and who are still living in the age of stone implements, or the still earlier phase of the bamboo splinter, the natural club or twisted branch, the undressed stone or pebble, the fire-sharpened stake, the palm or fern-rind bow-string. There are negro peoples on the British verge of the Congo forest, or in the southern basin of the Benue, whose ideas of preparing food by cooking are mainly limited to partial putrefaction.

Cannibalism still prevails in parts of British Africa, Australasia, British Guiana; but the eating of human flesh, though repulsive to our modern ideas and extinct in England since, let us say, 500 B.C., and in Ireland since 100 A.D., is not necessarily a sign of low mental development. Nevertheless, Great Britain is the political guardian of at least a million professing cannibals at the present day. She is also the tutrix of another million Africans, per-

Britain the Guardian of Cannibals haps a few Negritoes, Australasians, and Guiana Americans, who are absolutely naked, knowing no more shame in lack of body-covering than the beasts of the field. Another 20,000,000 or so, in Africa, America, Malaya, Australia and Oceania, take little interest in clothes as a source of æsthetic delight, but adorn and vary the monotony of an exposed skin by the arts of cicatrisation, tattooing, plastering, rouging and dyeing. Some push the predilection for ear-rings to such an extent that the ear-lobes hang down in great loops of leather to the shoulders. Others ring the septum of the nose or insert large discs of wood or shell or ivory into the upper or lower lip. Quite 20,000,000 also think it more comely and convenient to knock out the upper or lower incisor teeth or to file the teeth to a sharp point. Nearly a hundred million stain their teeth orange-brown with betel nut. About ten million women and men in Scotland and England prefer to lose their front teeth or have them permanently blackened with premature decay sooner than appeal to the resources of modern dentistry.

A million women in the Eastern and Equatorial regions of British Africa think it womanly and becoming to live bald-pated, their heads continually shaved,

while their husbands go burdened with chignons or natural perruques. Perhaps 2,000,000 or 3,000,000 men, Africans and Eastern Asiatics, affect the closely shaven skull, in close proximity, it may be, to other millions of males sworn never to clip their abundant locks, or obliged by custom to wear the yard-long hair in in-

Customs of Different Peoples convenient, unsightly pigtails. With these or other millions the beard is obligatory and sacred; with others it is scrupulously shaved or pulled out with tweezers. Some, like the old and dying generation of France, Italy, Spain, and Portugal, grow long finger-nails (Gibaltarese, Maltese, Malays and Chinese), to show, like the unconscious snobs they are, that they have never done manual labour.

Others wear their nails down to the quick. Two hundred millions at least of British Indians, British Africans and British Arabs keep their nails and hands and feet exquisitely manicured and pedicured, nails clipped and clean, toes cornless; others, like a proportion of the middle and lower classes of the metropolitan state, say 20,000,000 of English, Irish, Scottish, live all their lives long with dirty nails, filthy and deformed feet, and hands not fit to be grasped by a squeamish person.

Ninety-two millions of British subjects, or wards of the empire, practise circumcision as a religious or a mystic rite; about 1,000,000 of British Africans and some 50,000 black Australians pass beyond this harmless custom to elaborate mutilations described in works of technical anthropology.

About 10,000,000 out of the 44,000,000 population of men, women, and children in the British Isles are scrupulously clean as to their persons; about 250,000,000 are the same in India; personal cleanliness is the prevailing characteristic of the negro, of some Arabs, and of the Malays and Polynesians. It is fortunately a strong point with the Neo-British in


Foods of British Subjects Canada above all, in Australia, New Zealand, and some parts of South Africa. As regards food, 223,000,000 of Hindus, Burmese, Shans, Singhalese, and Tamils, are mainly vegetarian and subsist on sorghum, millet, and wheat flour, rice, butter, sugar, pulse of many kinds, pumpkins, melons and European vegetables, the egg plant, cucumbers, onions, coco-nuts, dates, mangoes, and other tropical fruits. A million and a half of British Chinese live



AN AFRICAN ZULU GIRL



AN ENGLISH BEAUTY



A FRENCH-CANADIAN GENTLEMAN





A VEDDAH WOMAN OF CEYLON



AN EGYPTIAN BEAUTY



A NUBIAN NEGRESS



SUDANESE OF UPPER NILE WOMAN OF EASTERN SUDAN



DUSKY BEAUTY AND UGLINESS UNDER THE BRITISH FLAG

Photo of Veddah by Drs. Fritze and Sarasin

more or less omnivorously, but probably make rice the staple of their diet. The Mohammedan natives of India, the pagan and Malay natives of Eastern Asia, avoid pork if they are strict Mohammedans, but otherwise are fond of all kinds of meat and fish. The Sikhs of North-west India delight in eating pork, mutton, and

Where goat, but share with the Hindu **the Ox is** the horror of touching the sacred **Sacred** ox. The British, Neo-British, Malays (substituting buffalo for ox), Masai, and other tribes of Equatorial East Africa, and to a certain extent the South African negroes also, are very fond of beef. Throughout the Mohammedan Mediterranean, African and Arabian regions subject to Britain, the sheep is the most common meat provider; and, of course, mutton is almost the staple of the Falkland Islands, England, Scotland, Wales, New Zealand, Australia, and parts of white South Africa. Goat's flesh is much eaten at Gibraltar, Malta, Cyprus, and throughout tropical Africa. Camel's flesh is a favourite meat in Somaliland, British Arabia, and Baluchistan.

Pork is not only eaten rapturously by the refined and lordly Sikh, but by many low-caste or pagan tribes in India. It is said even to be indulged in by the Sennaar Arabs, who have in the Eastern Sudan an indigenous type of wild boar. Wild and domesticated pigs are also eaten in the non-Mohammedan parts of North-central and West Africa. The pig, as we know, is almost the national animal of Ireland; it is a good deal favoured by the Maltese. Jambon d'York was at one time a compliment paid by the French cuisine to the pigs of the English Midlands. And, again, in the Malay Archipelago, Papua, and all the Oceanic Pacific islands, pork is the people's favourite meat. Here, also, they eat dried shark, and the hundred and one edible sea-fish of the coral-reefs and blue lagoons. Dogs

Peoples are eaten in Hong Kong and **Who Feed** Wei-hai-wei, in some of the **on Dogs** Pacific islands, and in Equatorial Africa. The Eskimo subjects of the British Empire live on walrus and seal meat, and whale blubber; those of Tristan d'Acunha on—amongst other things—the eggs of penguins and petrels.

The Indians of British Guiana will eat jaguar, if they can succeed in killing the American leopard, besides all the other wild animals of the woods. Ter-

mites (white ants), locusts, beetle-grubs, and the caterpillars of certain moths are greedily devoured by millions of negroes in British Africa from the Zambesi to Lake Tanganyika, and the Blue Nile to the Gambia.

Fish, potatoes, pork, geese, tea, milk and whisky are the principal ingredients of Irish diet; fish, mutton, milk, whisky and oatmeal the staples of the Scottish peasantry; milk, pancakes of wheaten flour, pork, potatoes, cheese, cream, whisky and cider nourish the sturdy Welsh countryfolk; bread, cheese, beer, tea, cider, beef, bacon and fish form the average sustenance of the English peasantry, a wholesome diet varied in the towns with an endless variety of tinned stuff. The Maltese live chiefly on fish, pork, goat's flesh, stirabout made of wheat or maize flour, olives and olive oil, fruit, onions, cheese and wine. The diet of the Cypriote consists of much the same as the foods of the Maltese, less pork.

The Egyptian fellahin use bread or porridge made from the flour or groats of sorghum, wheat, maize and millet as the groundwork of their daily food. They also

Varieties eat mutton, goat's flesh, pigeons, **of** butter from buffalo and cow **Rice Foods** milk, dates, rice, vegetables of many kinds, and coarse sweet-meats made of honey or molasses, flour and olive oil. The grains and vegetables cultivated are wheat, rice, maize, sorghum and millet; pulse of several kinds, cucumbers, gourds, melons and onions. Their principal drink besides water is coffee, and for the Christians or the lax Mohammedans, arrack, a spirit made from rice, and the less heady "palm wine," the sap of the date palm.

Rice, of 250 varieties, is the staple of all coastwise India, Burma and the Malay States, also of British China. But wheat is largely grown over all North-west India, also barley (upper valley of the Ganges), sorghum or great millet everywhere below the mountains, spiked millet (pennisetum), "ragi" (eleusine), in Southern India, and paspalum and two kinds of genuine or Italian millet—panicum. There are also many oil-seeds used for food—sesamum, rape and linseed, and ten or eleven kinds of peas and beans (cicer, phaseolus, dolichos, cajanus, ervum, lathyrus and pisum). Many of these Indian grains and pulses are of ancient introduction into tropical Africa, where, with maize, they form the staple of the peoples' vegetable

COMPOSITION OF THE EMPIRE

food. No indigenous African grain or bean is cultivated; almost the only vegetables in native dietary indigenous to that continent are the "yam" (*dioscorea*, also found in India), and the coco yam (*colocasia*), and a number of plants with edible leaves like spinach. Manioc, so much eaten in negro Africa, is the same as tapioca, and has been introduced from Brazil. Manioc is also much grown in British Malaya, and this region, with Borneo, is the home of the sago palm. The colocasia yam, really the tuber of an arum, under the name of taro, is the principal vegetable food of New Guinea and the British Pacific islands.

The citizens or the wards of the empire profess almost every known form of religious faith. There are, first of all, about 63,252,000 ostensible Christians—namely, 44,000,000 in the United Kingdom; 403,000 in Gibraltar, Malta, and Cyprus; 732,000 in Egypt and Sinai; 3,000,000 in the Indian Empire; 17,000 in China; 5,000 in Borneo; 40,000 in the Pacific islands; 920,000 in New Zealand; 4,400,000 in Australia; 1,200,000 in

Religious Faiths in the Empire British South Africa, St. Helena, and Nyassaland; 300,000 in Uganda, East Africa, Zanzibar, Seychelles and Mauritius; 175,000 in Sierra Leone, Gold Coast, and Southern Nigeria; 6,100,000 in British North America, and about 2,000,000 in the British West Indies, Honduras, Guiana, and the Falkland Islands. Of these Christians, to quote approximate round figures only, about 11,147,616 belong to the Roman Catholic Church; 10,880,000 to the Anglican; 13,000,000 to the Free Churches—Presbyterian, 6,200,000; Baptist, 1,500,000; Methodist - Wesleyan, Congregational, Society of Friends, etc., 3,500,000—255,000 to the Orthodox Greek Church; 580,500 to the Nestorian; and 610,000 to the Coptic Church; leaving about 26,000,000 of men, women, and children undefined as to their actual sect in the Christian Church.

The British flag shelters about 290,000 Jews, of whom 196,000 dwell in the United Kingdom, 26,000 in Egypt, and 23,100 in South Africa. There are 88,000,000 Mohammedans in the British Empire and its feudatory states, mostly belonging to the Sunni division, but also including the Khojas of India, who follow the Aga Khan, a hierarchical descendant of the

Old Man of the Mountain, whose adherents were the original "Assassins." The Buddhists, including the enlightened Jains of India, under the British flag number about 14,000,000. They are found chiefly in Ceylon, Bengal, Sikkim, Burma, Bhutan borders, the Northern Malay Peninsula, and Hong Kong. About

Indian Fire Worshippers 210,200,000 natives of India, Ceylon, and Indian colonies in Africa and tropical America follow the religion of Brahma (Siva, Vishnu) in varying forms and sects. The Parsees of India, some 100,000, are still fire worshippers. A large proportion of the Polynesians and Melanesians on British Pacific islands, of Indians in the dominion of Canada, and the Caribs in British Honduras and the Windward Islands, are Christians.

Those that are not still follow vague fetishistic faiths, usually including a belief in a Supreme God of the Sky, in ancestors living again as spirits, in demigods and demons personifying natural forces and diseases, and in magic, magic being understood to be undefinable, empiric energy acting often through material means or resident in a natural object, or in one which has been shaped by man's hands. These so-called pagans really practise vague, unsuccessful religions closely akin in all their manifestations to the great stereotyped faiths of the more cultured races.

The languages of the British Empire are indeed multiform. Scarcely any great acknowledged family of human speech is unrepresented within the limits of its ægis, except the Basque, the Japanese, and the languages peculiar to the Caucasus Mountains.

Of the Aryan languages 56,810,000 in the United Kingdom, Canada, the West Indies, and British Central and South America, Australia, New Zealand, the Pacific islands, India, Mauritius, and British Africa, speak English. The living

Languages of the British Empire Keltic tongues, Irish, Manx, Gaelic, and Welsh, are still used by about 1,811,000 people in Wales, Ireland, Scotland and Man, 1,955,000 use the French language in the Channel Islands, the Quebec, Ontario, and Manitoba provinces of Canada, in Trinidad, Mauritius, and the Seychelles, besides the large extent to which French is used in Malta and Egypt. Spanish is spoken at Gibraltar and in Trinidad. Portuguese in a rather dialectal form is much spoken by

Eurasians in parts of India and on the coast of Ceylon, also in British Guiana. Italian is a good deal employed in Malta and in Egypt; Greek in Cyprus, Egypt, and the Egyptian Sudan. As regards the Indo-Aryan languages, Persian, with Arabic, is the language of the British sphere in South-east Persia, besides being the literary language of much of North-west India; about 1,000,000 speak Baluchi, and 1,300,000 the Afghan or Pushtu dialect; Sindhi is the speech of over 3,000,000 in the Sind province. The languages or dialects descended from Sanskrit, which have become the vernaculars of two-thirds of India proper are Hindi (87,240,000 people), Bengali (45,000,000), Marathi (19,000,000), Punjabi or Gurmukhi (17,000,000), Gujarati (10,500,000), Uriya (10,000,000), and Pahari or Nepalese (1,300,000), besides Kachhi (of "Cutch"), Kashmiri, Konkani (Malabar), and Singhalese, this last being spoken by nearly 2,500,000 in Ceylon.

The Uro-Altaic languages, which cover the north-eastern parts of Asia from the Baltic shores and Lapland to Bering Straits and China, and which include the outlying sub-groups of Turkish and Hungarian, are only represented in the British Empire by the much Arabised speech of the modern Turks, which is still to some extent spoken in Cyprus and—a very little—in Egypt.

The Dravidian and allied groups are wholly confined in their present range to British India, where they are spoken by about 65,000,000. The Tibeto-Burmese group of at least twenty languages furnishes the speech of something like 11,000,000 of people in Northern Nepal, Sikkim, Bhutan, Garo (part of Assam), Tipura, Naga, Manipur, and Upper and Lower Burma. Northern and Eastern Burma (the Khamti and Shan states) and the upper part of the Malay Peninsula are

covered by the Siamo-Chinese group, which in its great Eastern branch (Chinese) is spoken by some 2,000,000 of British subjects in the southern Malay Peninsula and Singapore, British Borneo, Hong Kong and Wei-hai-wei, to say nothing of the useful Chinese sojourners in British Columbia. The deltaic region round Rangoon and the isolated patch of Palung in Upper Burma are populated by people speaking dialects of the Mon language.

which is closely allied to the Annamese of French Indo-China. In the middle of Assam is the isolated Khasi language of uncertain affinities, spoken by about 100,000 hill people. Another isolated group is the Kolarian of Eastern and Central India, the language, in many dialects, of the Santalis, Mundaris, Savara, Kurku, etc. The Malay language is spoken by about 1,600,000 of British or British-protected peoples; the Malayo-Polynesian languages from New Guinea to New Zealand, by 100,000; the Melanesian languages by another 200,000, and Papuan by 350,000.

In the heart of the Malay Peninsula there may still be lingering isolated Negrito languages; there is certainly a Negrito speech in the Andaman Islands. A possibly Negrito dialect is still preserved by a small section, some 2,000 or 3,000, of the Veddahs of Ceylon (Rhodiyah). It would be interesting for the ethnologist to compare carefully the fragments of Negrito speech in Southernmost India, Ceylon, the Andamans, the Malay Peninsula, with the Papuan and Melanesian families, and further with what little is recorded of the language of the extinct Tasmanians.

The Bantu Languages of Africa

The diverse, but perhaps distantly interrelated, languages, in two very distinct groups, of the black Australians are spoken by about 66,000 savages and semi-savages still lingering in Australia. In British Africa we have still represented by living speakers the wonderfully interesting Bushman-Hottentot language group, so extremely unlike any other human speech of the present day by its intercalation of noisy clicks among the normal consonants and vowels. There are still, perhaps, 5,000 (British) Bushmen, and 25,000 Hottentots alive to perpetuate this primitive phonology.

The Bantu languages of Africa are spoken by about 11,000,000 negroes in British, South, Central, and Eastern Equatorial Africa; besides a few "Semi-Bantu" of the eastern parts of British Nigeria. The languages of the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan, Uganda, and East Africa comprise the Nilotic family, about 4,300,000, ranging from the western parts of the Bahr-el-Ghazal to Masailand, near the Indian Ocean; the unclassified Krej and Bongo groups, and heterogeneous Sudanian congeries (Niam-Niam, Mangbattu, Mundu, Madi, Lendu, Momvu, etc.). In the north-western parts of the Egyptian

COMPOSITION OF THE EMPIRE

Sudan is the isolated Nubian family of languages, and the Fôr and Maba of Darfur. In Northern Nigeria there are the distinct Kanuri speech of Bornu, the unclassified dialects of the lake-dwelling Buduma, the great Hausa language—spread as a trade medium from Lake Chad to the inner Gold Coast, or spoken as their native

tongue by about 15,000,000 of northern Sudanian negroes, **Dominance of the Nupe Speech** Musgu to the south-east of Hausa, and the semi-Bantu dialects, such as Ghari, of the Benue basin, north and south, down to its confluence with the Niger. The Nupe speech is the dominant language of Central Nigeria, and to the west are the Borgu dialects that are related to far-off Ashanti. In Southern Nigeria there are the languages of the Igara, Igbara, Ibo, Jekri, Ijo, and Yoruba; and the Efik group and the semi-Bantu languages of the Cross River basin. Dotted over much of British Nigeria is the Fulbe language, the range of which extends, with many gaps, for a distance of nearly 2,000 miles across Africa from the Senegal River to the borders of Wadai and Darfur.

The dialects of the Gold Coast belong in the main to four groups, the Chwi or Ashanti, the Ga (Akkra), the Mosi, and Teme. The languages of Sierra Leone are particularly interesting, and belong to the Mandingo family of Western Nigeria, and to the prefix and concord-using Temne and Bullom families. The languages of the Gambia are very little studied by a Britain which has possessed the Gambia for 200 years. They come under the Felup, Wolof, and Mandingo groups.

Speakers of Hamitic Dialects The Libyo-Hamitic language family of North and North-east Africa is represented by such wandering Libyans of the Sahara as find their way into the dominions of the sultan of Sokoto, and by the Libyan-speaking inhabitants of the Siwah and other oases on the western outskirts of Egypt; by the remains of Ancient Egyptian in the form of Coptic; by the dialects of the Beja and Bishari, the Danakil and

Somali in nearly all the coast lands of the Red Sea, and all the non-Arabic-speaking tribes between Kordofan and Abyssinia; by the closely allied Gala and the other non-Semitic Ethiopian dialects north and east of the Nilotic negro domain. Hamitic dialects are also spoken in Southern Arabia and in the island of Socotra. The Semitic languages are represented in the British domain by the Maltese language; such Hebrew as is preserved in use by Jews in the United Kingdom, Gibraltar, and Aden; and by the Arabic of Egypt, British Arabia, Zanzibar, and the Persian Gulf.


In British America the Eskimo language is spoken by the sparse inhabitants of the frozen shores of the Arctic Ocean between Alaska and Labrador. Of the American Indian language groups, not much more clearly interrelated than the African languages, the following are represented on British territory: The Thlinkit in the north-westernmost part of the coasts and islands of British Columbia; the Haida of Vancouver Island and British Columbia; the Athabaskan, Tinne, or Dene of all the central and northern parts of the Canadian dominion between the Rocky Mountains and the eastern shores of Hudson's Bay; the Algonkwin, Chipewewa, or Kri, "Montagnais," of Central and Eastern Canada (using Canada in its widest sense), also in Labrador, Northern Quebec, and once in New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, and Newfoundland; the Huron (Iroquois) of Ontario and southernmost Canada; and the Dakota, Puan, or Siu, found still in the southern parts of Saskatchewan and Manitoba. Then there are the Maya-Kiche group on the interior borders of British Honduras; the speech of the Caribs still lingering in a somewhat mixed type on the coast of British Honduras and in the West Indian island of Dominica and existing far more numerously in the maritime regions of British Guiana; and the Guiana group, divided into the sub-groups of Arawak, Wapiana, and Atorai.



SCENE IN BRANI, IN THE RECENTLY ACQUIRED BRITISH TERRITORY OF THE MALAY STATES




THE GUERNSEY STATES IN SESSION



HOUSE OF KEYS ISLE OF MAN: MEETING OF THE TYNWALD COURT

MINOR PARLIAMENTS OF GREAT BRITAIN

THE
BRITISH
EMPIRE
X



BY SIR
HARRY
JOHNSTON,
G.C.M.G.

GREAT BRITAIN'S INNER EMPIRE

THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE VAST POSSESSIONS OF THE BRITISH CROWN

IT is not necessary to delineate here the elaborate system of partially representative government in national affairs, or wholly elective administration of local provincial matters which prevails in Great Britain and Ireland. It is sufficient to point out that the Upper House in the Legislature differs from all the similar institutions in the daughter nations and colonies in that it is composed of hereditary legislators. Elsewhere the members of the Upper House, or Senate, or Legislative Council, if they are not elected by the people, are appointed for a term of years or for life by the king-emperor, or by his representative, the viceroy, or governor.

Nowhere else in the empire does this principle of hereditary legislators obtain; nowhere else would it be tolerated but in the Homeland, so tolerant of institutions which have outlived their usefulness. The Isle of Man has a Council of Public Affairs, nominated by the Crown, and a House of Keys, which is a representative assembly of twenty-four elected members. The term of sitting for this House is seven years, and the suffrage is based on a property qualification.

The island of Jersey has a lieutenant-governor and a bailiff, who is a kind of president of the legislature appointed by the Crown. The legislature consists of twelve jurats and twelve rectors of parishes elected by the people for life, and twenty-eight constables, mayors, or deputies, elected for three years. Guernsey

Independent States in the British Empire

and Sark, and also Alderney, are under one lieutenant-governor, but have two separate legislatures, which consist of jurats, rectors, and sheriffs, elected indirectly, and delegates and deputies elected directly by the ratepayers. Within the far-flung net of the British Empire are a number of states practically independent as regards their home rule,

but subject to the British Government in London, directly or through the viceroy of India or the high commissioners of South Africa or of the Straits Settlements, as regards their foreign policy, and perhaps subordinated in some other directions. These are:*

British Influence in the Sudan The khedivate of Egypt (area, 400,000 square miles); the petty Arab sultanates to the north-east of Aden and along the south coast of Arabia (area, about 100,000 square miles); the sultanate of Muskat and the trucial chiefs in South-east Arabia and along the Persian Gulf (area, 110,000 square miles); the British sphere in South-east Persia (area, 122,500 square miles); Baluchistan (area, 78,530 square miles); Afghanistan (area, 250,000 square miles); the sultanate of Johor (area, 9,000 square miles). Perhaps to these should be added the sultanate of Darfur, in the western part of the Egyptian Sudan, with an area of about 50,000 square miles. Afghanistan, except in regard to its foreign policy, is an absolutely independent country, and none of its statistics are included in this survey of the British Empire.

The Anglo-Egyptian Sudan is divided into thirteen provinces, the governors of which are all British officers of the Egyptian Army; the sub-governors of districts are Egyptians. The six principal judges are British; the kadis, who deal with Mohammedan law in matters of succession, marriage, and charitable endowments, are Mohammedan Egyptians or Sudanese. The governor-general over the whole of this vast area, including supervision over Darfur, is jointly appointed by the British and Egyptian Governments. He legislates by proclamation. The sultan of Darfur is practically independent in the management of the internal affairs of his country, but he is required to pay an annual tribute to the Sudan Government. The



DOUGLAS, THE BEAUTIFUL CAPITAL OF THE ISLE OF MAN

Anglo-Egyptian Sudan is entirely separate from the internationalised "capitulations" area of Egypt or other parts of the Turkish Empire; foreign consuls must be first approved by the British Government before they can receive an exequatur.

Egypt itself is still regarded as being under Turkish suzerainty. But for this theory, its native ruler, the khidewi, or khedive (Abbas Hilmi), might be regarded as an independent ruler of a country of 400,000 square miles in area, of which only about 13,560 square miles are at present inhabited, in close and peculiar relations with Great Britain. Nominally, the khedive rules through a Ministry composed of seven members, plus a British financial adviser. But since 1883 there have been the beginnings of representative institutions. These are a legislative council—which is a consultative body, partly elected, partly nominated, qualified to pronounce opinions on the Budget and on all new laws—and the General Assembly. This last consists of the seven Ministers, the thirty legislative councillors, and forty-six popularly elected members.

The General Assembly, however, has no power to legislate, but can in a measure control all new taxation of a directly personal character or connected with land. The territories of the Persian Gulf which are within the British sphere of

influence or are actual British possessions or protectorates are: The British sphere in South-east Persia, from Bandar Abbas to Gwattar, and inland to Kerman and Birian, governed by the Shah of Persia, with British consuls at Bandar Abbas, Kerman and Malik Siah (Seistan) to watch over British interests and subjects; and, in addition, the port of Basidu on Kishm Island and the port of Jask on the Mekran coast, under the direct management of the British Indian Government; the Bahrein Islands, on the southern side of the Persian Gulf, ruled by an Arab sheikh under the control of a British political agent.

There is also the quasi-independent imamate of Oman, under a sultan, or sayyid, whose dynasty began as a sort of prince-bishopric at Muskat in the middle of the eighteenth century. Great Britain and France are mutually bound to refrain from an exclusive political control or annexation of the sultanate of Muskat, but force of circumstances has compelled Great Britain, through the Government of India, to take the leading advisory part in the direction of the affairs of Oman. These are managed almost entirely under the advice of a British consul and political agent at Muskat. The Kuria Muria Islands, off the south coast of Oman, actually belong

**Britain's
Kuria Muria
Islands**

THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE INNER EMPIRE

to Great Britain, and their affairs are supervised from Aden. From Soham to Masirah Island, the government of Eastern Oman is carried on, more or less, by the sultan of Muskat, but the coast regions to the west as far as the Turkish frontier at Al Hasa constitute what is called Trucial Oman, a region in which the numerous

How British Arabia is Governed

petty Arab chiefs have been coerced by the British power in the Persian Gulf into an agreement not to molest each other or the sultan of Muskat. Law and order in a general way are maintained in all these regions of the Persian Gulf, and justice is administered to British subjects, by a British political resident residing at Bushire, on the south coast of Persia.

British Arabia, not connected with the geographical or political systems of the Persian Gulf, is managed by the political resident, the virtual governor and commander-in-chief, at Aden. This official depends at present on the Government of Bombay. He supervises the affairs of the Aden Protectorate and the island of Perim; those of the island of Socotra and its adjoining archipelagoes; the coast sultanates of Makalla, etc.; the Kuria Muria Islands, and the Oman coast as far east as the island of Masirah. Within

these regions of Southern Arabia there are numerous Arab sultans and sheikhs who govern their people with as little interference as possible on the part of the British, whose own direct rule does not extend over more than the island of Perim, the town and port of Aden and its hinterland, about 9,000 square miles, and the Kuria Muria Islands.

The empire of India, whose outlying spheres of influence in Persia and Arabia we have just been considering, is divided into the following types of government: There is, first of all, British India—i.e., the districts actually annexed to the British Crown, with a total area of 1,037,901 square miles, and the following provinces: Bengal, Eastern Bengal and Assam, Burma, Madras, the Andamans and Nicobars, Bombay, Punjab, North-west Frontier Province, British Baluchistan, United Provinces of Agra and Oudh, Central Provinces, Berar and Coorg.

A number of small principalities within these provinces are ruled to a certain extent by their native rajahs, or by Mohammedan chiefs; but, for the most part, this vast area is administered directly by British officials in all the principal and responsible posts, and by native officials in all the subordinate positions.



THE PROCLAMATION OF LAWS ON THE TYNWALD HILL IN THE ISLE OF MAN

Then follow the feudatory states of the Indian Empire : Haidarabad (area, 82,698 square miles), ruled by the nizam ; Kashmir and Jamu (area, 80,900 square miles), ruled by a maharajah ; Baluchistan (area, 78,530 square miles), ruled by the khan of Khelat and a few small independent princes ; Jodhpur of Rajputana (area, 34,963 square miles), ruled by a maharajah ; Mysore (area, 20,433 square miles), ruler, a maharajah ; Gwalior (area, 25,041 square miles), the largest Mahratta state, under a maharajah (Sindhia) ; Bikanir, a Rajputana state (area, 23,311 square miles), under a maharajah ; Jaisalmir and Jaipur, both Rajput states (respectively, 16,062 and 15,579 square miles), the first ruled by a mahalawal, the second by a maharajah ; Bahawulpur, in the Punjab (area, 15,000 square miles), governed by a nawab.

In addition to the list of big feudatory states with areas of 15,000 square miles and over, there is the old Mahratta state of Baroda, governed by the maharajah gaikwar, which has only an area of 8,226 square miles, but which ranks first on the list of feudatory states, and has a royal salute of twenty-one guns. There are eight minor states in Rajputana ; five in Central India (including the interesting little Mohammedan principality of

Bhopal, under a female sovereign, the begum), and Indore, a Mahratta state under the maharajah Holkar ; three in the Bombay Presidency, the largest of which is Cutch, whose ruler is known as the rao ; five in the Madras Presidency, of which might be specially mentioned Travaniore, the southernmost portion of India, whose maharajah rules over 3,000,000 people ; one in the Central Province, Bastar (area, 13,000 square miles) ; Kuch Behar, in Bengal ; Hill Tipura, on the borders of Burma ; Rampur and Garhwal, between Agra and Oudh ; four Sikh and three Rajput states in the Punjab ; and the interesting little Tibetan principality of Sikkim. In addition to this list, there are numerous small areas administered by minor princes, much on the lines of the smaller German duchies. The total area of feudatory India is 690,272 square miles.

For the administration of British India there is the Viceroy, who rules despotically as the Governor-General-in-Council, subject to the orders of the king-emperor, as transmitted through the Secretary of State for India. The expenditure of the Indian revenues in India and elsewhere—that is to say, the annual Budget of the



GENERAL VIEW OF ADEN, A STRONGLY FORTIFIED POSSESSION OF BRITAIN

N. P. Edwards



VOLCANIC SCENERY AT ELPHINSTONE INLET IN THE GULF OF OMAN

The scenery of Elphinstone Inlet, of which the above is a typical example, has been described as the grandest but the most desolate in the world. The heat is so terrible that the native can live in the place only from November till March; a cable station was once established on Telegraph Island, but it was soon abandoned as some of the men died, while others went mad and the remainder fled. The rocks in the foreground are entirely red, while the sea is a brilliant blue.

Viceroy's government—is controlled by the Secretary of State and the Council of the India Office, who thus, in a manner, act as a kind of selected parliament to discuss and determine by a majority of votes how the revenues of India shall be spent. It is on this board of financial control—the India Office Council—that it has been suggested elected or selected native-born Indians should sit to represent the views of native-born Indians at head-

Viceroy's Council of Seven

quarters on matters of Indian finance and taxation. The Governor-General is assisted in his government of India by a council of seven members appointed by the Crown through the Secretary of State for India. These councillors hold their appointment ordinarily for five years, and constitute practically a Cabinet of Ministers to carry on the Viceroy's government. The seventh member of Council, for some reason called "extraordinary," is the British commander-in-chief over all the king-emperor's forces in the Indian Empire. He is practically Minister for War in the Viceroy's Council. The

foreign affairs of the Indian Empire, which include dealing with the feudatory and allied states within and without the limits of the Indian Empire, are under the special superintendence of the Viceroy. One of the government members of Council takes charge of the finances of India, another of revenue and agriculture; a third is the military member, charged more especially with army supply; a fourth supervises the Public Works, a fifth the Home Office and the Legislative, and a sixth commerce and industry. Each of the nine departments of state has a special secretary at the head of it. Including the Viceroy, there are only eight "Ministers" in the Executive Council.

There is further a Legislative Council nominated by the Viceroy, consisting of not more than sixteen members, or seventeen with the addition of the lieutenant-governor of Bengal. This Council has power, subject to certain restrictions, to make laws for all persons within British India, for all British subjects within the native states, and for all Indian subjects, or protected subjects, of the king in any

part of the world. The members of this Council are nominated by the Viceroy under the provisions of Viscount Cross's Act of 1892, a clause of which makes it possible for the Viceroy to introduce the elective principle into the nomination of some or all of these legislative councillors. We have here a door already provided, by

Legislative Methods in India which the new measures of representative government will be prudently introduced into India. The Legislative Council, which includes the members of the Executive Council, holds its sittings in public, and the text of the Bills to be discussed must first be published for general information through the government "Gazette."

Further, no Bill, as a rule, is brought before the Viceroy's Legislative Council which has not first been subjected to the criticism of the several provincial governments. The wide development of the British Indian and vernacular Press ensures the fullest publicity for the text of all new measures, and the national voice of India to some extent thus reacts on its government, for there is no hole-and-corner legislation, and the Viceroy's Council, before placing any new law on the Statute Book, is well informed as to its popular reception.

Among the Viceroy's nominated council, natives of India probably predominate in numbers over the unofficial British members. Of these last there are generally representatives of commerce, of the Bar, and of railways. This supreme Legislative Council might undoubtedly be much larger—the maximum of sixteen, as it is, is not always attained; it might include representatives of the larger feudatory states, of the principal religions, of native law, medicine, commerce, and industry. To a certain extent, also, the elective principle might be prudently and gradually introduced. Since these lines were written, Lord Morley's far-reaching measures for

Lord Morley and Indian Difficulties representative government in India have met most of these difficulties and have attempted to solve them. As regards the

great provincial administrations, there are legislative councils in Bengal and the Central Provinces, in Burma, Eastern Bengal, the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh, the Punjab, Madras, and Bombay. The acts of these provincial legislative councils, on which there are invariably native members, can only deal

with the matters of the province, and are subject to the sanction of the Governor-General. None of these legislatures may do more than discuss the financial statements of the supreme and local governments, and ask questions about them. They may not propose resolutions or call for any votes on the subject of finance.

The metropolitan state of Bengal, and all the other provinces of British India, are under governors, lieutenant-governors, or chief commissioners. With the exceptions only of the governors of Bombay and Madras, who are appointed by the king on the recommendation of the British Government, outside the ranks of the ordinary service, all these great executive posts are filled from the Indian Civil or Political Service. The Viceroy nominates and the Crown appoints the lieutenant-governors, and the Governor-General in council appoints the chief commissioners.

Each Indian province is divided into divisions under commissioners. These, again, are split up into districts, which form the unit of administration. At the head of each district is an executive officer, styled "collector," "magistrate," or "deputy-commissioner," who has entire control of the district and is responsible to the governor or chief commissioner of the province. Associated with or subordinate to the collector are deputy-collectors, other magistrates, or assistants.

"The main functions of the collector-magistrate are twofold," says Sir William Hunter. "He is a fiscal officer, charged with the collection of the revenue from the land and other sources; he is also a civil and criminal judge, both of first instance and in appeal; he is the representative of a paternal, and not of a constitutional government. Police, gaols, education, municipalities, roads, sanitation, dispensaries, the local taxation, and the Imperial revenues of his district are to him matters of daily concern. He is expected to make himself acquainted with every phase of the social life of the natives, and with every natural aspect of the country. He should be a lawyer, an accountant, a surveyor, and a ready writer of state papers. He ought to possess no mean knowledge of agriculture, political economy, and engineering." There are at present some 260 districts in British India administered by these

THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE INNER EMPIRE

collector-magistrates. In some cases there is a collector and a magistrate, the two functions being occasionally separate. It is scarcely necessary to point out that these invaluable officials are drawn from the far-famed Indian Civil Service, the finest Civil Service in the world, entrance into which is no longer a matter of patronage, but through open competition.

The collector is the mainstay of the British Government in India. British valour won India in the first instance, and regained it after the mutiny; but the wise, incorruptibly just behaviour of the Civil Service, from its reconstruction in 1853-1858 to the present day, has done more than any feat of arms to retain the allegiance of the masses among the 200,000,000 of directly governed natives of India.

The people of the feudatory states are governed by their native princes in most cases, through a machinery of Ministers and councils, similar in degree to that of British India, except, of course, that the employes are all natives of India. In most cases justice between British Indians on the territories of the feudatory states is

**Rights of
the Native
Princes**

administered by the resident or agent of the Governor-General, who resides at the court of each feudatory prince, and advises

the latter in such of his affairs as call for attention. No feudatory prince has the right to make peace or war, to send ambassadors to other feudatory princes or to external states, or to keep an armed force above a number agreed upon.

Moreover, no Europeans may reside at their courts without the sanction of the supreme government. Chiefs who oppress or misgovern their subjects, or who waste their revenues, or are unnecessarily absent from their states, are sharply taken to task; but in normal circumstances they are very little interfered with, and it is a matter of no dispute that at the present day several native states are as well and more cheaply governed than the parts of India under direct British government.

At the present date there are 760 towns in British India large and important enough to possess municipalities that have, under the Local Self-Government Acts of 1883-1884, been accorded an elective character. The majority of the members of committees are elected by the rate-payers. These municipal bodies have the charge of roads, water supply, drains, markets, and sanitation. They can impose

taxes, enact by-laws, make improvements, and spend money; but the sanction of the provincial government is necessary before new taxes or new by-laws can be enforced. Very naturally, the vast majority of the members of these municipalities are Indians, and this experiment in self-government is being watched with

**Experiment
in Indian
Government** great interest by those who hope, little by little, to induct the natives of India into the

harmonious, capable, and honest administration of their home government. For rural tracts there are district and local boards which are in charge of roads, schools and hospitals. Gibraltar, a Crown colony, is little else than a garrison town—nearly two square miles in area—governed autocratically by a military governor and a civilian colonial secretary.

Malta, Gozo, and Comino are an archipelago of three islands and two islets in the Central Mediterranean (117 square miles in area; population, 206,690). The governor, always a military officer, is assisted by a lieutenant-governor (civilian), an executive council, and a council of government consisting of eleven official members, including the governor, and eight elected members. The governor has a right in case of necessity to legislate by order-in-council.

Cyprus is still theoretically a Turkish possession. By agreements concluded with the Porte between June and August, 1878, the island of Cyprus was handed over to Great Britain to be administered entirely free from Turkish control, until Russia restored to Turkey the fortress of Kars and other parts of Armenia acquired as the results of the Russo-Turkish War of 1877-78. At the present time the island is governed by a high commissioner on the lines of a Crown colony. There is an executive council consisting of the chief secretary, the king's advocate, and the receiver-general; and a legislature

**British
Rule in
Cyprus** of eighteen members, which, besides the above-mentioned three officials, comprises the

chief medical officer, the registrar-general, the principal forest officer, and twelve elected councillors—nine Christian and three Mohammedan. The voters are all male Turkish or British subjects, or foreigners who have resided at least five years on the island and are payers of land taxes. The council may be dissolved at the high commissioner's pleasure, and

cannot sit for a longer term than five years. Ceylon is administered by a governor aided by an executive council of five and a legislative council of seventeen members, comprising nine officials and eight nominated unofficial members, who represent in their personalities the Singhalese, Mohammedan, Eurasian and British elements in the population. For purposes of general administration the island is divided into nine provinces, presided over by government agents who are the equivalent of the Indian collector. These in their turn are assisted by subordinate

of Singapore and Penang, though their nomination must be confirmed by the Crown. The governor of the Straits Settlements is also high commissioner for the Federated Malay States, which fact carries his commission right up to the confines of India and Siam, and for Brunei, in Central North Borneo; and is also consul-general for the protected countries of Sarawak and North Borneo.

The Federated Malay States—except Johor—are administered by state councils composed of the native sultan, a British resident, a secretary to the resident, and



THE COUNCIL HALL IN THE GOVERNOR'S PALACE AT VALETTA, MALTA

British, Eurasian and native officials. The Maldivian Islands, 500 miles west of Ceylon, are governed by their own hereditary sultan and a cabinet of seven ministers. They are under the general supervision of the Ceylon Government, to whom the sultan is tributary.

The Straits Settlements—Singapore, Malacca, Penang, Labuan Island, Christmas Island, and the Cocos Islands—are governed much on the lines of Ceylon by a governor, with executive and legislative councils; except that of the unofficial members of council two may be nominated by the chambers of commerce

selected native (Malay) chiefs and Chinese notabilities. A British resident-general under the control of the high commissioner supervises the general affairs of the Malay Peninsula. The state of Johor remains outside this scheme of administration. Its sultan governs the territory of Johor through native ministers and headmen, but entrusts all his foreign relations to Great Britain. The same arrangements prevail in Sarawak, a large Borneo state ruled by an English rajah. In Brunei, the country—3,000 square miles—is governed by a British resident with the co-operation of the sultan and



S. B. Barnard, Cape Town

■ A SITTING OF THE CAPE PARLIAMENT: THE LATE CECIL RHODES IS INDICATED BY A X



J. W. Waters, Fiji

THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF FIJI IN SESSION
PARLIAMENTS OF BRITAIN'S OVERSEAS DOMINIONS

native ministers. British North Borneo is administered by a governor, practically appointed by the Crown, and a court of directors sitting in London. The territory is divided into ten provinces, and is administered—as in Sarawak—much on the lines of a Crown colony. In Sarawak the rajah is assisted in the work of

Governing the Fiji Islands government by a nominated council of seven members. The colony of Fiji has a governor, executive and legislative councils; but six members out of eighteen are elected by the non-native settlers, and two are native representatives nominated by the governor. The native population (Fijians)—over 90,000 in number—are accorded a large share of self-government. This is arranged for by village and district councils, meetings of chiefs, and a native regulation board, which has the governor as president and four European and thirteen native members. The native legislation of the board must receive the sanction of the legislative council before becoming law.

The Fiji Islands are divided into seventeen provinces under the control of European or native commissioners. The governor of Fiji is also high commissioner for the Western Pacific, and as such controls the native governments of Tonga (which kingdom has a legislative assembly), the New Hebrides (jointly with France), the Gilbert Islands, British Solomon Islands (area, 8,357 square miles), Santa Cruz Islands, Malden Island, etc., etc. He is also assisted by resident commissioners and deputy commissioners.

The Crown Colony of Hong Kong is administered by a governor, an executive council, and a legislative council of the usual type—eight official members and six unofficial. Of these last, four are nominated by the Crown, and one is nominated by the chamber of commerce, one by the justices of the peace. Wei-

China's Lease of Wei-hai-wei hai-wei, in North China, is administered by a commissioner, who legislates by ordinance. The territory is leased by

China on an uncertain term, and includes the walled city of Wei-hai-wei and an area outside of about 283 miles. Over this last the administration is mainly carried on by native headmen under the supervision of the British commissioner. The native government of the sultanate of Zanzibar, off the east coast of Africa,

is limited to the islands of Zanzibar and Pemba, though the sultan, or sayyid, is still the theoretical sovereign over the coast strip of British East Africa. The government of Zanzibar is carried on by the sultan through a British Prime Minister and native officials, judges, etc., but under the supervision of a British agent and consul-general, who also have exclusive jurisdiction over all British subjects or foreigners not the subjects of Powers having special treaty relations with the sultan's government. The Somaliland Protectorate is administered simply by a commissioner and commander-in-chief.

British East Africa (area, 177,100 square miles) has a governor and commander-in-chief, and a lieutenant-governor; an executive and a legislative council. This last consists of eight official members and three (nominated) unofficial. The territory is divided into seven provinces under provincial commissioners, who have twenty-six collectors under them. The Uganda Protectorate is administered by a governor and commander-in-chief, but there is at present

Uganda's Native Parliament no council. The Uganda Province and portions of the Western Province (Toro and Ankole) are under native governments, except as regards jurisdiction over non-natives of the province or British or foreign subjects. These native governments are carried on under British supervision, and the British governor alone has the power of life and death. There are five provinces. In the native kingdom of Uganda there is a native parliament, or lukiko, the deliberations of which assist the king, or "kabaka," of Uganda (at present a minor) and his ministry in their government of the kingdom of Uganda, a state of great antiquity.

The territory once called British Central Africa, north of the Zambesi, is now divided into the protectorate of Nyassaland and North-east and North-west Rhodesia. The first-named is administered by a governor and commander-in-chief, an executive and a legislative council, the latter consisting of nominated and official members whose legislation is subject to the governor's veto. This virtual colony is divided into thirteen districts under the charge of residents first, second and third class. North-east and North-west Rhodesia are governed by administrators and magistrates in the

THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE INNER EMPIRE

service of the British South Africa Chartered Company. Lewanika, king of the Barotse, has still a considerable amount of autonomous power over his own subjects. North-west Rhodesia comes within the purview of the South African high commissioner; North-east Rhodesia is subject to some supervision by the governor of Nyassaland, who, by arrangement, supplies the armed force for the country's defence.

The court of appeal from the courts of Nyassaland and North-east Rhodesia lies in Zanzibar; that of North-west Rhodesian justice in Cape Town. As time goes on, North-west and Southern Rhodesia will probably take their places in the great South African Confederation, while North-east Rhodesia and Nyassaland will become once more fused under their original title of British Central Africa, and will constitute a great negro state under direct British management.

The Seychelles Archipelago is administered by a governor, and executive and a legislative council, the last consisting of nominated members, three official and three unofficial, the governor having an original and a casting vote. The island of Mauritius has an area of 705 square miles and a population of 378,000. The government is carried on by a governor, who is assisted by an executive council composed of the commander of H.M. troops, the colonial secretary, the procureur-general, the receiver-general, the auditor-general, and two elected members of the council of government. This last is almost equivalent to a lower house of legislature.

It consists, besides the governor and eight ex-officio members, of nine members nominated by the governor and ten members elected by the people on a moderate franchise. So that the Mauritians—rapidly becoming a people of Hindu, Negro and Chinese race—possess the beginnings of a representative government. The small island dependencies of Mauritius are governed by magistrates appointed by the governor.

The Transvaal is the youngest of our self-governing colonies. It has a governor, who, in this instance, is also the high commissioner for all South Africa. He governs constitutionally through a legislative council (which is to be ultimately an elective senate) and a

legislative assembly of 69 members, all freely elected by the registered voters in the 69 existing electoral divisions. The franchise is limited to "white male British subjects," and the qualification is a minimum of six months' residence in the Transvaal. The registration of voters takes place biennially. The duration of the assembly is a maximum of five years, if not dissolved earlier by the governor on the advice of his ministers. Members of the legislature are paid a maximum of £300 annually. The languages of discussion are English and Dutch, but the language of record is English. Provision is made in the Transvaal Constitution for the safeguarding of the landed and other interests of the native negroes, which in a great measure atones for the denial to them of the franchise.

The constitution and government of the Orange River Colony resemble very closely those of the Transvaal. The number of members of the legislative assembly is at present thirty-eight, elected by registered voters. Basutoland, between the Orange State and Natal, is a great negro reservation, of which the high commissioner of South Africa is governor. The territory is governed by a resident commissioner under the direction of the high commissioner, who has exclusive jurisdiction over all persons not native Basutos. To these Europeans, Asiatics, or foreign negroes, numbering in all scarcely more than 1,000, justice is administered by seven assistant commissioners who are also magistrates. The 347,000 Basutos are ruled by their own chiefs subject to appeals to the British magistrate's court.

Natal, with which the native territories of Zululand and Amatongaland and the former Transvaal district of Vrijheid are now amalgamated, is ruled by a governor, a responsible ministry, a legislative council, and an elective legislative assembly. The members of the legislative council are summoned to act by the governor-in-council. They sit for ten years, and at present are thirteen in number. No one can be summoned to this "senate" unless he is the proprietor of at least £500 worth of immovable property within the colony. The franchise for the election of members of the legislative assembly is limited to the male sex, is apparently granted without

Representative Government in Mauritius

The Ruling Power in Natal

considerations of race or literacy, and is only qualified by the possession of immovable property of the minimum value of £50, or by paying rent for such property of at least £10 per annum, or having resided at least three years in the colony, and possessing not less than £96 income per annum. The same

qualifications apply to membership of the legislature. The assembly sits for not more than four years. Members of the legislature are not paid, unless they are ministers, but receive a travelling allowance. The province of Zululand is almost entirely occupied by native negroes. Only an infinitesimal part of its area—one-thirtieth—has been taken up by non-natives. One-fifth of the area of "old" Natal is set aside as a native reserve, besides large areas that have been bought by negroes from the government.

In this and other respects the negroes of Natal seem to have been very well treated by the Colonial Government; but the means of administering justice among them, and the extent to which their interests are represented in the Natal Parliament, seem to require improvement. The negro territory of Swaziland, on the eastern side of the Transvaal (area, 6,536 square miles; population, 85,000 negroes, 900 whites), is governed by a resident commissioner under the direction of the high commissioner of South Africa, much on the lines of Basutoland.

Cape Colony is the premier state of South Africa, and by far the oldest self-governing colony in Africa. It has possessed representative institutions since 1853, but the present form of government through responsible ministers only dates from 1872. The system, of course, starts with a governor, who receives no less than £8,000 a year, and who rules with the advice of six ministers. There is a legislative council of twenty-six elected members, who sit for seven years, the qualification being £2,000 of immovable or £4,000 of movable property. The house of assembly consists of 107 elected members, and lasts (unless dissolved earlier) for five years. The qualification for the exercise of the franchise for the election to both houses, and for sitting in the house of assembly, is the possession of personal property (not tribal) worth at least £75 (or salary of not less than £50

per annum) and a standard of literacy—ability to write one's name and address. The suffrage is still limited to males, but no race, colour, or religious distinction is made in the distribution of the franchise.

Members of both houses are paid at the rate of £1 1s. a day, with about £60 extra for travelling expenses. Local government (divisional councils, municipalities, and village-management boards) of an elaborate and efficient type is fully developed over Cape Colony and the included district of British Bechuanaland. The Bechuanaland Protectorate stretches between the northern parts of Cape Colony and the Zambesi, with an area of 275,000 square miles, and a population of 129,000 negroes and 1,000 whites. It is governed as regards the natives by six native chiefs, the most important of whom is Khama. As regards Europeans and internal or inter-tribal affairs the administration is directed by a resident commissioner, government secretary, assistant commissioners, magistrates, etc., under the general direction of the high commissioner for South Africa. The

area of Southern Rhodesia is 148,575 square miles, the European population is 14,018; and the native population, 639,418. The country is governed by the British South Africa Chartered Company, through an administrator, an executive council of six, and a legislative council of sixteen members. Seven members out of these sixteen are elected by registered voters on a franchise which appears to be limited to European residents. The executive and legislative councils sit for three years.

All laws passed must be submitted for sanction to the high commissioner of South Africa, under whose control is placed the military police. The high commissioner is represented locally by a resident commissioner. For administration Southern Rhodesia is divided into two provinces and eight districts. Native affairs are managed (under the administrator) by a department of state and thirty-one or thirty-two native commissioners. All legislation and land questions affecting natives are especially under the supervision and control of the high commissioner.

The little island of St. Helena, in the Atlantic, is 47 square miles in area, and has a population of about 4,000. Its affairs are managed by a governor and an



A NATIVE TRIAL: SCENE IN A CONSULAR COURT

N. W. Holm



INSPECTION OF CONVICTS AT MANDALAY GAOL IN BURMA

ADMINISTERING JUSTICE TO BRITISH SUBJECT PEOPLES

executive council. The island of Ascension is administered by a naval commandant under the Admiralty. Southern Nigeria has a governor, lieutenant-governor, and colonial secretary, an executive of seven official members, and a legislative council of ten official and four nominated unofficial members, two of whom are negroes. The

Negro Kings in Northern Nigeria colony is divided into three provinces and about twenty districts, administered by three provincial commissioners and a large number of district commissioners. Northern Nigeria is governed by a high commissioner without any executive or legislative councils. The fourteen provinces are supervised by ninety-nine residents and assistant-residents. A large amount of North Nigerian territory is directly administered, so far as natives are concerned, by negro or negroid kings and rulers.

The colony of the Gold Coast has a governor, an executive council of four, and a legislative council of five official and four unofficial nominated members, of whom one is a negro. There is a department and a secretary for native affairs, and Ashanti and the northern territories are governed—under the Gold Coast governor—by chief commissioners, provincial, and travelling commissioners.

Sierra Leone, for administrative purposes, is divided into a colony of about 4,300 square miles and a protectorate of 28,110 square miles in area. Both are under the administration of the same governor, colonial secretary, and general staff; but as regards the colony along the coast the governor is assisted by an executive council of five members and a legislative council of five official and four unofficial nominated members, of whom two are negroes. The protectorate is divided into five districts, which are administered by district commissioners, a good deal of power over the natives being still left in the hands of the native chiefs.

Bermudas an Important Naval Base In the Gambia Colony the actual "colonial" area is only about 69 square miles, and is ruled by a governor, executive council (three members), legislative council (six official, three unofficial nominated members, one of them a negro). The protectorate—3,911 square miles—is administered by the governor through a number of travelling commissioners. The lovely little archipelago of the Bermudas was really intended by Nature

for the Sea Queen's capital and the Syrens' *pied-à-terre*. It was more than that in the realms of fancy, having been chosen by Shakespeare for the scenes of "The Tempest." Instead of this, we have turned it in the course of centuries into an important naval base on the North American station, with dockyard, victualling establishment, and coaling station.

There are 360 small islands in the group, and only about twenty square miles of habitable land, with a population of 683 whites and 11,000 blacks or half-castes. The governor over this microcosm is the officer in command of the troops, and he is assisted by an executive council of six members, a legislative assembly of nine—both these are appointed by the Crown—and a house of assembly—thirty-six members—elected by the people. The franchise is dependent on the possession of freehold property of not less than £60 value. Members of the legislature are paid eight shillings a day for attendance. Representative institutions in the Bermudas date from 1620. The constitution of Jamaica, granted in 1662, was, like that of Bermuda, more suited to a large country than a small island, though Jamaica has an area of 4,207 square miles and a population, mainly negro, of 830,261. But the ancient constitution was surrendered in 1866, and, after several changes and enlargements, now stands thus:

Jamaica's Enlarged Constitution The governor rules with the assistance of a privy council of not more than eight in number—mostly officials—appointed by the Crown; a legislative council of the governor, six ex-officio members, ten nominated and fourteen elected. The legislative council may not sit more than five years without being dissolved. The franchise on which these fourteen representatives, as well as the members of the parochial boards, are elected is regulated by a small property qualification, residence, rate-paying, and British nationality.

Matters of local administration in Jamaica are carried out by fifteen elected parochial boards of fifteen parishes, into which the whole island is divided. The Turks and Caicos Islands are a dependency of Jamaica, with 5,287 inhabitants, the former group being administered by a commissioner and a legislative board appointed by the Crown. The Cayman Islands are likewise administered

THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE INNER EMPIRE

by a commissioner under the supervision of the governor of Jamaica. The Bahama Islands have a governor, an executive council of nine, a legislative council of nine, and a representative assembly of twenty-nine members elected on a small property franchise. The total area of this group is 5,450 square miles.

The Leeward Islands—area, 701 square miles; population, 128,000—have a governor, a federal executive council nominated by the Crown, and a federal legislature of eight nominated and eight elected members. These last are elected by the unofficial members of the local legislative councils of Antigua, Dominica, and St. Kitts-Nevis. The Leeward Islands are divided for purposes of local administration into five presidencies: the island groups of Antigua, Montserrat, St. Kitts and Nevis, Virgin, and Dominica. The three first-named and Dominica possess local executive and legislative councils, the members of which, official and unofficial, are nominated. The Virgin Islands have only an executive council. There is an administrator for St. Christopher, etc., and one

Advanced Government in Barbados for Dominica, and commissioners for Montserrat and the Virgin Islands. The

Windward Islands—area, 524 square miles; population, 175,587—have a governor, who usually resides at Grenada, an administrator for St. Lucia, and an administrator for St. Vincent. In each of the three islands there are executive and legislative councils, the members of which are nominated. In all the legislative councils there are unofficial members.

The island of Barbados has an area of only 166 square miles—a little larger than the Isle of Wight—and a population of under 200,000, but it goes far beyond any other West Indian colony in representative government. It has a governor all to itself, an executive of four members besides the governor, an executive committee partly elective, a nominated legislative council of nine members, and a house of assembly of twenty four members. The last-named are elected annually by the people on a low property franchise. The executive committee has almost the functions of a responsible ministry. The non-elective element consists of the four members of the House of Assembly appointed by the governor to serve on the executive committee.

As Barbados is, exceedingly prosperous, this elaborate machinery of government is apparently worth while. Trinidad and Tobago, with an area of 1,868 square miles and a population of about 273,898, have no representative institutions. Tobago Island is simply a district of Trinidad, under a district officer. The

The Prosperous Island of Trinidad two islands are under the rule of a governor, with an executive council of six members and a legislative council consisting of the governor, ten other officials, and eleven unofficial members nominated by the governor for five years. The large and prosperous island of Trinidad is divided into sixteen counties, and these are administered by nine district officers. It is therefore entirely without representative institutions.

The colony of British Honduras, on the mainland of Central America, is administered by a governor, an executive council of five members, and a nominated legislative council of three official and five unofficial members. It is divided into six districts under district commissioners.

British Guiana, on the mainland of Northern South America, is a relatively large possession, over 90,000 square miles in area, with a population of 307,000, the largest elements in which are negroes and East Indians. The administration consists of a governor, an executive council of eight members, two ex-officio, six nominated, a Court of Policy (legislative council), and a Combined Court, which deals with finance. The Court of Policy is composed of seven official and eight elected members; the Combined Court consists of these fifteen members of the Court of Policy (which is a purely legislative body), and, in addition, of six elected financial representatives. Thus the Combined Court comprises fourteen elected unofficial members and seven officials. The functions of this Combined Court are to

How British Guiana is Governed consider the estimate of expenditure prepared by the governor in executive council and to determine the ways and means to meet it. This court alone can levy taxes. Thus, in the possession of this Combined Court, with a preponderating unofficial majority of seven elected representatives, the voting inhabitants of British Guiana come nearest of all the British possessions in Tropical America (except Barbados) to a government of popular control. But,

though there are no specific principles of race exclusion, the qualifications for membership of the legislature and the franchise for electors at present render it difficult for non-Europeans to control the country's destinies.


The qualification for election to the Guianan Court of Policy consists of (1) ownership of 80 acres of land, half of which must be under cultivation; or (2) ownership of immovable property of a value not less than £1,562 10s.; or (3) ownership or possession under a lease for twenty-one years and upwards of a house or house and land of the annual rental value of £250. The qualification for a financial representative is the same as for a member of the Court of Policy, with the important addition that such representative must also possess a "clear annual income of £300 arising from any kind of property not mentioned in any other property qualification, or from any profession, business, or trade carried on in the colony."

The franchise which elects these fourteen members of the legislature is either "county" or "city." Its restrictions are not very severe, being either ownership or tenancy of cultivated land or houses, or a minimum income of not less than £100 (coupled with residence), or payment of twelve months' taxes of not less than £4 3s. 4d., combined with not less than six months' residence prior to date of


registration. The number of registered electors at present out of a population of 307,000 is about 3,100. Only about 130 square miles of British Guiana are under cultivation. There are two municipalities, with mayor and town council—Georgetown and New Amsterdam—and local government is further provided for by fifty-four village and country district councils.

The Falkland Islands have an area (excluding the uninhabited South Georgia, 1,000 square miles) of about 6,500 square miles, and a population of about 2,100. They are administered by a governor, an executive council of four officials, and a legislative council of three officials and two unofficials appointed by the Crown.

Before passing on to consider the statistics of other parts of British America, we might note the following points about the possessions in the West Indies and Bermudas, Honduras, and Guiana. The total white population of British (mainly), Portuguese, French, and Spanish descent is 62,300. Negroes and mulattoes amount to about 1,550,000; natives of British India, 210,000 (chiefly in Guiana, 110,000; Trinidad, 87,000; and Jamaica, 13,000); Chinese, 1,500; aboriginal Amerindians (in British Honduras, Dominica, and Guiana, about 11,000); mixed races, compounded of negro, East Indian, and Amerindian, 10,000.



GENERAL VIEW OF THE NEW DOCKS AT SIMON'S BAY IN SOUTH AFRICA



PARLIAMENTS OF THE OUTER EMPIRE

CANADA AND AUSTRALIA AND THEIR ADVANCED SYSTEMS OF GOVERNMENT

THE vast Dominion of Canada (nominal area, 3,745,574 square miles, though only about 2,000,000 square miles are really habitable) is perhaps the portion of the British Empire that is most independent of Great Britain. Canada makes no contribution, direct or indirect, to the Imperial fleet or army; but she shares with us the supreme rule of the king-emperor, and admits an appeal to the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, which is almost expunged from the Australian constitution.

The rule of the king is delegated to a Governor-General, appointed usually on the advice of the British Cabinet. But this governor, once appointed, enjoys greater independence than any other delegate of regal authority, and directs the government of Canada more like a constitutional president elected for five years than a nominee of the British Colonial Office. He is assisted by a Privy Council, chosen and nominated by himself. Representing the king, he rules with the advice of responsible ministers, through a parliament of Senate and House of Commons.

The Dominion of Canada is divided at present into nine provinces and a territory (Yukon). The unorganised remainder of the far north and east is administered through the Home Office of the Dominion Ministry. With the exception of the Yukon territory, each province has a fully-equipped local government—lieutenant-governor, responsible ministry, elected legislature. In the case of Quebec and Nova Scotia the local parliament consists of two houses—a Legislative Council equivalent to a senate, and a Legislative Assembly. All the other provinces have a Legislative Assembly only.

The Dominion Parliament has much greater and more comprehensive powers than the Senate and Congress of the United States. The provincial legislatures deal

only with direct taxation within the province, provincial loans, the management of provincial lands, provincial and municipal offices, licences, public works, education, and general civil law. They also possess concurrent legislative powers with the Dominion Parliament on questions of agriculture, quarantine, and immigration. All their Bills require the assent of the lieutenant-governor, and may

Functions of the Dominion Parliament

be disallowed within one year by the Governor-General. The Dominion Parliament deals with all questions except those specifically delegated by the constitution to the provincial legislatures, and may even negotiate commercial treaties with foreign Powers or other self-governing portions of the British Empire. But all Bills passed by the Dominion Parliament require the assent of the Governor-General, and may be disallowed by the king-emperor within two years.

The Senate consists of eighty-seven members, nominated for life by the Governor-General. Their qualifications are: (1) Having attained the age of thirty; (2) birth or residence in the province for which they are appointed; (3) the possession of at least £800 worth of property.

The members of the House of Commons need no property qualification. They must be British subjects, born or naturalised, and twenty-one years of age or upwards. A member cannot sit for both a provincial legislature and the Dominion Parliament. Members are elected by ballot on a male suffrage—suffrage has not been granted to women in Canada—which is very wide, practically manhood suffrage in Ontario, Manitoba, British Columbia, and Prince Edward's Island, Saskatchewan and Alberta; a small property limit in Quebec, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick. Since 1898, the decision as to the suffrage for election to the

HARMSWORTH HISTORY OF THE WORLD

Dominion Parliament has been left to the provinces to decide according to local views. Senators and members are paid: senators, £500 per annum; members, a maximum of £500 per session. A parliament may not last longer than five years. Local government throughout settled Canada is admirably and fully developed by rural, village, town, city, and county councils. The colony of Newfoundland, with the adjoining coast strip of Labrador, is not part of the dominion of Canada, but an independent government under a governor and responsible ministry. There is an Executive Council of nine ministers, over

term for each elected assembly is four years. The majority in each assembly elects the ministry which is to serve as the governor's executive. Local government—except for the Municipal Council of St. John's—is almost entirely directed by the ministry and government departments at headquarters (St. John's).

It is interesting to note that in differences between the Dominion Parliament and the provincial legislatures an appeal to the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council resulted in a satisfactory settlement. Appeals still lie from the Supreme Court—created in 1876—of the Canadian



THE CANADIAN HOUSE OF COMMONS IN SESSION

W. J. Topley


which the governor presides; a Legislative Council of eighteen members, nominated for life by the Governor-in-Council; and there is a House of Assembly of thirty-six members, elected by ballot on manhood suffrage. There is a property qualification for members of a minimum value of £500, or a yearly income of £100. A payment of £24 is made in each session to each legislative councillor, and of £40 or £60—according to distance of residence—to each member of the House of Assembly. The session seldom lasts more than three months in each year, and the maximum

Dominion to the Privy Council of the United Kingdom. If this could become and remain the final court of appeal for the whole empire it would do more than any other measure to bind us together. But our law lords, our Treasury, our national indifference to pomp and show, combine to hinder the creation of an ideal Supreme Imperial Court of Appeal out of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council. "Such a court," said Sir Edward Clarke some time ago, "should be strong in its constitution, dignified in its ceremonial, and even splendid in its surroundings,



THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF NEW SOUTH WALES

Kerry



THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF VICTORIA

SCENES IN TWO OF AUSTRALIA'S HOUSES OF PARLIAMENT

so as to command the respect and touch the imagination of our brethren beyond the seas." "The Judicial Committee of the Privy Council," said a morning paper recently, "which is the final court of appeal for the citizens of the Greater Britain, is one of the curiosities of our legal system. It occupies a bare, barn-like room in Whitehall; its members drop in casually and sit around a horseshoe table in their ordinary walking clothes, and there is not a solitary symbol of the dignity one would naturally expect to see associated with a tribunal of such imperial importance and world-wide jurisdiction."

Commonwealth of Australia

The Commonwealth of Australia did not attain to completion as a unified organisation until twenty years after the Canadian Dominion, by the inclusion of the great North-west, assumed its present unity and comprehensive national force. The act creating a Commonwealth of Australia came into vigour on January 1st, 1901.

The commonwealth consists of the six states of New South Wales, Victoria, South Australia, Queensland, Tasmania, and Western Australia; the little islands of Norfolk and Lord Howe—governed by New South Wales—and the territory of Papua, administered by the commonwealth government. All the six states have governors appointed directly by the Crown—i.e., on the advice of the British Cabinet; but the lieutenant-governor of Papua is appointed by the Governor-General of the commonwealth, on the advice of his Ministers. The governors of the six states may correspond direct with the Colonial Office, but must supply the Governor-General with copies of their despatches.

The constitution of New South Wales comprises a governor and lieutenant-governor, a Legislative Council of not less than twenty-one members (actually fifty-six),

The Constitution of New South Wales

appointed for life by the Crown; and a Legislative Assembly of ninety elected members. The Assembly sits for three years, unless dissolved sooner. Each of the ninety constituencies only returns one member, and each member is paid £300 a year; and, like the members of Council—who are not paid any salary in their capacity of legislative councillors—can travel free on all government railways and tramways, and send their letters postage

free. The electoral franchise is conferred on men and women alike since 1902. Every man or woman, being a natural-born or naturalised subject of his Majesty, above twenty-one years of age, having resided one year in the state, and three months in a particular electoral district, is qualified as an elector, and is entitled to one vote only. Local government in New South Wales is fully provided for through the shires and municipal councils.

In the state of Victoria there are governor, lieutenant-governor, a Cabinet or Executive Council, a Legislative Council (thirty-four in number), and a Legislative Assembly. Members of the Upper House, or Legislative Council, are elected for six years. Their qualification is the possession of an estate of the net annual minimum value of £50 for one year prior to the election. Electors of the Council must be in possession of property of the rateable value of £10, if freehold, or £15 if derived from leasehold; unless, that is, they are graduates of a British or colonial university or students of the Melbourne University, ministers of religion, certificated teachers,

Victoria's Complete Local Government

lawyers, medical practitioners, or officers of army or navy; in such case they need no property qualification for the election of senators. The members of this upper house are not paid. The Legislative Assembly, which, like most of the Australian lower houses, sits for three years only, unless dissolved earlier, is composed of sixty-five members. Neither these nor their electors require any property qualification. There are the usual provisions as to being a British or naturalised British subject. Members of the lower house are paid £300 per annum. The franchise for the election of members of the lower house is practically the same as that described for New South Wales, except that it is limited to males.

Local government in Victoria is very complete, and is carried out by means of municipal and shire councils. For election to these councils—by the ratepayers—the suffrage is extended to women. In South Australia, the Legislative Council consists of eighteen members elected on much the same terms as in Victoria, except that the members elected must be at least thirty years of age, and have resided in the state for at least three years, while the property limit of the council suffrage is slightly higher, and there is no

PARLIAMENTS OF THE OUTER EMPIRE

exemption therefrom for the classes of professional men as in Victoria. This suffrage, like the others, is conferred equally upon women. The House of Assembly consists of forty-two members elected for not more than three years. Qualifications and suffrage are similar to those of Victoria, except that the suffrage is also extended to women. Members of both houses are paid a salary of £200 a year whilst they serve. Local government is carried on through thirty-two elective municipal and district councils in the settled regions. In Queensland there is apparently no lieutenant-governor. The members of

A good deal of the state is divided into shires (rural districts) and municipal areas (cities, towns)—670,255 square miles in all—and over these local government, under elected councils, is fully enforced.

Tasmania has a governor, deputy-governor, and the same type of executive and legislature as the other Australian states. There is a maximum of eighteen members in the Legislative Council. This body is elected for six years. No property qualification is necessary in either house, but there is a very small property qualification attached to the Senate franchise, though, as in Victoria, this is not asked for in the case of university or professional



THE TASMANIAN HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY IN SESSION

the Legislative Council (forty-four) are all nominated by the Crown for life, and are unpaid. The Legislative Assembly comprises seventy-two members elected for a maximum period of three years, and paid at the rate of £300 a year. There is no property qualification for the members of either legislature.

The franchise is granted to all men and women, born or naturalised British subjects, from the age of twenty-one years, after twelve months' residence in the state, provided they are not insane, have not been criminally convicted or, in the case of men, have not been guilty of wife-desertion.

men. Members of the House of Assembly (35 in number) are elected for three years, the qualification being as described for South Australia, on the usual adult (male and female) suffrage. The only persons who may not sit in the legislature of Tasmania are judges of the Supreme Court, paid officials of the Crown (except responsible ministers), or contractors to Government; neither may any member of the local legislature here or elsewhere in Australia be at the same time a parliamentary representative in the Commonwealth Parliament. The local government of Tasmania is entrusted to

elected municipal and rural councils. West Australia has a governor and lieutenant-governor, a Legislative Council of thirty members, and a Legislative Assembly of fifty. The councillors are elected for six years, and the members of the Assembly for three. The qualification for a councillor is (1) to be not less than thirty years old;

Parliamentary Qualifications in West Australia (2) a resident in the state for at least two years; (3) a British subject or five-years naturalised subject. The

franchise for the upper house is conferred on persons of both sexes over twenty-one, British subjects, resident in the state six months, and possessing a freehold estate of a clear value of £100, or the usual proportionate equivalent in leasehold, rent or ratepaying.

The qualification for members of the lower house is that they should be male British subjects over twenty-one who have resided in the state for twelve months; or, if naturalised for five years, then their residence must be at least two years. The franchise for the lower house is granted to any man or woman above twenty-one—provided they are British or naturalised subjects—when they have resided at least six months in the state, and whilst they are actually resident in the district at the time of their claim. This condition about residence at the time of claiming the vote is waived for those who have a small property qualification. As throughout the rest of Australia, no elector has more than one vote for the lower house.

Members of both houses are paid £200 a year and travel free on government railways. Local government in Western Australia is entrusted to municipal councils elected by the ratepayers, and to a number of public institutions apparently depending on the Executive or the Legislature—boards of water supply and sewerage (not a very happy conjuncture!), road boards, and local boards of health. The ad-

Where Women Enjoy the Suffrage ministration of Papua consists of a lieutenant-governor and an Executive Council of six members (officials), and a Legislative Council composed of the Executive and three unofficial members appointed by the governor.

So much for the provincial administration of Australia. It will be observed that in every state with responsible government, except Victoria, the suffrage is granted on equal terms to men and women

alike, universally on the principle of one man one vote; that the terms of duration of the elected lower houses are invariably limited to three years, and that there is no excluding property qualification attached to either membership or suffrage for the lower houses of legislature.

The federal government of Australia consists of the king (represented by a governor-general), a Senate, and a House of Representatives. The Governor-General is assisted by an Executive Council of ministers who are, or who must become within three months, members of the Federal Parliament. There are 36 senators who are elected for six years, and receive £600 a year each, unless already holding salaried posts as ministers, or salaried officers of the house.

Members of the House of Representatives are elected for three years (unless the house is dissolved sooner), and are paid at the rate of £600 a year. There are at present 75 representatives, but the numbers fluctuate in each parliament in relation to increase or diminution of the population. The number of the senators

Australia's Federal Government may be increased or diminished in the future, but always on the lines that no original state shall have less than six senators nor more than any other original state.

The qualifications for senators and representatives are identical: twenty-one years of age, to be an elector, or entitled to be; to be resident at least three years in Australia; to be a British subject born, or a naturalised British subject of five years' standing. The federal franchise for election in both houses is universal adult suffrage (male and female), on the usual terms—twenty-one years of age and upwards, British citizenship, and a minimum of twelve months' residence.

The Canadian legislature has been commended because it left practically no loophole for dispute as to the competency of the Federal Parliament. The subjects on which the provincial parliaments could legislate were clearly stipulated, and the Federal Parliament was empowered to deal with all else which did not infringe the prerogatives of the British Crown. In the Australian Legislature, the case is reversed. The scope of the Federal Parliament is defined in thirty nine articles, and the powers of the state governments are not otherwise limited. Disputes on the

PARLIAMENTS OF THE OUTER EMPIRE

interpretation of the federal constitution will have to be referred to the new High Court of Australia, which is to be an appellate, as well as an original court. An appeal to the final decision of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council from the decisions of the High Court, or from those of the Supreme Courts of the federal states, may only be carried out on a certificate to be granted by the High Court at its own discretion. The Federal Parliament undertakes to legislate for, and to control, the naval and military defence of Australia, its trade, taxation, public debts, loans, postal service, census, and statistics, currency, banking, marriage, divorce, old age pensions, immigration, emigration, railways, regulations dealing with insolvency and corporations, departments of state, foundation of a state capital, etc. etc.

The dominion of New Zealand has an area (including all island groups attached to its administration) of about 105,240 square miles, and a population of nearly 950,000. Its government consists of a governor and commander-in-chief, an Executive Council of Ministers, a Legislative Council of 45 members, and a House of Representatives of 80 members, including four Maories. The extreme duration of membership in the upper house is seven years; the House of Representatives sits for three years, unless previously dissolved. Members of the Council are paid £200 a year, representatives £300. Councillors are appointed by the governor, representatives are elected by the people, the qualification for the last-named being that of an elector. The franchise is granted

Maories in to all men and women of
New Zealand's European race over twenty-
Government one years of age who have
resided at least one year in
the colony and three months in the
electoral district. For the election of the
four Maori meml ers every adult Maori can
vote who is resident in the district for
which the Maori candidate is standing.

As regards local government, this also
is elective on the part of the ratepayers.
The dominion is divided into municipali-

ties and counties, road districts and town
districts, river drainage, water supply
boards, etc. The qualifications for
electors are ratepaying, residence, or the
possession of property. Municipal fran-
chise is equally extended to women. From
this purview of the forms of government in
every part of the British Empire and sphere

Great Britain's of influence, coupled with
Advanced a knowledge of the institu-
Daughter Nations tions of the British Islands,


it will be seen that the
countries with the most modern and ideally
perfect type of constitution are Australia
and New Zealand; next, and only inferior
because it still denies the franchise to
women, is Canada. The states of South
Africa are not far behind, but some of
them are fettered by considerations of race
questions and restricted franchise. The
Mother Country is still behind the more
advanced daughter nations in the solution
of several social problems and the simpli-
fication of administrative machinery.

India lacks an admixture of the native
element in her highest councils. Trinidad
is thought by some to be too purely
official in its government. Gibraltar,
Northern Nigeria, Uganda, and the
Egyptian Sudan are administered auto-
cratically without executive or legisla-
tive councils. Gibraltar, of course, is little
else than a garrisoned fort; in Uganda
there is a highly developed representative
native administration, and a good deal of
Northern Nigeria is still governed in parts
by native princes.


The sultan of Zanzibar governs despotically through a ministry of English and
Arabs, but in constant touch with the
feelings and interest of the populace; the
despotism of the petty Arab sultans in
Aden territory, Socotra, the Hadhramaut,
Oman, and Bahrein is tempered by the
advice of British residents. The rest
of the inner British Empire is not with-
out some measure of elective or popular
representation in its councils, and the
full measure of popular government in
Barbados and the Bermudas seems to
have induced quiet and prosperity.



STABROEK MARKET AND THE STELLINGS AT GEORGETOWN IN BRITISH GUIANA



IN THE ROCKIES: ELBOW RIVER VALLEY AND THE THREE SISTERS



KINCHINJUNGA. THE HIGHEST POINT OF THE NEPAL HIMALAYAS IN NORTH INDIA



THE NUWARA ELIYA MOUNTAIN IN THE ISLAND OF CEYLON

MOUNTAIN RANGES IN GREAT BRITAIN'S OVER-SEAS DOMINIONS



THE SINEWS OF EMPIRE THE RESOURCES, EDUCATION, AND DEFENCES OF GREATER BRITAIN

THE British Empire not only includes that extraordinary diversity of human races enumerated in another chapter, but it is equally diverse in its physical geography, fauna, flora, and climates. It contains deserts such as may be found in Southern Egypt, Southern Arabia, West-central India, and Australia, wherein it may not chance to rain more than once in seven years. It includes regions of mountain and forest like Assam, where the annual rainfall is the highest known—about 300 inches per annum.

It extends to the South Pole and the North Pole, and possesses territories within the equatorial belt in Africa, Eastern Asia, and South America. It takes under its aegis the highest mountains in the world, the loftiest peaks of the Himalayas, and other such notable mountains as Ruwenzori, Elgon, Kenya, Mlanje, and the Drakensberg in Africa, Mount

Mountains of the Empire

Troödos in Cyprus, Mount Sinai in Eastern Egypt, the mountains of Penang and Perak in the Malay Peninsula, the Australian Alps, the New Zealand Alps, Roraima of British Guiana, the Blue Mountains of Jamaica, the Cockscomb Mountains of British Honduras, and the Rocky Mountains of Canada, these last unsurpassed in splendour of scenery anywhere in the world. Nor as providers of inspiring landscapes need the mountains of Scotland, Ireland and Wales, the hills of Shropshire, Derbyshire, Gloucester or Monmouth, Somerset, Devon, and Sussex be left out of the record of the empire's scenic beauty or health resorts.

We control half of the basins of the Niger and the Zambesi, and the sources of the Congo; the Nile, from its twin fountains to its mouth, is wholly within the British sphere. We share Niagara with the United States, and own exclusively its only rival among the world's great

waterfalls—those which David Livingstone discovered on the Zambesi. Fate has entrusted for a time to our charge—and it is to be hoped we shall be worthy of the stewardship—the largest share of the world's wonders, the choicest examples of terrestrial loveliness. At the same time the most productive regions of the world are under our sway.

Britain's Large Share of the World's Wonders

Even the seemingly unproductive, such as those as are well nigh locked in the grasp of the last Glacial Period or scorched by the sun of the Sahara Desert, are found to be rich in minerals—in gold, nitre, or precious stones.

The gold of Spanish America and California did much to increase the world's wealth in that metal, but not so much as has been obtained in the last sixty years from Australia, New Guinea, New Zealand, South Africa, British Guiana, India, and West Africa. We have silver also in Canada, Australia, New Zealand, and South Africa. Copper is obtained from Australia, from the arid South-west Africa and Northern and Southern Rhodesia, from Canada and Newfoundland; and some day, no doubt, will be obtained from the Egyptian Sudan.

Tin, once the principal attraction to ancient explorers of the British Islands, and still much mined in Cornwall, is now found to be singularly abundant in the Malay Peninsula, and is also obtained from Australia and Northern Nigeria. Coal, the great product of the United Kingdom itself, is also now worked profitably

South Africa Rich in Diamonds

in Australia, New Zealand, Canada, India, Borneo, Natal, the Transvaal, Rhodesia, and Cape Colony. Petroleum is found in Burma, Canada, and (in a more bituminous form) in Southern Nigeria, Barbados (West Indies), and Trinidad. Diamonds of a good second quality abound in South Africa to such an extent that the trade has



A BRITISH PORT IN CHINA: GENERAL VIEW OF WEI-HAI-WEI, SHOWING DOCKYARDS

to control their output. Of a better quality are those still found in India and in British Guiana, and perhaps in Australia. Australia is rich in opals. Opals, rubies, sapphires, and emeralds come from India. But I think it will be found as the civilisation of the world progresses that the so-called precious stones will deteriorate in value.

There will be a market for them where they can be used industrially, as is the case with the diamond, but as mere ornaments the educated world will be growing too sensible to spend money on them. It will prefer the pure and cheap beauty of flowers and the sensible warmth of furs. As regards this last accessory to an artificial life, the British Empire is still exceedingly rich, though it may be questioned whether it is not gobbling up its

capital at a foolish rate and making no provision for a future supply. The territories of the Canadian Dominion to the north of the fifty-second degree of north latitude are, together with Siberia, the great fur-producing regions of the world.

Hence are exported the skins of beavers, foxes, martens, stoats, otters, lynxes, wolves and bears, which provide such a large proportion of the world's fur coats, muffs, trimmings, and carriage rugs. The Canadian Government, however, might well consider whether measures should not be taken to restrict the output and preserve many valuable species of fur-bearing animals from complete extinction. This problem in regard to the skins of the sealions, exported from the Pacific coasts of Canada, has already received attention.



THE IRRIGATION WORKS AND PUBLIC RESERVOIR AT HAIDARABAD, IN INDIA

THE SINEWS OF EMPIRE

India contributes thousands of tiger, leopard, bear, deer, and antelope skins annually. Australia sends a certain proportion of the so-called opossum fur (the soft, woolly pelts of the phalanger). South Africa forwards a diminishing number of karosses made of the skins of red lynxes, foxes, jackals, and springboks. West Africa exports leopard and monkey skins; East Africa the hides of lions, leopards, cheetahs, and jackals.

But passing from the pelt that is used for its beauty and heavy fur, we may enumerate the more essential product of mere leather. Ox, antelope, and zebra hides are an export of growing importance from the territories of Uganda and East

the world, together with cattle for hides, meat, and draught purposes. Somaliland, the Egyptian Sudan, and British Arabia will also become great camel-breeding regions. This is already the case with much of West Central India—in which magnificent one-humped camels (dromedaries) are found. In far North-western India and in all the regions of Central Asia adjacent thereto, and, more or less, under British influence, there is the "Bactrian" two-humped camel, still wild in Tibet. This is an exceedingly useful beast for transport; and furnishes valuable hair for weaving fabrics and for felting. In this region also is the yak—a wild and also domesticated species of ox, which has



CLEARING AN INDIA-RUBBER FOREST IN THE STRAITS SETTLEMENTS

Africa, and enormous numbers of hides are sent to the leather markets from India, Australia, New Zealand, and South Africa.

The wool and hair products of the British Empire are a most important item. Australia and New Zealand are largely given up to the breeding of sheep—for wool as well as meat. Cape Colony and other parts of South Africa are breeding Merino sheep, and, above all, Angora goats. The great industry of the Falkland Islands is sheep and sheep products—wool, tallow, meat. It will probably be found that Somaliland and a good deal of the Egyptian Sudan will take prominent places in the future as countries furnishing goats' hair, sheep's wool, and meat to the rest of

an extravagant development of hair along the tail and sides of the body. The yak may bear some relation in origin to the bison. The bison, alas! once abounded in Southern Canada, but is now nearly exterminated.

Australia and British Arabia—later on, Somaliland, Nigeria, and parts of the Sudan—Ireland and Great Britain will produce between them sufficient horses for the needs of the empire and for all climates and purposes. If less attention were given to racing as an odious form of gambling, mixed up with so much that is disreputable and fraudulent, and greater encouragement were given by the state to honest horse-breeding for honest purposes, Great Britain ought to be able to

supply herself with all the horses she needs, and not have to import any from Belgium and Hungary. As regards the domesticated birds produced by the different sections of the empire, Canada is going ahead with her fowl-breeding, not prevented, as are the people of England and Ireland, by the ridiculous cult of the fox, which checks the maintenance of so many poultry farms in the home country.

In this direction the United Kingdom lags behind its possibilities as a country for the breeding and rearing of choice poultry. India raises large quantities of peafowl, Chinese geese, and domestic fowls of various breeds. The rearing of turkeys on a considerable scale has lately made progress in Australia and New Zealand, and even on a portion of the Gold Coast in West Africa. In all the southern regions of Cape Colony and Natal poultry is usually very successful, and may before long be made an article of export. The ostrich farms of South Africa are so famous that they need no description. The wild fauna of the empire is, or should be, one of its glories, for Great Britain at present controls the fate of some of the most interesting, wonderful, and beautiful creatures still living on this planet. Our political limits include the Polar bear of Arctic Canada and the okapi of the Semliki forests; the lion, tiger, and elephants of Africa and Asia.

The white and the black rhinoceroses are still allowed to exist under the British flag in nooks and corners, and one or two game reserves, where the British sportsman (and his American, German, and Russian friends) has not as yet succeeded in exterminating them. The hippopotamus is still a nuisance to navigation in most of our African rivers. It is possible that the

easternmost parts of Sierra Leone contain the pygmy hippopotamus of the adjoining Liberia. Somaliland, the Egyptian Sudan, British Central, and British East Africa, and the hinterland of the Gambia are marvellously rich in antelopes, giraffes, and three types of buffalo. The kangaroo is almost entirely a British subject. He may have a few arboreal cousins living under the Dutch and German flags.

Practically speaking the British ensign covers all the marsupials of the world, except the opossums of America and the cuscus of the Malay Archipelago, or the rat-like *Cœnolestes* of Ecuador. We possess specimens of every species of zebra

and wild ass, and have but some day to extend our political influence over Tibet to throw our ægis over the only remaining wild horse. The tapir of British Guiana and the tapir of the Malay Peninsula are both citizens of the British Empire. Many a wonderful parrot or lory, a pheasant, hornbill, plantain-eater, or sunbird is entirely "British" in its range. The lyrebird—one of the small wonders of creation—is a

fellow-citizen of Australia with the kangaroo, though not yet accorded that rigid protection it deserves. As to our botanical wealth, it is stupendous.

The British flag waves over the grandest forests of the world, temperate and tropical. The pines and firs of Canada, the oaks and beeches of England, the mahogany of British Honduras and British Guiana, the Kauri pine of New Zealand, the eucalyptus and acacia of Australia, the teak of India; the ebony, the incense trees, the khayas of West Africa; the junipers and giant yews of the East African mountains; and the sandalwood and bamboos of the Malay Peninsula; the orchids of Burma and British Guiana, the roses of England and Canada,



OIL-WELLS AT YANANGYET, IN BURMA



CRUDE NATIVE METHOD OF WASHING THE RUBY-LADEN GRAVEL



THE EUROPEAN MINING METHODS IN THE SAME PLACE

Underwood

NATIVE AND BRITISH METHODS AT THE RUBY MINES OF MOGOK IN BURMA

the vines of South Africa and Australia, the wheat of British North America, the wheat of India and New Zealand, the bananas of the West Indies and of West Africa, the oranges of Jamaica and of New South Wales, the sugar of Barbados and of Queensland, the apples of New Zealand and Canada, the mangoes and mangosteens

Britain's Vegetable Wealth

of India, the apples, plums, peaches of South Africa, which are some day going to be amongst her principal articles of export to a fruit-loving world; the oil-palm of West Africa; the rubber from the same region, from Ceylon, and from the Malay Peninsula; the tea from Assam, Ceylon, and Natal; coffee from Nyassaland, Uganda, and Sierra Leone; cacao from the Gold Coast, Jamaica, and Trinidad; rice from India and West Africa.

These are a few of the items to be recounted in our tale of vegetable wealth. It is a subject for serious consideration that the rule of the British king as directed and advised by his numerous legislatures all over the world should control such an enormous portion of the world's food supplies. In the time to come—which no living reader of this history may see—food may be more valuable than the so-called precious metals and precious stones.

The educational establishments of the British Empire, besides those of the United Kingdom and the Channel Islands, consist of the following. Gibraltar has thirteen government-aided elementary schools. In Malta there is a university, founded under the rule of the Knights of St. John in 1769, with four faculties, and a lyceum, or public school, for boys, besides two government secondary schools for boys and for girls, 167 elementary schools, four technical and art schools, and seventy-one private educational establishments.

In Cyprus there are two Boards of Education to regulate (a) the Christian and (b) the Moslem schools of the island. These consist of four Greek high schools,

State-Aided Schools in Cyprus

and a Greek "gymnasium," or university; one Moslem high school, two similar Armenian-Christian establishments (high schools for boys and girls), a third Armenian school conducted by monks, and three schools for the Maronite Christians are also state-aided. Of the 526 elementary schools, 178 are Moslem. In Egypt there were, in 1907, 2,761 Moslem elementary schools, imparting

sufficiently useful education to receive governmental assistance. There are also many government technical schools for teaching carpentry, metal work, etc.

Under the Ministry of Education there are 143 elementary schools for Moslems, thirty-four primary schools, four secondary schools, ten special and technical schools for dealing with agriculture, art, engineering, teaching, etc., and eleven professional colleges (medicine, law, military, veterinary science, engineering, teaching, etc.). In addition there are also 305 first-class schools maintained by foreigners, notably by Americans. There is the great useless Moslem university of Al Azhar, near Cairo, still wasting human time and marring the intellectual progress of modern Egypt by an antique, fanatical, unscientific, unpractical style of teaching.

Education in Egypt owes a debt to Britain mainly on account of our patience and energy in pressing on the Egyptian Government the need for rescuing knowledge from the strangling grasp of Mohammedan fanatics. But it also owes much recognition to the memory of Mehmet Ali and his great-grandson,

The Sudan's Government Education


Ismail Pasha; also equally to the personal intervention of the present khedive and his father Tewfik. And last, but not least, to private Mohammedan generosity and to the missionary efforts of America.

In the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan there are fifteen elementary Arabic schools, and six secondary. These government schools are practically secular, and Christian as well as Moslem children are educated there. There are two industrial schools, besides that which is attached to the Gordon College, and three training colleges for teachers. Gordon College itself at Khartoum includes a department for the education of the Sudanese in law and the other subjects required by them for entry into the civil service; and also a high school for boys to be taught engineering, surveying, English, etc.

Very little seems to be done for the education of the Arabs or Somalis at Aden or in British Somaliland—practically nothing, in fact; nor are missionaries encouraged to work there, owing to Mohammedan fanaticism. The same is the case in the Persian Gulf and in Baluchistan. In India only about 16,500,000 people out of a total population of 297,000,000 are able to read and write in any language.



NATIVE WORKMEN FILLING RAILWAY TRUCKS WITH PITCH



A STEAMER LOADING AT THE PITCH LAKE



NATIVES DIGGING THE PITCH

J. White

ONE OF THE WORLD'S WONDERS: THE PITCH LAKE AT LA TREA, TRINIDAD

HARMSWORTH HISTORY OF THE WORLD

Only about 25 per cent. of the boys ever attend school, and only $3\frac{1}{4}$ per cent. of the girls. The best educated region is Bengal. On the whole, the Hindus are better educated than the Mohammedans. There are five universities—Calcutta, Madras, Bombay, Lahore, and Allahabad. There are 185 colleges, among which is the Mayo College for the education of the sons of princes, 115,869 government or government-aided schools, including 1,664 training and special schools for the instruction of school teachers and the teaching of many technical subjects. There are numerous government schools of art. There are also 42,604 private and charitable schools. Of the colleges, twelve only are for the education of women, for whom also there are 112 training schools, and 11,256 primary, secondary, and private schools.

In Ceylon, which has a total population of 3,578,333, there are 590 government schools, and 1,785 private schools.

There is a royal college and a government training college, besides several English high schools. Less than half the population is illiterate—a great contrast to India. In the Straits Settlements, the sultanate of Johor, and the Federated Malay States there are about 245 schools of all degrees maintained by the British or the native governments (210 in the Straits Settlements). The educational establishments of Sarawak and North Borneo are almost entirely maintained by missionary societies. Hong Kong has seventy primary schools, two girls'

high schools, three high schools for both sexes, and two high schools for young people of European parentage. On the leasehold of Wei-hai-wei there are four government schools teaching English, one private school for European children, and numerous Chinese schools.

In Mauritius there is the royal college, with two preparatory schools, and there are a training college for teachers, sixty-seven government primary schools, eighty-eight state-aided schools, and one assisted Mohammedan school. Education is gratuitous but not compulsory. The Seychelles Archipelago, with a population of 22,000, maintains twenty-seven primary assisted schools, the Victoria secondary school for boys, two Catholic secondary schools, one for girls, and an efficient infants' school. There are two government scholarships of £50 a year. In Cape Colony there is a university (Cape Town), and there are five colleges

and 3,750 schools, primary and secondary. In Zanzibar, and in the various Crown colonies, protectorates, and spheres of influence of Tropical Africa, except the Gambia and Sierra Leone, education is mainly in the hands of the different missionary societies, and is entirely confined to the natives of Africa. In Sierra Leone the educational establishments are excellent. There is Fula Bay College, a first-class institution; there are seventy-five primary schools, seventy-four secondary schools, four Mohammedan schools, and a college at Bô—in the interior—



A PLUMBAGO MINE AT KURUNEGALA, IN CEYLON
Photo. Morgan Crucible Co.



A TIN MINE NEAR KUALA LUMPUR, THE CAPITAL OF SELANGOR

for the sons of chiefs. In the Gambia there are six elementary schools under missionary management which receive state aid. There is also one secondary school.

On the Gold Coast, in proportion to its size and wealth, education is not much fostered by the government, and were it not for the work of the Swiss Basle Mission—which for thirty years has flooded West Africa with enlightenment and education of a most practical, industrial character—the Gold Coast natives would contrast disadvantageously with the rest of British West Africans. There are seven government schools in the coast regions of this colony and 140 assisted schools. There are no government schools in Ashanti. In Southern Nigeria education has of late been taken in hand by the government with vigour and success. There is a high school at Bonny, another at Old Calabar, and a grammar school at Lagos. In addition, there are thirty-one government primary schools (four for girls) and sixty-nine assisted schools. A Mohammedan school has been opened at Lagos.

In the Bermudas, where there is a population of nearly 18,000, there are five schools for the children of the soldiers and sailors, twenty primary schools, and five

secondary. There are said to be three Bermudan Rhodes scholars at Oxford. In the Bahamas the government schools number forty-six, together with twelve that receive state aid and forty-nine unaided. All this for a population of only 60,000 promises well for the advancement of the Bahamas.

In Jamaica, with a population—mainly black—of about 830,000, there are 687 government schools, three training colleges for teachers, and a high school at Kingston. There are also a large number of endowed high schools, industrial and technical institutions. Seven elementary government schools are maintained on the Turks and Caicos Islands dependent on Jamaica.

In the Leeward Islands, to a population of 134,000, there are 115 primary schools, six secondary, an agricultural college, and an industrial school. In the Windward Islands of Grenada, St. Vincent, and St. Lucia there is a population of 372,000; and there are 118 primary schools, one grammar school in Grenada, and an agricultural school in St. Vincent. Barbados has a population of 197,000, and maintains 166 primary schools, five secondary, three high schools, and Codrington College, affiliated to Durham University. Trinidad and Tobago together have a

population of 328,000. There are 250 government schools, many private schools, a queen's royal college, and a Roman Catholic college. The Central American colony of British Honduras has a population of 41,000 and forty-one primary schools, together with five secondary schools. British Guiana, in

Camp Schools in the Falkland Islands

Northern South America has a population of about 307,000, 220 schools receiving state aid, and a government college in Georgetown. Besides this, the local government affords certain means to natives of the colony to pursue a university education in England.

In the Falkland Islands, near the southern extremity of the South American continent, there is a population of about 2,100, and there are five permanent schools—one Roman Catholic—besides an excellent system of camp schools, with travelling schoolmasters. Education here is compulsory.

In the little lonely South Atlantic island of St. Helena there is a native population of 3,500, for whom nine schools are maintained, partly at government expense. So much for the education of the Inner Empire; that of the self-governing daughter nations is as follows:

The dominion of Canada has an approximate population at the date of writing of 6,000,000. Her nine provinces and Yukon territory maintain 20,570 schools—public, high, and for secondary education. There are, in addition, many private schools. There are, further, thirty colleges, mostly gathered round eighteen universities. Education is compulsory throughout Canada.

The population of Newfoundland and Labrador is about 233,000 at the present time. There are 881 public and secondary schools and three colleges, supported or partly supported by state funds, but entirely managed by the local Anglican, Roman Catholic, and Methodist churches. Edu-

Cape Colony's Schools and Colleges

cation does not appear to be compulsory. In Cape Colony there is a population of more than 580,000 whites of European descent, of whom nearly 145,000 are illiterate. The total population is 2,500,000, and education—not compulsory—is state-provided in some 3,750 primary and secondary schools and in five colleges. There is an examining university in Cape Town. In Basutoland there are four government

schools, an industrial school, and 250 schools maintained—partly state aided—by missionaries. The education in Bechuanaland is entirely conducted by the London Missionary Society and the Dutch Reformed Church.

In Natal there is a European population of about 95,000; Asiatics, 112,000; negroes, 945,000. For the European children there are 295 government or state-aided primary schools, two government high schools in Durban and Pietermaritzburg, two government art schools, 167 government or government-aided schools for negroes, and twenty-eight government-aided schools for Indian children. There are altogether forty-five schools entirely managed by the government and 469 that receive state funds. Education, though much encouraged, is not compulsory.

In the Orange River Colony education since 1905 is practically compulsory. The European population is about 145,000. There are about 170 primary schools, three residential high schools (one for girls), a training school for teachers, and the Grey University College, near Bloemfontein. Two

Compulsory Education in the Transvaal

hundred and ninety thousand inhabitants entirely of European origin in the Transvaal have their children's education attended to at 502 primary schools. There are about twelve schools specially provided for children of mixed race, and there are 209 schools for negroes. There is a normal college for the training of teachers and a Transvaal University College. Education for Europeans is compulsory. The whole character of the educational measures passed by the first Transvaal parliament, in 1906, is essentially modern and efficient.

In Southern Rhodesia there are private schools for European children at Bulawayo and at Salisbury, but of necessity the European population of the three Rhodesian provinces (about 16,000) is at present mainly adult. The education of the great Zulu-Kaffir race in South Africa has received in general a great impulse from the Lovedale Institute of the Free Church of Scotland Mission in Eastern Cape Colony.

The commonwealth of Australia, including Tasmania and Norfolk Island, has a total population of European race of about 4,150,000. For the general and primary education of these there are 7,362 government or state-provided schools, and 2,284 recognised private schools. New South Wales has the University of Sydney



DESOLATE SOUTH AFRICA: TYPICAL KAROO SCENERY



A VAST SEA OF SAND IN THE ARABIAN DESERT



A SAND-BLOWN GRAVEYARD IN THE DESERT

DESERT SCENES IN THE BRITISH EMPIRE

and the Technical College, which last gives instruction in agriculture, among other subjects. There are schools of art in most of the principal towns. Education is compulsory. Victoria has a university at Melbourne with three colleges, a school of mines, and seventeen technical colleges. Education is compulsory, and it is said that only

Australasia's Educational Institution

2 per cent. of the population is illiterate. In Queensland education is not yet compulsory. A university is about to be established at Brisbane. In South Australia, which has a population of nearly 385,000, education is compulsory, but it is said that nearly 17 per cent. of the people are illiterate. No doubt, under this head are included the few thousand Chinese and aborigines. This state has a university at Adelaide, and maintains a training college for teachers. In West Australia education is compulsory, and only 3 per cent. are said to be illiterate. Tasmania has a university at Hobart, two schools of mines, and two technical schools. Education is compulsory.

Little Norfolk Island, under the management of New South Wales, has one efficient government school for its population—European and Melanesian—of nearly 1,000. The dominion of New Zealand has a population of about 890,000 whites, 48,000 Maories, 2,570 Chinese, and in its dependent archipelagoes 12,340 Polynesians. Education is compulsory. There are 1,847 public primary schools, 308 private schools, 28 secondary schools, seven school of mines, four normal schools, five principal schools of art, and 11 industrial schools, besides 104 schools for Maories. There are colleges at Dunedin, Christchurch, Canterbury, and Wellington for specialist education, and these are affiliated to the university of New Zealand at Wellington.

The territory of Papua (British New Guinea) is governed by the Australian Commonwealth. It has a population of under 900 Europeans, almost all adults.

The native population of Papuans is estimated at 400,000. Their education is in the hands of the London Missionary Society, the Roman Catholic Society of the Sacred Heart, the Church of England Mission, and the Methodist Missionary Society of Australasia.

In the Crown colony of Fiji, the European population is steadily increasing. It numbers at present about 3,300. Education for this section of the com-

munity is provided at the cost of the community, and is directed by the school-boards of Suva and Levuka, and carried on by two government schools at these places. There are also three good Roman Catholic schools at Suva and Levuka. A government native high school has been established for some considerable time at Nasinu, near Suva, where an excellent higher education is offered to the native Fijians and the children of the Asiatic settlers (Indian coolies, mostly).

The Wesleyan and Roman Catholic missions provide entirely the primary education of the natives (Melanesians and Polynesians) throughout the Fiji and Rotuma Islands. The Wesleyans also conduct the education of the natives of the protected kingdom of Tonga. Missionaries of the Wesleyan, Presbyterian, Anglican, and Roman Catholic Churches also preside—without any grant or state assistance whatsoever—over the education of the thousands of natives of the British protected Gilbert, Solomon, and Santa Cruz Islands in the Equatorial Pacific.

The total number of armed men ready for war service—the standing armies,

Armies of the British Empire


1st Reserve, colonial volunteers in constant training and thoroughly efficient, also the military police—of the British Empire at the close of 1908 amounted to about 926,000, including the British Reserves, Channel Islands Militia, Honourable Artillery Company, and permanent staffs of militia, etc., but not the English Militia, Imperial Yeomanry, or Territorial Army. Of these, in the first place, should be mentioned the regular (professional) army of the United Kingdom, amounting to 216,018 combatants of all arms, and 31,348 non-combatants. This army is distributed thus: 115,148 in Great Britain, and about 15,000 in Ireland; 3,809 at Gibraltar; 7,099 in Malta and Crete; 123 in Cyprus; 76,155 in India; 1,000 in Ceylon; 5,719 in Egypt and the Sudan; 1,500 at Singapore; 3,101 at Hong Kong and Wei-hai-wei; 16,213 in South Africa; 18 at St. Helena; 1,309 at the Bermudas; 547 in Jamaica; and about 726 in Mauritius. The total colonial contingent is 41,063 for 1908–1909, but in 1907–1908 there were 49,804 British soldiers in the colonies.

Canada has a military force on the footing of active service, including military police, of about 3,000, and an active militia of about 51,000. Australia maintains

THE SINEWS OF EMPIRE

a tiny permanent army of 1,329 officers and men, and a partly paid trained militia of 15,445. Including volunteers, rifle-clubmen, cadets, and reserve of officers, the commonwealth has a potential army of 84,000 men. The six Australian states, moreover, maintain a force of about 10,000 mounted police, first-class irregular soldiers in war time. New Zealand also has a permanent militia of 341 artillery and engineers, and a regularly drilled volunteer force of not less than 18,000, notwithstanding 700 mounted police. Cape Colony—besides the Imperial troops stationed in the colony—maintains

short notice put in the field a good fighting force of at least 5,000 volunteers, mostly mounted. The Egyptian army in Egypt and the Sudan consists of a force of 19,010 rank and file, including 121 British officers. Egypt pays an approximate £150,000 a year towards the cost of the British army of occupation. Malta maintains a respectable contingent—the Royal Malta Artillery (446), the King's Own Malta Regiment (war strength, 2,258), and the Malta Militia Submarine Miners (63). The Maltese Government also pays £5,000 to the Imperial Government as a military



G. R. Lambert

OPENING OF THE FIRST STATE RAILWAY IN THE MALAY PENINSULA

a respectable armed force: 705 Cape Mounted Rifles, 1,734 Mounted Police, and a body of 5,835 volunteers in regular drill. Natal has an armed force—mounted police, mounted rifles, naval gun corps, and trained militia—of about 6,430 men. She also subsidises rifle associations (5,774 officers and men) and cadet corps (3,471). The Transvaal and Orange State together maintain the South African Constabulary, an efficient force of 2,700 officers and men. In addition, the Transvaal maintains a well-trained volunteer force, mostly ex-soldiers, of 10,000 men. Rhodesia can at

contribution. Ceylon pays about £70,000 for its Imperial garrison, and maintains in addition an efficient volunteer force of 2,333 officers and men.

India has a magnificent army of 160,000, including British officers, a military police of 56,887, a volunteer force of 34,000 Europeans and Eurasians, and contingents furnished by the feudatory states of 20,189, a total force—apart from the Imperial garrison of 76,155, for which India pays Britain about £1,395,000 annually—of 271,076 officers and men. The Straits Settlements, besides their Imperial

and Indian garrison, for which they pay, have a very efficient volunteer force of about 770 Europeans, Eurasians, and Chinese. The Federated Malay States have a smart little army known as the Malay States Guides—British officers, Sikhs, Pathans, and Malays, 2,665 in all. The local military forces of British

Defenders of British Tropical Africa

South Africa, from North-west Rhodesia to Cape Colony, have already been described; likewise those of the Egyptian Sudan. Mauritius is garrisoned by a small detachment of British troops, formerly as many as 1,394, towards the cost of which the colony paid annually £27,000, but now reduced to about £26.

The rest of British Tropical Africa is divided into two great sections, East and West. The Eastern section comprises the colonies or protectorates of Somaliland, Uganda, British East Africa, Zanzibar, and British Central Africa—Nyassaland and North-east Rhodesia. This section is defended by a regiment of negro soldiers known as the King's African Rifles. Of this at present there are five battalions, No. 1 to 6 (No. 5 is at present non-existent). The 1st and 3rd battalions are in East Africa and Zanzibar, the 2nd in Central Africa, the 4th in Uganda, and the 6th in Somaliland.

At present the total number of King's African Rifles under arms is 2,700. In East Africa there is, in addition, a military police of 1,800 under 35 British officers; in Uganda a constabulary of 1,060; in Zanzibar, 500; in Nyassaland, 200. There is also a corps of 160 Sikh soldiers from the Indian Army stationed in Nyassaland. In the West African section the indigenous regiment, so to speak, is the West Africa Frontier Force. This is stationed in the Gambia Protectorate (126 men), the Sierra Leone Protectorate (470 men), the Gold Coast hinterland (2,175 men), Southern and Northern Nigeria (5,266 men).

The Forces in British West Africa

In addition there are the West African Regiment and the 1st battalion of the West India Regiment, besides artillery, engineers, etc., at Sierra Leone (2,612 officers and men in all). The Gambia maintains a military police of 80 men; Sierra Leone, 240; Gold Coast, 621; Southern Nigeria, 980; and Northern Nigeria, 1,180. Lastly, there should also be counted with the effective forces in British West Africa

the Gold Coast volunteers (1,056 officers and men), partly paid, and maintained more or less on a war footing.

The local soldiery or military police in the West Indies and Tropical America, apart from the British garrison in Jamaica, consists of the 2nd battalion of the West Indian Regiment in Jamaica (500 officers and men), and 800 militia, besides a very efficient constabulary (1,753) modelled on that of Ireland, and, as a matter of fact, officered and sub-officered by officers and men chosen from the Royal Irish Constabulary. In Barbados there is a police force of 315, and measures are being taken to raise and maintain a small colonial force of mounted infantry.

In the Bahamas, Leeward and Windward Islands there are small forces of civil police. In Trinidad there is a constabulary of 652, and a volunteer rifle corps of 352. British Honduras maintains a constabulary of 100, and a volunteer light infantry corps (mounted and unmounted) of 260. British Guiana either fears no foe, within or without, or is very shy of disclosing its arrangements for the maintenance of

Empire's Fighting Strength


public order, for no particulars are extant as to its military and police. There are said to be militia and volunteers to the total number of 240. The Falkland Islands support a volunteer corps of 98. The total of the forces, therefore, for offence or defence throughout the empire ready for immediate action—professional army, military constabulary, volunteers or militia in constant training and available for immediate service—is about 926,360, of whom approximately 560,000 are white, and 366,000 belong to the coloured races—Indian, Egyptian, Negro, Mulatto, Malay, Chinese and Polynesian.

Behind this force there are as yet undefined potentialities which at present take the place of that actuality so necessary to the safety of the British Empire, throughout all parts of which (in the opinion of the present writer) compulsory military service on the part of all males, more or less between the ages of 19 and 40, should be an article of the constitution of every country under the British flag, most of all in the Motherland. Compulsory service in the militia is now a law of the state in New Zealand (it is projected in Australia), in Canada, in Natal, and in Cape Colony. There is something similar in the Channel Islands, where the militia in



A NATIVE OPEN-AIR SCHOOL AT OPOBO IN NIGERIA

J. A. Green



DUTCH CHILDREN AT SCHOOL IN BRITISH SOUTH AFRICA

T. D. Ravenscroft

EDUCATING THE YOUNG SUBJECTS AND CITIZENS OF GREATER BRITAIN

training amount to the respectable force of 3,163. The manhood of the United Kingdom is invited to furnish voluntarily a territorial force (314,063) for the defence of the Home Country. This, together with the militia (84,505) and militia reserve (3,413) and the Imperial yeomanry (25,195) is estimated to reach a total strength of

Britain's 447,176 during 1909. Behind the regular army of about **Army of** 130,148 stationed in the United **Defence** Kingdom there is a reserve of about 222,850 trained officers and men, making an effective trained home army of about 352,998.

The martial spirit of the British Islands is such that in the event of real danger we could easily count on a territorial army of at least 325,000 partially trained men to stand beside our regular forces, giving us therefore a body of 677,998 fighting men for home and foreign defence; this in addition to the 118,000 British soldiers garrisoning India, South Africa, Egypt, the Mediterranean, Mauritius, West Indies, etc. To this array again might certainly be added in war time the magnificent fighting body, the Royal Irish Constabulary, numbering nearly 10,000 strong.

The navy of the empire is mainly the British Navy, to the cost of which Canada contributes nothing, while the Indian Empire pays annually £193,400, the Australian Commonwealth £200,000, New Zealand £40,000, Cape Colony £50,000, Natal £35,000, and Newfoundland £3,000. The total number of ships complete for sea in the British Navy at the close of 1908 was about 497, including 60 great battle-ships, 57 of which are of the most modern types. In addition to this, most of the Crown colonies or protectorates have armed vessels for police or defence purposes on their coasts, rivers, and lakes. New Zealand and Australia have a few torpedo boats. The Imperial coaling stations, more or less fortified, are (outside British waters)

Imperial Gibraltar, Malta (possibly **Coaling** Alexandria), Aden, Karachi, **Stations** Bombay, Colombo, Rangoon, Singapore, Hong Kong, Port Darwin, Hobart, Wellington, Esquimalt, Halifax, Bermuda, Kingston, Port Louis (Mauritius), Simon's Town (Cape of Good Hope), St. Helena, Ascension, and Freetown (Sierra Leone). The additional British ports, however, at which there are supplies of coal on hand, and which are to a certain extent defended against

a naval *coup-de-main*, are far too numerous to be catalogued. The great dockyards, of the empire outside British waters are at Gibraltar, Valetta (Malta), Bombay, Kidderpur (India), Hong Kong, Wei-hai-wei, Sydney, and Ascension. There is also dock accommodation at Trinkomali (Ceylon), Simon's Town (South Africa), Halifax (Nova Scotia), and Esquimalt (British Columbia).

The mercantile marine of the empire, including that of the United Kingdom, comprises about 9,511 steamers of a total tonnage of 17,001,139, many of which are easily convertible into war vessels. The nearest competitors in this respect are: Germany, 1,713, tonnage 3,705,700; United States, 1,577, tonnage 3,160,895; and Norway, 1,181, tonnage 1,264,002.

The value of the commerce of the British Empire (including Egypt and the Egyptian Sudan, Bahrein Islands, and all British Borneo), calculated in imports and exports only, amounted in the year 1906 to the amazing total of £2,180,681,147. The actual commerce of the United Kingdom reached in that year the total of

Commerce £1,068,566,318. The Indian **of the** Empire in 1906 had a com- **Empire** merce valued at £239,695,904: British South Africa (excluding Nyassaland), £127,010,200; the Australian Commonwealth, £114,641,710; dominion of Canada, £113,234,930; Straits Settlements and Federated Malay States, £91,241,860; Egypt and Egyptian Sudan, £66,638,341; New Zealand, £33,306,540; British West Indies, British Honduras and Guiana, £21,027,274; British West Africa, £10,833,850; and British East Africa (Uganda, Somaliland, East Africa, Zanzibar, Seychelles, Nyassaland and Mauritius), £9,058,281. Even the little Bahrein Islands, off the Arabian east coast, did a total trade of £3,154,549 in the year 1906.

Out of all the great sections of the empire the most considerable trade with Great Britain, in 1907, was that of the Indian Empire (£106,956,000); the next best, the future South African confederation (£90,053,620), and the third, the Australian Commonwealth (£59,429,880). Canada came fourth with a trade between her and the United Kingdom of £41,506,980. The value of the trade between Egypt and the Egyptian Sudan and the United Kingdom is £23,717,963 approximately (1907); between us and New

THE SINEWS OF EMPIRE

Zealand for the same period, £23,050,400. The total public indebtedness of the whole empire, including that of Egypt (£96,180,000), is something like £1,611,231,869. The approximate annual revenue of the vast area (including Egypt, etc.), was, in 1907, £331,019,695; and the expenditure during the same period, £308,033,010; so that the empire as a whole is living well within its means. During this period the revenue of the United Kingdom was £144,814,073, and its expenditure £139,415,251. India, vaguely thought to be fabulously rich, with an area fourteen and a half times that of the United Kingdom (1,766,517 square miles against 121,390 square miles), and a population of nearly 297,000,000 (United Kingdom population, 44,100,231), had a revenue of only £75,626,900, which her expenditure was framed to meet exactly.

This chapter may, perhaps, fitly be closed by a few comparisons:

Area of British Empire, 13,138,000 square miles; Russian Empire, 8,647,657; French Empire, 4,604,880; Chinese Empire, 4,227,170; United States, 3,567,563; German Empire, 1,200,603. Population of Chinese Empire, 433,553,030; British Empire, 405,000,000 (approximate); Russian Empire, 149,299,300; French Empire, 96,389,985; United States (nearly), 84,000,000; German Empire, 73,200,000 (approximate); Japanese Empire and Korea, 60,000,000 (nearly).

Commerce (imports and exports), of British Empire, £2,189,681,147; Russian Empire, £712,688,015; United States, £669,336,030 (1907: This was a slump year. Probably the best average annual estimate for the United States of America commerce at the present time would be £710,000,000); French Empire, £570,605,458; Russian Empire, £189,040,736; Chinese Empire, £107,440,456.

National indebtedness of British Empire, £1,611,231,869 (the actual debt of the United Kingdom is £774,164,704); French Empire, £1,265,630,019; Russian Empire and Finland, £940,556,410; United States, £491,437,612; German

Empire, £179,583,330; Chinese Empire, £123,685,930.

Annual revenue of British Empire (1907), £331,019,695; Russian Empire, £214,210,000; French Empire, £170,727,474; United States, £169,345,068; German Empire, £120,791,550; Chinese Empire, £15,000,000.

Annual expenditure of British Empire (1907), £308,019,010; Russian Empire, £266,000,000 (approximate); French Empire, £168,276,097; United States, £152,497,750; German Empire, £125,803,152; Chinese Empire, £18,000,000 (approximate).
National Armies and Navies Nothing is known positively as to the total revenue and total expenditure of the whole empire of China. These approximate estimates deal with known results of customs, etc., and recorded Imperial expenditure.


Standing army of French Empire, soldiers, first reserve, and colonial troops, 1,300,000 officers and men (approximate); Russian Empire (soldiers and military police), 1,200,000 officers and men (approximate); German Empire (including small colonial forces), 1,180,000 officers and men (approximate); Austria-Hungary, 1,154,000 officers and men (approximate); British Empire (soldiers of regular army and reserve, Indian Army, volunteers and militia of colonies on a war footing, and military police), 926,000.

These summaries include all disciplined soldiers prepared to fight at two weeks' notice.

Navy on peace footing of British Empire, 407 ships of all classes; French Empire, 580 (360 of these are torpedo boats or submarines); German Empire, 205; Japanese Empire, 148; United States, 139; Italy, 239 (of these 85 are old and of small account).

Mercantile marine of the British Empire (steamers over 100 tons), 9,511, tonnage, 17,001,139; German Empire, 1,713, 3,705,700; United States, 1,517, 3,160,895; Norway, 1,181, 1,204,002; Sweden, 880, 686,517; Japanese Empire, 829, 1,068,747; French Empire, 809, 1,284,368.





A FRIENDLY POWER IN EGYPT: BRITISH TROOPS MARCHING THROUGH THE STREETS OF CAIRO
From the painting by W. C. Horsley, by the artist's permission



BRITISH EXPANSION IN EUROPE AND THE STEADY PROGRESS OF EGYPT UNDER BRITISH CONTROL

WHAT effect have the establishment and growth of the British Empire had on the world outside the limits of Great Britain and Ireland ?

In Europe, the ethnological results of the extension of British rule beyond the Irish and English Channels was inconsiderable down to about twenty years ago ; in short, down to the time that the other great nations of the White world applied themselves in all seriousness to the foundation of empires beyond the seas. They then began to adopt many British ideas, words, games, notions in art and industry, clothes, furniture, and sport. It is true that in horse-racing, railways, steamships, the training of children, farming, and agriculture we had engendered original concepts and inventions expressed in idiomatic Anglo-Saxon, and these had spread the British influence of jockeys,

**British
Influence
Abroad**

engineers, governesses, stockmen, and gardeners throughout France, Western Germany, Italy, Russia, Tunis, and Egypt; also that the success of our constitutional government had for at least 150 years turned the eyes of all reformers and political theorists towards England.

But down to twenty years ago it was rather France that set the fashions in all departments for all Europe than the Anglo-Saxon. This "British" influence abroad is at least one quarter American. It is so difficult to discriminate nowadays between what notions and ideas are started in the United States and what have their origin in British, Canadian, Australian, South African, or British-Indian brains, that for the purpose of this review the British and American Empires must be held to be one.

We started, of course, by borrowing our dominant language, our culture, industries, ideas, science, architecture, religion, rulers, laws, weapons, and cooking from

France, Rome, the Netherlands, Frisia, Western Germany, and Italy. Our nearest political and racial colonies, beyond our strict geographical limits, were the Channel Islands. These were at first not so much colonies or conquests as the last vestiges of the Norman

**Peoples of
the Channel
Islands**

power which had conquered England in 1066. The Channel Islands had been peopled from quite a remote antiquity by types of the different races that overran the North of France, with which, indeed, Guernsey and Jersey were almost connected by sandbanks and fords of shallow water at the beginning of the historical period. They were taken possession of and named from the ninth century onwards by Norse rovers from Norway, and consequently came to form part of the Duchy of Normandy, of which, politically, they are the last remnant.

These Normans mingled with the preceding Iberian and Aryan Romanised Kelts. Down, therefore, to about the reign of Elizabeth, the Channel Islanders were scarcely distinguishable, anthropologically, from the Normans of Northern France. But in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries the political troubles in England caused a number of English to settle in Jersey and Guernsey, and the complete detachment of all the Channel Islanders from the Church of Rome in the middle of the sixteenth century added to the separation from Norman France. In

**The Channel
Islands Secede
From Rome**

Alderney, Jersey, Guernsey, and Sark the people, almost without exception, belong to the Anglican Church, and here alone is the Liturgy of the Church of England rendered in French. It is somewhat surprising that this adherence to the national Church has not been rewarded by the institution of a bishop of the Channel Islands (they are under the See of

Winchester). There are, moreover, learned societies in Jersey and Guernsey which conduct their proceedings in French. From the eighteenth century onwards the islands have been garrisoned by detachments of British troops, and not a few of these soldiers or sailors from the British fleet have subsequently married and settled down in the Channel Islands, whither also during the last hundred years English families have resorted for permanent settlement because of the delightful climate, lovely scenery, low cost of living, and educational advantages. The use of the English language is spreading year by year over a larger area in these islands. As it is, Alderney is almost entirely

the use of the French language; but all these parts of the world have retained the Roman Catholic form of Christianity. So far as language, prejudices, mode of life, and all that goes to the making of a people is concerned, the Channel Islanders of the present day—in spite of the hundred miles of sea that separate them from England—are more closely knit up with us in sympathy than are the people of half Ireland. They could never be made French citizens except by the continuous application of force, just as, in all probability, the inhabitants of Northern Lorraine will resist for centuries the attempt to coerce them into German citizenship, or the Germans of the Baltic provinces willingly



CASTLE CORNET IN THE ISLAND OF GUERNSEY

English-speaking. In Guernsey only about a quarter of the population is now unable to speak English, while another quarter can speak no French. The local language is very different from literary French, and is the old Norman speech that was introduced into the island after the Conquest. In Jersey the same thing is taking place, if anything more markedly.


In Jersey, however, if not always in Guernsey, the official language is literary French which, by the way, is as illogical as making Italian the official language of Malta. Probably here alone in the whole world is the service of the Church of England rendered in French. Other portions of the globe have been peopled by the French and acquired by the British, and yet retain

remain subjects of the Russian Empire. Gibraltar, after two hundred years of British occupation, has had singularly little effect on the people of Spain and Portugal, beyond the neutral zone, which restricts the intercourse of the British garrison on this square mile and seven-eighths of rock with the people of the Iberian peninsula.

The British soldiers and officials for two hundred years have freely intermarried with the Genoese and Spanish women, the descendants of the original inhabitants of Gibraltar when the British took possession of it. The resulting "Rock Scorpions" vary considerably in type and social status. Several of the most beautiful and accomplished women of the world during the nineteenth century have been



MONT ORGUEIL IN JERSEY, SHOWING THE ANCIENT CASTLE



GUERNSEY'S PRINCIPAL TOWN: VIEW OF ST. PETER PORT AND HARBOUR



THE HARBOUR OF ST. HELIER, THE CHIEF PORT OF JERSEY

SCENES IN THE CHANNEL ISLANDS



GENERAL VIEW OF THE TOWN



A POPULAR PROMENADE, SHOWING PART OF MOORISH CASTLE ON THE HILL



THE SIGNAL STATION ON ITS ROCKY EMINENCE




**WATERPORT STREET, THE PRINCIPAL BUSINESS THOROUGHFARE
OTHER SCENES IN THE FORTRESS TOWN OF GIBRALTAR**

of Gibraltar birth and descended from the unions of British officers with Spanish ladies. But these have married officials in the army, navy, or diplomatic service, and have soon passed away to spheres of influence beyond Gibraltar. There is a

The Jewish Element in Gibraltar

considerable Jewish element in the shopkeeping class, and it is these who, together with the descendants of English soldiers and Spanish women, form that type of "Rock Scorpion" that may be met with nowadays so frequently in Morocco, Algeria, Tunis above all, Malta, and the Ionian Islands. At one time there were quite a number of Gibraltarese in the regency of Tunis, attracted thither by the favourable conditions enjoyed by British

the part of the Maltese people, who largely by their own personal efforts and bravery expelled the French garrison, though, of course, they had been assisted in this task by Nelson's overthrow of the French forces at sea. Fearing lest they might not be able to maintain themselves against future attacks on the part of France, and disliking very much the idea of reverting to that Neapolitan sovereignty from which the islands of Malta and Gozo were withdrawn by Charles V., the Maltese people offered their country to the King of Great Britain and Ireland. Europe confirmed this choice at the Congress of 1815. Under our rule the Maltese have prospered exceedingly. Magnificent public works have been constructed in the island



BRITISH TROOPS IN MALTA: THE MAIN GUARD AT VALETTA


commerce down to 1898. The regency of Tunis was at one time very near becoming a British protectorate, owing to the influence that radiated from Malta and the friendly relations between the beys of Tunis and the British naval officers which followed on the defeat of Napoleon Bonaparte. In the curious struggle that went on, under the surface, between France, Britain, and Italy for predominance in Tunis, Gibraltar Jews were generally the men of straw used by these conflicting influences in their attempts to acquire landed property or other stakes in the country.

The British acquisition of Malta was not—it is sometimes necessary to remind red-hot Imperialists—a conquest, but the result of a voluntary and graceful act on

of Malta—Gozo has not been so well attended to—and under the aegis of the British flag the Maltese have founded flourishing colonies—here 30,000, there 20,000, in another place 10,000—in Algeria, Tunis, Tripoli, Barca, and Egypt, and even in Crete and elsewhere in the Levant. The Maltese in Algeria tend more and more to adopt French nationality, deriving therefrom considerable commercial advantages, and finding perhaps in the French

Malta's Great Prosperity Under Britain

nation a more courteous foster-mother than Great Britain has been to them. "His mother was a Maltese, you know," is the sneering phrase that I have often heard from a British officer in the army or navy or in the Colonial Civil Service in



THE BARACCA: A BEAUTIFUL VIEW IN VALETTA



A CURIOUS STREET OF STEPS AND THE HARBOUR AT VALETTA, THE CAPITAL



MARSA MUSCET, SHOWING THE STRONGLY BUILT FORTIFICATIONS

SCENES IN MALTA, BRITAIN'S CROWN COLONY IN THE MEDITERRANEAN

reference to some more or less distinguished man in the employ of the British Government. "He says she was an Italian countess, but she really was nothing but a Maltese, I can assure you." Why it should be in any sense derogatory to be born a Maltese the present writer is at a loss to understand. The population of

**British
Occupation
of Malta**

these islands is considerably mixed in origin it is true, but it is derived from very noble sources—from the best of the chivalry of Aragon, France, England, Germany, and Northern Italy; or if it be of a brunette type, then from a splendid Mediterranean stock which goes back in origin to the Phœnicians.

At one time it was thought necessary to treat Malta on very military lines; but it has gradually been borne in on the British Government that the military and civil departments should be to some extent separated, and the time may come when Malta may have a civilian as governor, or even—why not?—a Maltese noble or eminent citizen in that position? But though our connection with Malta has been marked by episodes of a bad taste that seems peculiarly British—and yet not an ancient, but quite a modern trait in our race—the main results of the British occupation of Malta have been of enormous benefit to the inhabitants of the two islands. We have, in fact, definitely created a Maltese people, destined to play a very notable part in the commercial development of the Mediterranean.

If we, as the garrisoning race, should mend our manners, the Maltese might well at the same time cause an impartial history of Malta during the last hundred years to be drawn up and published, and thereby realise how much indeed they owe in gratitude to the acceptance by George III. of kingship over Malta. The British protectorate over the Ionian Islands did much the same for the Greeks

**Greeks and
the English
Language**

of Corfu as for the mixed races of Arab, French, and Italian origin in Malta. It certainly spread acquaintance with and use of the English language amongst the Greeks of the Levant. Many a Greek commercial house now of world-wide importance arose from the British occupation of this archipelago, which, until the onslaught of Napoleon Bonaparte, had belonged to Venice since the time it was detached from the Byzantine Empire.

The Ionian Islands, indeed, were at last the only refuge of Greek culture from the sickening barbarism of Turkey. It is possible that but for the British occupation of these Islands, Greece would never have aspired to or have recovered her independence, would never have possessed a base from which she could organise resistance to the Turkish yoke.

Sentimentality fortunately swayed the nations of Europe in favour of Greece in the first half of the nineteenth century; yet it is doubtful whether the spark of Hellenic nationality in Greece itself could ever have been revived and fanned into a powerful flame but for British encouragement emanating from the Ionian Islands. Nor, had this occupation not taken place, could those Greek houses of commerce have arisen to a secure affluence and have developed such a large Anglo-Hellenic trade as now exists in Western Asia Minor nor at Constantinople.

Curiously enough, Greeks are happier governed by Greeks—even if they be less well governed—than by intelligent foreigners! We should feel it in the same way if the Germans occupied the Isle of

**The Ionian
Islands
Under Greece**

Wight. They would probably do a vast deal to improve the service on the Isle of Wight Railway, and carry out much needed public works in a masterful manner, besides endowing the island with better schools than those which we give it under our existing half-hearted educational establishment. Yet—illogical and ungrateful though they might be—the inhabitants of the Isle of Wight would probably prefer to remain under or to return to the control of the British Government rather than become citizens of the German Empire.

Consequently, Great Britain acted wisely in yielding to the wishes of the Ionians that they might come under the sovereignty of Greece. Nevertheless, anyone who has visited the island of Corfu, if he be of British blood, cannot but admire the magnificent public works which we carried out on that island, and ask himself whether the material prosperity of that group might not be far higher than it is at present were the supreme administration in the hands of honest Anglo-Saxons. There is little doubt, however, that our continued retention of this protectorate would have involved us in disagreeable European complications, and certainly would have ended by offending the



VENDOR OF GOATS' MILK



A MALTESE LADY



PRIEST IN CLERICAL ATTIRE



MONK IN HIS ROBES



AN EGG-SELLER



A BOY CHORISTER



COMMON STREET PORTER



A SELLER OF SWEETS



A BRAN-SELLER

TYPICAL CHARACTERS OF THE ISLAND OF MALTA

growing power of that kingdom of Italy, with whom we desire to be connected by every tie of affection and interest. Yet, having lost the Ionian Islands, which gave us a certain hold, a useful garrison in the eastern half of the Mediterranean, we yearned for some alternative possession. The feeling burrowed underground through

Cyprus in British Hands the tortuous channels of the official mind, and emerged at last to the surface through the romantic action of Lord Beaconsfield in 1878 in acquiring for us the leasehold—the practical possession—of the island of Cyprus. Several times before and since Great Britain has coquetted with the idea of acquiring Crete, more especially on account of the importance of Suda Bay to a great naval Power. But for unpublished—perhaps only spoken, and not written—warnings from other European Powers that the addition of Crete to Cyprus, or, as was once or twice contemplated, the substitution of this much more valuable island for the half-barren, altogether harbourless Cyprus, would mean the overflowing of the cup of bitterness and the declaration of war, Crete might now by some fiction or another be under the British flag. As it is, its destiny will be inevitably to form part of an enlarged kingdom of Greece.

In Cyprus much the same effect has been produced by British rule as occurred in the Ionian Islands: magnificent public works—sometimes carried out without any regard to picturesqueness or respect for valuable historical remains—an absolutely honest, painstaking administration, the saving, just in time, of the native forests, and with them the climate, which has been rapidly deteriorating under Turkish rule from one sufficiently moist to maintain an exuberant vegetation to conditions of almost waterless sterility; on the other, the ingratitude of the Greek, due, it is alleged, to the exclusion of Greeks from most of the posts under the British Government.

Where the Turks are Preferred Strangely enough, we rely for local support in Cyprus not on the Greek, but on the Turkish element in the population, and we prefer much more to employ Turks than to engage Greeks in the public service, assigning as our reason that the latter are not honest and cannot be depended on for steady work; while as a servant, a public servant, under an honest and capable employer, the Turk is

well-nigh perfection. In this case, in Cyprus, the Turk is very often simply a Mohammedan Greek. Actually, in Cyprus, in Crete, in Bosnia, and in many parts of the Balkans and Asia Minor, there is no racial difference between the good and the bad employé, the honest and dishonest merchant, but merely a question of religion.

As a master, the Mohammedan has been hitherto narrow-minded, intolerant, unprogressive, and financially corrupt; as a servant, under an employer of the North European type, a more admirable type of faithful, quiet, industrious public officer does not exist. The British occupation of Cyprus, together with our joint occupancy of Crete at the present time, is producing this effect on the Mediterranean peoples: that it is developing the Turk in the right direction, whether or not it is producing a wholesome effect upon the Greek.

But our occupancy of Egypt, though it should properly be treated of later on in connection with African questions, has in a sense knit us up with the Greek world of commerce to such a degree that in weighing the future relations of the Greek peoples with the British Empire the peevishness of the Cypriotes will be unheard. No nationality has profited so enormously by the British conquest of Egypt and of the Egyptian Sudan, or even of East Africa generally, as have the Greeks. Since we started somewhat blindly on this Imperial movement which has led us inevitably on the path from Cairo to the Cape, Greek adventurers of commerce have marched *pari passu* with the British forces, military and naval.

There are Greek merchants as far south on the East Coast as Delagoa Bay. They penetrate to Mashonaland and to Uganda; while on the coast of Somaliland they are more numerous than any other Europeans not of the official class. Khartoum is described as being a Greek city. Greeks and Maltese form a kind of middle-class in Egypt, between the indigenous Arabs and negroes on the one hand, and the foreign officials—British, French, and Italian—on the other. The servants of the Suez Canal Company, below the highly paid posts, if they are not Maltese are Greek.

British intervention in the affairs of Egypt and of the Egyptian Sudan, in common with that of France, really dates from Napoleon's invasion of 1799. The two countries see-sawed as to their influence

THE BRITISH EXPANSION IN EUROPE AND EGYPT

over the viceroys of Egypt. France instigated the exploration and conquest of the Upper Nile, and French officers accompanied and historiographed the first expeditions despatched up the Nile by Mehemet Ali.

The British soon sent consuls to Khartoum, who drew thither other explorers and big-game hunters, who in time turned into governor-generals or other officials in Egyptian pay. French engineers constructed great canals, their masterly work

Empire. With what results? Her extravagant debt is now, in 1908, reduced from £103,969,020 to £95,833,280, in addition to which reduction there is a general reserve fund of £11,055,413; her population has risen from 6,814,000 to nearly 12,000,000; her cultivable area from about 4,000,000 acres to 6,500,000; forced labour is abolished; the rights of the peasants are absolutely secured; justice is pure and prompt; education enormously advanced; canals infinitely




A LOST POSSESSION OF THE ENGLISH CROWN: GENERAL VIEW OF CORFU

culminating in the canal of Suez. The British demanded in compensation the permission to build railways and to open the overland route. The Franco-German War weakened French influence, and 1882 found Great Britain with an almost prescriptive right to interfere in the Sudan, a control of the railway system, a virtual monopoly of the steamship traffic on the Nile, and a vested right in the Suez Canal. Egyptian bankruptcy having compelled our intervention, Egypt since 1882 has been under the control of the British

extended; railways carried to Khartoum and the Red Sea; the Sudan reconquered and administered to the infinite blessing of its native inhabitants, the enrichment of Egypt, and the advantage of European and American trade; and, finally, the people of the khedivate brought within sight of sound representative institutions.

The British occupation of Egypt, without the slightest doubt, has been the happiest event, in its results, which has ever befallen that country since the memorable expulsion of the shepherd kings.



BRITISH EXPANSION IN AMERICA AND THE PASSING OF THE NATIVE RACES

IN this survey we are treating the United States historically as an outgrowth of the empire of which they formed a part down to 130 years ago. When the British first landed as colonisers on the Atlantic coast of North America, in the year

**The First
Britons
in America**

1578, the Spaniards had already overrun Florida, and had occupied a good deal of Mexico. Otherwise, the American Continent to the north of the Gulf of Mexico was free from the presence of the Caucasian. It was at that time populated sparsely by Red Indians, who, as compared to the races conquered by the Spaniards further south, were leading the life of savages, though there were underlying indigenous civilisations in the temperate or sub-tropical portions of North America which had existed and had died away, or had been overthrown by the arrival of nomad savages from the north.

The Amerindian race probably extended in those days as far north as the Mackenzie River and the shores of Hudson's Bay. (The writer of this essay thoroughly approves the fused word of "Amerindian" to indicate the autochthonous races of North and South America. "American" is more aptly applied to the white peoples; "Indian" is too likely to lead to confusion with the Dravidian peoples. Yet physically the Amerindians are nearly connected with the Malays, Dayaks, and Mongoloid races of further India and the Malay Archipelago. "Amerindian" is a happy blend of the

**Habitations
of the
Esquimaux**

characteristics of the "American Indians.") Here they impinged on the Esquimaux, whose range in the sixteenth century was not far different from what it is at the present day—along the Greenland coasts, the great islands of the Arctic regions that lie between Greenland and the North American Continent, and along the continental shores of the Arctic Ocean as far

as Bering Straits. Southwards, the Esquimaux seem to have penetrated on the east coast of America as far as 50° N. Lat., in Newfoundland and Labrador, and to have come as a conquering race, driving before them Red Indian tribes. It was still farther to the south of these regions, where the Esquimaux prevailed over the Red Indian, that the Norse colonies of the ninth and tenth centuries had been established (in Nova Scotia and Massachusetts) and had in turn been overthrown, mainly through the attacks of the Esquimaux, or at any rate of some race which in default of better knowledge we identify with the Esquimaux.

The Esquimaux—the word is derived from a Red Indian nick-name meaning "eaters of raw flesh," the people's own term for themselves being Innuit—differs in the main from the Red Indian stock (which is identical with the existing indigenous population of America from the far north right down to Tierra del Fuego) in being moderately dolichocephalous—long-headed, instead of round or short-headed. Otherwise the Esquimaux, like the Amerindians—in a less pronounced form—seem to belong to the Mongolian sub-species of the human race. Probably the Esquimaux is one of the most primitive representatives of this third main division of the human species. The straight-haired, slanting-eyed, large-cheekboned, yellow-skinned variety of humanity, which differs from the other two main divisions—the Negro and the Caucasian—in having a very sparse growth of hair on the face and body, originated in North-eastern Asia, and spread thence northwards round the Polar regions.

The type may be a very ancient one, however, that existed as far back as the time when a land connection remained between North America on the one hand, and Northern Europe on the other, by way of Iceland and Spitzbergen. The

BRITISH EXPANSION IN AMERICA

Esquimaux type indeed may even during the Glacial periods have penetrated with the glacial conditions of life into the British Islands, France, and Scandinavia.

The Amerindians (*i.e.*, all the existing indigenous races in America) belong, in the main, to a Mongoloid type, but one that has developed special features of its own, and which may have absorbed pre-existing long-headed, Aino-like tribes of a more generalised type, such as the Caucasoid tribes having preceded the Mongolian in the occupation of North America.

When the British colonists founded the settlement of Virginia, the Amerindians were, from our present point of view, savages, leading an existence more or less nomadic, with a preference for tents or (in the West) caves over huts. It is doubtful whether any of them dwelt in stone houses such as had once existed in the southern regions of North America, or in Mexico.

They lived largely as hunters, but probably did not number in all more than 5,000,000, if as much, throughout North America from the northern frontiers of Mexico to the Arctic Ocean. Their relations with the British settlers of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries were in the main hostile. Tribe after tribe was gradually exterminated by diseases introduced by the Europeans, by warfare—often civil war between tribe and tribe, instigated by the European, or by alcohol.

France, late in the race for American colonisation, made up for lost time during the seventeenth century by the vigour and ability with which she colonised. By the early part of the eighteenth century she had laid the foundations of a Canadian empire and of a magnificent domain in what are now the southern states of North America. She dominated the Mississippi River from its mouth northwards so far as to bring her colonists of the south almost into touch with her colonists on the Great Lakes. Through her missionaries and her settlers she obtained a far-reaching influence over the Amerindians, with whom the French "habitants" mingled more freely—sexually—than did the Puritans or Hollanders of the Anglo-Saxon settlements.

The results are the French-speaking half-breeds of to-day in Canada—a handsome, stalwart race, often so prepossessing physically that they have been reabsorbed into the Caucasian community with little or no racial

objection. Yet the British settlers in the hinterland of New England also made friends here and there with Amerindian tribes. At last the Indians became involved in the hundred years' struggle between France and England for predominance in North America; and at this game, though the Europeans thrived and increased,

England's Long Struggle for North America the Indians decreased in numbers, dying out from the extremely savage attacks of tribe against tribe, both waging that quarrel of the white man which was not theirs. By the time the United States were recognised as an independent power, and France had definitely abandoned political sway over any part of the mainland of North America—at the beginning of the nineteenth century, let us say—the Amerindians of North America had diminished in numbers both in Canada and the United States from the hypothetical 5,000,000 which were there when the white man first arrived to possibly not more than 3,000,000, distributed mainly over the countries west of the Mississippi and of the Canadian Rocky Mountains.

The middle of the nineteenth century saw the United States carrying on many an Indian war, which had arisen from the unchecked rapacity and shameless behaviour of the white colonists, who were pushing determinedly westwards towards the Pacific. Locations were set up by which it was hoped to provide a definite territory for one Indian tribe or another. A few of these locations are still maintained (87,237 square miles in 1906), but there is practically now no purely Indian territory on the soil of the United States or in Canada.

But the decrease of the Indians in the whole of North America, which may have brought their total as low as 1,300,000 somewhere about 1875—this estimate would include all Northern Mexico, with about 900,000 Amerindians—has apparently been checked of late years.

Better Times for the Indians In Canada and in the United States conscientious legislation has arrested the drink curse, and the greed of a European education is spreading amongst the Indians together with settled habits. Men and women of purely Indian blood are slightly more numerous in 1907 than they were thirty years ago. Including all Mexico, Yucatan and Alaska, as well as the United States of America and the Canadian Dominion, there are seemingly at

the present time 1,474,000 pure-blood Amerindians in North America. Yet they are less and less discernible to the traveller from abroad, inasmuch as they tend to dress and demean themselves increasingly more like the Americans of Caucasian race. They intermarry, or, at any rate, mix sexually with white men, the half-breed being of a comely type; so that the eventual absorption of the American Indians into the Caucasian community of North America seems to be inevitable. Indeed, more than one anthropologist has considered the non-Esquimaux American aborigines to have resulted from an early intermixture in far-back prehistoric days between a primitive type of Caucasian (like the Aino of Japan) and an Esquimaux Mongoloid. At any rate, the cross between the Caucasian of North Europe and the Amerindian is a handsomer type of human being than the hybrid between the same race of white men and the negro.

The future of all English-speaking and French-speaking North America is no doubt the future of a white race, but before this result can be definitely achieved a solution will have to be found for the black problem in the United States. Within a relatively small geographical area of the United States east of the Mississippi there are at the present moment something like 9,500,000 negroes. This estimate includes some 2,500,000 persons of mixed negro and European blood. The tendency of public feeling at the present time in the United States is to lump together as negroes—"coloured people"—all men and women of recognisably negroid appearance and ancestry.

In some parts of the United States it is very awkward socially for anyone to be born with black hair and brown eyes even if they have a lively pink complexion. No doubt, many of these handsome brunettes owe their black hair and brown eyes either to Spanish inter-

The Black Problem in America mixture or to an older strain of Amerindian. These are the explanations they strive to put forward, but woe betide them if their complexion is sallow! During the days when slavery was an institution, the planters in the south mixed freely (sexually) with the negro or half-caste women whom they kept as their mistresses. But since the great Civil War and the emancipation of the negro, sexual intercourse between undoubted white men and

undoubted negro women has decreased, being now forbidden by motives of racial pride—at any rate, on the side of the white man. The two races, therefore, co-exist side by side with far less tendency to intermingle than was the case when they were respectively master and slave.

But the negro has taken increasingly to the American climate and soil. Were it not for the opposition of the white man, he would have overrun the whole of the continent, and adapted himself eagerly to the most rigorous climate. His future is one of the greatest problems of the world. The white races, to begin with, are numerically as three to one with the negro. They are beginning to refuse him permission to extend as a settler beyond certain geographical limits, and even within these limits they are yearning to find some excuse to eject him from his lawful rights and expel him beyond the continental limits of North America.

If the tendencies of the extreme negro-phobes rule American state policy, where will these ten millions of negroes and negroids find a permanent home? An attempt was made to solve

America's Attractions for the Negro this problem by the institution of Liberia eighty years ago. Liberia has achieved

some results, and may yet be a very valuable essay in negro self-government; but so far she has proved a failure as a dumping ground for the American negro, for the simple reason that negroes born and bred on American soil find as great a difficulty in establishing themselves in Tropical Africa as does the European. They are almost equally subject with him to the effects of malaria, and they seem unable, as a general rule, to procreate healthy, vigorous children, unless they mingle with the indigenous races and thus allow themselves to be reabsorbed into the savage or semi-civilised negro tribes of the Dark Continent.

But the Americanised negro colonist clings instinctively, passionately, to American civilisation. He will literally die rather than give up European clothing and American notions of life, and slip back into the palæolithic or neolithic conditions of the African savage. It seems to the writer of this essay that if the cruel injustice of the white man in North America is to refuse to the negro a portion of the United States which can become his permanent home, his only resort will be the islands of the West

BRITISH EXPANSION IN AMERICA

Indies and the states of Northern South America. Though in Africa he can scarcely withstand malaria better than the European, he can resist the sun. In America, as in Africa, the man of negro blood can perform manual labour under circumstances of heat and sun exposure which are fatal to the white man. A new Africa, therefore, may arise in Tropical America.

Great Britain is concerned with this problem, because at the present day the British West Indies are in the main peopled by negroes and negroids. In the British West Indies themselves there were very few indigenous inhabitants (Amerindian) when Britain took over the different islands, except in St. Vincent, Dominica, and perhaps Trinidad. In St. Vincent there were Caribs of more or less mixed type, sometimes hybridised with negroes. In Trinidad the few indigenous people lingering on the west coast belonged more or less to the Carib stock, but they were very few in number at the time of the British occupation of the island in 1796, and soon became absorbed in the mixed population of negroes and creoles. This island will

Mixed Races in Trinidad eventually become peopled by a homogeneous race of mixed negro, European, and East Indian origin. In British Guiana the Amerindian population forms a considerable item, perhaps 10,000 to 12,000; though it has probably diminished in numbers rather than increased during the hundred years of British occupation.

These people belong to the Arawak, Wapiana, Atorai, and Carib groups, related to South American stocks in the adjoining regions of the northern basin of the Amazon and to the former inhabitants of the West Indies. They do not seem to take very kindly to civilisation, and are probably destined to be absorbed into a negro or negroid peasantry, which may be further complicated by intermixture with the Indian coolie and the Portuguese colonist, the resulting race emerging as a type very like the Papuan of New Guinea or the Melanesian of the Western Pacific.

In the Falkland Islands there were no indigenes to be exterminated or saved. The islands were uninhabited by man when they became the resort of whaling ships. The present inhabitants are largely composed of British (Scottish, English, and Anglo-Saxon North American) stock, with an admixture of Spanish Americans

from Uruguay. British interest in the Falkland Islands, and consequently our relations with the terminal portion of the South American continent, have, however, done a great deal to mend the lot of the miserable inhabitants of Tierra del Fuego, chiefly through the work of British missionaries. The Fuegians, a people of the

A Tribute to Missionary Enterprise Amerindian race, were first brought prominently to our notice by the writings of Darwin, who visited South America on the *Beagle* in 1832. At the time of his visit these people were leading a completely nomadic existence under miserable conditions of climate. They were almost entirely naked and led the simple existence of the Stone Age, being unacquainted even with the use of fire, practising hardly any arts, and living the hunter's life.

The attention paid to Tierra del Fuego by the colonising nations of Argentina and Chili, more especially by the Anglo-Saxon and British pioneers in the nominal service of those governments, led, in the second half of the nineteenth century, to the usual introduction of spirituous liquors and syphilis, and from one cause and another the Fuegians were rapidly becoming exterminated. But the advent of the South American Missionary Society has, during the last quarter of a century, not only saved the remnant from perishing but has infused into them such a degree of reasonable civilisation as may enable them to recover their numbers and better their position.

Elsewhere, in Chili or in Patagonia, the influence of British settlers, captains of industry or officials in the service of the Chilian and Argentine Governments, has stayed any tendency there might have been to provoke or extend wars between the European settlers and the local Amerindian tribes. But the inevitable tendency of these people in temperate South America, as in temperate North

Fusion of the Tribes America, will lie towards fusion with and absorption by the invading Caucasian, from whom they are not removed so far physically as the latter is from the negro; no doubt because among the strands that go to weave the Amerindian type are Caucasian threads, traces of very ancient intermixture with the basic stock from which arose the European white man, whether that intermixture took place in far North-eastern Asia or came by way

of the Pacific archipelagoes. Both routes may have been followed. The summing up, therefore, of the effect which the British Empire will have produced on humanity in the United States and British North America, in the West Indies and in South America, is this :

In the English-speaking regions of North America, north of the limits of Mexico, there will grow up a people which would be best represented at the present day by a composite photograph of all the races of Europe between Spain and Siberia, Greece and Scandinavia. The black drop in the blood of this potent race of the future will be no greater than that which has infused anciently the populations of Spain, Southern France, Sardinia, and Sicily, or which makes itself noticeable in such cities as Glasgow, Liverpool, Bristol and London, which traded with the West Indies and thereby mixed with negro slaves in the three last centuries. The

Amerindian in North America will be gradually absorbed, and will improve rather than spoil the vigour and beauty of the American race. It will have much the same racial significance as the Mongolian element which permeates parts of Scandinavia, Russia, Germany, Alsace, Brittany and Ireland.

The Canadian French and the descendants of the French colonists of Louisiana, the Spanish tinge in Texas, California, and Florida, the million or so Italians settled in America during the last fifty years, the other millions of Iberian Irish, the darker types of Hungarians, will leaven the blond masses, the descendants of the settlers from Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Russia, Poland, Scandinavia, Iceland, and Germany. The most stalwart of the peoples promise to arise in Canada ; the Canadian may be the aristocrat of the New World in the last half of the twentieth century.



'BRITANNIA'S REALM'



BRITAIN'S GREAT INDIAN EMPIRE THE MARVELLOUS EFFECTS OF A CENTURY AND A HALF OF BENEFICENT GOVERNMENT

ON Asia, whatever may be the ultimate fate of the British Empire and the length of its duration, traces of its existence will have been left as far-reaching and ineffaceable in their nature as those of Rome on the Mediterranean world or of Macedon on the Nearer East. The peninsula of India is at once the nucleus and the starting-point of the British Empire in Southern Asia.

An inhabitant of Mars, looking at the outlines of the land surface of our planet, would certainly never have guessed that the people of the southern half of an island off the north-west coast of Europe would have made themselves the masters of Hindustan. It was virtually England that conquered India down to the close of the eighteenth century, largely as Ireland and Scotland have subsequently completed and strengthened the achievement. That a military power uprising in the

Britain's Indian Empire

Balkan Peninsula should extend its sway continuously over Asia Minor, Persia and India is easily conceivable, as also that India should have fallen a prey to the Russians or the Turks of Central Asia. Yet, of course, our Indian Empire is not much more remarkable as a political achievement of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries than is the Dutch Empire over the Malay Archipelago or what would have been a French overlordship of the Indian Peninsula. The first two conquests are the results of the development of sea power, and France, in the main, failed to take the place now occupied by Great Britain in Southern Asia because when her sea power was put to the test it yielded before that of the Anglo-Saxon.

If France has satisfied her Asiatic aspirations by the acquisition of large dominions in Indo-China—an almost sufficient compensation for what she lost to us in Hindustan—it is because at one time or another in the nineteenth century her

fleet has been sufficiently powerful to deter Great Britain from the risk of an avoidable war. In other words, in our days of imperial rapacity—the 'eighties and 'nineties of the last century—we put up with the growth of French dominion over

The Era of Imperial Rapacity

Annam, Tonkin and Eastern Siam because, up to a certain point, we had too much to risk in going to war with France at sea to interpose a determined veto on her plundering of China and Siam. At such movements, of course, we expressed an unaffected disapproval with a naïveté the more extraordinary as the French activities, after all, were merely coincident with our own conquest of Burma and the Shan States and our determination to acquire undisputed political rights over the Siamese provinces of the Malay Peninsula.

In the eighteenth century we found India to be a prey to internecine war. After many invasions from the north-west, going far back into prehistoric days, the people of North Central India had been conquered by a Turkish prince at the head of an army composed of Moguls, Turks, Afghans, and Persians.

Thus in 1526 was founded the Mogul—properly spelt Mughal—Empire. Prior to this, much of Western and South Central India had been Mohammedanised and Arabised, so that the irruption of Babar slightly intensified the Mohammedan element, and enabled his descendants for the next two centuries to rule with fairly undisputed sway over about

Revival of Hindu Power

120,000,000 people, considerably more than two-thirds of whom belonged to the Hindu religion, and were thus violently opposed in their social customs and traditional beliefs to the ruling Mohammedans. The Hindu element began to revive in power and courage in the seventeenth, and above all in the middle of the eighteenth, century. Had

the country been firmly united in religion under a dynasty that practised the faith of the majority of its subjects, our military and naval forces of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries would never have been able to defeat the Portuguese, Dutch, and French, one after the other, and conquer in turn the native vassals or the foes of the Mogul dynasty till at last that dynasty became in the nineteenth century—it did not expire till 1858—the tool and pensioner of the British Chartered Company. India, speaking from the point of view of the human race and of the origin of many other important mammalian types, is perhaps the most remarkable portion of the earth's surface. It is in the main the great Mother Country—firstly, of humanity as a genus of the ape order; secondly, it may be, of human civilisation, and almost certainly of the principal religious ideas that now pulsate through the human world. In the Tertiary Epoch there seem to have arisen in India, not only the human genus and species from out of a pithecanthropoid form, but possibly also three amongst the types of surviving anthropoid ape, and also the baboon genus. Moreover, this productive region appears to have been the birthplace of the bovine, antelopine, capricornine ruminants, several groups of carnivora, of dogs, deer, and swine.

Here, perhaps, arose the true elephant genus from out of the mastodon. Here was the great radiating centre of the gallinaeous birds. India ranks with North America and North-east Africa as one of the great evolutionary breeding grounds from which have arisen and dispersed the principal forms of animal life. Southern India, joined

it may be then with Malaysia, was almost certainly the place of origin of the human genus, and of the three species or subspecies into which modern man is divided.


When, however, the Ganges Gulf had disappeared, and the peninsula occupied very much its present form—in short, some ten to twenty thousand years ago—this portion of the world was inhabited mainly by what are styled the Dravidian races, a low type of Caucasian man, higher in development than the generalised black Australian or Veddah of Ceylon, yet not so distinctly a "white man" as the next upward step, the Iberian or brunette

Mediterranean race. This last furnishes the principal racial element in the peoples of Afghanistan, Persia, North Africa, Southern and Western Europe at the present day. On these Dravidians recoiled prehistoric invasions of the Mongols, of the yellow, bare-skinned, straight-haired type of humanity which may have arisen from the existing human species either in India or in Further India. These Mongolians penetrated here and there in prehistoric times

MAKING A PUBLIC ROAD THROUGH THE FOREST

amongst the Dravidian peoples, who themselves had overlaid pre-existing negroid Australoid races, for the more ancient negro type likewise originated in India; so that here and there in Northern and Central India, and perhaps along the east coast, there are Mongolian elements older than those which penetrated India from Tibet and the Pamirs within the last 2,000 years.

At some unknown date, this side of 7,000 years ago, occurred one of the great landmarks in the unwritten history of India—the invasion of the Aryans. The name Aryan—itsself of Indian origin—has been applied in past times with a



CUTTING A ROAD THROUGH THE JUNGLE IN THE FEDERATED MALAY STATES

degree of looseness which led for a while to its falling into disrepute. Its linguistic purpose was confused with a racial designation, which is probably of a far more abstruse and limited scope. One may perhaps—as a not altogether improbable theory—identify the original inventors of the Aryan tongues with the blond, grey-eyed Europeans of Russia, Central and Northern Europe.

The Ancient Aryan Languages

But for several thousand years Aryan languages have been spoken by all the types of Caucasian man in Europe and Western Asia, except Lapland, Finland, North-east Russia, part of Hungary, a small part of Turkey, Syria, and the borderlands of France and Spain.

These languages seem—from such knowledge as we now possess—to have arisen somewhere in Eastern Russia or Western Asia, north of the Caucasus, and to have been the appanage of a white-skinned people of pastoral habits, physical beauty, and of a stage of culture which had reached the age of metals—copper, bronze, and perhaps iron. Some have maintained that this golden-haired or red-haired, grey-eyed people may have developed in North Africa from the brunette Mediterranean race or from some more generalised type of Caucasian man. The only clues that we possess at present as to the origin of Aryan languages would seem to lie in the direction of a Finnic or Mongolian stock.

But in prehistoric times, from 7,000 to 5,000 years ago, possibly more than that, Aryan conquerors had entered India from the north-west, and had produced much the same impression on the dark-skinned Dravidians as was made on the pristine negroes of Africa by the prehistoric invasions of Hamites from Egypt.

The Aryans introduced to the millions of Northern, Central and Western India a language of the same family as that to which Lithuanian, Slavic, Greek, Latin,

Origin of the Buddha Religion

and Keltic tongues belong. This language, represented pretty closely by Sanskrit, developed in the course of several thousand years into the modern dialects of India and of Southern Ceylon, leaving only outside its influence the Dravidian speech of Southern and South-eastern India and the tongues of a few aboriginal tribes. The Aryans brought with them religious ideas which modified the religion of Brahma and eventually gave rise to

that of Buddha. From them and their intrusion and infusion of superior northern blood arose the idea of caste. The original blond hair and grey eyes of the Aryans soon disappeared in their physical absorption into the millions of dark-haired, brown-eyed, swarthy Dravidians or the yellow-skinned, black-haired Mongolians. The traces of this northern physical type still linger in the highlands of Afghanistan and of the Hindu Kush. Curiously enough, these brown-haired, grey-eyed Afghans resemble strikingly the brown-haired, grey-eyed Berbers of the Atlas Mountains of Tunis and Algeria.

The Aryan influence may also have penetrated beyond India to the recesses of Siam and Cochin China; but at the present day the mass of the population eastwards of Bengal belongs in the main to the Mongol type in varying degrees, with an underlying stratum of Negrito. The people of Bengal, the familiar "Babu" type, no doubt also have an infusion of the Mongolian in their blood. These Aryan invaders of prehistoric times were reinforced as regards language and fighting power by subsequent incursions, legendary and historical, from across the Hindu Kush. Across the lower valley

India at the Dawn of History

of the Indus, however, at the dawn of history, races of Dravidian stock seemingly were pushing westwards through Baluchistan and Southern Persia to Mesopotamia and Eastern Arabia. Indeed, it would appear as though there had been a strong set of the Dravidian peoples towards Arabia at a remote period in the history of that peninsula, and that there may be even a Dravidian element in the blood of the Semitic and Hamitic tribes of Arabia and Ethiopia.

Alexander the Great definitely linked the fortunes of Europe with those of India. From his celebrated invasion onwards Europe never completely lost touch with the peninsula of Hindustan. Even Alfred the Great, King of Wessex, caused inquiries to be made about India. The invasion of the Greeks 300 years before Christ further strengthened the Aryan influence over North-western India, as is testified by the remains of a debased Greek art in the Northern Punjab and even Greek types of face amongst its people. The next great event in the history of this motherland was the invasion of the Mohammedan Arabs, which began in



BENGAL SAPPERS AND MINERS ROAD MAKING IN CHITRAL



CONSTRUCTING THE PERIYAR DAM IN SOUTH INDIA

Nicolas & Co.

SCENES IN MAN'S FIGHT AGAINST NATURE

1001 A.D., and which, carried on by the Arabised Turks and Persians, culminated in that Mogul Empire for which the British Crown was substituted in 1858 and 1876.

We found India in the seventeenth century more or less completely under the sway of the Mogul emperors. The India which they ruled, directly or indirectly, though it included Southern Afghanistan, scarcely extended to Baluchistan, and certainly stopped in the Far East at the mouth of the Ganges. It did not include Ceylon, which remained more or less governed internally by an ancient dynasty of Aryan origin and Buddhistic religion, but the coasts of which were controlled ever since the sixteenth century first by the Portuguese, then by the Dutch, and finally, in the nineteenth century, by the British. The India of the seventeenth century, ruled by the Mogul emperors, probably contained a population of

150,000,000. The Indian Empire of to-day, excluding Ceylon, extends from the Persian Gulf to the frontiers of Tonkin and contains something like 297,000,000 people. To about 150,000,000 we have brought the means at the present day of acquiring an excellent education, scarcely inferior in its scope to that which is provided for our fellow-countrymen at home. To the whole of the 300,000,000 of Baluchistan, Kashmir, Little Tibet, of the

Indian peninsula proper from the Himalayas to Cape Comorin, of Burma and the Shan States we have given security of life and property to a degree never known by these Asiatic peoples in all their recorded history. Equal security has been given to the native dynasties of kings and chiefs who have accepted our suzerainty, and who

conduct the affairs of their kingdoms and principalities with decorum and justice. The wealth of India during the last hundred years, since the British became the effective masters over this region, must have increased tenfold, while the population has nearly doubled.

Magnificent public works have been carried out—thousands of miles of railways, canals for communication and irrigation, gigantic dams and reservoirs for the storage of water, bridges across rivers that are wonders of the world, the sounding, charting, and buoing of great capricious rivers up which ocean ships may travel hundreds of miles; we have developed coal-mines that have added enormously to the wealth of India; gold-mines, diamond mines. We have introduced the tea plant, and have made its cultivation one of the great industries of North-eastern India: the cinchona tree, with

its fever-healing bark; the coffee-tree from Africa, and many other useful products of the tropics and the temperate zones which thrive on Indian soil. We have taken up and developed indigenous products like jute, indigo, cotton, wheat and rice. We have improved the indigenous breeds of horses; taken measures to preserve the wild elephant from extinction; checked the devastations and the numbers of harmful wild beasts and

poisonous snakes. More important by far than this interference with the tiger and the viper is the tracking down of the plague, cholera, malaria and syphilis bacilli, and the war we have recently been waging on microbe-bearing rats, fleas and mosquitoes. We have fought famine in those recurring years of scarcity wherein the



RAILWAY SCENE IN BURMA H. C. White Co.

The above interesting picture not only shows how closely the railway system of Great Britain is copied in Burma, but also illustrates the spread of the English language in that country. Compartments reserved for women have the words "Women only" painted on the doors, while the picture of a woman above the lettering indicates the purpose of the compartment to those who have not learnt to read.



NATIVE EDUCATION IN INDIA: SCENE IN A MOHAMMEDAN SCHOOL

Downie & Shepherd

rainfall was deficient, and we have striven to retain the rainfall necessary to the country by a careful control of the forests and the replanting of trees. When we took up the rule of India in the guise of a great amorphous trading company, India was rapidly being ruined by incessant warfare between degenerated Turkish and Afghan dynasties and their Hindu and Sikh opponents.

The country was becoming disforested by fires, by the unchecked browsing of goats and cattle, and by clearing for cultivation. And though this destruction of the woodlands could hardly affect the mighty ranges of the Himalayas or the tropical jungles of Southern India, it was, together with the neglect of irrigation, slowly extending the area of the waterless desert region in the north-west and centre. Temples and mosques and other marvels of Indian architecture at their best were crumbling into decay through the decline of art and the incessant wars between Mohammedans and Hindus. It is said, nevertheless, that the people were less taxed than they are under our existing regime, and that the population

being only half what it is now, disease was not so rampant from overcrowding in towns, while famines were less frequent and severe.

It is doubtful whether these counter assertions are correct. Some of the people were no doubt lightly taxed, or paid no taxes at all, through leading the life of savages. Others again were subjected to such considerable and such irregular extortions that private enterprise was often crippled. The effects of the old regime have not quite vanished yet. Rulers and people were accustomed not only to put their savings into bullion of gold and silver, but, in the uncertainty of their lives, to trust no man, no institution, no government, with their hoards of wealth; rather to bury their gold and silver in the ground against such time as they should need it. In this way many a store of bullion has disappeared which might otherwise have been circulating through the country and stimulating commerce.

As to the records of disease, so little attention was paid to these questions in the native annals that there is scarcely any evidence on which to base a

comparison between the death-rate now and the death-rate a hundred years ago. The great increase in the population, and the going to and fro, hither and thither across the Indian Empire, have no doubt spread certain diseases at one time restricted to special localities. But through the measures undertaken by British medical science some

The Fight

With Disease in
India

diseases like small-pox have been robbed of their terrors, and others, like cholera, malaria, and the plague, are being brought gradually under control. Progress in the elimination of disease would have been quicker but for the suspicion, the prejudices, the religious fanaticism of Hindus and Mohammedans. It is scarcely an exaggeration to say that only two or three thousand natives of India out of three hundred millions have as yet grasped sufficiently the principles of natural science to realise the true causes of disease, and to be convinced that sensible people would not allow either superstition or misapplied religious principles, or foolish social customs and prejudices, to stand between an enlightened government and the elimination of such diseases as the plague.

The effect of 150 years of British rule on the peoples of India has been stupendous. We have put an end to Afghan raids which at intervals since 1001 scattered the accumulated capital, destroyed the cities and the public works of the industrious races, and punctuated the annals of India with holocausts of human victims.

India's
Debt to
Britain

We have done away with Thuggism, widow-burning, and our influence is rapidly making child-marriage an obsolete custom. Under our rule there is complete religious liberty for all who do not want to adopt murder or torture as an article of faith. We may not last long enough to make a homogeneous undivided people out of the 300,000,000

inhabiting this sub-continent, for that is nearly as difficult as to fuse all the states of Europe into a single polity; but, at any rate, we have set the Parsees on their feet, have raised the sect of the Sikhs to be deservedly one of the dominant forces of India, have enabled the Mohammedans of Bengal, Oudh, and Agra, and also of the Punjab and of Haidarabad, to develop their religious ideas in unfettered liberty of opinion till, if any group can save the teaching of the Arabian prophet from falling completely out of harmony with our present life, it will be the prosperous, educated, reasonable Moslems of the Indian Empire.

We may in the same way save the Hindus from themselves by sapping the intolerable nonsense of caste, of the Brahman cult, the non-hygienic principles that direct this and that restriction on wholesome food or drink, of the worship of black

Consequences
of Britain's
Good Rule

goddesses with two dozen breasts, of all the ghastly rubbish which still reduces 200,000,000 of Hindus to a negligible quantity in the weights of the intellectual world. We shall also have had the privilege of assisting and rendering prosperous and numerous one of the very few good and noble religions which have arisen in the world—the sect of the Jains.

The effect of the British Empire on the Malay Peninsula and in Borneo has been the abolition of piracy, the stoppage of internecine wars between one Malay sultan and another, and of the Arab slave trade; and the great recent increase of population which has resulted from the abatement of the dense forests and their profitable exploitation, the discovery of tin and coal, and the hundredfold increase of human health, happiness, wealth and intellectual progress in these parts. If there is any portion of the British Empire without a blemish in purpose or achievement, it is the Malay Peninsula, the Straits Settlements, and all their appurtenances.



THE GOLDEN TEMPLE OF THE SIKHS AT LAHORE



BRITISH EXPANSION IN AFRICA AND THE PACIFIC AND ITS EFFECT ON THE NATIVE RACES

THE existence of a great island or continent to the south of the Malay Archipelago had been suspected by the Portuguese early in the sixteenth century. This dim knowledge was crystallised into an allusion to "Greater Java." The Dutch were the first, in 1598, to refer to this continent to the south of New Guinea as "Australis Terra." The subsequent history of the discovery and settlement of Australia has already been given in preceding chapters.

What were the conditions of Australasia when white men in the seventeenth century were feeling their way towards fresh conquests and occupation? Why, when island after island in the Malay Archipelago was rapidly conquered and occupied by the Portuguese, Spaniards, Dutch or English, did these lands of the southern hemisphere so long evade the white man's sphere of practical politics? The

Australasia's Savage Inhabitants

westernmost promontories and islands of New Guinea were included by the Dutch within their sphere of commercial and political influence as early as the end of the sixteenth century; but the whole of the remainder of New Guinea, Australia, New Zealand, and the adjacent Pacific archipelagoes were left to themselves till the last half of the eighteenth century. The reasons for this late development were principally the savage and ferocious nature of the inhabitants, who lay utterly outside Hindu, Malay, and Mohammedan influence, and the existence of the Great Barrier Reef, which hindered approach to the coast of North-east Australia.

The extent of this reef southwards was probably over-estimated. But where it came to an end the seas were sufficiently far south to be affected by heavy gales. It was not until better and bigger ships and more scientific navigators entered these waters, with Captain Cook as a pioneer,

that any approach was made by English or French towards discovery and settlement. But the nature of the inhabitants of these Australian lands was a more powerful deterrent than the dangers of navigation. The complete absorption of the Malay Archipelago and Peninsula within the European political area in a few years after discovery had been enormously facilitated by the civilisation of the Malay race at some unknown period by Hindu influences, and, much later, by their conversion to Islam.

Mohammedan Religion Spread by the Arabs

Just as the Islamising of the northern half of Africa shed a flood of light on a country the indigenes of which (south of N. Lat. 10°) were in a stage of early culture singularly akin to that of Australasia, so the carrying of the Mohammedan religion by Arabs through India and along the trade route to China amongst the Malay Islands did more for mediæval geography and the linking up of the worlds of Europe and the Far East than the attempts of Greece, Rome, and Constantinople or the growth of the Chinese Empire.

The conversion of the Malays to Islam definitely attached the coasts of the East Indian Islands and promontories to the civilised world. The plumes of New Guinea birds of paradise, the camphor of Formosa, the spices and even the cockatoos of the Moluccas may have reached the Persian Gulf, the Mameluke rulers of Egypt, the Greek emperors of Byzantium, the merchants of Venice, and the Arab rulers of Grenada before the overseas exploits of the Portuguese made these regions of the Far East tributary to Western and Northern Europe. The culture which prevailed over New Guinea, excepting the small Malay sultanates of the far north-west, over all Australia and Tasmania, was of such a

The Low Type of Australasian Aborigines

low order that it might be called Palæolithic. The aborigines of New Guinea, Australia and Tasmania were, in the main, of a more primitive, less differentiated character than any living races at the present day, except their outlying relations such as the Veddahs and Negritoës. The lowest Australian types of men bear in

Diversity of Race in Australia cranial formation a striking similarity to the Neanderthal species of the genus *Homo* which inhabited Europe at a very remote period. They are, indeed, the nearest living representatives of early Palæolithic Man in Europe. Elsewhere this generalised type of our species has been developed, specialised, or exterminated. At the present day the Papuan race of New Guinea makes a distinct approximation towards the negro, and this negroid type penetrates eastward and northward, mixed in varying degrees with the Polynesian, till it reaches Hawaii, Formosa, and Japan.

The theory sometimes advanced to account for the physical attributes of the extinct Tasmanians is that this negroid type migrated southwards along the east coast of Australia and crossed thence to Tasmania, being afterwards succeeded on the continent of Australia by races with straighter hair and more prominent noses, akin to the Dravidian.

In New Zealand there was a different state of affairs. The first European explorers that landed on its coasts—French and English, at the close of the eighteenth century—observed two types amongst the aborigines: a short, dark-skinned negroid, and the tall, light-skinned Maori; and the theory was advanced some thirty years ago that the arrival of the last named from Polynesian archipelagoes had been preceded by a Tasmanian immigration. But it is inconceivable that this low race could have constructed canoes to cross a thousand odd miles of sea between Australia and

New Zealand's Early Inhabitants New Zealand; it is difficult enough to believe that such a primitive type could even have crossed on rafts a strait

of a few miles in width between Wilson Promontory and Tasmania; and it has been surmised that their colonisation of this island dates from a time when it was connected by an isthmus with the Australian continent. Therefore, it is more probable that if there was a negroid element in New Zealand, it accompanied the Maories

from the Polynesian archipelagoes. It is the main element of the population of Fiji, and is traceable in Tonga. The Papuans of New Guinea are fairly abundant, of medium height, and good proportions, though some of the tribes of the interior tend to a shortness of legs which recalls the forest negroes of Africa. The skin colour is sooty brown like that of the Australian.

The dark races of South-eastern Asia differ from the "black" negroes in that there is less red colour in the skin, and in the case of the Papuans and Australians there is a much greater projection of the brow-ridges; the nose, moreover, being seldom absolutely flat in the bridge, though the tip is wide and flat at the nostrils, and the lips, though thick and projecting, are not so largely everted as with the average negro. The hair of the Papuans is black and frizzly, and grows semi-erect, like a mop. That of the Australians is curly in a large way, but except for its coarse texture grows very much like a European's. Like the lower races of Europe and India the Australian's body, in the male, is very

Characteristics of the Polynesians hairy. This is one of the characteristics which points to a basal affinity between the Australoid and the Caucasian. The Polynesians seem to be a Far Eastern prolongation of Malay influence, though in physical characteristics perhaps nearer akin to the Caucasian. They differ from the Western Caucasian in the relative absence of body-hair, and a tendency to the straight, coarse head-hair of the Mongol, Malay, and Amerindian.

It may be that before the Mongols of China, Japan, North Asia and the Esquimaux had become differentiated and had reached their present habitat an early Caucasian type threw off a smooth-skinned, straight-haired branch which migrated to North-eastern Asia and thence colonised much of America, while it made its way also south and east to the Pacific archipelagoes, to absorb culture from the more Mongolian Malay and mingle his blood with his. In many of their physical characteristics the Polynesians recall the Indians of Western America. In modern times they have mingled with the negroid Melanesians, inheriting from them wider noses, undulations in the head-hair, and darker skin colour. Yet, when all has been said and done, the best Polynesian type recalls the European, and fundamentally the two

racés may be akin, a fact which will probably have the happiest effect on the future status of the Polynesians, inter-marriage with whom will be no more prejudicial to racial beauty and mental development than the intermixture with the Amerindian or the Northern Mongol.

The effect of the British Empire on the autochthonous races of Australia and Polynesia cannot be described in terms of such glowing praise as I have applied to our altogether splendid record in India, Ceylon and Malaya. From the point of view of the anthropologist and the philanthropist it is here that our record is sorriest and most ignoble. When we invaded Australia and Tasmania the welfare, rights, and anthropological importance of the indigenes seem to have been completely absent from our minds.

Our Imperial conduct, in fact, in these regions ranks much lower in the scale of morality than that of the King of the Belgians, who, if he has afflicted and diminished the native tribes of the Congo, has at any rate contemporaneously illustrated their arts, customs, and beliefs whilst

**Great Britain's
Black Record
in Australia**

such things could be recorded. Our treatment of the Australian and Tasmanian blacks has been stupid and brutal down to about 1896, long before which time the Tasmanians were extinct, and we deserve to be scourged for it before the world's tribunal quite as much as the Spanish nation for its treatment of the Amerindians, or Leopold of Coburg for his merciless exploitation of the Congolese. But for the missionaries and, in addition, the fighting qualities of the Maories the Polynesian inhabitants of New Zealand would have been as mercilessly dealt with.

When we laid hands on all Australia, from the point of view of keeping other European Powers out, say, in 1800, the native population of the entire island continent cannot have been less than 200,000; to-day it is computed at 65,000. Extermination seems to have been the order of the day—extermination by rum, syphilis, starvation, and later the more merciful and direct assassination by the rifle bullet. In about forty years from 1800, the natives of New South Wales, Victoria, and of South Australia, had been reduced from a possible 100,000 to about 5,000, not, of course, including those of the central and northern regions, which are still so inappropriately linked with

"South" Australia. Queensland has had as merciless a record, but here the territory was vaster, hotter, and a larger proportion of the indigenes have survived to profit by the development of Queensland public opinion on to a higher plane of thought. Their treatment now is vastly improved in this direction. Western Australia in

The Natives Under Cruel Treatment the back blocks, and above all in the far north-west, has still much scourging to receive and atonement to make; from the half-suppressed reports of clergymen and missionaries the Westralian treatment of the natives under their control has been quite as bad as anything recorded of the Congo. But in these matters, where the great daughter nations are concerned, the British Press is inclined to complacent silence.

The black Australian, as we first found him, was certainly a savage, and an unamiable, treacherous savage. "*Cet animal est très méchant! Quand on l'attaque il se défend!*" If our fairest coast regions were suddenly invaded by an almost irresistible race of Martians, we, in our futile defence of our homeland, might show ourselves equally treacherous. For a long time he was said to be an "irreclaimable" savage. But this has been shown to be as true as the dictum of King Leopold's Congo Ministers that the Bantu negroes of Congoland were "outside the pale of the family idea." The irreclaimability of the Australian—as announced by the white colonist—is as true as the depravity of the lamb in the eyes of the wolf.

Fortunately, however, there were other and nobler forces at work in Australia, and the result of their efforts, and those of the colonists and governments helping them, is that there are many police, stock-riders, trackers, farm servants, and other workers of use to the general community at the present day, who are of pure Australian blood. It is no longer probable that this wonderfully interesting race will

A Brighter Prospect for the Native be exterminated; it is less unlikely that it will be absorbed. The half-caste between white man and Australian aborigine is not such a disappointment as are some other human hybrids, either physically or mentally. And again, from this cross to further intermixture with the whites—or, as seems now more customary, with such Afghans, Indians, Chinese, or Polynesians as the rigid immigration laws may permit, or fail to prevent—may in time create

a small but prosperous class of dark-eyed, pale-skinned, black-haired, not uncomely people, who may find a place and a decent recognition for themselves in the future great Australian nation.

We had no recognised empire in the Pacific until we annexed New Zealand in 1840, but the unofficial influence of the

Missionaries as Builders of Empire British on the Polynesian and Melanesian peoples began with the voyages of Cook and the first settlement of Australia.

The way for the empire was prepared, unconsciously no doubt by missionaries, whalers, and traders in small sailing ships, together with the frequent cruises of men-of-war. The missionaries, most of all, brought the Pacific islanders to the idea that their only way of political salvation—decimated as they were by their own inter-tribal quarrels, and constantly under menace of attack from European pirates—was to offer the supreme rule or wardship over their countries to the British queen.

No doubt, they were instinctively right. At any rate, if the islands had not hoisted the British flag they would have been placed under that of France, the United States, or Germany. But it is sad to think that since New Zealand became British its indigenous population has decreased from a hypothetical 100,000 to about 48,000 at the present day. The population of Fiji was estimated at about 200,000 in the middle of the nineteenth century, and is now no more than 87,125 (in 1906), and is diminishing rather than increasing. Elsewhere in the Pacific, Tonga, Santa Cruz, Solomon Islands, Gilbert Islands, Ellice Islands, the population of native strain is on the increase.

Many of these islands were depleted of their able-bodied men by the labour traffic of 1870-1890, which at first kidnapped, and later lured them for work on plantations in Eastern Tropical Australia. Many of these labourers have since returned to

The Future of the Polynesians their homes, materially and mentally improved by their exile. There is no cause now but the inherent weakness of racial stamina why the Polynesians and Melanesians should not once more begin to increase in numbers. Yet in Hawaii, under the Americans, and in Fiji under the British—both governments showing the utmost solicitude for their Polynesian wards—the native race is ceasing to have children, is dying of white

men's diseases, is silently melting away before the Indian coolie, the Japanese, Chinese, and Portuguese immigrants. It is said that native women are more fertile with Japanese, Chinese or European husbands; it may chance, therefore, that the fate of this Polynesian race may be reabsorption, to form with these other racial elements another and stronger Polynesian people, an amalgam, like the predecessors, whom Cook first described, of Australoid, Caucasian, and Mongolian strains.

In other ways, the effect of the empire on New Zealand, and on these "Summer Isles of Eden set in dark purple spheres of sea," has been wholly good, so far as the general enrichment of the world is concerned. New Zealand has become in sixty-eight years a young nation of magnificent vigour, with a mighty future before her, and a population of nearly a million.

Fiji now does an annual trade in exports, such as sugar, dried coco-nut kernels, and fruit, and imports of the value of £1,213,000. This archipelago, extraordinarily endowed as to climate and healthfulness, scenery, and fertility of soil, is of the area of Wales,

Prosperous Pacific Islands and supplies both Australia and Canada with tropical produce. The inhabitants of nearly all the other Pacific islands under

British jurisdiction are converted to Christianity, and have given up cannibalism and civil war. They are, for the most part, busily engaged in the copra—dried coco-nut—trade, but a number of them still seek service in Queensland, in Pacific islands belonging to France or Germany, or even go as far afield as Mexico, confident that their British nationality will afford them ample protection.

Thus, after vicissitudes extending over more than a century—since their first discovery, or rediscovery, by British and French mariners—the Pacific islands seem to have found peace, prosperity, comparative freedom and political stability. Except in New Zealand, we have nothing to regret in our treatment of these Polynesian and Melanesian races, since a direct government control was established over the islands, large and small; but there remain some seventy or eighty years of previous unofficial British or British colonial dealings with the peoples that are a sorry record of slavery, kidnapping, alcohol-poisoning, debauchery, disease, ridiculous or even vicious wrangles between Christian sects and churches,

BRITISH EXPANSION IN AFRICA AND THE PACIFIC

cannibalistic outbreaks and sanguinary revenges, farcical governments got up by European or American adventurers, and floated with repudiated paper currencies.

These influences combined must have reduced the total native population of Oceania, excluding New Guinea but including New Zealand, from a possible $2\frac{1}{2}$ millions to about a million at the present day. Of course, it must be remembered this $2\frac{1}{2}$ millions had been living lives of useless happiness, apart from the rest of the moving world, aloof from the sorrows and struggles of the toiling thousand millions in temperate or torrid

than the nourishment of unintellectual idleness in cannibalism and sexual orgies of 2,000,000 brown Polynesians. Such fragments of the Earthly Paradise are worthier to be the home of 50,000,000 men and women endowed with the finest qualities of mind and body.

What has been the effect of the British Empire on Africa? In the west, the scene of our earliest attempts at settlement as traders and rulers, we first encouraged to an enormous extent the trade in slaves. This has led to much intertribal warfare, and even the disappearance of certain coast peoples. Between 1560 and 1860 the



G. Hughes

THE PRIMITIVE SYSTEM OF LANDING ON THE WEST AFRICAN COAST

continents. Seemingly, a policy of secluded selfishness does not enter into the scheme of the Higher Power for the development of the human race. Nature insists on a unification of the genus, and to attain this end extremes meet—the Dutchman mingles with the Hottentot, the Englishman with the Polynesian, Scotsman with West Indian negro, Portuguese with Dravidian, Arab with Bantu, Frenchman with Amerindian. The Summer Isles of Eden and the 104,000 square miles of pasture, meadow, woodland, Alp, lake, and orchard, which constitute the noble patrimony of New Zealand, were meant for better things in the destiny of man

West African slave trade certainly tended to the depopulation of parts of Guinea, Dahomeh, the Niger Delta, and the Kameruns.

The British from 1815 and the French from about 1835 set to work to suppress the slave trade they had once encouraged. This, of course, led to their increased interference in West African affairs, and by degrees to a widespread use of the English language as a medium of intercommunication. The trade in palm oil and palm kernels—said to have been invented in Liberia—was, in its early days, a British industry; and so lucrative did it become to natives as well as white men that it probably proved a more efficient corrective

of the slave trade than the vigilance of the British cruisers. But the palm-oil trade gave rise to incidents and tendencies which provoked further—and often unwilling—interference on the part of the British Government with native chiefs. These last would frequently attempt to make a corner in palm oil, by preventing the interior

Fair Trade on the West African Coast natives from coming into contact with the white traders, who were thus compelled to deal with the oil-markets by making use of the coast negroes as intermediaries and middlemen. Thus the producing peoples of the interior received a poor price for their industry, and the European had to pay too dearly for the oil which was becoming so increasingly necessary to his home industries.

Now all these questions are regulated equitably. The coast men share in the general advantages of the coast government, which is partly supported by the customs duties levied on general imports and exports. The natives of the interior can dispose of their produce without let or hindrance for the prices determined by the law of supply and demand. But it is in the coast regions, above all, that the advantages of an enlightened British administration have been shown. Here a system of *petite culture* has been brought into existence, in the Gold Coast Colony especially, which has had the happiest results, especially in the cultivation of cacao. In this a trade of something like a million sterling has been developed.

A glance at the revenues and expenditures of all the British West African colonies and protectorates will at once show their prosperity. It is, above all, the prosperity of the people of the soil, whose rights have been most rigorously respected and reasonably defined. The British West African possessions are setting an example to the rest of British Tropical Africa, and to a great deal of Africa and Asia which is under

New Policy of British West Africa other flags, of the new policy, which is going to spread like a new religion—ample recognition of the rights of the indigenous peoples to the land they live on and to the natural produce of its soil. This theory does not prevent the reservation of absolutely vacant lands or lands containing forests or mines, which must be dealt with in the general interests of the community. Such are held in trust for the community by the established government of the

territory, and the proceeds or profits therefrom are publicly accounted for, and form part of the local revenue. In the administration which controls these sources of public wealth the voice of the real natives of the country will have a larger and larger part as education increases in the native community and fits the people of the soil for playing a responsible part.

Whilst foreign capital is required to fructify industries and to turn the resources of the country to profitable account, that capital must be allowed a fair representation in the local councils, and receive sufficient guarantees as to its investments; otherwise the native community will never obtain money on cheap enough terms for creating its industries. But the ambition of all these negro states under the British flag in West Africa and Nigeria should be to obtain their working capital in time through their own resources and in time to show themselves more and more worthy of home rule.

In East Africa, between the Nile Basin and the Zambesi, the chief effect on the native peoples has been produced by the abrogation of Arab authority in the coast lands and the eventual suppression of the Arab slave trade, and, finally, of slavery. The Arab treatment of East and Central Africa has followed much the same lines as European behaviour elsewhere. First of all, the land was ravaged for slaves and ivory. No thought was taken for the welfare of the indigenes at all. They were originally transported in thousands to Arabia, Persia, Madagascar, and the Comoro Islands—a few also going to Western India—and, later, they were used to develop clove, sugar, coco-nut plantations in Zanzibar and along the East African littoral from Lamu to Cape Delgado.

When the Arabs appreciated the possibilities of Congoland, the slaves of the populations they harried were turned on to create vast rice-fields, orange groves, lime orchards, plantations of sugar-cane, bananas, ground nuts, and maize in the valley of the Lualaba-Congo. When conquered at this epoch, the close of the nineteenth century, the domain of the Arabs on the coasts of Nyassa and Tanganyika and in Eastern Congoland presented to the British, Germans, and Belgians a certain appearance of well-being, civilisation and contentment which was in marked contrast to the savage

BRITISH EXPANSION IN AFRICA AND THE PACIFIC

regions outside the Arab settlements. To some extent this contrast was an unfair one to the pagan African, because the unsettled regions outside the Arab zone had been reduced to a condition of heedless savagery by the raids of the Arabs and their negro allies. The wretched remnant of the natives only secured some immunity from attack by simply offering no temptation to robbery. They accumulated no stores of food, and avoided giving any evidence of culture.

Had no European intervention taken place, matters would have taken—more slowly—the same course under the Arabs as under the white man's predominance. First, the Arabs would have cultivated millions of acres by forced labour; then, as it became more and more difficult to coerce great negro populations raised to the same level of culture as the Arabs themselves, the Arabs would have sought to work by means of hired labour. Lastly, they might have had the intelligence to perceive what we are just appreciating—thanks to the teaching of men like E. D. Morel, Albert Chevalier, Vandervelde,

Tropical Africa's Negro Problem

Charles Dilke, Fox-Bourne, and Theodore Roosevelt—that the negro is an ineradicable plant in Tropical Africa; and that, this being the case, it is better to treat him as the owner and dominant factor in the country, inspire him with the pride of ownership—individual and communal—and by means of trade allurements tempt him to exploit, as a free man and a person with a stake in his own commonwealth, the resources and riches of his dwelling-place.

This theory has its imperfections when contrasted with actual contemporary facts, but on the whole it has proved the best working hypothesis with the negro peoples of Eastern as well as Western and Central Africa. But there are other factors in the East African problem that do not exist in West Africa and the Congo Basin. Half the area of British East Africa, a quarter of Uganda, a quarter of Nyassaland are regions of considerable elevation above sea-level; and partly on this account, partly from other causes, are—or were when we entered the country—devoid of native inhabitants. To tell the truth, although the negro may have avoided settling on these elevated plateaus when he was a nearly naked savage, he has shown himself quite able to do so under

more civilised conditions. But most of these cold countries were No-man's-lands when we discovered them, and we have not felt called upon to hand them over to the black man. For thirty years there have been Scottish and English coffee planters (colonists) in Nyassaland; for seven years we have been permitting the

Unoccupied Earthly Paradises

appropriation of vacant lands by white men on the healthy uplands of East Africa. Here, as in Western Uganda and Northern Nyassaland, there are earthly paradises still awaiting the people. Consequently, the political future of Eastern Africa is likely to be far more complicated as an entity than that of West Africa, purely a black man's land, or South Africa, where the white man is quite resolved to be the predominant partner.

In British East Africa, including Somaliland and Nyassaland, there will be small, compact, powerful colonies or enclaves of Europeans and Asiatics surrounded by a very numerous, prosperous, and, I hope, friendly, population of negroes and negroids. The Arab element will remain and will permeate the leaven of the docile Bantu with a sense of self-respect and personal pride which will compel a decent treatment at the hands of the British and Indian fellow-colonists.

The effects produced by the British Empire on the native races of South Africa have been most potent. The Dutch and Huguenot settlers who preceded us had conquered the feeble Hottentot and Bushman tribes of the south-western angle of Cape Colony sufficiently to be able to dispose of the land between the little Namaqua coast, the sources of the Zak, and the Great Fish River amongst European farm settlers. These last at times were almost at war with the unsympathetic, selfish, stupid government of the Dutch East India Company. The Boer pioneers of the future white South Africa

The Racial Struggles in South Africa

shirked any contest with the powerful Bantu peoples to the east and north of the land from which they had ousted the Hottentot. Indeed, the drift of the racial struggle was rather the other way when the British first took possession of Cape Town.

Should the Kaffir and Basuto be allowed to drive the Boer farmers back on to the Cape Peninsula and occupy the lands of the Hottentot in their stead? For centuries the big Bantu negroes had

been pressing south from their original home in Central Africa. They had absorbed or exterminated the Hottentots and most of the Bushmen in South-eastern Africa; on the south-west their advance was hindered by the aridity of the Kalahari Desert and Namaqualand, but they had already turned the obstacle by coming

Britain's Great Work in South Africa round the south coast of the continent and advancing thus on the delectable region of the Cape of Good Hope (one of the world's paradises). The Sneeuwbergen and the Great Fish River were the limits on the north and east which temporarily detained them when the Briton arrived on the scene.

But for his armed support—the resources of Britain in men, money and ships—it is doubtful whether the Boers, left to their own resources, could have stemmed this impetuous flood of Basuto and Kaffir warriors. Supposing even that Holland had remained the sovereign of Cape Colony, could the Dutch nation at that juncture have fought and vanquished two or three millions of Bantu negroes of the Zulu and Suto calibre when, even with all the resources of modern warfare and the unquestioned bravery of her troops, she has not been able to subdue the small sultanate of Achin (Sumatra) between 1815 and 1908?

It seems very probable that the assumption of British control over Cape Colony in 1806, and later over Natal, saved South Africa for the white man, who, in the temperate regions of the south-west, had just as much right there as the Bantu. The subsequent effect of British rule has not been to lessen the black population of Trans-Zambesian Africa. The Bushmen, already half absorbed by the Hottentots and nearly exterminated by the Bantu, are, it is true, only about 4,000 to-day, where there were perhaps 10,000 seventy years ago, and the Hottentots are a decaying people to some slight extent. They seem more likely to exist in a half-caste type, the original hybrids with the Boers—Griqua—mixing again with the pure

bred Hottentots and strengthening the race. But, thanks to the staying of civil war and mad superstitions among the Kaffirs, holocausts of slaughter and incessant murderous raids by all the Zulu clans, conquests and ravages by the different Suto or Bechuana tribes between the Upper Zambesi and the Orange River, the settled Bantu population of Southern Africa—Zambesi to Algoa Bay—has increased probably from 3,500,000, as we may compute it to have been in 1806, to nearly 6,000,000 at the present day.

The increase has been most marked in Eastern Cape Colony, Natal, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, Eastern Rhodesia, and Portuguese South-eastern Africa, where the conditions of native life have been vastly improved by the wages of the mining labour market in Kimberley, the Orange State, and the Transvaal. Unfortunately, although the Imperial rule of Britain has been—no honest person or competent judge can deny—a very great blessing to humanity in West, East, and South Africa, it has in the south and south-centre, and a little in the east, spelt ruin to the magnificent wild mammalian fauna.

The Boer hunters counted for something in this work of thoughtless destruction, but only as the disciples of British sportsmen. These were originally officers in the army, for the most part visiting the Cape on their way to or from India. India had initiated them into the joys and thrills of big-game shooting, the rifle had come into general use as a sporting weapon of precision, and thus were provoked the wonderful crusades against elephants, buffalo,

Hunters' Destructive Crusades antelopes, rhinoceroses, giraffes, lions, hippopotami, zebras, which have ended by leaving nearly all Cape Colony with no more notable wild beasts than a few baboons, leopards, jackals, civets, springboks, and rodents; a campaign which has placed the quagga and the blaubok on the list of extinct animals, and has brought the white rhinoceros, South African oryx, and several other interesting mammalian types very near the vanishing point.



BRITISH ENTERPRISE IN AFRICA: THE NYASSA-TANGANYIKA ROAD



MAN'S TRIUMPH OVER NATURE THE WONDERFUL RECORD OF BRITISH ACHIEVEMENT THROUGHOUT THE WORLD

THE British nation has not merely fought with rival or recalcitrant men for the colonisation, retention, and development of its empire; it has done things more worthy of remembrance perhaps than that. It has steadily fought the reactionary forces of Nature, and has often scored a victory.

Surely something of the genius of old Rome must have left its germs in British soil and been absorbed by British men and women, whether they were Kelto-Roman, Danish, Saxon, Norman, or French in their ancestry. The Roman nature of our public works is not of to-day or the last century only. Even the roystering, dissipated, drunken, peculating soldiers and officials of Charles II. left traces of their brief occupation of Tangier in the massive masonry of the mole. Though it is 105 years since we lost Minorca, we have dowered that island with magnificent roads, bridges, quays, and bastions. Corfu bears the impress of the practical British mind more thoroughly than any civilised influence that has preceded or followed. The public works of Aden are tremendous, awe-inspiring, even though they may be but the logical continuation of cyclopean tasks begun by prehistoric Arabs.

In Canada, before the united "dominion" days, the British and colonial governments had constructed canals across the Niagara Peninsula, alongside the rapids of the St. Lawrence. These have been subsequently extended and improved by the dominion government, until now the waters of Lake Superior—2,200 miles inland—and the other great fresh-water seas of the St. Lawrence system, including the port of Chicago, are in direct steamer communication, for reasonably small steamers, with Britain and the rest of the world.

Since Canada became a self-governing country, British capital and credit almost entirely—besides British heads and arms—have built the Canadian Pacific Railway,

which has revolutionised the economics of Northern America. Energy, either of direct or indirect British origin, is combating the Glacial Period in North-western Canada, in the region of the Yukon, grappling with the permanently frozen soil, extorting riches and comfort from the icy north, driving back, it may be, later on, by the resources of science that

Possibilities of Energy and Science

hatefullest affliction of our mother earth, that possible foreshadowing of the end of all things we shall never see—the icy touch which brought about many successive glacial periods, and rendered the Polar regions, north and south, uninhabitable. It is just possible that the energy of Britons or the descendants of Britons may push back artificially the realm of ice to the shores of the Arctic Ocean, bringing in happier conditions of climate, and turning to account millions of acres of rich soil now locked in ice that has not melted for 100,000 years.

In Tropical America and the West Indies our achievements have not been so colossal. Here they should lie in the extermination of disease. We have, however, erected and endowed colleges, built railroads, roads, and bridges—Jamaica, almost from end to end, Barbados, British Honduras (uncompleted), and Trinidad—and regulated forests. In 1898 was founded the Imperial Department of Agriculture for the West Indies under Sir Daniel Morris. This department is at present paid for by the Imperial Government. It has rendered great services to forestry, agriculture and horticulture in the West Indies. A great deal has been done in recent years to open up the asphalt resources—the lakes of pitch—in Trinidad and Barbados, the diamond and gold mines of British Guiana, together with the water power developed by the cascades that tumble from the edges of

Developing the West Indies

the Venezuelan Plateau. Forestry in British Guiana, British Honduras and Trinidad, has received some attention. Horticulture has been much and wisely developed in Jamaica, and the more important of the West India Islands. From Jamaica, indeed, West and Central Africa have received most valuable contribu-

tions in the shape of improved varieties of cotton, coffee, bananas, oranges and many useful plants for tropical cultivation. In the Falkland Islands, since our definite assumption of authority in 1833, much has been done to develop the possibilities of cattle and sheep breeding. Latterly, sheep have become more important than anything else, not necessarily for export in the form of mutton and wool, but for the rearing of good rams for breeding purposes. These are exported to South America. Here also has been made an important coaling and provisioning station for vessels going round Cape Horn.

The first great public works of Britain in India were probably trunk roads. These were begun as far back as 1790, when the East India Company settled down seriously to taking up the reins of government. The great trunk road from Calcutta and Bengal to Peshawar was first projected by an Afghan emperor, Sher Shah, and was more than half completed by the Mogul rulers. It was continued by the East India Company, and finished about 1830. A great triumph in roadmaking, achieved early in the nineteenth century, was the road up the Ghats from Bombay Island to the interior plateau. The roads of British India now run to 193,000 miles of metalled and unmetalled surface.

Canals in India followed the damming of streams—especially parallel with the sea-coast of Malabar, where they linked one lagoon to another—and then came the construction of great irrigation works. There are now 4,055 miles of

navigable canals in India and about 43,500 miles of irrigation canals bringing water to 13,606,000 acres. In 1850 began the era of railways. By the end of the nineteenth century the Indian Government had constructed about 25,000 miles (November, 1908, about 30,000 miles) of railways, from the hill stations of the Himalayas, such as Darjeeling and Simla, to Cape Comorin, opposite Ceylon, and from the frontier of Arakan to Quetta

and the Afghan frontier. Since then, the railways have been creeping on towards the Persian Gulf, on the one hand, and Burma on the other. Before long, no doubt, there will be direct railway communication from some port on the Persian Gulf, from which again a connection across Persia with the Russian railway system is inevitable, to Singapore.

Some of us who read these lines may yet live—still enjoying health and vigour—to travel from Calais to Singapore without changing the carriage, or, if something less "1850" than the present condition of the South-Eastern Railway can be brought into existence, we may enter our travelling and sleeping compartment at Charing Cross, and enjoy a marvellous panorama of the most varied landscapes, races and products of the earth's surface before we quit our compartment at the southernmost extremity of the Malay Peninsula.

The engineering works of India, such as the great bridge across the Indus at Attock, are worthy examples of the mechanical achievements of the British Empire. So is the bridging of the Zambesi at the Victoria Falls in South Central Africa; so is the damming of the Nile at Assuan, Esna, Assiut and Zifta.

These engineering works, conducted under the auspices of Great Britain in Egypt, have conferred enormous benefits on the peasantry and the industries of that country. Water has been brought from the foot-hills of Ethiopia to Port Sudan, and also to the town of Suakin. The Red Sea has been united with Khartoum by a railway, and Khartoum with Upper Egypt. Steamers now ply on the Nile from Khartoum to the Uganda frontier, and right into the heart of Africa up the tributaries of the Bahr-el-Ghazal or to the Abyssinian frontier on the Sobat.

On the West African coast the public works have not been altogether worthy of the British Empire until quite recently. Down to a very few years ago everyone of high and low degree who desired to land or embark on the Gold Coast had to do so more or less at the peril of his life, in heavy surf-boats, through breakers that occasionally capsized the boats and drowned the passengers. Even at the present day, Freetown, the capital of Sierra Leone, is very early nineteenth century, and compares unfavourably with the new French cities of North-west

MAN'S TRIUMPH OVER NATURE

Africa, where the ocean-going steamer can draw up alongside a magnificent quay. At Freetown the passenger has still to embark or land in a small boat. But things are moving, even in British West Africa. The public works of the Sierra Leone Protectorate are worthy of portions of India in the way of roads and bridges, and a railway of 230 miles connects Freetown with the north-western frontier of Liberia, and has already doubled the exports of the country that was once called the "white man's grave."

There is also a railway advancing from Lagos to the Niger, and from the Niger across to the commercial centres of the Hausa country, perhaps linking up some day with the railways of Egypt and of French West Africa. No enterprise would be more beneficial to the commerce and peoples of Africa than a railway from the Mediterranean to the Gulf of Guinea across the Sahara Desert; for the railway causes the desert to blossom as the rose. If only the dread of Germany could be put aside, and Britain and France could turn their *entente* to the magnificent end of crossing the Sahara by a railway, they would have achieved a triumph over recalcitrant Nature as grand as the attacks on the Glacial Period which are going on in North-western Canada. One of the best schemes conceived by Rhodes—his own especial scheme, started and maintained by his own money—was the trans-African telegraph, a line which was to run from the Cape to Cairo.

Thus far, the communication is interrupted in several places. Through the efforts of the British South Africa Company, Cape Town is linked with Lake Nyassa and the south end of Tanganyika, and even with Ujiji in German East Africa. The next gap to fill will be from Ujiji to the telegraph system of the Uganda Protectorate. This extends no further, at present, than Lake Albert. Probably by the time these lines are in print it will have reached Gondokoro. From this point there is no further break till Alexandria is reached, near the mouth of the Nile. A land line now goes from Lagos to the heart of British Nigeria, and from Sierra Leone to the north-west frontier of Liberia.

This last will soon be linked with the French land lines of Senegambia, and these again, before many years are past, will have traversed the Sahara Desert.

A telegraph line crosses the inhospitable interior of Australia from north to south. It has seemed to the present writer that this was one of the most marvellous achievements in its way to be placed to the credit of the British Empire. The central part of Australia is a more terrible desert, perhaps, than any part of the Sahara. At the time the

Australia Spanned by the Telegraph overland telegraph line was conceived it was practically an unknown country; all that was recorded of it was the death or disappearance of explorers. It was not uninhabited, though almost uninhabitable (in its pristine conditions), but the indigenes were hostile and treacherous. Yet these difficulties were overcome, and in a few years. The spanning of Australia by this wire deserves to rank among the great Imperial achievements.

Although carried out by commercial companies and not directly by the government, mention must be made here of the deep-sea cables which are another source of gratification to our national pride. Great Britain was long the first to construct and lay a deep-sea cable. The whole conception and working out of this feat in all its parts was the work of British minds. All the great oceans, the narrow connecting seas of the world, are now spanned by British cables. Africa is girdled with them, so is South America.

Thus we have striven to conquer distance and efface time. In the course of a few hours we can send a message to the heart of Central Africa, to the watershed of the Arctic Ocean, to the hill stations of the Himalayas, and receive a reply; and the agency principally or wholly employed will have been a British-laid cable or a British-hung land wire. We can travel from Cape Town to the Victoria Falls in five days where Livingstone fifty years ago took five months. We can traverse India from Baluchistan to the vicinity of Burma in another five days; or, in a period of time scarcely longer, rush from the snows of the Himalayas to the Equatorial luxuriance of Ceylon. Already Egypt, under British guidance, is feeling her way in railway construction towards Tripoli and across Arabia.

If Turkey can be brought to see the advantages of co-operation, there may be still within our lifetime a delightful alternative railway route to India, say for the winter

season, when the line through France, Switzerland, Italy, Austria, Roumania, Russia, and Persia is too cold. By the alternative route we may travel via Paris, Madrid, Algeciras, Tetuan, Algiers, Tunis, Tripoli, Cairo, and Basra—unless before that time airships or aeroplanes that are really safe, certain and commodious have

Railways made railways only useful for goods traffic. The present **as a Civilising** writer would be sorry for this. **Influence** Nothing fertilises, nothing pacifies, nothing civilises like a railway. Perhaps, in fairness, something should be said about what Britain has done about steam communication at sea. The British Empire has given birth to a marvellous mercantile marine. Being of necessity the creation and dependent of sea power, this fleet of 9,000 or 10,000 steamships has always had a strong navy as its corollary. But the triumphs of peace have been those of the mercantile marine, a marine that has grown up and prospered with very little direct encouragement from the state.

The first practicable British steamers—paddle-wheelers—plied about the west coast of Scotland from 1812 onwards. In 1833 the first thorough-going steamship—i.e., not a sailing vessel with auxiliary steam power—crossed the Atlantic, the Royal William, of Quebec. This steamer made the journey from Nova Scotia to Gravesend in twenty-two days. She had been entirely built by Canadians on the St. Lawrence, and was engineered by them across the Atlantic. The return voyage was first made by an Irish steamer of the Cork Packet Company. The City of Dublin Steam Packet Company had been founded in 1823, and really became the parent of the great Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company in 1826.

This line originally started by a feeble steamship service to Gibraltar, then was extended in 1839–1840 to Alexandria to meet the demand for the overland route. Others of its steamships had painfully laboured through stormy seas round the Cape, and established themselves on the Red Sea side of the Isthmus of Suez. The General Steam Navigation Company was founded in 1824; the first steam voyage to India, round the Cape, was made in 1825; the Aberdeen Line—George Thompson—had been founded in 1824; the Harrison Line in 1830; the Royal Mail—West Indian Line—in 1839; the City Line

of Glasgow in 1839; the Cunard in 1840. In this same year the Pacific Steam Navigation Company began running steamers to South America. The Wilson Line of Hull was founded in 1845; the Natal Line—Bullard—and the Inman Line in 1850; the Bibby in 1851; the Anchor Line (Indian) and the African Steamship Company in 1852; the Union Steamship Company (of South Africa) in 1853; the Allan in 1854; the British India Steam Navigation Company in 1855. Several of these lines of steamships began as associations trading with sailing-ships, so that some of the great houses with their wonderful modern fleets of passenger and cargo steamers have a history beginning with the nineteenth century.

British statesmen have left one blot on the record of British prescience, in that they never believed in or encouraged the cutting of the Suez Canal, nor realised till the work was an accomplished fact what a marvellous gain it would be to the shipping industry of the British Empire. Ferdinand de Lesseps was one of the greatest benefactors of the British Empire.

Britain's Debt to a Frenchman The remembrance of that fact should be an additional incitement to an everlasting friendship with France. For many years the British steamship companies held the field in regard to all long sea journeys. Then there grew up rivalry in the Mediterranean, the Red Sea, and Indian waters on the part of steamship lines from Marseilles, Trieste, Genoa, and Barcelona to Tropical America; Hamburg to the West Coast of Africa; Rotterdam to the Malay Archipelago; and, after 1880, that marvellous development of German shipping enterprise, which created first-class steamer communication between the north-eastern ports of Germany and almost all parts of the world. In speed the British vessels still hold their own, though it is a neck and neck race with Germany. In comfort, modernity of appliances, and food, it is to be feared that the German, French, and Austrian liners are superior to the British.

The Nobel Prize, however, has yet to be awarded to that steamship line which introduces the surest element of civilisation into its passenger traffic—one passenger, one cabin. It ought to be made penal to compel two, three, or four unrelated strangers to share a single sleeping compartment. In forestry and horticulture the British Empire has taken a leading



THE LANSDOWNE BRIDGE OVER THE INDUS AT SUKKUR F. Bre



BRIDGE SPANNING THE ZAMBESI NEAR THE VICTORIA FALLS



THE REVERSING RAILWAY STATION AT KHANDALLA IN INDIA

OVERCOMING NATURE'S DIFFICULTIES: TRIUMPHS OF BRITISH ENTERPRISE

part, though it has frequently borrowed from Germany its adepts in forestry and economic botany, to the great advantage of British research in those directions. The names of Gustav Mann, West Africa and India; of Brandis and Kurz, the Himalayas; Sir Julius Vogel, New Zealand; Dr. Otto Stapf, Kew Gardens, will at

The King of Biological Research

once occur to the mind of any reader interested in these subjects. But there have been great exponents of what might be termed Imperial botany of wholly British descent—men like Sir Joseph Banks, Sir Joseph Hooker, Professor Daniel Oliver, Sir W. Thiselton Dyer, Sir Daniel Morris, and Lieut.-Col. D. Prain.

The work of these men is of even greater fame in Germany, France, Belgium, and the United States than to the careless minds of Britishers, so indifferent in the main to scientific research. Purely scientific research, and the reading of the world's past history, the very secrets of the origin and development of living forms, have owed nearly as much to the exploring journeys of Hooker in the Himalayas and on the Atlas Mountains of Morocco as they did to the king of British biological research, Darwin—Darwin, who also qualified as an agent or servant of the empire when he accompanied the Beagle on its famous cruise in the interests of science.

Sir John Kirk, in a somewhat similar capacity in connection with Livingstone's government expeditions, opened our eyes to the wealth and the economic importance of the East African flora. British enterprise has introduced the tea-shrub into India and Ceylon, cotton into all parts of Africa and the Pacific, cacao into West Africa, coffee into Ceylon, Nyassaland, Jamaica, and Trinidad.

Sir Clements Markham won his eventual C.B. and his first renown by his splendid attempts to secure the seed of the cinchona-tree, jealously guarded as its trans-

Blessings of Botanical Discoveries

mission was by American Indians and South American governments. He enabled the cinchona to be planted widely over the tropical regions of the world, and brought down the price of quinine, the most potent drug yet known against malaria fever, till it eventually came within the reach of poor sufferers. If in this field of botany and agriculture there have been triumphs, what are we to say about zoology? Well, there are two

sides to the account, though the debit balance of humanity is largely in the ascendant. We are credited, and only too truly, with having caused over Tropical Africa a devastation in the mammalian fauna which it might have taken a whole geological epoch to have brought about.

Gordon Cumming, Cotton Oswell, William Webb, William Baldwin, and F. C. Selous led the way in that crusade against the big game of the South African peninsula which has gone far to rob that future confederation of one of its most attractive possessions, in the eyes of educated men and women. Oswell, Baldwin, and Selous were, at any rate, naturalists who greatly—Selous very greatly—enriched scientific zoology with specimens and information as to life and habits.

The rampant desire to kill, kill, kill, to have the joy of hearing the bullet go plunk into a mighty carcass, or some form of marvellous beauty and swiftness, still animates the minds of most South African pioneers who are carrying on the work of empire ever nearer to the Equator. Much of the big game of Somaliland near the coast

Leaders in the Realm of Natural History

has been killed out. Every-one who has been divorced or who wishes to divorce, who is threatened with a breach of promise action, or has made an ass of himself—in the phrase of his relations—hies to East Africa to wipe out an unpleasant little piece of past by big-game shooting.

There are, and have been, of course, important exceptions to this category—men who have shot wisely and well, and who have observed and annotated, and have thus enriched not only our museums with important specimens—skins, bones, and pickled corpses—but who have given us the life history of the animals they pursued. Natural history, a better term in this last respect than biology, owes much to the writings of Livingstone, Sir Samuel Baker, W. C. Oswell, Baldwin, Selous, J. G. Millais, R. Crawshaw, Alfred Sharpe, Alfred Neumann, E. N. Buxton in Africa, Sir Emerson Tennant in Ceylon, Sir Samuel Baker, Dr. W. T. Blanford, B. H. Hodgson, and R. Lydekker in India and Central Asia. One of the leaders in this modern movement of the camera versus rifle, himself distinguished as a shot and pursuer of shy beasts over difficult ground, is Edward North Buxton, who has illustrated the rare wild beasts of Corsica, Sardinia, Central Africa, and the

Sinai Peninsula, besides those of Eastern Africa. J. G. Millais has perhaps done the most striking work of all, in founding a school in the artistic and faithful portrayal of the wild life of beasts and birds in Britain, South Africa, and Newfoundland.

As regards great naturalists—biologists if you will—men to whom the study of all living things was one, indifferent as to whether they exercised their wits on geology, botany, zoology, anthropology—what a crown of glory will rest over the British Empire as long as British records remain! Darwin at the apex, Huxley, Sir Charles Lyell, Sir Joseph Hooker, Alfred Russel Wallace, Sir John Murray of the *Challenger*—a Canadian. Sir Richard Owen, Sir William Flower, Henry Walter Bates, Sir E. Ray Lankester, Alfred Garrod, W. A. Forbes, P. L. Sclater, E. B. Tylor, Alfred Newton, F. M. Balfour, and Wyville Thomson. Our men first revealed the curious water fauna of Lake Tanganyika—J. E. Moore and Dr. W. Cunningham—and then that of the Victoria Nyanza, not less remarkable because of its coincidence. They—Falconer, Lydekker, Bain, Dr. Anderson, Dr. Lyons, Capt. Gregory, and others—discovered, elucidated, and illustrated the wonderful extinct mammalian fauna of North-west India, the strange beast-reptiles of South Africa, the early elephants, Sirenia, hyraces of Eocene Egypt, the extraordinary giant marsupials and birds of Pleiocene Australia. These achievements not only led to the purest of all joys, the increase of abstract knowledge, but have aided us in our fight against the real reactionary Nature.

For, in the most part the deadliest foes of man are the minutest organisms at the bottom of the tree of life, simple developments of living matter scarcely to be classified as animal or vegetable. In the fight against the bacillus, spirillum, amœba, coccidium, treponema and trypanosome, the British Empire has taken a leading place—a dominant place almost, not forgetting the splendid co-operation of France, Germany, Italy, and America. Sir Patrick Manson, Ronald Ross, and others, discovered the whole process by which amœboid spores are introduced into the human system by such agencies as the mosquito, tick, and flea, thereby producing malarial fever and other dread diseases. Sir David Bruce elucidated the mystery of the

tsetse disease and, in concert with Drs. Nabarro and Castellani, solved the problem of sleeping sickness. An Indian army medical officer, Colonel Lambkin, has discovered a means of inoculating for syphilis—syphilis, like sleeping sickness, is produced by a flagellate protozoon, in this case a treponema—which may eventu-

The Toll of Sleeping Sickness

ally stamp out that horrible malady. Our eagerness to open up Equatorial Africa brought the sleeping sickness into Uganda, and has cost that protectorate in all nearly 100,000 lives. This is a terrible item at first sight, but one we can balance at once by discounting the (at least) 100,000 lives probably lost in Uganda and Unyoro during the reigns of the kings Mtesa, Kabarega, and Mwanga, by the internecine wars, poison ordeals, slave-raids, famines, and other causes of depopulation which have been abolished by the introduction of law and order under the British ægis.

It is a mistake to suppose also that the indigenous population of Africa was exempt from these awful visitations of disease before we mixed them all up; before we opened routes this way and that way across the continent, which conveyed disease through insect agencies from one lot of people to another, hitherto separated by mutual distrust or by pathless forests. On the contrary, before the white man arrived on the scene, the population of Africa was, I surmise from native legends and traditions, constantly being wiped out by epidemics, first of one disease, then of another; by famines due to unexpected droughts, locusts or other insect plagues, or by attacks on food crops by herds of elephants, and the destruction of live-stock by lions and leopards.

These are all evils which have been or are being abated by British energies. I confidently expect that we shall soon have mastered the mysteries of sleeping sickness, blackwater fever, cholera, and many other diseases, and be able to prevent them or to cure them with certainty.

Sanitation the Enemy of Disease

In India it has been realised for the last ten years that sanitation, a cleanliness which would suppress the flea, other precautions which would exterminate the mosquito, might reduce the mortality from plague, cholera, and other dreadful maladies of the tropics to small dimensions, ever dwindling to cessation; and this has been

one of the hardest, most disinterested, most thankless tasks which the British Empire has taken on its shoulders. Unhappily, though the education of India has advanced by leaps and bounds, the masses of ignorant Moslems and fanatical Hindus do not appreciate the value of science and of a scientific conduct in our lives, any more than do the peasants of Ireland, of some parts of England still, of Spain, Italy, or Russia. India has once or twice been brought nearer to general revolt by honest and sincere attempts to get rid of plague and cholera than she has by the imposition of salt taxes or the insufferable snobbishness of "mem-sahibs" or eyeglassed officers.

Our efforts to improve the breeds of horses, cattle, sheep, pigs, goats, dogs, and many domestic birds are world-famous. We have domesticated the ostrich, introduced the Angora goat into South Africa, the Merino sheep into Australia, New Zealand, and South Africa; the camel into Australia; the horse into South and South Central Africa, Australia, and New Zealand; deer into New Zealand and Mauritius. The mountain streams of New Zealand, British Central and East Africa have been abundantly stocked with trout. We have systematised the preservation of the Indian elephant, his capture and training for industrial purposes.

When we first took Cyprus in hand, the forests and the native agriculture were disappearing under the combined attacks of domestic goats and swarms of locusts. The goats were soon kept outside the protected area, but the fight against the locusts was a struggle that lasted for many years. This hateful insect pest is now practically extinct in Cyprus, to the very great gain of the island's prosperity. We are now bracing ourselves for an attack on

Wealth in Natural Products the mosquitoes, rats, sparrows, flies, fleas, and other small but significant pests of the empire. The mineral discoveries of the British have already been alluded to in the chapters dealing with their economic aspects. Our exploitation of the gold of India, British Columbia, Australia, New Zealand, West Africa, South Africa, Egypt, British Guiana, and the Far North-west of Canada has added appreciably not

only to the wealth of the world in general, but to that of the indigenous peoples of the gold areas. The same may be said about the tin of the Malay Peninsula, the coal of India, Natal, Borneo, Australia, and British Central Africa. We have discovered and worked petroleum and bitumen in Burma, Nigeria, and Barbados.

Copper has enabled us by its intrinsic value to gain for the general use of man the ghastly deserts of South-west Africa and Australia. Diamonds have brought water, trees, flowers, livestock, human settlers, and the amenities of a highly civilised life to bare, stony, lifeless plateaus of inner South Africa. Their attraction is enabling us to combat the choking vegetation of British Guiana.

It is impossible in the space at my command to enumerate the names and the individual services of those British subjects whom the special conditions of the empire have impelled to wonderful discoveries in all the unenumerated branches of pure science—philology; comparative study of religious beliefs, mythology, and folk lore; comparative anthropology, and all branches of human anatomy and medical jurisprudence; in medicine and surgery, in law and the framing of legal codes; in military and naval strategy; industrial appliances; electricity; ship construction; the invention and improvement of locomotives, steam-engines, bicycles, automobiles, and turbines; in chemistry and metallurgy; in sanitary engineering; in architecture, photography, painting, etching, engraving, book illustrating, printing, cabinet-making, tailoring, dressmaking, and upholstery (the carpets of the British Empire deserve a special mention); in the drama and literature, prose and poetry.

Innumerable works of reference would show either the active participation or the predominance of British citizens in all the spheres of great intellectual and practical achievements. It is to this record we appeal in maintaining that—with all its imperfections, shortcomings, blunders, or episodes of wrongdoing, violence, or injustice fully discounted—the British Empire has been a greater blessing to the world at large and to all the countries within its scope than any congeries of states under one head that has preceded it in history.



CIVILISATION AND CHRISTIANITY EMPIRE'S DEBT TO MISSIONARY ENTERPRISE

IT has been the custom until quite recently to sneer at missionaries, propagandists of the Christian religion, in all circles except those of the professedly devout. The late Lord Salisbury, in veiled terms, once or twice described them as a nuisance. They have often been regarded as such by statesmen who conducted our foreign or colonial affairs. I am not going to deny that there has been misdirected zeal in the past, and that in some cases the wrong kind of missionary did a great deal of harm and put Great Britain to much anxiety and expense.

Elsewhere I have animadverted on the somewhat crack-brained, uneducated missionaries who wandered into Abyssinia to convert the Abyssinians to a different kind of Christianity to that which they already professed, and who involved Great Britain and the British taxpayer in a war which cost quite a thousand lives and several millions sterling. This is the only case I can call to mind where missionary enterprise was excessively ill-directed, and where it gave just ground for the animadversions of the 1860 type of statesman, who would not dream of omitting attendance at church on a Sunday morning, yet was perfectly indifferent to the spiritual or moral welfare of the myriads of black or brown people with whose affairs Great Britain was beginning to interfere politically.

When our descendants are able to look back on things from the large end of the telescope, and the history of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries is concentrated into a single readable volume, I think a very large part of that volume will be taken up with the results of mission work, possibly a larger space than is accorded to the successful campaigns of great conquerors by sea or land. The point of view from which I write is a peculiar one, which will probably please no one set of thinkers. I know it is no longer fashionable to

denounce Mohammedanism or idol-worship, just as any lively interest in a new metrical arrangement of the Psalms is almost impossible to find, even in the unexplored parts of New England. My own lawless views, if I may obtrude them without impertinence, would be rendered

The Supreme Power of Christianity thus: That nearly all religions have been a great burden, an incessant clog on the upward progress of humanity, and the only teaching which seems to the present writer to be in consonance with progress is the teaching of Christ and the words of such of His apostles as caught His spirit. Christ's teaching, like two or three other great utterances of humanity, seems the goal of which we are never quite abreast; it is always a little ahead of the ideals of true Socialism; it is a religion which is an expression of the truest Liberalism.

Many versions of Christianity have developed into fetish worship and fatuous formalities, mystic rites bordering on sorcery, Judaism run mad; the letter has killed the spirit; the Incarnate Love has been lost in fanatical hate. Still, this religion, even in its most violent or foolish phases, has never quite left the skirts of commonsense, the middle path of sanity along which man advances, with occasional checks and deviations, towards the goal of the Millennium.

What has Mohammedanism done for the world? What has been accomplished of permanent good by Buddhism, and by the wild, raving, nightmare nonsense of Hinduism? It is true that the

Religions of the East Arabs less than a century after the death of Mohammed absorbed Persian and Byzantine culture, and spread this through Syria, Egypt, North Africa, and Spain. It is also true that, to a limited extent, they kept the lamp of civilisation burning, some of the old Greek culture living with them, while Roman civilisation in Northern and Western Europe was overwhelmed by the

Goth, Hun, Frank, and Lombard. To a great extent the civilisation of the Arabs in pre-Turkish days was the distorted civilisation of Rome. Rome and Byzantium, the direct inheritors of Hellas, had implanted their civilisation too strongly along the shores of the Mediterranean for it to be annihilated by that mixed herd of Saracens, which after all only included a proportion of Arabs of the desert in its ranks, and was recruited largely from the Mediterranean world.

But there was something in the Mohammedan religion which prevented intellectual advance. Like the other great religions of Asia, it was a case of arrested development. The results are plain to the minds of all but fantastic perverts. Why is the Christian—real or nominal—top dog to-day? Because he is healthier, stronger, far wiser, much superior in mental capacity to the millions of Asia and Africa. What have the Turks invented? They have conquered mainly by Christian weapons, by the arts invented and perfected under the comparative freedom of Christianity.

The Japanese have emerged from the vassal-dom of Asia because they have copied the arts and sciences of Christendom, because they are unhampered by any binding religion which makes it impossible for them to live after the manner of Christians. It was the more primordial and pure type of Christianity that, consciously or unconsciously, the great Protestant and Catholic missions of the British Empire

have sought to implant in the backward and foolish places of the world during the religious revival of the nineteenth century. The Christian propaganda of the Crusades was, of course, no better in any one whit than the holy wars of the Moslems.

If anything, the Christians of the eleventh to the thirteenth centuries conducted themselves worse in Syria and the Holy Land than did the Mohammedans, when it was their turn to be uppermost. They practised a form of religion which in many aspects was a degrading fetish worship and an instigation to deeds of violence and oppression essentially un-Christian. The Crusaders' type of

**The Quakers
as Pioneers
of Missions**

Christianity lasted down to the sixteenth century and the Spanish discovery and conquest of Tropical America.

It was the Quakers that really started on the missionary path the churches outside the pale of Rome. They seem, first of all, to have conceived—apart from the Jesuits, Capuchins, and Franciscans of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries—the idea of peoples of a different race and a dark-coloured skin enjoying equal rights of humanity with the conquering Caucasian.

The Society of Friends—"Quakers" is a silly nickname which might surely be allowed to die—in fact, had not long been in existence as a definite sect of thinkers before they had begun a crusade against the slave trade, which was never to die out or even perceptibly to slacken



THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CATHEDRAL AT LAGOS IN WEST AFRICA N. W. Holm



THE HANDSOME MISSION CHURCH AT BLANTYRE IN BRITISH CENTRAL AFRICA

until the trade in slaves was exterminated. The Anti-Slavery Society of Great Britain and Ireland, which exists to this day, was founded and has been mainly supported by Quakers. In the eighteenth century—the unsectarian missionary Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge was founded in 1698; the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts, in 1701—other Nonconformist bodies in the West Indies and the United States championed the cause of the negro. It was not until the time of Wesley that any section of the Church of England interested itself actively in humanitarian propaganda. The

Missionary Interest in the Negroes

interest that the Quakers, Baptists, and Wesleyans took, more especially in the fate of the West Indian and North American negro, drew them inevitably to the coasts of Africa, firstly to repatriate negroes who had attained freedom, and who found themselves outcasts in the body politic of white men's colonies or states; and secondly—with a much greater enthusiasm and success—to evangelise the indigenous savage negroes of West Africa.

India offered an immense field for missionary enterprise. The kings of Denmark, from 1705 to the early part of the nineteenth century, promoted actively Danish, German, and Nonconformist British missions to the east coast of Hindustan. For some fifty years after the British dominion had been founded by

Clive, anything like a Christian propaganda was sternly discouraged by the honourable East India Company from the fear that it would arouse Mohammedan and Hindu fanaticism; also because in England itself interest in religion had very much slackened, and official Christianity was not considered an *article d'exportation*.

The Church of England had no zeal for propaganda amongst the heathen as a body, though there were a few notable exceptions amongst its clergy who went abroad. Bishop Heber (1783-1826) was probably the first to arouse the sympathy of the members of the National Church in regard to the deplorable condition of the natives of India. The Church Missionary Society was founded in 1799. Its first field of operations was India. It was supported by the Low Church rather than the High, and in its early days it drew down a certain amount of ridicule on mission work by, possibly, an excess of sentimentalism.

In its desire to make up to the negro for the wrongs that he had suffered at the hands of the white man for the two centuries, during which the exponents of Anglican teaching were too much inclined to stand behind the slave-owner, the negro was placed on a pedestal by the Church Missionary Society, and credited with qualities of head and heart that he did not, unfortunately, always possess. The Baptist Missionary Society, founded in 1792, began a great educational work

in India at the close of the eighteenth century, and soon afterwards began to work among the West Indian negroes. It laid the foundations of a negro civilisation in Fernando Po during the middle of the nineteenth century, which even under the once unfriendly rule of Spain and many other difficulties grew slowly to its modern developments. The same thing

Livingstone the Great Missionary was done for the coast country of the Kameruns, and is being done now for the central basin of the Congo. The educational work of the same society in India and China is also being conducted on a gigantic scale.

The London Missionary Society came into existence in 1795, and represented the aspirations of the Congregationalists and Wesleyans. One of its first great pioneers was David Livingstone. It is difficult to exaggerate the benefits that the Bechuana tribes in South Central Africa and the peoples of the Nyassa-Tanganyika Plateau and of Madagascar have owed to the agents of the London Missionary Society.

The Universities' Mission was founded in 1860, after the appeal of Livingstone in 1856, and has since taken a large share in the evangelisation of East Africa and Nyassaland. The great missions of the Presbyterian churches have done much for education in India, China, British Central Africa, Nigeria, and South Africa. The evangelisation of the Pacific has been largely the work of the Church of England and of the Wesleyans. Most people nowadays have read of the success of the Church of England in Uganda.

There is an English Catholic Mission, directed from Mill Hill, at work in the eastern section of the Uganda Protectorate. Some mention should be made of the struggling North African Mission, which, I believe, has also sent exponents of Protestant Christianity to Persia and the Turkish dominions. It has been an up-hill task for the brave men and women of this

The Value of Medical Missions band to fight against Moham-

medan prejudice, superstition, and ignorance, especially in matters of hygiene. This mission, so far as it has succeeded, has done so by following the only means of access to the citadel of the Mohammedan heart—a thorough-going knowledge of Arabic, of the history of Islam and the features of its faith, and of medical science. Medical missions indeed, during the last quarter of a century, have developed to a

remarkable degree in India, China, and Africa. Along these lines of approach it is not easy to overestimate the sheer good that has been effected by Christian missions. This leads me to my plainest speaking and the core of my argument.

The whole of the Christian world itself is far from being in agreement on even fundamental dogmas of its religion, and so long as each sect, branch, or church adhered rigidly to the exposition of its own version of Christian dogma and of that alone, so long much of its work with intelligent non-Christian races was fruitless and even baneful, since it revived the dislike and distrust of the Christian as an official or ruler. But when, as has been the case almost universally for the last thirty years, each mission in its turn thought more of the teaching of Christ as a means of beginning, and endeavoured to deal fraternally rather than paternally with the people it had come to teach, Christian propaganda began to achieve success by leaps and bounds. When some historian of the world sums up its results a hundred years or so hence, he

A Testimony to Missionary Achievement will—I say with confidence—be able to show that the great Christian missions emanating from Europe and America have conferred on the backward countries of the world, to say nothing of the savage regions, a veritable renaissance, an education, an elevation which has been conveyed in a better and more salutary manner than it could have been by soldiers or officials, whose teaching was imposed by force and not persuasion.

I am well aware that that is not the verdict of to-day in all respects. Missionary efforts, in China especially, have not only been extremely obnoxious to the indigenous governing class and to uninformed public opinion in that region of 400,000,000 conservative, industrious people, but the troubles which have ensued have entailed armed intervention on the part of European nations. For these wars the missionaries have been held to blame. Several European and American statesmen have told them that they were not wanted in China, and had much better go away.

Yet, a hundred years hence, even if the missionaries were to depart from China to-morrow, it will be realised that they have done much to lay the foundations of a new China, to harmonise the ideas of China with those of Europe and America.

CIVILISATION AND CHRISTIANITY

They have broken down more completely than any other force the isolation of China from the world's movements; and surely it is not well for the progress of the human race that 433,000,000 out of a total of 1,200,000,000 should be entirely out of touch with the rest?

What has been the result to China of her isolation and her degenerate pursuit of false knowledge? That at the present day, though she numbers 433,000,000 of people under the nominal sway of the Chinese Emperor, she is more or less under the thralldom of Japan (50,000,000), with an alternative of being under the thumb of Russia (150,000,000). Take one instance alone of the false culture that missionary teaching has attempted to remove—the cramped foot of the Chinese woman. There may be some variation in a code of morals or accepted canons of beauty.

The ultimate test of the value of both probably is the prosperity and happiness of the people that adopt them. Put to this test, it must surely be admitted that the taste, morality, and good sense of the white races of Europe and America are superior to those of the backward peoples. The alternative is to admit oneself ignorant or of unbalanced mind. We must

What Chinese Women Owe to Missions cling to some standard in these things, and all the evidence which can be submitted to reasonable, sane men points to the fact that the European standard has generally been the best. Well, according to the European standard the cramped foot of the Chinese woman is as silly as the precautions against defilement on the part of the Brahmans, the law which forbids the eating of beef to the Hindus, the Levitical prohibitions of the pig, the hare, and the oyster, the Moslem disapproval of pictures and statues, or the fetishistic practices of negro Africa. When Chinese women all over China are able to walk about with the ease and comfort intended by Nature, they should put up some commemorative tablet to the memory of the Christian missionaries whose advice and influence abolished this and other preposterous mistakes in the perverted culture of the Chinese.

I have ventured in other places to call the missionaries the tribunes of the people. Mission influence created Exeter Hall, and all which that now vanished place of meeting portended in the attitude of the British Empire towards indigenous

and inferior races. This policy, one may hope, will still be maintained by the Aborigines Protection Society. Again and again the responsible rulers of the British Empire have been prevented by its influence from committing acts of injustice, or allowing colonists or colonial officials to do so, against the previous occupants of the soil. Many of these had never been conquered, but had accepted the advent of the British Empire peacefully, and even with acclamation, as a force which would maintain law and justice.

Rights of Native Races Unfortunately, the first instinct of the impetuous colonist or pioneer has been to deprive these prior inhabitants of their just rights. There has been, no doubt, exaggeration on both sides. It would have been manifestly unfair to attribute to inactive, ignorant savages the whole of the vested rights over vast areas which have only been turned to profitable use by the expenditure of British capital and British lives. In some few instances the European missionaries may have been unjust towards the European pioneer or trader, and have denied him the reward to which he was entitled for his supreme efforts in the cause of civilisation. On the other hand, these lay colonists would have reduced the indigenes to miserable, landless serfs, have denied them a common humanity with us—though that this tie existed was soon shown by the hybrids which sprang up—but for the outcries of the missionary and the philanthropist.

The final test of the right to survive can only be physical and mental fitness; but it is advisable that there should be a brake on the reckless advance of the Caucasian, and this drag is provided by both the teaching and the true practice of the principles of Christianity. There should be a real Christian science, not the blasphemous, nauseous fraud which passes under that name in America,

A Plea for More Missionaries which should apply the principles of Christianity to the wild flora and fauna of the world.

Every human race and every type of animal or plant should be given a chance to show if it cannot find some niche in the mosaic of the wide world. There should be missionaries of biology as well as missionaries of Christianity, and both alike should plead the cause of the overwhelmed, the backward, the imperfect that may yet be made perfect.



THE FUTURE OF THE EMPIRE PROBLEMS OF GREATER BRITAIN THAT DEMAND ATTENTION AND SOLUTION

A GROWING difficulty, the principal unsolved problem of the immediate future, is the regulation of the interrelations between the different states, colonies, protectorates, and other divisions of the empire in regard to mutual defence, or a common action of offence, the conduct of Imperial diplomacy, and, above all, inter- and extra-Imperial commerce. When through such workers on the imagination as Lord Beaconsfield and Sir Charles Dilke (in his "Greater Britain") an idea of the majesty, the marvellous scope of the British Empire began to permeate the minds of educated people, the question of Imperial Federation became, and has remained, an important political idea.

The desire was born in England, and has remained until recently an English aspiration, not as yet warmly espoused in Scotland, and only shared by that small portion of Ireland that is English in sympathies. South Africa in the 'seventies of the last century was so strongly Dutch in feeling, and so inherently hostile to England, that the late Lord Carnarvon was unable to bring into existence even a confederation of the South African states, though he had solved that difficulty between French and English in Canada.

A certain Irish element that prospered in South-eastern Australia, and by its talent and influence directed a good deal of the local Press opinion, threw cold water on the Imperial Federation idea so far as it concerned Australia.

Proposed Grounds of Union India at that time possessed no vehicle for the expression of Indian opinion. It merely spoke through the mouths of Anglo-Indian officials. Nevertheless, the idea made progress up to a certain point. It was discussed on two lines: A commercial union and the universal participation of all parts of the empire in the common support

of the armed forces by land and sea. The desire to promote Imperial unity of purpose induced several statesmen, such as Lord Randolph Churchill, Jan Hofmeyr, and Joseph Chamberlain, in 1885, 1892, and 1903, and also important organs of the Press to modify their views on Free Trade, and to advocate the restoration of differential duties, in favour of the colonies and India, at the ports of Great Britain and Ireland—in short, Protection.

So long as there was any chance of the great raw-material-producing portions of the empire like India, Australia, and New Zealand and Canada caring nothing about the fostering of local industries, but agreeing to devote all their energies to the production of raw materials which might be manufactured by the looms, forges, and factories of Great Britain and the North of Ireland, there was much to be said in favour

The Colonies and Self-Protection of a commercial union of the whole empire which would discriminate in all its customs Houses against the goods arriving from countries not belonging to the Imperial pact. Great Britain would then have become a privileged market for the sale of colonial produce (raw material), and the colonies would have absorbed the bulk of the British manufactured goods. There would have been small local sacrifices, but such a bond as this would have knit the empire together, and the wealth and power derived from this close commercial association would have made it irresistible by land and sea—the mistress of the world.

Unhappily, as some think, India, Australia, New Zealand, and Canada did not share these views. They wished not only to produce enormous quantities of raw material, but to be equally endowed with highly organised industries to manufacture that raw material. They wished to protect these nascent industries by a relatively high tariff wall which would make it very

THE FUTURE OF THE EMPIRE

nearly impossible for the Mother Country to compete against local manufactures. It is true that a somewhat illusory preference was to be granted to British goods in comparison to those coming from other countries, but this preference was not enough to make Australia, New Zealand, or Canada a better market for the manufactures of Britain than any other civilised country of the world. In India, as the government of King Edward has the supreme controlling power, while there has been fair play to local Indian industries and administrative independence, Free Trade has been maintained throughout all Southern Asia under British influence, and British manufactures are still able to find a profitable market under the British flag. There has also been less attempt on the part of the self-governing colonies in South Africa to shut out British manufactured goods than has been the case with Australia, Canada, and New Zealand.

This being the general position, therefore, the policy of Protection has fallen to the ground—inevitably—since our trade with the non-British world is at present as three to one in comparison with our trade with the rest of the British Empire. If we broke our commercial treaties in order to discriminate in our home ports in favour of our daughter nations, colonies, or protectorates, we should probably be ruined as an industrial nation, for the self-governing portions of the empire offer us practically nothing in exchange.

Unfortunately, to those who still take an interest in Imperial federation, the great daughter nations are setting their faces towards the ideal of fiscal independence and isolation. It may be, from the point of view of all humanity, that this is the best plan to cherish. If persisted in, it will mean that every separate section of the empire which is independent of monetary subsidies or help from the British Parliament will frame its own tariff and initiate its own commercial relations, with the point of view solely of local advantages, and without any regard to the commercial welfare of the empire as a whole.

If Jamaica can make better terms for her sugar, fruit, or other products by joining the Customs Union of the United States, to the disadvantage of British imports, she will do so. Perhaps, from the Jamaican standpoint, she will be right. New Zealand or Australia may also enter into

special arrangements with the United States, to the disadvantage of Britain, but to the gain of local manufactures or products.

India may enter into closer arrangements with the empire of China or with Japan—in matters of commerce—than with the two islands in the North Sea. South Africa may conclude a commercial alliance with Canada or with Australia, to the great advantage of all these regions, but very much to the detriment of purely British commerce. The very unfair part of the entirely self-seeking views now in vogue with colonial statesmen is that to the British taxpayer—almost alone—is left the onerous charge of supporting a navy which mainly exists to defend the overseas possessions of Great Britain, and an army which must be ready to strike at foes of the empire in any or all of the continents when called upon to do so.

If the self-governing sections of the empire contributed proportionately to their population and their commerce to the Imperial cost of the Imperial army and navy, then there would be less hardship to us, their creditors and creators, in their utter disregard of our commercial requirements. But to continue to leave us almost the entire expense and responsibility of defending the empire, and maintaining law and order within its limits, is a policy which must in the long run split up the British Empire. There is a limit to our resources in money, as well as in men.

Colonial statesmen argue that there shall be no taxation without representation; that they have no unbounded faith in the wisdom, economy, or talent of the Board of Admiralty, the War Office, or the Ministries for Foreign Affairs or for the Colonies; they are not disposed to furnish funds from out of their own internal revenues to be spent at the discretion of the government sitting in London. If they are to contribute, they must be proportionately represented at

Case for an Imperial Council some Imperial council stationed in London, and be able to influence the general policy of the

empire in all matters that might lead to interstate trouble or external wars. The opposition to any such Imperial policy and to the intervention of delegates from the daughter nations or dependent kingdoms or empires in bureaucratic affairs comes entirely from Britain itself,

chiefly from that great and important body of permanent civil servants, trained by generations to exceeding discretion, reserve, and prudence. Statesmen from the great colonies are often widely different in nature from the men that serve King Edward in the Home Country. They are negligent of official secrets, daring in public speeches, and reckless of consequences, for the very good and sufficient reason that, situated where they are, they are so absolutely safe. They can say and do the most imprudent things to foreign Powers, and leave Great Britain to bear the brunt of their reckless actions.

**Indiscretions
of Colonial
Statesmen**

The statesmen of Canada know that a punitive expedition or a great invasion of Canada by another Power from across the seas is an almost impossible feat, though it may be much easier for Germany or France to bombard London. Australia and New Zealand also know that they are immune from serious attack on the part of the United States, Japan, Russia, Germany or France. On the other hand, the two home islands are exceedingly vulnerable, more so, perhaps, than the mass of their population or some short-sighted Ministers believe.

Whatever course may be taken by events, there is no real danger to the independence of Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, and Canada. If Great Britain were driven out of India as a governing power she would not be replaced by any other European nation. It is possible that in course of time strong commercial relations may grow up between South Africa and Australia. Both countries may maintain fleets, with New Zealand, perhaps, as a third, which would be sufficient to prevent the hostile action of Asiatic or European Powers in the southern seas. The only danger to Canadian independence is from the United States, which, however, is hardly likely to

**Danger to
Canadian
Independence**

waste blood and money in an unprofitable war for the annexation of Canada. If the Imperial Federation idea is not revived and carried through to ultimate success with an Imperial council that will be a real working element, and with some sacrifices on the part of the component daughter nations, the next stage or phase of the British Empire to be reviewed by historians may be its restriction to the control of India and Southern Asia,

Egypt, and all existing British Africa down to the River Zambesi, the Mediterranean Islands, Gibraltar, the Falkland Archipelago, the West Indies, Gu'ana and British Honduras, together with the commercial outposts in China and the Pacific.

And here, again, we must not look for finality. In all these regions we are simply playing the part of educators. Our descendants will have to face the idea of a universally educated, self-governing India, wherein the British Empire may be only a subject of grateful remembrance, local nomenclature, and innumerable votive statues. Perhaps the English language, if all European tongues have not been set aside for a universal Esperanto, may remain as the commercial medium in India. We shall have left on that vast region of Southern Asia, the original matrix of Man, an impress more lasting and more creditable than the effect of the Roman Empire on our own land and kindred European countries.

The only way to counteract such a fate—and, as it may not come about for a hundred years, it need not unduly agitate

**The Better
Government
of India**

the readers of this History—would be the suspension of race or religious prejudices, the inculcation of courtesy, sympathy, and unswerving justice in all the civil and military officials sent from Great Britain to serve in India, and the patient education of the peoples of India to see the world a little more through our eyes, to take advantage of our own painfully acquired knowledge.

On our part, we must associate the educated classes of India more and more with the administration of our Indian Empire; we must give them a share in the councils which regulate the finance and taxation of their native land. India at the present day is not ripe for complete self-rule; the withdrawal of the British Civil Service and soldiery would merely lead to devastating warfare between the Mohammedans on the one side and the Sikhs and Hindus on the other, either or both of these sections enslaving and oppressing the unwarlike races of Southern India or Burma.

Much the same may be said about the future of Egypt and of British Tropical Africa; we are only in Egypt as educators. But this is a land which by climate, even as far as some parts of the Sudan, is as favourable to the settlement of the races

THE FUTURE OF THE EMPIRE

of Southern Europe as it is to the indigenous people, who are compounded of an ancient mingling of European, Asiatic and negro elements. There may be a steady set of Greek, Maltese and Italian settlers towards the lands irrigated by the Nile and its tributaries. A new European nation may be compacted; it will contain very little that is North European and British in its physical elements, and it will some day ask to stand alone.

In Uganda, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, with the kindred Liberia alongside, working on similar lines, we are building up educated negro nationalities. Little by little they will get a larger and larger share in their own self-government, until at last, like India and Egypt, they may thank us warmly for all we have done for them, and request to be allowed to manage their own internal and external affairs in future.

Such, likewise, may be the fate of a new Cyprus, and of a Malta, which was never conquered, but placed herself unreservedly and trustingly in British hands, and therefore deserves all sympathy within the limits of reason in the protection of her well-marked nationality and many claims to self administration. A day may dawn when British men and women may no longer be sent from these shores to govern, control and educate races that are no longer backward in the march towards a universal civilisation. It is to be hoped, however, that if we have played our part fairly, these races and peoples that we have raised up from a condition either of savagery or of hopeless confusion may unite with us on some basis of strict and honourable alliance, together with our white daughter nations; an alliance which shall only be framed and directed for the maintenance of the world's peace and the study of the world's happiness.

Until the question of the internal administration of Ireland, Scotland, England and Wales has achieved a proper and fairly complete settlement it can hardly be said that we are fully prepared for the responsibilities of empire outside these islands. To some extent, almost enough for practical purposes, Scotland has attained Home Rule, and Wales is well on the way towards it. The arrangements for quick legislation in and for England as regards purely English requirements are still very imperfect. But the question of Ireland is an urgent one. In this case we

have an island blest with a temperate and a healthy climate, set in seas remarkable for their wealth of fish, a country of 32,605 square miles, which, if handled scientifically in the way of agriculture, forestry and horticulture, ought to support a prosperous, robust, and intellectual population of 20,000,000. As it is, its

Desperate State of the Irish people (4,458,000) are less in number than the inhabitants of London. Such as they are, they are a notable race. Though they differ much in physical type, all their types can be paralleled in the adjacent island of Great Britain. Religion is mainly to blame for the desperate case of the Irish, and the intolerance on the part of all the principal religious bodies in Ireland still stands to some extent in the way of a fusion of interests.

Home Rule would have been restored long ago but for the extremists of the Nationalist party—that is to say, the party of Irishmen mostly, but not entirely, Roman Catholics, who have openly clamoured not only for the right to administer their own internal affairs—which, with some reservations, is clearly due to them—but for the power to sever their political connection with Great Britain. This demand is so wholly unreasonable from the racial, the religious, commercial and political points of view that it is little wonder it has been resisted so far by the majority of the electorate in Great Britain.

The Ulster minority in Ireland represents an enormous amount of profitable industry; it stands for the prosperous and well populated portion of the island. Racially speaking, it is less Iberian and autochthonous than the rest of Ireland. Historically, its colonisation from the adjacent coasts of Wales, England and Scotland was much more recent than other settlements from these directions. This minority declines to place itself under the rule of the National party, since it fears injustice in fiscal and religious matters. Extended measures of local government would probably clear away this danger. The administration of their own internal affairs must be eventually accorded to the Irish people, coupled with the same participation in the affairs, responsibilities and charges of the United Kingdom as a whole, and of such of the British Empire as is equally administered by Scotland, Wales, and England.

Ireland's Need of Home Government

Beyond the seas, the idea of Home Rule is no new one. The states of British origin that now compose the United States of America all had their local assemblies and considerable powers of self-administration; but a foolish king and an ignorant Minister fought the battle of taxation without representation in the eighteenth century, and lost it. This im-

Home Rule Beyond the Seas planted an idea in the minds of British subjects beyond the seas that has never been allowed to die. The representative institutions of the component parts of the empire outside the British Islands have been described elsewhere. It only remains to glance at their past history and at the problems they may raise in the immediate future.

Assemblies of an elective and fully representative character were early brought into existence in the West Indies at various dates from 250 years ago. It is possible that in these instances the idea of Home Rule was premature and carried to extremes. Area, population, and the future race-elements of the population were not taken into consideration in granting these rights: and at various times during the nineteenth century the representative institutions—except in the Bahamas and Barbados—were abrogated or seriously limited.

A constitution and elective lower houses of parliament were conceded to the two organised provinces of Canada in 1792; and responsible government for Upper and Lower Canada, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, and Prince Edward Island was introduced in 1841, after what might almost be called a series of rebellions between 1837 and 1839. But for this wise concession, the vast provinces of Canada would long ago have been part of the United States, to the detriment of British commerce and British influence on the fate of the North American Continent. A constitution was given to Newfoundland in 1832, and full Home Rule in 1855. Home Rule was accorded also in a reasonable degree to the colony of British Guiana in Northern South America in continuation of the Dutch Constitution already in force in 1803. This was modified or extended in 1812, 1826, 1831, and 1891.

The provinces or colonies that now compose Australia received constitutions, and finally Home Rule, as soon as they were able to show indications of the

power to maintain orderly government. These rights were granted to New South Wales in 1824, 1842, and 1855; to Victoria in 1851 and 1855; South Australia (Northern Territories added in 1861-1863) in 1856; and Tasmania in the same year; Queensland in 1859; and West Australia in 1850 and 1890. The enfranchisement of the six colonies culminated in the recognition by Great Britain of the Australian Commonwealth as a whole in the year 1900. New Zealand received Home Rule in 1882, and the status of a dominion in 1907.

South Africa has presented greater difficulties in the framing of responsible government because of the two rival types of European colonists—British and Britannicised Germans speaking English; and Boers, with the descendants of Huguenot Frenchmen, speaking Dutch. Further, there were the millions of indigenous negroes to be taken into consideration. Cape Colony, which was by far the "whitest" of the South African states, was erected into the position of a self-governing colony in 1853 and a

Self-Governing States of South Africa responsible government in 1872. Natal did not receive full responsible powers of self-government till 1893. The

Orange Free State and the Transvaal were respectively accorded the position of independent nations in 1854, and 1852-1858.

When the Transvaal was annexed in 1877, it was the intention of the British Government to bestow on it a few years afterwards much the same powers of self-government as were already under consideration for Natal. This solution of the difficulty, which would have probably saved us the South African War, was prevented by the Boer uprising in 1881. Before the Orange River Colony and the Transvaal could be brought into line with the rest of our colonies in South Africa they had to be conquered and annexed. They were then as speedily as possible (Transvaal in 1906, Orange River Colony in 1907) re-erected into responsible self-governing states, in the same quasi-independent position as Cape Colony and Natal.

There still remain subject to a great extent to the direct administration of Downing Street, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, and the vast Rhodesian territories to the north and south of the Zambesi. Bechuanaland and Basutoland will no doubt remain for a very long time to

THE FUTURE OF THE EMPIRE

come, black states, wards of the British Empire, with the guardianship either remaining in London or eventually entrusted to the White Confederation of South Africa—not, however, until such time as we can trust the colonists to give fair play to their black neighbours and fellow-citizens, and until they are entirely able to relieve the Mother Country of the cost and responsibility of intervention. The Rhodesian provinces south of the Zambesi will eventually become self-governing white man's lands of the same status as those other great states that will with them form the Confederation of South Africa. The provinces north of the Zambesi will, no doubt, be grouped under the general government of British Central Africa, and eventually be dealt with on much the same lines as the country of the Basuto and Bechuana.

They, at any rate, emphatically are black man's lands, and should certainly be regarded as a future home and privileged reserve for such negro peoples of South Africa as may choose to migrate thither, seeking a refuge from the incompatible white man. The statesmen and thinkers of the British Empire are now beginning to face the question of self-government in such territories under the administration of the empire as are not inhabited in the main by white men and Christians. The lands of the Mohammedan have certainly the best of the premature claims to self-government, because the Mohammedan religion is less unreasonable than that of the Hindu or the Buddhist. But at present the cry for Home Rule is louder and more menacing from the educated Hindus of East Central India than it is from lands where the Mohammedan influence predominates.

As regards the Straits Settlements (Malay Peninsula and Borneo) and much of the surface of India, the question is partially solved by the preservation and education of native rulers. Such, probably, will be the course followed in Egypt, in Southern Arabia, in the Persian Gulf, and in Zanzibar. We shall not grab at the land of these countries, nor seek to substitute a white man for a yellow or black as settler or colonist.

We shall work for free play and full protection for the white man's commerce and commercial agents, and also maintain as far as is reasonable the principle of

Free Trade. But we shall strive by our advice, our threats (if necessary), our cash influence to educate the native dynasties in the ever better government and administration of the lands subjected to them. If these native rulers consider it advisable by degrees to enlarge their

native councils into elective legislative assemblies, such a course will not be opposed by Great Britain, provided the native legislatures show themselves prudent and observant of treaty obligations. In Uganda the present writer was permitted to restore the indigenous legislature, and more clearly to define and strengthen the prerogatives of the native king. Other supreme chiefs were set up by himself or by his successors as administrators, and the peace and quiet which have followed have shown the wisdom—in this part of Africa, at any rate—of trusting to native dynasties to rule their own people. A similar course has been followed in the protectorate of Sierra Leone, and is, no doubt, being adopted in Nigeria.

Besides the questions of interstate commercial relations and Home Rule there are other problems and dangers to be faced and solved—not perhaps with a rush, but as occasion serves. One of these is the colonisation of vacant lands, and consequently the distribution of the world's racial types. Within the vast limits of the Canadian Dominion there are perhaps a million square miles of fertile land with a healthy climate still uninhabited by men.

Most notable perhaps are the coast-lands and islands of British Columbia, an earthly paradise for scenery, climate, and wealth of natural products. British Columbia, calculated on its endowment by Nature, should be a country with the population of France, and should be one of the envied nations of the world. At present it is inhabited by about 200,000 men and women, mainly of British origin—there are also 13,000 Chinese, and 4,000 Japanese—some of whom have

come direct from the Mother Country, others by way of the Eastern Canadian provinces, or from the United States. There is, in addition, an Indian population of about 20,000, living very much the life of gypsies. This Indian type will—I venture to predict—become fused into the general community without harm to it. Physically, it does not differ very

The Hindu Demand for Home Rule

Mixed Races in British Columbia

much more from the modern type of British colonist than do some of the cotter fishing folk of North-western Scotland and Western Ireland from the more modern race types of the British Islands.

Still, 200,000 British colonists and 29,000 Amerindians are not a sufficient population for the area and extraordinary

Japan's natural advantages of British Columbia and its dependencies. The Japanese divined this long ago. The limits of Japan

are all too small for its overflowing population. Korea may receive some of the overflow; China, on the other hand, may resist Japanese immigration, and is quite vigorous and numerous enough in her peoples to do so. Even if Japan should wrest the Philippine Islands from the United States—as she may yet try to do—this region does not offer great possibilities for the building up of a powerful people. It is small wonder, therefore, that Japan has hoped, little by little, by degrees, unobtrusively, to infiltrate the lands of British Columbia, Alaska, and the North-western part of the United States, and thus in time create a new Japan beyond the seas which might resist aggression by the eventually effete races of Europe.

Canada and British Columbia, and also the United States, are alive to this difficulty, and seemingly resolved to resist it. This movement has done something to weaken the Anglo-Japanese Alliance, and it may considerably embarrass the Asiatic policy of the British Government. Yet the problem of Canadian-British Columbian colonisation will not be solved by our keeping out the Japanese and Chinese.

The alternative seems simple: "Encourage white immigration." But the emigration of poor whites, labourers, competitors with the working men already in possession, is not encouraged; rather the reverse. One can understand the

Problem of objection of Canadian citizens to having their Motherland made the dumping ground for white refuse. This they have every right to reject. But if they are not to admit for menial work, or for the less attractive walks of life, the Oriental races—also an exclusion with which we can sympathise—then something must be done to attract large numbers of white settlers who will come ready to work, though with no more capital than their head and limbs.

The objection to this policy—of throwing open the Canadian Dominion to all white immigrants on the easiest terms subject to the indispensable conditions of healthiness and morality—arises from the labour leaders and trade unions of Canada. "We will not have labour cheapened" is the substance of their outcry. Their argument would probably be that they do not want to repeat in Canada the miseries of the Old World. "All labour shall be highly paid in future," almost equally paid, whether it be hair-cutting, wood-sawing, teaching mathematics, painting pictures, composing operas, writing books, reaping corn, preaching sermons, pleading or defending at the Bar.

Perhaps they are right. But meantime agricultural, mining, domestic work is almost at a standstill in the Far West while these laudable attempts are being made to solve the social problem, to create a white Canada in which there shall be no distinctions between skilled and unskilled labour—for that is what the argument resolves itself into in the long run. Already young native Canadians are

Canada's migrating to Mexico, and the young married womanhood of the western parts of the dominion is wearing itself into old age and ugliness in the endeavour to be cook, washerwoman, housemaid, governess, nurse and wife in one. These are the complaints voiced by many private letters, by signed and unsigned contributions in the colonial Press. The population of Canada has not increased proportionately by anything like the same ratio as that of the United States, though there is an almost equal area of territory suited to the habitation of the white man.

Japan may also turn her attention to the colonisation of Australia, but the lands left open to her here do not offer one tithe of the advantages and attractions of British Columbia or of North-west America generally. They are arid and extremely hot, and in some parts very unhealthy. Possibly Japan may hope for a tropical future. It is a people of extremely mixed elements, as likely to develop into a tropical race as into a people of the temperate zones. In that case, Japan may accept in return for a promise to leave America severely alone the overlordship of the Philippine Islands, and little by little become the mistress of the Dutch, German, and perhaps a part of the British

THE FUTURE OF THE EMPIRE

Empire in that region of Malaya between Australia and New Guinea on the south-east and Cochin China on the north-west. Meantime, if any movement should be directed by the Imperial statesmen of Great Britain, it should be the direction of British emigration towards British Columbia—one of the world's paradises.

There is a future before Trans-Zambesian Africa, from a white man's point of view, that is scarcely realised. Before many years have passed, science will have found a means of extirpating such local germ-diseases as affect man and beast. The climate over nearly the whole of this region from the Zambesi to the southern ocean is magnificent. Where the soil is arid it is packed with precious metals, but much of the aridity is caused by the ill-regulated water supply. Afforestation is already producing a change in this respect, and increasing the rainfall. In fact, the rainfall may be equalised by a moderate de-forestation of the too tropical eastern coast-belt coincident with the planting up of the interior deserts. The streams produced by the heavy tropical or temperate rains will be made to supply water for the irrigation of the less favoured regions.

The White Man's Prospects in Africa

The coexistence of a negro population of some five or six million within these limits is, together with the general question of unskilled labour, one of the problems that the empire has to face and solve before long. About 1,500 years ago, in all probability, there were very few big black negroes dwelling in the lands to the south of the Zambesi. This sub-continent then was sparsely peopled by a Hottentot-Bushman race of low or arrested physique, and of poor intellectual development.

These men were leading the almost animal life of the Stone Age. Then came successive rushes of the powerful Bantu negroes from the north and east, and a good deal of the centre and east of South Africa was populated by black men, the ancestors of the modern Bechuana, Zulu, and Nyanja tribes. The Hottentots in the south-west had made a more determined resistance, and when the European first arrived on the scene, in the sixteenth century, much of the south-western part of this sub-continent was still outside the Bantu sphere. The persecution or the control of the Hottentots by Dutch and British indirectly assisted the attempts of the Kaffirs to extend further and further to

the south-west. Speaking, however, racially, some sections of the Zulu-Kaffir-Bechuana peoples are no earlier colonists of South Africa than the Dutch and even the British. Some sections of them have inherently no better right to the soil of a No-man's-land than we have; both alike have entered into the inheritance of a van-

The Early Colonists of South Africa ished Bushman type, if one can seriously ascribe full territorial rights to a race of wandering human nomads, as much, and no more, entitled to the fee-simple of the soil they roved over than the wild beasts they were attempting to dispossess.

In deciding such grave questions it has always seemed to the present writer that a very great distinction must be made between nomads and agriculturists. An agricultural race that has distinctly benefited the land it has occupied, by subduing Nature and making the country fit for intelligent human occupation, has acquired a fee-simple in the soil; not so the nomad, who is a mere hunter. Pastoral peoples should be given reservations in return for the care they have bestowed on domestic animals, and for their having subdued more or less the wild beasts that would make the keeping of these flocks and herds impossible; or they may have uprooted poisonous herbs, and have mitigated marsh or thorny scrub.

To reduce a long argument into as few words as possible, the future settlement of race distribution in Trans-Zambesian Africa should follow these lines: The existing agricultural races should be granted definite areas of land, which would become as much theirs as land similarly taken up by white men; but every inducement of teaching, all fair persuasion, should be used towards these negro tribes to leave the high, cold regions or the temperate coast lands and migrate little by little to the tropical eastern belt, and, most of all, to the basin of the Zambesi, especially the magnificent territories

A Black Central Africa of British Central Africa. This is a climate well suited to negro physical development, not so well suited to the white man. As compensation for the gradual creation of a white South Africa, the building up of a black Central Africa should be carried on simultaneously. No injustice should be done to Basuto or Zulu, to Bechuana or Baronga. But actual inducements may be offered to the more vigorous and

enterprising amongst the black men to migrate a little farther to the east and north in return for a good substantial grant of land. In exchange, the vacant soil of the high cold plateaux might be disposed of to European settlers. Gradually in this way the two races might draw apart, the black men living more to the east and north, and

Bonds of Union for Black and White

the white to the south and south-west. As in India, so in South Africa, the alternative to this policy is the setting aside of racial prejudice and the free interbreeding of black and white; the same education, the same laws, the same social organisation being made to apply to both.

This consummation is less and less in favour. The blacks dislike interbreeding with the whites quite as much as the reverse is the case, and so far the result of such intermixture between the absolute negro and the absolute, white man has not been happy either in its physical attributes or its political status.

On the other hand, the retention of five, six, ten millions of negroes as a permanently servile force has likewise ceased to be possible. Sufficient education has been brought amongst them by the white man, he has departed sufficiently from the ideas of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, to have made the reinstitution of negro slavery a physical impossibility. The negroes would resist it to the death, and the white man has not the numbers, the strength, or the money to reimpose such a condition on his still slightly inferior brother, whom at one time he would, if he could, have reduced once more to the level of a beast.

Of course, if the white peoples decide for a white South Africa they must face and settle the problem of unskilled labour. Either they must consent to work with the pick and shovel, the mason's trowel, the bricklayer's hod, the gardener's spade, to perform all the menial functions of do-

The Ideal of a White South Africa

mesticity, to police, to be signalman, pointsman and guard, telegraph clerk and messenger, postman, groom, carter, shepherd, vine-dresser, ostrich attendant, and dock labourer; or they must decide for a partnership on equal terms with the black and possibly the yellow man so far as South Africa is concerned. The Chinaman need have no say in the development of South Africa. He has quite a large enough sphere in Eastern

and Central Asia, but if the "White South Africa" ideal is to be lowered because the white man dislikes to work as an unskilled labourer, the Indian must be readmitted to take his share in the development of this neglected region.

There are few problems now to be solved in British West Africa since we have most wisely decided it is the black man's country, to be owned and developed by the negro and negroid. In Uganda the same principle is in force, but in East Africa the future is much more complicated; a parti-coloured policy may be the wisest to adopt. The rights to land, communally and individually, on the part of the indigenous blacks and browns are already recognised and have been secured.

There still remain territories, collectively as large as Ireland—situated at altitudes between 6,000 and 13,000 feet above sea-level, above sunstroke and most tropical diseases, except malaria, which is a matter of infection—which are in every way suited to European settlement. Owing to former wars between tribe and tribe, and to the cold climate, there are no existing native inhabitants. Shall we actively

East Africa's Asiatic Problem

promote the colonisation of these still vacant lands by homeless Britishers or shall we let them drift into the possession of Boers, Italians, Greeks, or Russian Jews? Then in East Africa is also the Asiatic problem.

Are we then to encourage, discourage or remain indifferent to the immigration on a large scale of natives of India, who shall come not merely as employés, merchants or soldiers, but as settlers, bringing their women-folk and determined to find in East Africa that America we are denying them in Natal and the Transvaal. Can we refuse them this satisfaction? Are we as Imperialists to shape new homes for white men only? Or should we expect the overplus of India to be content with new fields of energy nearer home—Southern Arabia, Southern Persia, Malaya, Borneo, Fiji, Northern Australia, Mauritius; or in Tropical America—Honduras, Jamaica, Trinidad, Guiana, leaving Africa to the Negro, Negroid and Caucasian?

Egypt is one of the knottiest problems that offer themselves for our solution. We have raised a Mohammedan people from the dust, have forced on it education, law and order, security and affluence, have even assiduously taught it what it

had forgotten since it was submerged and denationalised by Islam (that lava flow of human history), that the lands of the Lower Nile and the people generated from Nile mud and sand were once the cradle and the exponents of a mighty civilisation. By our intervention this modern Egyptian race has been saved from dwindling into virtual extinction, bled to death by heartless Turkish pashas and their Circassian and Armenian servants.

Now, under an enlightened prince, who, like his father, has Egyptian blood in his veins, and administered by a new school of Egyptian, Armenian, and Turkish ministers, Egypt desires to be allowed to run alone. The Sudan, it is virtually acknowledged, is a totally different question; it has its own outlet to the sea at Port Sudan and via Uganda and Mombasa. The Sudan administered by Britain will relieve Egypt from one great menace on the south. If, argue some Egyptians, the British troops were removed from Cairo and Alexandria to the other side of the Suez Canal, in short, if the Sinai peninsula were definitely ceded

British Officials in Egypt to Great Britain and Egypt became an absolutely independent kingdom, the British would obtain means of defending the Red Sea route to India and the Suez Canal and yet might relieve the administration of Egypt of that admixture of British officials, which, by its crushing superiority of attainments and ideals, galls the rising generation of the upper and middle classes of the native-born Egyptians.

There are other Egyptians who say or write that they are in no hurry to lose the British civilian employes of the khedive's administration; the admirable qualities of these as judges, financiers, engineers, or police officers, are fully recognised. It is the military officers who, for some reason, have made themselves disliked through want of tact, consideration, or sympathy. It is the army of occupation rather than the British officered Egyptian army which is the thorn in the wound. "If the British soldiers were removed to the Sinai Peninsula," say the Young Egyptians, "we should be content to remain for some further period under British tutelage; but let the khedive be master in his own house."

This much is clear to us in the United Kingdom, that Egypt, by its mere geographical position, is the central connecting link of our empire in Europe, Africa, and

Asia. Under present circumstances, and until the navigation of the air is a commonplace fact—when there may be universal peace and a world-federation—it is vital to the continued existence of the British Empire abroad that we should neutralise the geographical advantages of Egypt by controlling the destinies and the foreign policy

Egypt Under British Rule of that country. So much so, that, if need be, violence must be done to the finer feelings of the Egyptians by the declaration of an actual protectorate or suzerainty

—a clear intimation to the khedive and his people that they are, and must remain, for an indefinite period within the diversified confederation which we call the British Empire. We justify this high-handed action by an appeal to the civilised powers that count in the world's councils.

We ask educated India, Australia, East Africa, Uganda, British Central and South Africa, Zanzibar, Mauritius, New Zealand, and even Canada, to consider what would happen to them and to their commerce if the Suez Canal were under the control of an absolutely independent power which could close it at any moment to British ships; or else in the keeping of a state so feeble and so disorganised that it was at the mercy of a *coup-de-main* on the part of any strong Mediterranean nation.

With the proviso, however, of the full recognition of Great Britain's supremacy, there is no reason whatever why Egypt should not receive in time full representative government under the khedive, who might well be raised to the rank of sultan, and even exercise almost completely independent powers in regard to internal administration and the foreign affairs of Egypt proper. Perhaps the best arrangement in the long run would be the cession to England of the Sinai Peninsula and the Sudan, the British troops being withdrawn from the sultanate of Egypt, but the sultan of that country acknowledging the over-

Italy's Place in the Mediterranean lordship of the British Emperor, just as Bavaria does that of the German Emperor.

Provided our vital rights of control over Egypt and Southern and Eastern Arabia are recognised, the British people would welcome most heartily the regeneration of Turkey. It may be necessary to the peculiar position of Italy in the Mediterranean that Turkey shall cede some rights in Tripoli to the Italian kingdom, in return for assurances, that Italy will not

interfere in Albania. It may also come about that Crete is definitely assigned to Greece, as Bosnia has been to Austria, and Novi Bazar to the Serbs, the right to build the Bagdad railway to Germany, and the free passage of the Dardanelles and Bosphorus to the warships of the whole world. This really means curtailing by

The Factor of the German Empire

very little the actual extent of the present administrative area of the Turkish Empire. In return for these concessions—including the recognition on the part of the Powers of a French protectorate over Morocco—the capitulations, and later the special post offices, and all other extra-territorial privileges of the foreign Powers in Turkey might be abrogated, and Turkey left free to attend whole-heartedly to internal reform and the peaceful exploitation of her wealth in natural products.

Behind all these projects stands the German Empire, without whose acquiescence much of this planned settlement of world affairs is idle chatter. The necessary entente with Germany, following on the still more necessary understandings with France and Russia, should now be the object of every British statesman's desire. Every reasonable effort must be made to frame an understanding with Germany; if possible, one which shall embrace and settle for at least a hundred years to come the aspirations of France, Belgium, Holland, Russia, America, and Japan. Then we may be able to think about relative disarmament, and the concentrating of our forces on the development of all the backward places of the world.

When such a guarantee of the world's peace is attained as the understanding between Britain and Germany, then, indeed, we ought to turn our attention more vigorously than ever to the reforms which are needed in our own Imperial domains. Besides those already touched

Plea for a Uniform Language

on—local administration, commercial interrelations, and secular technical education—we must aim at making the English language a universal medium of intercommunication. It must become eventually the one official language of the whole empire. This need not lead to the neglect of other forms of speech; on the contrary, for purposes of literature, science, history, and the right understanding of diverse minds and intellects, language study—not merely

Hebrew, Ancient Greek, or Latin—must be enforced on all persons in the Imperial service. But English should be taught everywhere in all government or state-aided schools, and all higher instruction be accessible in that language. ●

And we must put our own pride in our pocket and make on our part concessions to commonsense. English must have its standard pronunciation fixed for a hundred years, and must then be spelt phonetically in the Roman alphabet, just as we spell African and Indian languages phonetically. Moreover, there must be but one alphabet, one printing type all over the empire. At present we tolerate the Irish alphabet in Ireland; the Greek letters in Cyprus; Coptic in Egypt; Arabic in Arabia, Egypt, India, Central Africa, and Malaya; about fifty different alphabets in India and Ceylon; and the Chinese syllabary in Hong Kong. This leads to a sickening waste of time, and to an obscurantism beloved of schoolmasters, clerics, cranky professors, pedantic prigs, sulky bonzes, rebellious Hindus, intriguing Arabs, and all those who are really opposed to the enlarged

Reforms that Would Benefit the Empire

study of languages and their rapid acquisition by people in a hurry. No one can accuse me of a narrow nationalism in advocating the universal use of the so-called Roman alphabet, because this elegant, clear, easily recognised type was invented in Italy, and as regards its adaptation to the phonetic rendering of all known languages is a German invention by the great Lepsius.

Besides a uniform alphabet we want a uniform coin of standard value, uniform weights and measures, and postal rates. This last reform is nearly accomplished. In weights and measures we might very well adopt the metric system, and thus put ourselves in harmony with France and the whole Latin world, Germany, Latin America, Turkey, the Balkan States, Roumania, Austria-Hungary, and Japan. In regard to coinage, see how ridiculously the empire differs one portion from another. In Great Britain, Gibraltar, Malta, Cyprus, British Central Africa, South Africa, West Africa, St. Helena, the West Indies, Falkland Islands and British Guinea, Australia, New Zealand, Fiji and the Western Pacific, we have a gold standard and the pound sterling as unit of calculation, and a very sensible unit, too. In Egypt and the Egyptian Sudan there

THE FUTURE OF THE EMPIRE

is a monetary system nearly in accord with that of Britain, but the Egyptian pound is worth about threepence more than the English sovereign. It is divided into 100 piastres. In British Arabia, the Central Sudan and Zanzibar the Maria Theresa dollar of an approximate 3s. 8d. still lingers. But throughout the Aden territory, British East Africa, Zanzibar, Seychelles, Mauritius, Persian Gulf, Ceylon, and the whole Indian Empire, the silver rupee of a more or less fixed exchange—value of fifteen rupees = £1—is the established currency.

In the Straits Settlements and the federated Malay States the official currency is a dollar, worth 2s. 4d. At one time there were three kinds of dollar in circulation as legal tender: the Mexican dollar, say 4s.; the British dollar, value about 2s. 6d.; and the Hong Kong dollar, value about 2s. These are still, with varying values, the currency of Hong Kong.

In 1902 a committee sat at the Colonial Office to consider and make recommendations regarding the currency question in the Straits Settlements. They recommended a return to the gold standard, but, for some inscrutable reason, instead of taking this occasion to introduce the Imperial coinage, they started this great Malayan colony off on a fresh currency of its own, equivalent to the British dollar of an approximate value of 2s. 4d.—another unit of independent value added to the Canadian dollar, the pound sterling, the rupee, the Hong Kong dollar, the five-franc piece, (which is much used in British Gambia and in Jersey). It is actions like these that stand in the way of Imperial federation. The currency of Hong Kong and Wei-hai-wei is enough to make the brain whirl, and must cause many a suicide among cashiers and accountants. The Hong Kong dollar is at present worth about 1s. 11½d. Two other dollars of totally different and constantly varying value equally pass current. The copper coinage is shamefully bewildering. British Borneo shares the dollar standard of the Straits Settlements.

Canada has from its entry into the empire adopted the dollar of the United States as its unit. Newfoundland also keeps its accounts in dollars and cents (American), but British sterling is legal tender. British Honduras likewise employs

the American dollar of an approximate 4s. 2d. as its unit of value. Thus throughout the British Empire we have the following units and values—often fluctuating—for monetary media and the keeping of accounts: The pound sterling, value 20s.; the five-franc piece, value 4s.; the Egyptian pound, value £1 0s. 3d.; the Maria Theresa dollar, 3s. 8d. (?); the Mexican dollar, 4s. (?); the British dollar, 2s. 4d.; the Hong Kong dollar, 1s. 11d. to 2s.; and the dollar of British America, about 4s. 2d. For lesser coins in copper, bronze, and nickel there are many values and names—pence, cents, piastres, annas.

In some parts of West, East, and Central Africa the kauri shell is not demonetised. In Nigeria, 1,000 kauris are worth threepence! This will give some idea of what a worry they can be as cash or in accounts. In British China there are copper coins representing one-hundredth part of the 2s. dollar—less than a farthing, and one-thousandth part of the same coin, or one forty-first part of a penny! On the other hand, in South Africa there is a distressing dearth of small cash, no coin below a silver threepence being in circulation.

Will no great Imperial statesmen arise, will no council of broad views and dominant authority come into existence which will cause the empire to agree on:

1. A phonetic spelling and writing of the English language.
2. Uniform weights and measures (metric).
3. Uniform coinage and unit values in calculation (decimal).
4. A single alphabet—the Roman—for writing and printing all languages on an identical phonetic system, the same that is applied to English?

I doubt if there are great men to devise great measures, and if this magnificent but unwieldy empire, too loosely compacted, too perversely individualistic in all its parts, be not drifting on to eventual dissolution for the want of men in its supreme councils “with head, heart, hand; like some of the simple great ones gone; for ever and ever by,” who will impose unity in essentials and allow liberty of judgment in what is unessential.

HARRY JOHNSTON

